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CHAIRPERSON SLASH: All right. It is 1:13 p.m., September 18th. We'll call this meeting of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission to order.

MR. LOSTUTTER: Please be advised no party will be allowed to speak directly to the Commission during any Commission meeting except during a previously scheduled oral argument. The Commissioners will make their initial
determination based on the complaint, the notice of finding, the appeal, and the final investigative report.

You must not address the Commission members except and unless you are addressed directly by them, and if you have any questions about your case, please wait to speak to the Docket Clerk after the Commission meeting. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: All right. We have -- absolutely for the first time we have a quorum. We've got a very strong quorum. We have
all but one of us today, so fantastic. Thanks, everyone, for joining us.

If you'd like to announce the agenda.
MR. LOSTUTTER: On our agenda, we
will be going over approval of previous meeting minutes, and then we will have a presentation of the ICRC Director's Report, Old Business, Appeals that we will be either upholding the decision on or remanding, and then New Business, Appointment of Commissioners to Complainants' Appeals, then Review of Director's Findings and Review of the ALJ Decisions and Orders, which there are a few, and then also a few Final Orders that the ALJ automatically confirmed that have to be read into the record.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
Okay. At this time, we'll call for approval of the previous meeting minutes. Is there a motion?

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: So moved.
COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Second.
COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.

two days, Tuesday and Wednesday, we have our Days of Service, where we will be doing a number of beautification projects up along Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard by Watkins Park, and there's a nearby pocket park that -- we're going to be doing a lot of work in there on Wednesday as well.

So, we're excited about that. I think we have around 150-plus volunteers that are going to be coming out over the next couple of days to engage in those beautification projects, so we're excited about that, and have put a lot of work into making that successful, and it looks like we'll have good weather for it as well.

This is a busy week, because we've got the Circle City Classic Parade this weekend as well, and then we'll also have a presence at the ILE Health Expo on Saturday, and actually I'll be doing a little presentation there, too. So, again, a lot going on this week.

And then as far as some upcoming events, normally in the past we've had the Holocaust Remembrance event in November. We're -- in
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working with the partners that we work with on that, we're pushing it back into January to hopefully encourage and get more legislators attending as well, so that's -- more information on that will be distributed to the Commissioners as that gets put together and finalized.

As far as ongoing projects, CMS and
Genesys continue to be -- you know, have their perks, but also challenges, with just technology in general. We've got Fair Housing Testing. We've got close to about 20 Director-initiated complaints that have resulted from the Fair Housing Testing that we've engaged in.

And then we're in the last couple of weeks of our EEOC contract, and we're on pace to fulfill that contract as it's been within -- for this year, it was 350 cases processed, which was an increase from the last couple of years. And then we recently just got the paperwork to close out the HUD contract that ended at the end of June.

So, we're trying to make sure that we get all of our funding in place and withdrawn, in the
event that there's any federal shutdown and how that may or may not impact the availability of those funds. So, we're trying to get all of the ducks in a row before the end of the month, to get those funds in our pocket and not elsewhere.

As far as some metrics go, our -- again, as I've reported in prior months, our numbers are up this year. Year to date August, for 2023 compared to 2022, our calls, especially with the better tracking that's enabled through our Genesys system, we've tracked 6,724 calls through the end of August, compared to just under 3,000 last year through the same time period.

Our inquiries are up 15.3 percent, from 1589 to 1832. Our formalized complaints are up 39 percent, from 501 in 2022 to 697 in 2023 . We did 791 total complaints all of last year, so we're -- we may hit that here in september. We'll see how September goes.

And then funds recovered through
settlement agreements, in 2022 there was 512,000 through August. We're at 576 through August of 2023, which is a twelve-and-a-half-percent
increase.

We've been turning more inquiries into formalized complaints. Last year through August we had a 31-and-a-half-percent rate from inquiries to formalized complaints, and we're sitting at 38 percent this year, so $I$ think part of that is our staff are better positioned, and our core -- from all of our groups, really, from Intake to the various investigatory units, the core staff in each of those have been together now for around a year and a half, which is awesome employee retention, but it also does wonders for being able to process things and work with complainants to get to a formalized complaint and ask the right questions. So, I think that that's a big part of that.

