

Site Number	PROJECT_NAME	WATERBODY_NAME	STATION_NAME	SAMPLE DATE	E. coli Result	RESULT_UNIT	GEOMETRIC MEAN	2010 AUID	2008 AUID	NOTES	E. coli
1	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0082	7/17/2006 9:20	360.9	MPN/100mL	693.77	INW0111_01	INW0111_T1001; INW0111_T1222	Sites 1-6 are located on the same AUID, INW0111_01. Results indicate moderate to high impairment for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with no buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID.	NS
				7/25/2006 10:35	547.5	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 9:30	920.8	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 9:00	866.4	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 9:30	816.4	MPN/100mL					
2	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Colvin Ditch	WWU010-0081	7/17/2006 9:35	2419.2	MPN/100mL	399.49	INW0111_01	INW0111_T1001; INW0111_T1222	Results from this site are insufficient for assessment purposes (only four results). However, results from this site support the assessment of impairment based on results from sites 1, 3, 4 and 6, which are located on the same AUID, INW0111_01 (2010 AUID: INW0111_T1001). This AUID is impaired for <i>E. coli</i> likely due to no buffer, agricultural and	NS
				7/25/2006 10:45	1	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 9:45	4352	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 9:40	2419.2	MPN/100mL					
3	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Unnamed Tributary of West Fork White River	WWU010-0080	7/17/2006 10:20	1	MPN/100mL	81.89	INW0111_01	INW0111_T1001; INW0111_T1222	Sites 1-6 are located on the same AUID, INW0111_01. Results indicate moderate to high impairment for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with no buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID.	NS
				7/25/2006 11:10	1553.1	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 10:15	2419.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 9:40	980.4	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 10:10	1	MPN/100mL					
4	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0079	7/17/2006 10:45	2419.2	MPN/100mL	1067.55	INW0111_01	INW0111_T1001; INW0111_T1222	Sites 1-6 are located on the same AUID, INW0111_01. Results indicate moderate to high impairment for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with no buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID.	NS
				7/25/2006 11:20	866.4	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 10:20	1046.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 9:50	920.8	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 10:20	686.7	MPN/100mL					
5	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Unnamed Tributary of West Fork White River	WWU010-0078	7/17/2006 10:55	103.9	MPN/100mL	373.26	INW0111_01	INW0111_T1001; INW0111_T1222	Results from this site are insufficient for assessment purposes (only three results). However, results from this site support the assessment of impairment based on results from sites 1, 3, 4 and 6, which are located on the same AUID, INW0111_01 (2010 AUID: INW0111_T1001). This AUID is impaired for <i>E. coli</i> likely due to no buffer, agricultural and septic influences	NS
				7/25/2006 11:30	816.4	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 10:25	613.1	MPN/100mL					
6	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0076	7/17/2006 11:40	116.9	MPN/100mL	315.66	INW0111_01	INW0111_T1001; INW0111_T1222	Sites 1-6 are located on the same AUID, INW0111_01. Results indicate moderate to high impairment for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with no buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID.	NS
				7/25/2006 11:50	298.7	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 10:50	290.9	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 10:15	1119.9	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 10:45	275.5	MPN/100mL					
7	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Owl Creek	WWU010-0077	7/17/2006 11:15	2419.2	MPN/100mL	1514.12	INW0111_02	INW0111_T1221	Sites 7 and 8 are located on the same AUID, INW0111_02. Results from both sites indicate this AUID is moderately impaired for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with no buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID.	NS
				7/25/2006 11:35	1299.7	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 10:35	1986.3	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 10:00	980.4	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 10:30	1299.7	MPN/100mL					
8	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Owl Creek	WWU010-0075	7/17/2006 11:35	1119.9	MPN/100mL	1291.33	INW0111_02	INW0111_T1221	Sites 7 and 8 are located on the same AUID, INW0111_02. Results from both sites indicate this AUID is moderately impaired for <i>E. coli</i> likely due to septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with no buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID.	NS
				7/25/2006 11:45	920.8	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 10:40	920.8	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 10:05	1299.7	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 10:05	1553.1	MPN/100mL					
9	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0074	7/17/2006 11:50	344.1	MPN/100mL	458.71	INW0112_01	INW0112_01; INW0112_T1002	Sites 9, 10, 11, 14 and 15 are located on INW0112_01. Results from all sites except site 10 indicate moderate to high impairment for <i>E. coli</i> . Site 10 is bounded by sites 9 and 11, both of which indicate impairment, suggesting highly localized influence may be mitigating impairment at site 10. Sites 9, 11, 14 and 15 are considered more representative of conditions in this watershed. Impairment is likely due to agricultural influences.	NS
				7/25/2006 12:00	686.7	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 10:55	517.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 10:25	866.4	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 10:55	191.8	MPN/100mL					
	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR	WWU010-0021	6/5/2001 9:15	1986.28	MPN/100mL	1176.38					
			6/5/2001 9:15	1986.28	MPN/100mL						
			6/12/2001 8:40	1553.07	MPN/100mL						
			6/19/2001 8:45	727	MPN/100mL						
			6/26/2001 9:15	866.4	MPN/100mL						
7/3/2001 8:40	686.7	MPN/100mL									
10	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0073	7/17/2006 12:00	82	MPN/100mL	42.32	INW0112_01	INW0112_01; INW0112_T1002	Sites 9, 10, 11, 14 and 15 are located on INW0112_01. Results from all sites except site 10 indicate moderate to high impairment for <i>E. coli</i> . Site 10 is bounded by sites 9 and 11, both of which indicate impairment, suggesting highly localized influence may be mitigating impairment at site 10. Sites 9, 11, 14 and 15 are considered more representative of conditions in this watershed. Impairment is likely due to agricultural	NS
				7/25/2006 12:10	19.5	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 11:05	109.5	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 10:30	21.6	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 11:00	35.9	MPN/100mL					
11	2006 Corvallis E. coli	West Fork White River	WWU010-0039	4/10/2006 11:30	62	MPN/100mL	229.33	INW0112_01	INW0112_01; INW0112_T1002	Sites 9, 10, 11, 14 and 15 are located on INW0112_01. Results from all sites except site 10 indicate moderate to high impairment for <i>E. coli</i> . Site 10 is bounded by sites 9 and 11, both of which indicate impairment, suggesting highly localized influence may be mitigating impairment at site 10. Sites 9, 11, 14 and 15 are considered more representative of conditions in this watershed. Impairment is likely due to agricultural influences.	NS
				4/10/2006 11:30	65.1	MPN/100mL					
				4/17/2006 11:20	1986.3	MPN/100mL					
				4/24/2006 12:00	156.5	MPN/100mL					
				5/1/2006 11:35	920.8	MPN/100mL					
5/8/2006 11:40	125.9	MPN/100mL									
12	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Unnamed Tributary of West Fork White River	WWU010-0072	7/17/2006 12:15	1986.3	MPN/100mL	971.19	INW0112_T1003	INW0112_00	Site 12 is located on AUID INW0112_T1003. Results indicate this AUID is impaired for <i>E. coli</i> . Impairment is likely due to agricultural influences.	NS
				7/25/2006 12:15	866.4	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 11:10	1413.6	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 10:40	686.7	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 11:10	517.2	MPN/100mL					

