

STATE PLAN UPDATE

1/21/16

TIMELINE FOR STATE PLAN

- 11/20-12/23/15: Public Comment period
- Week of 1/11/16: 4 Public Hearings
- 1/14/16: Public comments and recommended responses sent to taskforce for review
- 1/21/16: Taskforce discussion of public comments; SWIC vote on State Plan submission
- 3/3/16: State Plan due to Federal Gov't (DOL and DOE)

State Plan Template

- Template is issued by Federal Gov't (DOL/DOE)
- Feds issue an “information collection request (ICR)” for comments on the template
- 2nd ICR issued on 12/23/15 for a 30 day comment period
- What does that mean for State Plan?

State Plan Template

What were the new ICR additions?

- Clarifications (e.g. citation added to federal definition of career pathways)
- Modifications to the Assurances
- Clarification that infrastructure funding and results of assessments are required for 2018 State Plan Amendment.
- Requiring state assessments/evaluations to include local plan goals
- Policy on priority of service
- Description of local area transfer of funds between adult and dislocated worker

State Plan Comments

Two types of public comment:

- 30 day Public Comment Period (closed 12/23/15): 17 written comment (representing individuals, companies, associations, and consortia)
- 4 Public Hearings in January: 6 individuals gave public comment

Examples - Change

Current State of Workforce Development Activities:

“To understand the current state of Indiana’s workforce development activities, it is important to understand the history of the Indiana Career Council (ICC) and Regional Works Councils...” INWBA believes that without the following inclusions there may be gaps in the state plan.

...

It is important for the State Unified plan to augment information beyond just ICC and Works Councils activities, including examples from the WorkOnes, the Workforce Boards, economic development, and education.

Examples - Change

Priority of Service

The draft addresses the priority of service by indicating that the targeted populations will constitute at least 50 percent of the participants. We recommended increasing this percentage to 75 percent. The plan should also spell out the protocol that locals must use to ensure that the targeted populations are given priority, a protocol similar to that used to grant veterans a priority of service.

Examples – Change

Eligible Training Provider List

The draft is silent at this point on the procedure that will be used to establish the ETPL. The procedure should include training providers sending administrative records on students to INK or another agency for data matching with UI and other records, so that the state can calculate the programs labor market outcomes in a standardized manner, and report those outcomes to the providers and the public as part of a consumer scorecard system.

Examples – Change

Performance Goals for Core Programs:

While the state's commitment to developing its longitudinal data system (INK) demonstrates marked progress on the issues of improved data quality and accountability, Appendix 1 has no content included on what the state's performance goals or benchmarks will be. The state should include the "indicators of success" from the Indiana Career Council's strategic plan and at least set improvement goals.

RESOLUTION