And then as far as open litigation cases go, at the end of August in 2022 , we had 67 open litigation cases, and we have 60 open as of the end of August here in 2023. So, moving cases along, staff are doing great work, and things are humming along.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Are any of the Commissioners participating in Day of Service? (No response.)

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: I couldn't pull it off myself this year either, so I just wanted to check. Well, we're looking forward to hearing great things about it, and thank you for sharing that update.

Does anyone have any questions?
(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. With that, we'll go ahead and we'll move to Old Business. As I was looking through them all, I noticed that many of them have more than one issue, so -- and many of them were under more than one thing -so, try hard to state the entire recommendation. That would probably be helpful for the record.

So, in the first case of Rakeisha Nall versus Family and Social Services Administration, EMra22040175, the case was assigned to

Comm. Harrington.
What's your recommendation?

| 1 | COMM. HARRINGTON: Okay. On this |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | one, on the first issue, I uphold the finding of |
| 3 | no probable cause, and on the second issue, I |
| 4 | also uphold the finding of no probable cause. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. |
| 6 | Is there a motion? |
| 7 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: So moved. |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a |
| 9 | second? |
| 10 | COMM. SILBERBERG: Second. |
| 11 | COMM. TOLLIVER: Second. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: We can take |
| 13 | Comm. Silberberg. |
| 14 | MR. LOSTUTTER: We'll call the roll. |
| 15 | Comm. Harrington? |
| 16 | COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye. |
| 17 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson? |
| 18 | COMM. JACKSON: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg? |
| 20 | COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. LOStutter: Comm. Tolliver? |
| 22 | COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye. |
| 23 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos? |

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.

The next case was Robert Lawson versus

Crownpointe Communities, LLC, Case HOha23030299.
That case was assigned to me. It has two issues, and on the first issue, I recommend that we uphold the Deputy Director's finding of no reasonable cause under the Fair Housing Act, and no probable cause under the Indiana Civil Rights Law.

Under the second issue, I uphold the Deputy Director's finding of no reasonable cause under the Indiana Fair Housing Act, and no probable cause under the Indiana Civil Rights Law.

Is there a motion?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a
second?

COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
MR. LOSTUTTER: We will now call the roll.

Comm. Harrington?
COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye.

MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson?
COMM. JACKSON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?

COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?

COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.

The next case, Maria Nunez versus Humane
Fort Wayne, Case EMha22090511. The case was assigned to Vice-Chair Ramos.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Madam Chair, in
this case, I recommend that we uphold on both
issues, no probable cause under Civil Rights - -
the Indiana Civil Rights Law in regards to reasonable accommodation, and no probable cause under the Indiana Civil Rights Law on unlawful termination.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
Is there a motion?

COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
Is there a second?

COMM. SILBERBERG: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
MR. LOSTUTTER: We'll call the roll.
Comm. Harrington?
COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson?
COMM. JACKSON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it. It's upheld.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
The next case, Tresha Saffold versus Driveco Learning Center, Case EDha22090511. The case was assigned to Comm. Tolliver.

COMM. TOLLIVER: Thank you.
There's just one single issue, and I ask that we uphold the Commission's finding of no probable cause under the Indiana Civil Rights Law.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
Is there a motion?
COMM. SILBERBERG: So moved.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a
second?
COMM. JACKSON: Aye.
COMM. HARRINGTON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: We'll take
Comm. Harrington.
MR. LOSTUTTER: All right. We'll
call the roll.