	1997 Fixed Station			1/23/1997 13:40	1000	CFU/100mL	33% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL				
				2/17/1997 13:50	150	CFU/100mL					
				3/18/1997 12:50	640	CFU/100mL					
				4/17/1997 12:50	240	CFU/100mL					
				5/19/1997 14:15	4600	CFU/100mL					
				6/23/1997 14:20	390	CFU/100mL					
				7/14/1997 14:00	350	CFU/100mL					
				8/11/1997 13:35	130	CFU/100mL					
				9/16/1997 14:25	200	CFU/100mL					
				10/14/1997 14:00	200	CFU/100mL					
				11/13/1997 14:10	10	CFU/100mL					
				12/22/1997 14:00	790	CFU/100mL					
	1998 Fixed Station			1/27/1998 13:55	270	CFU/100mL					
				2/24/1998 14:20	100	CFU/100mL					
				3/23/1998 14:50	410	CFU/100mL					
				4/23/1998 8:50	740	CFU/100mL					
				5/20/1998 9:10	350	CFU/100mL					
				9/15/1998 9:40	190	CFU/100mL					
				10/20/1998 9:30	240	CFU/100mL					
	1999 Fixed Station			2/3/1999 9:45	450	CFU/100mL					
	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR			6/5/2001 9:45	1046.24	MPN/100mL	667.60				
				6/12/2001 9:10	727	MPN/100mL					
				6/19/2001 9:00	365.4	MPN/100mL					
				6/26/2001 10:05	488.4	MPN/100mL					
				6/26/2001 10:05	461.4	MPN/100mL					
				7/3/2001 9:10	1413.6	MPN/100mL					
	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0066	7/17/2006 13:20	304.4	MPN/100mL	188.85				
				7/25/2006 13:25	112.4	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 12:15	98.8	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 11:25	307.6	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 11:40	231	MPN/100mL					
16	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Salt Creek	WWU010-0063	7/17/2006 10:00	2419.2	MPN/100mL	511.09	INW0112_T1005	INW0113_00	Sites 16, 17, and 18 are located on AUID INW0112_T1005. Sites 16 and 18 indicate slight impairment for E. coli. Site 17 indicates full support on a small tributary which is a part of this assessment unit. This AUID is assessed as impaired based on results from sites 16 and 18, which is more protective of the waters. The E. coli results at these sites are considered more representative of conditions throughout the watershed. Most likely sources of impairment are agricultural and septic influences combined with lack of riparian buffer.	NS
				7/25/2006 11:00	127.4	MPN/100mL					
				7/25/2006 11:00	133.4	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 10:00	1203.3	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 10:00	1299.7	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 9:30	325.5	MPN/100mL					
17	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Unnamed Tributary to Salt Creek	WWU010-0064	8/14/2006 10:00	435.2	MPN/100mL	36.65	INW0112_T1005	INW0113_00	Sites 16, 17, and 18 are located on AUID INW0112_T1005. Sites 16 and 18 indicate slight impairment for E. coli. Site 17 indicates full support on a small tributary which is a part of this assessment unit. This AUID is assessed as impaired based on results from sites 16 and 18, which is more protective of the waters. The E. coli results at these sites are considered more representative of conditions throughout the watershed. Most likely sources of	NS
				7/17/2006 10:10	108.1	MPN/100mL					
				7/25/2006 10:55	13.1	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 9:55	30.9	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 9:20	115.3	MPN/100mL					
18	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Salt Creek	WWU010-0067	8/14/2006 9:50	13.1	MPN/100mL	991.46	INW0112_T1005	INW0113_00	Sites 16, 17, and 18 are located on AUID INW0112_T1005. Sites 16 and 18 indicate slight impairment for E. coli. Site 17 indicates full support on a small tributary which is a part of this assessment unit. This AUID is assessed as impaired based on results from sites 16 and 18, which is more protective of the waters. The E. coli results at these sites are considered more representative of conditions throughout the watershed. Most likely sources of impairment are agricultural and septic influences combined with lack of riparian buffer.	NS
				7/17/2006 13:40	2419.2	MPN/100mL					
				7/25/2006 13:40	461.1	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 12:35	727	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 11:50	435.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 12:05	1732.9	MPN/100mL					
19	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Sugar Creek	WWU010-0062	8/14/2006 12:05	1553.1	MPN/100mL	869.31	INW0112_T1006	INW0113_00	Sites 19 and 20 are located on AUID INW0112_T1006. Results indicate this reach is moderately impaired for E. coli, likely due to septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with little/no buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID.	NS
				7/17/2006 13:50	1732.9	MPN/100mL					
				7/25/2006 13:50	1732.9	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 12:40	980.4	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 11:55	172	MPN/100mL					
20	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Sugar Creek	WWU010-0061	8/14/2006 12:15	980.4	MPN/100mL	748.01	INW0112_T1006	INW0113_00	Sites 19 and 20 are located on AUID INW0112_T1006. Results indicate this reach is moderately impaired for E. coli, likely due to septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with little/no buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID.	NS
				7/17/2006 13:30	980.4	MPN/100mL					
				7/25/2006 13:30	547.5	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 12:20	547.5	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 11:40	613.1	MPN/100mL					
21	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR	West Fork White River	WWU010-0027	8/14/2006 11:55	1299.7	MPN/100mL	1069.84	INW0113_01	INW0114_00; INW0114_T1004	Sites 21 and 22 are located on AUID INW0113_01. Results indicate slight E. coli impairment, likely due to a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (there are several CFOs located within 5 miles of these sites).	NS
				6/5/2001 10:05	1413.6	MPN/100mL					
				6/12/2001 9:30	2419.2	MPN/100mL					
				6/19/2001 9:15	579.4	MPN/100mL					
				6/26/2001 10:25	866.4	MPN/100mL					
22	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0060	7/3/2001 9:25	816.4	MPN/100mL	1069.84	INW0113_01	INW0114_00; INW0114_T1004	Sites 21 and 22 are located on AUID INW0113_01. Results indicate slight E. coli impairment, likely due to a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (there are several CFOs located within 5 miles of these sites).	NS
				7/17/2006 14:10	307.6	MPN/100mL					
				7/25/2006 14:15	43.9	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 13:05	185.2	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 13:05	202.9	MPN/100mL					
23	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Eightmile Creek	WWU010-0059	8/7/2006 12:20	203.5	MPN/100mL	1179.84	INW0113_T1004	INW0114_00	Site 23 is located on AUID INW0113_T1004. Results from this site indicate this reach is highly impaired for E. coli, likely due to a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (there are several CFOs located within 5 miles of these sites).	NS
				8/14/2006 12:30	86.2	MPN/100mL					
				7/17/2006 14:00	1119.9	MPN/100mL					
				7/25/2006 14:00	1203.3	MPN/100mL					
				7/31/2006 12:50	816.4	MPN/100mL					
				8/7/2006 12:10	1046.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/14/2006 12:20	1986.3	MPN/100mL					

