FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

Pursuant to IC 4-21.5 and the Commission's June 19, 2020 Order: Automatic Adoption and Approval of Certain Non-Final Decisions, this Order is final, having been automatically approved by the ultimate authority.



FILE DATED: Mar 29 2021

BEFORE THE INDIANA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW PROCEEDINGS



Ruth Dumes,

Complainant,

٧.

Functional Medicine of Indianapolis d/b/a **Indianapolis Integrative Health and** Rehabilitation, Inc., Respondent.

Issued: March 29, 2021

Subject to the Ultimate Authority of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission

Administrative Cause No.: ICRC-0717-000021

Underlying State Agency Action No.: EMha16111569 & 24F-2017-00436

Hon. Caroline A. Stephens Ryker, Administrative Law Judge.

Whereas Complainant in this proceeding submitted Complainant's Notice of Withdrawal ("Motion") on March 23, 2021 and the undersigned Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") for the Office of Administrative Law Proceedings ("OALP") has duly considered it, the undersigned ALJ has decided to grant Complainant's Motion and issue this Order based on the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

JURISDICTION

The Commission of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission ("ICRC") has subject matter jurisdiction over employment discrimination complaints based on disability that are filed under the Indiana Civil Rights Law ("ICRL"). IND. CODE § 22-9-1-2; IND. CODE § 22-9-1-6. When a finding of cause is made under the ICRL, pursuant to the Commission's June 19, 2020 Finding of Necessity, the Office of Administrative Law Proceedings ("OALP") shall appoint an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") to preside over the matter and to conduct a hearing. IND. CODE § 22-9-1-6; IND. CODE § 4-15-10.5-12; IND. CODE § 4-15-10.5-13.

ISSUE

Is Complainant's Notice of Withdrawal effective in dismissing this matter?

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On July 21, 2017, the ICRC, after conducting a neutral investigation, made a probable cause finding on Ruth Dumes's November 23, 2016 complaint that alleged Respondent violated the Indiana Civil Rights Law ("ICRL") by discriminating against her in the protected area of employment on the basis of disability.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Motion was filed on March 23, 2021.
- 2. As represented in the Motion, the Parties have reached a settlement. As a result, Complainant requests that this matter be dismissed.
- 3. The Motion was served on all Parties before a Notice of Hearing was issued.
- 4. There is no evidence of fraud, coercion, duress, or any other reason not to grant the requested dismissal.
- 5. Any Conclusion of Law that should have been deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such, and this Order's statement of Procedural History is incorporated into these Findings of Fact.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the Parties. IND. CODE § 22-9-1-2; IND. CODE § 22-9-1-3.

- 2. The Parties did not file a "consent agreement" or "conciliation agreement" that requires the approval and signature of a majority of the Commissioners. IND. CODE § 22-9-1-6(o); 910 IAC 1-3-4; IND. CODE § 22-9.5-6-5; 910 IAC 2-6-5.
- 3. A Complainant can withdrawal an ICRC complaint by filing a Notice of Withdrawal with the Commission, 910 IAC 1-2-6.
- 4. A Notice of Withdrawal is a written statement made by the Complainant in which the Complainant requests that the matter be closed. *Id.* The Notice of Withdrawal must be served on all Parties. *Id.*
- 5. If a hearing has been set, then the majority of the Commission must agree to the withdrawal before the withdrawal is effective in closing the matter. *Id.*
- 6. However, if a hearing has not been set, then the Notice of Withdrawal filed by a Complainant is immediately effective in closing the matter as of the date of filing. *Id.*
- 7. Furthermore, on June 19, 2020, the ICRC Commission issued its Order: Automatic Adoption and Approval of Certain Non-Final Decisions ("Commission's Order"). As detailed in the Commission's Order (attached as Exhibit A), the Commission, by majority vote, agreed to automatically approve any non-final decision issued by an ALJ in which an ALJ accepts a "Notice of Withdrawal filed by Complainant before a date for a hearing has been set," provided the Notice of Withdrawal complies with 910 IAC 1-2-6 or in which an ALJ accepts a joint motion to dismiss, provided the Parties waive their right to object to the ALJ's decision under IAOPA.
- 8. Complainant filed Complainant's Motion prior to the setting of a hearing in this matter and Complainant's Motion complies with 910 IAC 1-2-6.
- 9. Accordingly, this matter is dismissed, and pursuant to IC 4-21.5-3-29 and the Commission's Order, this Order is an automatically affirmed as a Final Order disposing of the proceedings. IND. CODE § 4-21.5-3-27(a).
- 10. Any Finding of Fact that should have been deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such, and this Order's Statement of Jurisdiction is incorporated into these Conclusions of Law.

DECISION

- 1. Complainant's Notice of Withdrawal is GRANTED.
- 2. Ruth Dumes's November 23, 2016 Complaint is DISMISSED, with prejudice.
- 3. Either Party to a dispute filed under IC 22-9 may, not more than thirty (30) days after the date of receipt of the Commission's final appealable order, appeal to the court of appeals

¹ Available here: https://www.in.gov/icrc/files/Indiana%20Civil%20Rights%20Commission%20Order-Automatic%20Adoption%20and%20Approval%20of....pdf

under the same terms, conditions, and standards that govern appeals in ordinary civil actions. IND. CODE § 22-9-8-1.

SO ORDERED: March 29, 2021

 $\ \, \hbox{Hon. Caroline A. Stephens Ryker, Administrative Law Judge}$

Indiana Office of Administrative Law Proceedings

100 North Senate Ave., Room N802

Indianapolis, IN 46204

(317) 234-6689

INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION ORDER: AUTOMATIC ADOPTION AND APPROVAL OF CERTAIN NON-FINAL DECISIONS

On June 19, 2020, the Majority of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission voted, under Indiana Code 4-21.5-3-29, to automatically adopt and approve as a final order any decision issued by an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") assigned to a matter under the June 19, 2020 Order: Finding of Necessity in which the assigned ALJ accepts the following:

- A Joint Motion to Dismiss or similar motion in which all parties move for the matter's dismissal and waive their right to file objections to a decision issued by the ALJ under Indiana Code 4-21.5-3-29; and
- A Notice of Withdrawal filed by Complainant before a date for a hearing has been set, provided the Notice of Withdrawal complies with 910 IAC 1-2-6(A)(i) and 910 IAC 1-2-6(B).

This Order does not apply to any Consent or Conciliation Agreements which require the signature of all approving Commission Members to be effective.

This Order applies with equal force to decisions issued under Indiana Code 22-9, et. seq. and Indiana Code 22-9.5, et. seq.

This Order is effective immediately.

SO ORDERED on June 19, 2020 by the majority vote of _______ Commissioners:

Tenen f Japanes

ommissioner Holli Marrington

Chair Adrianne L. Slash

Commissioner James W. Jackson

Distribution List:

The following distribution list includes the names and mailing addresses of all known Parties and other persons to whom notice is being given. IND. CODE § 4-21.5-3-18.

Ruth L. Dumes- Complainant and served by U.S. Postal Mail at 5837 Beatle Drive, APT F, Indianapolis, IN 46216

Functional Medicine of Indianapolis- Respondent and served by mail at 55 South State Street, Suite 201, Indianapolis, IN 46204

Arthur R. Baxter, Jr.-Respondent's Attorney and served by electronic mail to abaxter@brs-lawyers.com; Mailing Address: 50 E 91st Street, Suite 103, Indianapolis, IN 46250

Frederick S. Bremer-Complainant's Attorney and served electronic mail at fbremer@icrc.in.gov; (317)232-2634

Chair Slash of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission – ultimate authority and served at docketclerk@icrc.in.gov