| 1 | Comm. Harrington? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. LOStutter: Comm. Jackson? |
| 4 | COMM. JACKSON: Aye. |
| 5 | MR. LOStutter: Comm. Silberberg? |
| 6 | COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. LOStutter: Comm. Tolliver? |
| 8 | COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. LOStutter: Vice-Chair Ramos? |
| 10 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye. |
| 11 | MR. LOStutter: Chair Slash? |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye. |
| 13 | MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it. It |
| 14 | is upheld. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. The next |
| 16 | case, Kocho Long versus Indianapolis Housing |
| 17 | Agency, Case EMse23020184. This case was |
| 18 | assigned to Comm. Jackson. |
| 19 | COMM. JACKSON: This case said it was |
| 20 | dismissed, and it's the first one I've had that |
| 21 | read like that. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there any |
| 23 | guidance from staff? |

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: I'd have to go
and look at the case, so --

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
COMM. JACKSON: So, there was no like roll calls or anything. It just says the case was dismissed.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: For the sake of record, can we continue to -- can we continue to October, just to make sure we do what we're supposed to do with it?

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Or you can just table it for right now, I'll take a look, and we can --

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: -- come back to it later.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: We'll table to the end of the meeting.

All right. The next case is Marc Brooks versus Gene B. Glick Company, Case EMra22120730. This case was also assigned to Comm. Jackson.

COMM. JACKSON: Uphold the Director's finding of no probable cause.

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Is there a motion? |
| 3 | COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a |
| 5 | second? |
| 6 | COMM. HARRINGTON: Second. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Thank you. |
| 8 | MR. LOSTUTTER: We'll call the roll. |
| 9 | Comm. Harrington? |
| 10 | COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye. |
| 11 | MR. LOStutter: Comm. Jackson? |
| 12 | COMM. JACKSON: Aye. |
| 13 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg? |
| 14 | COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye. |
| 15 | MR. LOStutter: Comm. Tolliver? |
| 16 | COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye. |
| 17 | MR. LOStutier: Vice-Chair Ramos? |
| 18 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash? |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it. It |
| 22 | is upheld. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. |



COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash?
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it. It is upheld.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. The next case, Dermorus Bonds versus Clif Bar Baking Company of Indianapolis, LLC, Case EMra22070330. That case was assigned to Comm. Silberberg.

COMM. SILBERBERG: There were four issues, and $I$ uphold the findings of no probable cause under Indiana Civil Rights Law for all four.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
Is there a motion?
COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
MR. LOSTUTTER: We'll call the roll.
Comm. Harrington?
COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye.

MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson?

COMM. JACKSON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?

COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it. It
is upheld.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
The next case, Cheyenne Wray-Mumford
versus C. Clips Corporation, Case EMse22110658.
The case was assigned to Comm. Tolliver.
COMM. TOLLIVER: This was a single
issue, and I ask that we uphold the Commission's finding of no probable cause under -- no probable finding under the Indiana Civil Rights Law.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: All right. Thank you.

Is there a motion?

| 1 | COMM. JACKSON: So moved. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a |
| 3 | second? |
| 4 | COMM. SILBERBERG: Second. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. |
| 6 | MR. LOSTUTTER: We'll call the roll. |
| 7 | Comm. Harrington? |
| 8 | COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson? |
| 10 | COMM. JACKSON: Aye. |
| 11 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg? |
| 12 | COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye. |
| 13 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver? |
| 14 | COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye. |
| 15 | MR. LOStutter: Vice-Chair Ramos? |
| 16 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye. |
| 17 | MR. LOStutter: Chair Slash? |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. LOStutier: The ayes have it. It |
| 20 | is upheld. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. |
| 22 | The next case is Karin Y. Burner versus |
| 23 | Zimmer Production, Inc., case EMha23050483. The |

```
case was assigned to Vice-Chair Ramos.
    VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Madam Chair, there
were two issues in this case as well, and I
recommend that we uphold the Director's finding
of no probable cause under the Indiana Civil
Rights Law.
```

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: For both issues?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: For both issues.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Thank you. Is there a motion?

COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: That was

Comm. Tolliver, very quietly.
Is there a second?
COMM. JACKSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Comm. Jackson,
thank you.
MR. LOSTUTTER: We'll call the roll.
Comm. Harrington?
COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson?

COMM. JACKSON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?


COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson?
COMM. JACKSON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice Chair Ramos?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash?
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it. It is upheld.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
That concludes our Old Business. I just
want to thank everyone for your -- for your
detailed diligence. Some of those cases had more issues than we normally have, and so, the detailed review is appreciated.

Moving to New Business, I will assign them as follows: The first case, Steven LeMaster, on behalf of Minor Child, K.L., versus Vigo County School Corporation, Case EDra230240186, I'll
assign that one to Comm. Silberberg; the next one, Roderick Killebrew versus Meijer,

Case PAra23020191, Vice-Chair Ramos; Andreya Hall versus Chase Bank, Case PAra22110647,

Comm. Tolliver; Vivian Kernstein versus Millenia

Housing Management, LLC, Angel Martin \&
BHF-Indiana 4, LLC, Case HOha23050446,
Comm. Jackson.
Okay. Moving to our next section, Administrative Law Judge's Orders, some of these have decisions -- or actions for us and some of them do not. The first case is Torrance Sanders versus Keene Urquhart Group \& Urquhart Group, LLC, Case Hose22110642. I'm going to actually read this. I'm going to read these today.

Okay. The Administrative Law Judge states that the Complainant has no presented -- has not presented any evidence showing a connection between the Respondent's knowledge of the Complainant's mental disability and the Respondent's action of terminating the lease, nor any showing that the Respondent has treated other tenants with disabilities less favorably than

```
those without.
```

Thus, the Complainant has filed to -- I'm sorry -- the Complainant has failed to meet his burden of proof, rules against the Complainant, and that the Complainant's case against the Respondent should be dismissed with prejudice.

The objection period in this matter has closed, so we do need to make an action here to affirm, remand --

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Or reject.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: -- or reject.
MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Or modify.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Yes, or modify.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Madam Chair, I
recommend that we uphold the decision of dismissal with prejudice.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. That's an affirmation for a dismissal with prejudice.

Is there a second?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
MR. LOSTUTTER: All right. We'll
call the roll.

Comm. Harrington?
COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson?

COMM. JACKSON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?

COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash?
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye.

MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. The next
case, ICRC/Eric Harden versus John Johnson,
Case HOha23010061.
Proposed Default Order was issued on
August 7th, 2023, in which it was stated that the allegations contained in the Notice of Finding and Issuance of Charge by the ICRC, dated June 29th, 2023, were accepted as true.

The Administrative Law Judge decides in favor of the Complainant, ordering the Respondent
to cease and desist from discriminating against people because of ability [sic] in the protected area of housing, citing in its order the -citing in its order the actions and steps necessary to demonstrate and prove their compliance with said order.

The objection period in this matter has not yet closed, so no action is required to be taken at this time. We will see this case next month.

The next one, ICRC/John Chubb versus Fike Investments, LLC, Jim Fike and Kim Fike, Case HOra15070510 and Case HOrt15090649.

The Administrative Law Judge in this
matter incorporated all Findings of Facts contained in the order granting the ICRC's Motion for Summary Judgment and Notice of Finding -- I'm sorry -- Notice of Final Prehearing Conference on March 26th, 2021, and the ICRC Motion for Summary Judgment filed December 22nd, 2021, as well as any Conclusion of Law deemed a Finding of Fact in this Order's Statement of Procedural History.

Based on this and Conclusions of Law, John

Chubb's July 16th, 2015 and September 11th, 2015 complaints and the ICRC Director's charge are dismissed with prejudice. The Respondent must cease and desist from discriminating against people on the basis of disability in the protected area of housing, and awards to Mr. Chubb the amount of $\$ 26,617.11$, along with other forms of compensation as listed in the order, and must provide proof of compliance to the ICRC again according to the guidelines put forth in the order.

The objection period in this matter has not yet closed, so action is not required at this time. We will see this next month.

All right. These are automatically
confirmed under the -- by the ALJ, but we are reading them into the record, so thanks for bearing with me, everyone.

Case ICRC/Lekesha Mabry versus Mark and Joline -- Chemiskwy?