24	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0057	7/17/2006 14:30	18.7	MPN/100mL	38.67	INW0115_01	INW0115_T1005; INW0119_T1006	Sites 24, 27, and 28 are located on AUID INW0115_01. Sites 27 and 28 indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli. Site 24 indicates full support, likely the result of good riparian buffer at the sampling site. Sites 27 and 28 are located further downstream where buffers are lacking. Results from these sites are considered more representative of conditions along the reach as a whole. Based on results from sites 27 and 28, this AUID is	NS	
				7/25/2006 14:25	37.3	MPN/100mL						
				7/31/2006 13:20	72.8	MPN/100mL						
				8/7/2006 12:30	68.9	MPN/100mL						
				8/14/2006 12:45	24.7	MPN/100mL						
25	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Sparrow Creek	WWU010-0058	7/18/2006 12:10	686.7	MPN/100mL	302.50	INW0115_T1006	INW0115_00	Sites 25 and 26 are located on AUID INW0115_T1006. Results from site 25 indicate this stream is moderately impaired for E. coli. Results from site 26 are insufficient for assessment but support the assessment of impairment at site 26. Likely sources of impairment include septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS	
				7/26/2006 11:15	285.1	MPN/100mL						
				8/1/2006 12:10	166.4	MPN/100mL						
				8/1/2006 12:10	159.7	MPN/100mL						
				8/8/2006 11:45	387.3	MPN/100mL						
				8/8/2006 11:45	517.2	MPN/100mL						
26	1996 Watershed	Sparrow Creek	WWU010-0007	8/6/1996 15:45	5200	CFU/100mL		INW0115_T1006	INW0115_00	Site 26 is located on AUID INW0115_T1006. Early results from this site are insufficient for assessment (only one result). Assessment of this reach is based on more recent data which verify the impairment suggested by previous sampling.	NA	
27	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR	West Fork White River	WWU010-0031	6/12/2001 9:50	1986.28	MPN/100mL	799.04	INW0115_01	INW0115_T1005; INW0119_T1006	Sites 24, 27, and 28 are located on AUID INW0115_01. Only four results from site 27. However, even with a fifth result of 1, the geometric mean would exceed. Therefore, these results are considered representative for the purposes of assessment. The geometric mean shown for this site was calculated with four results. Sites 27 and 28 indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli. Site 24 indicates full support, likely the result of good riparian buffer at the sampling site. Sites 27 and 28 are located further downstream where buffers are lacking. Results from these sites are considered more representative of conditions along the reach as a whole. Based on results from sites 27 and 28, this AUID is assessed as impaired. Most likely sources of impairment include septic influences and both urban and agricultural runoff combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS	
				6/19/2001 9:30	435.2	MPN/100mL						
				6/26/2001 10:45	686.7	MPN/100mL						
				7/3/2001 9:45	686.7	MPN/100mL						
28	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0048	7/18/2006 11:40	184.2	MPN/100mL	179.34	INW0115_01	INW0115_T1005; INW0119_T1006	Sites 24, 27, and 28 are located on AUID INW0115_01. Sites 27 and 28 indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli. Site 24 indicates full support, likely the result of good riparian buffer at the sampling site. Sites 27 and 28 are located further downstream where buffers are lacking. Results from these sites are considered more representative of conditions along the reach as a whole. Based on results from sites 27 and 28, this AUID is assessed as impaired. Most likely sources of impairment include septic influences and	NS	
				7/26/2006 10:55	145	MPN/100mL						
				7/26/2006 10:55	166.4	MPN/100mL						
				8/1/2006 11:55	233.3	MPN/100mL						
				8/8/2006 11:30	104.3	MPN/100mL						
				8/15/2006 10:10	307.6	MPN/100mL						
29	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Cabin Creek	WWU010-0065	7/18/2006 12:50	920.8	MPN/100mL	310.24	INW0114_01	INW0116_00	Sites 29, 30, and 31 are located on AUID INW0114_01. Early grab sample result from site 31 did not indicate impairment. However, recent results from all of these sites indicate moderate impairment for E. coli. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (there are several CFOs	NS	
				7/26/2006 11:30	344.1	MPN/100mL						
				8/1/2006 12:50	93.3	MPN/100mL						
				8/8/2006 12:10	325.5	MPN/100mL						
				8/15/2006 10:50	298.7	MPN/100mL						
30	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Cabin Creek	WWU010-0056	7/18/2006 12:40	488.4	MPN/100mL	356.69	INW0114_01	INW0116_00	Sites 29, 30, and 31 are located on AUID INW0114_01. Early grab sample result from site 31 did not indicate impairment. However, recent results from all of these sites indicate moderate impairment for E. coli. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (there are several CFOs located within 5 miles of these sites).	NS	
				7/26/2006 11:25	344.8	MPN/100mL						
				7/26/2006 11:25	365.4	MPN/100mL						
				8/1/2006 12:40	410.6	MPN/100mL						
				8/8/2006 12:00	488.4	MPN/100mL						
				8/15/2006 10:40	166.9	MPN/100mL						
31	1996 Synoptic	Cabin Creek	WWU010-0003	2/21/1996 12:40	40	CFU/100mL	952.40	INW0114_01	INW0116_00	Sites 29, 30, and 31 are located on AUID INW0114_01. Early grab sample result from site 31 did not indicate impairment. However, recent results from all of these sites indicate moderate impairment for E. coli. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (there are several CFOs located within 5 miles of these sites).	NS	
	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR			6/5/2001 10:40	435.2	MPN/100mL						
				6/12/2001 10:05	360.9	MPN/100mL						
				6/12/2001 10:05	344.1	MPN/100mL						
				6/19/2001 9:40	275.5	MPN/100mL						
				6/26/2001 10:55	648.8	MPN/100mL						
				7/3/2001 9:50	648.8	MPN/100mL						
	2006 TMDL West Fork White River			7/18/2006 11:30	410.6	MPN/100mL						
				7/26/2006 10:50	387.3	MPN/100mL						
				8/1/2006 11:50	275.5	MPN/100mL						
				8/8/2006 11:20	461.1	MPN/100mL						
				8/15/2006 10:00	118.9	MPN/100mL						
				32	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR	West Fork White River						WWU010-0026
6/12/2001 10:15		980.4	MPN/100mL									
6/19/2001 9:55	365.4	MPN/100mL										
6/19/2001 9:55	307.6	MPN/100mL										
6/26/2001 11:05	613.1	MPN/100mL										
7/3/2001 10:05	613.1	MPN/100mL										
7/3/2001 10:05	517.2	MPN/100mL										
7/18/2006 10:15	547.5	MPN/100mL										
33	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Little White River	WWU010-0055	7/18/2006 10:15	517.2	MPN/100mL	386.58	INW0116_01	INW0118_00	Sites 33 and 35 are located on AUID INW0116_01. Results indicate this AUID is moderately impaired for E. coli. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (there are CFOs located within 5 miles of these sites).	NS	
				7/26/2006 9:55	209.8	MPN/100mL						
				8/1/2006 10:50	135.4	MPN/100mL						
				8/8/2006 10:30	1203.3	MPN/100mL						
				8/15/2006 9:05	344.8	MPN/100mL						
				34	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Poplar Run						WWU010-0054
7/26/2006 10:05	290.9	MPN/100mL										
8/1/2006 11:05	261.3	MPN/100mL										
8/8/2006 10:40	129.6	MPN/100mL										
8/15/2006 9:20	157.6	MPN/100mL										
35	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Little White River	WWU010-0050	7/18/2006 10:50	770.1	MPN/100mL	498.34	INW0116_01	INW0118_00	Sites 33 and 35 are located on AUID INW0116_01. Results indicate this AUID is moderately impaired for E. coli. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and	NS	
				7/26/2006 10:15	488.4	MPN/100mL						
				8/1/2006 11:20	307.6	MPN/100mL						

				8/8/2006 11:00	365.4	MPN/100mL				agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (CFOs located within 5 miles of these sites).	
				8/15/2006 9:30	727	MPN/100mL					
36	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Stoney Creek	WWU010-0053	7/18/2006 10:00	307.6	MPN/100mL	498.34	INW0117_01	INW0117_00; INW0119_00	Sites 36, 39, 40, 42, and 43 are located on AUID INW0117_01. Results from these sites indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli throughout the streams that comprise this AUID. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (abundance of row crop ag and CFOs located	NS
				7/26/2006 9:45	248.1	MPN/100mL					
				8/1/2006 10:45	435.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/8/2006 10:15	1203.3	MPN/100mL					
				8/15/2006 8:50	325.5	MPN/100mL					
37	2006 Corvallis E. coli	Little Stoney Creek	WWU010-0037	4/10/2006 13:20	33.6	MPN/100mL	171.06	INW0117_T1001	INW0117_00	Sites 37 and 38 are located on AUID INW0117_T1001. Results from these sites indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli throughout the streams that comprise this AUID. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (abundance of row crop ag and CFOs located within 5	NS
				4/17/2006 13:30	1986.3	MPN/100mL					
				4/24/2006 13:30	45.9	MPN/100mL					
				5/1/2006 13:20	1046.2	MPN/100mL					
				5/8/2006 13:30	45.7	MPN/100mL					
38	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Little Stoney Creek	WWU010-0052	7/18/2006 9:50	980.4	MPN/100mL	732.69	INW0117_T1001	INW0117_00	Sites 37 and 38 are located on AUID INW0117_T1001. Results from these sites indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli throughout the streams that comprise this AUID. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (abundance of row crop ag and CFOs located within 5	NS
				7/26/2006 9:40	579.4	MPN/100mL					
				8/1/2006 10:35	435.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/8/2006 10:10	1046.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/15/2006 8:45	816.4	MPN/100mL					
39	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Stoney Creek	WWU010-0051	7/18/2006 9:40	1732.9	MPN/100mL	525.91	INW0117_01	INW0117_00; INW0119_00	Sites 36, 39, 40, 42, and 43 are located on AUID INW0117_01. Results from these sites indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli throughout the streams that comprise this AUID. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (abundance of row crop ag and CFOs located	NS
				7/26/2006 9:30	488.4	MPN/100mL					
				8/1/2006 10:15	613.1	MPN/100mL					
				8/8/2006 10:05	325.5	MPN/100mL					
				8/15/2006 8:40	238.2	MPN/100mL					
40	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Stoney Creek	WWU010-0049	7/18/2006 10:55	686.7	MPN/100mL	216.62	INW0117_01	INW0117_00; INW0119_00	Sites 36, 39, 40, 42, and 43 are located on AUID INW0117_01. Results from these sites indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli throughout the streams that comprise this AUID. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (abundance of row crop ag and CFOs located	NS
				7/26/2006 10:20	435.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/1/2006 11:15	25.6	MPN/100mL					
				8/8/2006 10:50	218.7	MPN/100mL					
				8/15/2006 9:35	285.1	MPN/100mL					
41	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0047	7/18/2006 11:15	272.3	MPN/100mL	283.59	INW0119_01	INW0119_T1006; INW011A_T1007	Sites 32, 41, 44, and 45 are located on AUID INW0119_01. Results from these sites indicate moderate impairment for E. coli at the upstream end of this AUID, decreasing in magnitude to slight impairment of downstream reaches. Impairment along the downstream reaches likely sustained by loadings from the tributary system which is also impaired and flows into this reach between sites 41 and 44. This impairment is likely	NS
				7/26/2006 10:35	307.6	MPN/100mL					
				8/1/2006 11:35	325.5	MPN/100mL					
				8/8/2006 11:10	307.6	MPN/100mL					
				8/15/2006 9:50	218.7	MPN/100mL					
41	1996 Synoptic	West Fork White River	WWU010-0004	2/21/1996 11:50	160	CFU/100mL		INW0119_01	INW0119_T1006; INW011A_T1007	Early sampling insufficient for assessment purposes (only one result). Assessment of this reach based on more recent data collected at this site, which indicates impairment.	NA
42	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR	Little White River	WWU010-0025	6/5/2001 11:35	816.4	MPN/100mL	283.59	INW0117_01	INW0117_00; INW0119_00	Sites 36, 39, 40, 42, and 43 are located on AUID INW0117_01. Results from these sites indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli throughout the streams that comprise this AUID. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (abundance of row crop ag and CFOs located	NS