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Chmielewski. CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Chmielewski,
thank you. I was like, "That's not it." Thank
you. Chmielewski, Case HOfs22080385. The next case, ICRC/Carissa Royal versus Dominion Realty, Case HOha23020005. Next case, ICRC/Dawn -- how do I pronounce Dawn's last name?

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Reinoehl.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Reinoehl, thank you, versus Open Door Rentals and Real Estate, Case HOse22110642. The next case, Tatiana Collier versus At Home, Case PAra23030220. And the last case is Lucretia Collier versus At Home, Case PAra23030221. That concludes our cases for the month.

We have made it to the last quarter of the year. The upcoming meetings that we have as dates --

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Madam Chair, do you want to go back to the Kocho Long matter that we tabled?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Yes, if you have clarity to provide.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: The notice that
was issued is a Notice of Administrative Dismissal. The case was dismissed because the

Complainant had filed -- previously filed a duplicate case with the EEOC, so we deemed our case to be a duplicate with what was already on file with the EEOC. So, this is a Notice of Administrative Dismissal dismissing the case here, and then the case still remains with the EEOC, but it's an appealable notice, so -- or decision.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. So, on behalf of the action that needs to be taken, it is --

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: The same type of action that you would --

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. To
uphold --

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: -- for the regular notice of finding.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: -- or remand?

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yep.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
COMM. JACKSON: Uphold the Director's finding of dismissal.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Thank you.

Is there a -- is there a motion?
COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a second?

COMM. SILBERBERG: Second.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
MR. LOSTUTTER: All right. Now we'll call the roll.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: All right. And for clarity, for the record, do you have the case number that we're working on?

THE REPORTER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Fantastic.
So, this is a vote to uphold on

Case EMse23020184.
MR. LOSTUTTER: All right. Calling the roll.

Comm. Harrington?
COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson?
COMM. JACKSON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.

MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash?
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: It is -- the ayes
have it. It is upheld.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
And thank you for drawing back there.
MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: So, we've made it
to the last quarter of the year. We have had a pending conversation for the past several months about moving, potentially, our meeting date from Friday to another day of the week. Seeing we have fairly good attendance today, on a Monday, is there any interest in us having a vote around Mondays at 1:00 -- the third -- well, what Monday is this? Is this the fourth Monday?

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: It's still the
third.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: It's still --

```
okay. So, the third Monday.
    VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: For the next month
I will be out of town.
    CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. You're
going to be the troublemaker for the first one?
    VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Uh-huh.
    CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
    VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I'm going to be in
Israel, so I'm excited.
    COMM. SILBERBERG: Ooh, so, that's a
        good reason.
    CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. This time
```

        you're okay.
    MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Well, there are
        five Mondays in October, so I think we should try
        the fourth Monday.
    CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there any
        interest in the fourth Monday of the month? That
        would actually probably be my preference.
            MR. FLEISCHHACKER: The \(23 r d\).
                (No response.)
            CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. There's no
    issue. Is there anyone who'd be interested in
putting a motion on the floor that we move to the fourth Monday of the month at 1:00 p.m.?

COMM. SILBERBERG: I move.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a
second?

COMM. JACKSON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
COMM. HARRINGTON: Second.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: I don't know if we really had to have an official vote, but I kind of want to make sure that like if we're moving something that's been standing --

MR. LOSTUTTER: I guess we should.
CHAIRPERSON SLASH: -- that we may
want to do that.

MR. LOSTUTTER: So, we'll go ahead and call the roll.

Comm. Harrington?
COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson?
COMM. JACKSON: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.

MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?

COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: And Chair Slash?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: It is -- the ayes
have it, and it will be moved to the fourth Monday of the month of October for this time around.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: All right. And I think you can probably look at it as being our proposed dates for the remainder of the year. We'll try it out and keep our --

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: So, you would like the fourth Monday of November and December as well?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Yes.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Okay. There might be a holiday issue there.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: We might run into
a holiday here or there, but let's just try to give it a whirl --