				6/12/2001 10:30	547.5	MPN/100mL					
				6/19/2001 10:15	461.1	MPN/100mL					
				6/26/2001 11:15	770.1	MPN/100mL					
				7/3/2001 10:35	1203.31	MPN/100mL					
43	1996 Synoptic			2/21/1996 12:15	90	CFU/100mL					
43	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Stoney Creek	WWU010-0005	7/18/2006 11:10	435.2	MPN/100mL	693.79	INW0117_01	INW0117_00; INW0119_00	Sites 36, 39, 40, 42, and 43 are located on AUID INW0117_01. Results from these sites indicate slight to moderate impairment for E. coli throughout the streams that comprise this AUID. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that comprise this AUID and agricultural influences, including land application of animal waste (abundance of row crop ag and CFOs located within 5 miles of these sites).	NS
				7/18/2006 11:10	686.7	MPN/100mL					
				7/26/2006 10:30	980.4	MPN/100mL					
				8/1/2006 11:30	727	MPN/100mL					
				8/8/2006 11:05	770.1	MPN/100mL					
				8/15/2006 9:45	727	MPN/100mL					
				8/15/2006 9:45	648.8	MPN/100mL					
44	1996 Watershed	West Fork White River	WWU010-0032	8/5/1996 16:30	90	CFU/100mL		INW0119_01	INW0119_T1006; INW011A_T1007	Early sampling insufficient for assessment purposes (only one result). Assessment of this reach based on more recent data collected at this site, which indicates impairment.	NA
45	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR	West Fork White River	WWU010-0023	6/5/2001 14:15	488.4	MPN/100mL	298.58	INW0119_01	INW0119_T1006; INW011A_T1007	Sites 32, 41, 44, and 45 are located on AUID INW0119_01. Results from these sites indicate moderate impairment for E. coli at the upstream end of this AUID, decreasing in magnitude to slight impairment of downstream reaches. Impairment along the downstream reaches likely sustained by loadings from the tributary system which is also impaired and flows into this reach between sites 41 and 44. This impairment is likely	NS
				6/12/2001 10:40	193.5	MPN/100mL					
				6/19/2001 10:30	261.3	MPN/100mL					
				6/26/2001 11:25	248.1	MPN/100mL					
				7/3/2001 10:55	387.3	MPN/100mL					
46	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0045	7/18/2006 9:15	209.8	MPN/100mL	170.12	INW0119_01	INW0119_T1006; INW011A_T1007	Sites 32, 41, 44, and 45 are located on AUID INW0119_01. Results from these sites indicate moderate impairment for E. coli at the upstream end of this AUID, decreasing in magnitude to slight impairment of downstream reaches. Impairment along the downstream reaches likely sustained by loadings from the tributary system which is also impaired and flows into this reach between sites 41 and 44. This impairment is likely driven by septic influences and a combination of the lack of buffer along the streams that	NS
				7/26/2006 9:00	148.3	MPN/100mL					
				8/1/2006 9:45	122.3	MPN/100mL					
				8/8/2006 9:30	146.7	MPN/100mL					
				8/8/2006 9:30	260.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/15/2006 8:00	166.9	MPN/100mL					
47	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Mud Creek	WWU010-0044	7/18/2006 9:05	201.4	MPN/100mL	125.52	INW0119_T1008	INW011A_00	Site 47 is located on AUID INW0119_T1008. Early results indicate moderate impairment for E. coli. More recent results indicate slight impairment persists, likely due to suburban and agricultural influences combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS
				7/26/2006 8:50	224.7	MPN/100mL					
				8/1/2006 9:35	115.3	MPN/100mL					
				8/8/2006 9:20	111.2	MPN/100mL					
				8/15/2006 7:55	53.7	MPN/100mL					
47	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR	Mud Creek	WWU010-0028	6/5/2001 14:30	579.4	MPN/100mL	655.87	INW0119_T1008	INW011A_00	Site 47 is located on AUID INW0119_T1008. Early results indicate moderate impairment for E. coli. More recent results indicate slight impairment persists, likely due to suburban and agricultural influences combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS
				6/12/2001 10:55	461.1	MPN/100mL					
				6/19/2001 10:45	579.4	MPN/100mL					
				6/26/2001 11:50	866.4	MPN/100mL					
				6/26/2001 11:50	816.4	MPN/100mL					
				7/3/2001 11:05	727	MPN/100mL					
48	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR	Prairie Creek Reservoir Outlet	WWU010-0022	6/6/2001 10:30	86	MPN/100mL	26.20	INW0118_01	NEW	Site 48 is located on AUID INW0118_01, the Prairie Creek Reservoir outlet. Results for this site indicate full support of recreational use.	FS
				6/12/2001 11:10	8.5	MPN/100mL					
				6/19/2001 11:00	24.6	MPN/100mL					

6/26/2001 12:00	34.5	MPN/100mL
7/3/2001 11:20	19.9	MPN/100mL

THIS SITE INDICATES FULL SUPPORT OF RECREATIONAL USE.

49	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Prairie Creek	WWU010-0046	7/18/2006 9:25	142.1	MPN/100mL	51.31	INW01P1173_00	INW011B_00	Site 49 is located on a small connector stream between two sections of Prairie Creek Reservoir (INW01P1173_00). This waterbody is considered part of the reservoir as opposed to a stream for the purposes of assessment. Results indicate full support of recreational use in this area of the reservoir. However, site is located in the uppermost end of the reservoir. More information is needed to determine use support for the	NA							
				7/26/2006 9:20	27.2	MPN/100mL												
				8/1/2006 10:05	344.8	MPN/100mL												
				8/8/2006 9:50	10.8	MPN/100mL												
				8/15/2006 8:25	24.7	MPN/100mL												
50	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Medford Drain	WWU010-0043	7/18/2006 8:50	488.4	MPN/100mL	127.76	INW011A_T1008	INW011C_00	Site 50 is located on AUID INW011A_T1008. This AUID is slightly impaired for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to septic influences and agricultural runoff combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS							
				7/26/2006 9:10	117.8	MPN/100mL												
				8/1/2006 9:55	88.4	MPN/100mL												
				8/8/2006 9:40	90.7	MPN/100mL												
				8/15/2006 8:10	73.8	MPN/100mL												
51	2001 E. coli-Upper WFWR	West Fork White River	WWU010-0024	6/6/2001 9:55	579.4	MPN/100mL	229.43	INW011A_01	INW011C_T1008	Sites 51 and 52 are located on AUID INW011A_01. Historical results at site 52 indicate fluctuating degrees of <i>E. coli</i> impairment from year to year. Most recent results from site 52 and results from site 51 indicate <i>E. coli</i> impairment persists, likely due to suburban and agricultural influences combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS							
				6/12/2001 11:40	272.3	MPN/100mL												
				6/19/2001 11:50	149.7	MPN/100mL												
				6/26/2001 12:15	86	MPN/100mL												
				7/3/2001 11:35	313	MPN/100mL												
1991 Fixed Station				1/8/1991 10:00	450	CFU/100mL	<10% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL											
				3/19/1991 10:30	3500	CFU/100mL												
				4/4/1991 12:00	40	CFU/100mL												
				5/28/1991 13:00	270	CFU/100mL												
				6/19/1991 11:00	90	CFU/100mL												
				7/18/1991 14:30	80	CFU/100mL												
				8/22/1991 9:30	0	CFU/100mL												
				9/19/1991 11:30	370	CFU/100mL												
				10/10/1991 10:00	200	CFU/100mL												
				11/13/1991 12:00	90	CFU/100mL												
				12/9/1991 15:25	90	CFU/100mL												
				1992 Fixed Station								2/6/1992 12:30	20	CFU/100mL	<10% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL			
												3/23/1992 13:00	30	CFU/100mL				
4/29/1992 9:45	50	CFU/100mL																
5/12/1992 16:15	210	CFU/100mL																
6/8/1992 14:00	130	CFU/100mL																
7/13/1992 13:30	12000	CFU/100mL																
8/20/1992 12:30	10	CFU/100mL																
9/16/1992 18:00	60	CFU/100mL																
10/14/1992 10:30	20	CFU/100mL																
11/23/1992 16:00	400	CFU/100mL																
1993 Fixed Station				12/7/1992 11:25	90	CFU/100mL	64% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL; Three results >2400 cfu/100 mL.											
				1/25/1993 9:40	470	CFU/100mL												
				3/3/1993 11:00	900	CFU/100mL												
				3/25/1993 11:00	780	CFU/100mL												
				4/6/1993 13:40	1	CFU/100mL												
				5/6/1993 9:00	40	CFU/100mL												
				6/15/1993 12:35	15000	CFU/100mL												
				8/10/1993 10:00	1200	CFU/100mL												
				9/15/1993 14:35	900	CFU/100mL												
				10/13/1993 9:45	140	CFU/100mL												
				11/9/1993 10:00	6700	CFU/100mL												
				12/16/1993 14:00	2500	CFU/100mL												
1994 Fixed Station				2/24/1994 15:15	6200	CFU/100mL	30% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL; Two results >2400 cfu/100 mL.											
				3/22/1994 15:00	20	CFU/100mL												
				4/14/1994 8:00	1600	CFU/100mL												
				5/11/1994 13:30	30	CFU/100mL												
				6/14/1994 14:30	170	CFU/100mL												
				7/21/1994 19:20	1700	CFU/100mL												
				8/17/1994 11:10	360	CFU/100mL												
				9/21/1994 15:30	530	CFU/100mL												
				10/18/1994 9:30	100	CFU/100mL												
				11/29/1994 8:30	10000	CFU/100mL												
				1995 Fixed Station								1/23/1995 14:35	110	CFU/100mL	18% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL			
2/21/1995 8:00	210	CFU/100mL																
5/15/1995 14:30	1200	CFU/100mL																
6/1/1995 14:30	300	CFU/100mL																
6/29/1995 11:50	420	CFU/100mL																
7/20/1995 14:40	100	CFU/100mL																
8/24/1995 12:10	190	CFU/100mL																
9/21/1995 9:30	110	CFU/100mL																
10/19/1995 15:35	40	CFU/100mL																
11/28/1995 14:25	170	CFU/100mL																
12/14/1995 13:10	730	CFU/100mL																
1996 Fixed Station				1/29/1996 13:10	570	CFU/100mL												
1996 Synoptic				2/21/1996 10:35	90	CFU/100mL												

1996 Fixed Station
1997 Fixed Station
1998 Fixed Station
1999 Fixed Station
2000 Fixed Station
2001 W F White R Muncie to Madison Co Assessment
2001 Fixed Station
2001 W F White R Muncie to Madison Co Assessment
2001 Fixed Station
2001 Fixed Station

West Fork White River

WWU010-0001

2/22/1996 13:30	40	CFU/100mL	31% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL; Two results >2400 cfu/100 mL.	
4/3/1996 13:10	80	CFU/100mL		
5/2/1996 14:00	320	CFU/100mL		
5/30/1996 14:30	4000	CFU/100mL		
6/24/1996 14:30	300	CFU/100mL		
7/22/1996 14:30	5500	CFU/100mL		
8/26/1996 13:45	230	CFU/100mL		
9/23/1996 12:45	200	CFU/100mL		
10/15/1996 12:30	70	CFU/100mL		
11/6/1996 13:20	60	CFU/100mL		
12/2/1996 12:50	1800	CFU/100mL		
1/23/1997 12:50	3100	CFU/100mL		
2/17/1997 12:50	1	CFU/100mL	25% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL	
3/18/1997 12:10	200	CFU/100mL		
4/17/1997 12:00	100	CFU/100mL		
5/19/1997 13:15	700	CFU/100mL		
6/23/1997 13:25	830	CFU/100mL		
7/14/1997 13:15	310	CFU/100mL		
8/11/1997 12:45	110	CFU/100mL		
9/16/1997 13:25	300	CFU/100mL		
10/14/1997 13:10	320	CFU/100mL		
11/13/1997 13:25	30	CFU/100mL		
12/22/1997 13:20	80	CFU/100mL		
1/27/1998 13:10	30	CFU/100mL		
2/24/1998 13:40	50	CFU/100mL		
3/23/1998 13:00	310	CFU/100mL		
4/23/1998 9:35	80	CFU/100mL		
5/20/1998 10:00	80	CFU/100mL		
9/15/1998 10:30	230	CFU/100mL		
10/20/1998 10:20	160	CFU/100mL		
11/11/1998 10:00	350	CFU/100mL		
12/11/1998 10:00	100	CFU/100mL		
2/3/1999 10:20	1200	CFU/100mL		
3/19/1999 9:45	140	CFU/100mL		
5/27/1999 8:15	89	CFU/100mL		
6/23/1999 8:15	188	CFU/100mL		
7/21/1999 8:30	220	CFU/100mL		
8/26/1999 8:30	340	CFU/100mL		
9/21/1999 8:30	520	CFU/100mL		
10/21/1999 8:45	120	CFU/100mL		
11/23/1999 8:55	100	CFU/100mL		
1/20/2000 8:50	365	MPN/100mL	<10% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL	
2/25/2000 8:30	310	MPN/100mL		
3/30/2000 8:45	30	MPN/100mL		
4/20/2000 8:45	150	MPN/100mL		
5/25/2000 8:45	240	MPN/100mL		
6/21/2000 8:50	920	MPN/100mL		
7/20/2000 8:40	160	MPN/100mL		
8/30/2000 9:05	120	MPN/100mL		
9/20/2000 8:50	440	MPN/100mL		
11/21/2000 8:55	190	MPN/100mL		
12/22/2000 8:50	275	MPN/100mL		
4/23/2001 9:25	130	MPN/100mL		153.78
4/26/2001 8:55	37	MPN/100mL		
4/30/2001 9:15	250	MPN/100mL		
5/7/2001 9:20	100	MPN/100mL		
5/14/2001 9:10	110	MPN/100mL		
5/21/2001 8:45	1000	MPN/100mL		
1/23/2001 8:50	91	MPN/100mL	30% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL	
2/23/2001 8:55	73	MPN/100mL		
3/28/2001 9:15	65	MPN/100mL		
6/20/2001 9:00	210	MPN/100mL		
7/19/2001 8:50	1200	MPN/100mL		
8/29/2001 8:50	410	MPN/100mL		
9/21/2001 8:55	1300	MPN/100mL		
10/26/2001 8:55	2400	MPN/100mL		
11/21/2001 9:15	75	MPN/100mL		
12/21/2001 8:55	410	MPN/100mL		

INW011A_01

INW011C_T1008

Sites 51 and 52 are located on AUID INW011A_01. Historical results at site 52 indicate fluctuating degrees of E. coli impairment from year to year. Most recent results from site 52 and results from site 51 indicate E. coli impairment persists, likely due to suburban and agricultural influences combined with a lack of riparian buffer.

NS

2002 Fixed Station
2003 Fixed Station
2004 Fixed Station
2005 Fixed Station
2006 Fixed Station
2007 Fixed Station Monitoring
2008 Fixed Station Monitoring

1/25/2002 8:45	140	MPN/100mL	No grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL	
2/22/2002 9:15	260	MPN/100mL		
3/22/2002 8:30	80	MPN/100mL		
4/19/2002 8:30	310	MPN/100mL		
5/23/2002 8:30	68	MPN/100mL		
6/20/2002 8:30	160	MPN/100mL		
7/25/2002 8:30	80	MPN/100mL		
8/29/2002 8:30	54	MPN/100mL		
9/25/2002 8:45	160	MPN/100mL		
10/17/2002 9:15	280	MPN/100mL		
11/26/2002 9:30	70	MPN/100mL		
3/27/2003 8:45	250	MPN/100mL		
4/23/2003 8:45	46	MPN/100mL		
5/29/2003 8:35	120	MPN/100mL		
6/25/2003 8:20	150	MPN/100mL		
8/27/2003 8:36	160	MPN/100mL		
9/24/2003 12:10	690	MPN/100mL		
10/30/2003 8:30	65	MPN/100mL		
11/25/2003 11:20	1600	MPN/100mL		
12/23/2003 12:30	1700	MPN/100mL		
1/23/2004 11:55	68	MPN/100mL	17% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL	
2/19/2004 10:50	690	MPN/100mL		
3/16/2004 10:40	2419.2	MPN/100mL		
4/29/2004 11:30	35	MPN/100mL		
5/27/2004 11:00	110	MPN/100mL		
6/24/2004 11:00	200	MPN/100mL		
7/28/2004 11:15	120	MPN/100mL		
8/20/2004 10:50	650	MPN/100mL		
9/22/2004 11:35	120	MPN/100mL		
10/21/2004 11:20	82	MPN/100mL		
11/19/2004 11:25	100	MPN/100mL		
12/16/2004 10:55	190	MPN/100mL		
1/26/2005 11:05	650	MPN/100mL	17% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL	
2/18/2005 11:05	35	MPN/100mL		
3/30/2005 10:35	200	MPN/100mL		
4/19/2005 10:45	150	MPN/100mL		
5/26/2005 10:30	96	MPN/100mL		
6/29/2005 10:35	2400	MPN/100mL		
7/27/2005 11:15	30	MPN/100mL		
8/24/2005 12:00	74	MPN/100mL		
9/21/2005 10:45	370	MPN/100mL		
10/19/2005 11:10	120	MPN/100mL		
11/22/2005 10:45	290	MPN/100mL		
12/22/2005 10:35	60	MPN/100mL		
1/20/2006 10:55	170	MPN/100mL	No grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL	
2/15/2006 10:35	170	MPN/100mL		
3/30/2006 10:35	28	MPN/100mL		
4/20/2006 10:30	180	MPN/100mL		
5/24/2006 10:45	93	MPN/100mL		
6/28/2006 10:45	290	MPN/100mL		
7/26/2006 10:25	84	MPN/100mL		
8/23/2006 10:35	140	MPN/100mL		
9/21/2006 10:20	120	MPN/100mL		
10/25/2006 10:55	150	MPN/100mL		
11/29/2006 10:45	65	MPN/100mL		
12/21/2006 10:20	170	MPN/100mL		
1/24/2007 10:25	140	MPN/100mL	No grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL	
3/28/2007 11:05	130	MPN/100mL		
5/22/2007 10:41	130	MPN/100mL		
6/20/2007 10:15	140	MPN/100mL		
7/18/2007 10:30	56	MPN/100mL		
8/23/2007 10:40	170	MPN/100mL		
9/28/2007 11:15	100	MPN/100mL		
10/25/2007 11:15	130	MPN/100mL		
11/20/2007 11:05	62	MPN/100mL		
12/20/2007 10:45	490	MPN/100mL		
2/20/2008 10:45	820	MPN/100mL		20% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL
3/26/2008 10:55	340	MPN/100mL		
4/23/2008 11:05	99	MPN/100mL		
5/22/2008 10:10	91	MPN/100mL		
6/25/2008 10:20	6	MPN/100mL		
7/24/2008 10:50	210	MPN/100mL		
8/19/2008 10:50	290	MPN/100mL		
9/24/2008 11:10	580	MPN/100mL		
10/22/2008 11:15	180	MPN/100mL		
11/20/2008 11:13	200	MPN/100mL		

	2009 Fixed Station Monitoring			2/25/2009 10:50	47	MPN/100mL	<10% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL								
				3/18/2009 11:10	45	MPN/100mL									
				4/24/2009 10:45	68	MPN/100mL									
				5/20/2009 11:10	120	MPN/100mL									
				6/30/2009 10:45	190	MPN/100mL									
				7/14/2009 11:10	110	MPN/100mL									
				8/19/2009 10:00	230	MPN/100mL									
				9/30/2009 10:45	160	MPN/100mL									
				10/14/2009 10:55	110	MPN/100mL									
				11/24/2009 10:50	24	MPN/100mL									
				12/16/2009 11:10	1100	MPN/100mL									
	2010 Fixed Station Monitoring			1/27/2010 11:15	580	MPN/100mL		27% of grab sample results >576 cfu/100 mL							
				2/18/2010 10:50	23	MPN/100mL									
				3/24/2010 11:00	410	MPN/100mL									
				4/21/2010 9:55	64	MPN/100mL									
				5/12/2010 10:20	1300	MPN/100mL									
				6/16/2010 10:15	4300	MPN/100mL									
				7/14/2010 10:20	330	MPN/100mL									
				8/18/2010 9:55	210	MPN/100mL									
				9/22/2010 9:45	550	MPN/100mL									
				10/19/2010 10:30	200	MPN/100mL									
				11/16/2010 10:30	160	MPN/100mL									
53	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	West Fork White River	WWU010-0042	7/18/2006 8:30	161.6	MPN/100mL	175.57	INW011B_01	INW011D_T1009	Sites 53 and 54 are located on AUID INW011B_01. This AUID is slightly impaired for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to suburban and agricultural influences combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS				
				7/26/2006 8:40	185	MPN/100mL									
				8/1/2006 9:25	162.4	MPN/100mL									
				8/8/2006 9:10	152.9	MPN/100mL									
				8/15/2006 7:40	224.7	MPN/100mL									
54	2001 W F White R Muncie to Madison Co Assessment	West Fork White River	WWU010-0019	4/23/2001 9:45	200	MPN/100mL	219.15	INW011B_01	INW011D_T1009	Sites 53 and 54 are located on AUID INW011B_01. This AUID is slightly impaired for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to suburban and agricultural influences combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS				
				4/23/2001 9:45	130	MPN/100mL									
				4/30/2001 9:37	110	MPN/100mL									
				5/7/2001 9:45	290	MPN/100mL									
				5/7/2001 9:45	210	MPN/100mL									
				5/14/2001 9:35	170	MPN/100mL									
55	2001 W F White R Muncie to Madison Co Assessment	Muncie Creek	WWU010-0020	4/23/2001 9:52	2000	MPN/100mL	1357.05	INW011B_T1001	INW011D_00	Sites 55 and 56 are located on AUID INW011B_T1001. Results indicate moderate to high impairment for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to suburban and agricultural influences combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS				
						4/30/2001 9:45						820	MPN/100mL		
				5/7/2001 9:55	2000	MPN/100mL									
				5/14/2001 9:45	2419.2	MPN/100mL									
				5/21/2001 9:30	580	MPN/100mL									
	2006 TMDL West Fork White River			7/18/2006 8:20	1732.9	MPN/100mL	1337.32								
				7/26/2006 8:30	1413.6	MPN/100mL									
				8/1/2006 9:15	1732.9	MPN/100mL									
				8/8/2006 9:00	648.8	MPN/100mL									
				8/15/2006 7:35	1553.1	MPN/100mL									
56	2006 TMDL West Fork White River	Muncie Creek	WWU010-0041	7/18/2006 8:00	1203.3	MPN/100mL	303.62	INW011B_T1001	INW011D_00	Sites 55 and 56 are located on AUID INW011B_T1001. Results indicate moderate to high impairment for <i>E. coli</i> , likely due to suburban and agricultural influences combined with a lack of riparian buffer.	NS				
				7/26/2006 8:15	488.4	MPN/100mL									
				8/1/2006 9:00	231	MPN/100mL									
				8/8/2006 8:45	76.6	MPN/100mL									
				8/15/2006 7:20	248.1	MPN/100mL									