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**INTRODUCTION**

The 2022 annual juvenile detention facility audits conducted by the IDOC, Division of Youth Services included two on-site facility inspections, staff and youth interviews, and a file review of 2021 documents of the state detention standards.

Detention facilities were audited on the three hundred and one (301) enacted Indiana Juvenile Detention Facility Standards.  Since new, proposed standards were in the process of being promulgated, detention facilities had the option of submitting documentation under the enacted citations for the three hundred and one (301) standards or the corresponding two hundred and thirty-seven (237) proposed standards.

St. Joseph Probate Court Juvenile Justice Center chose to provide documentation corresponding to the two hundred and thirty-seven (237) proposed juvenile detention facility standards in 2022, for their 26th annual detention inspection.  Of the two hundred and thirty-seven (237) standards audited, sixteen (16) standards require mandatory compliance and the remaining two hundred and twenty-one (221) are recommended standards.  Compliance with 100% of the mandatory standards and 90% of the recommended standards is required to attain “Full Compliance.” Again, Centers that chose to be audited on the proposed detention standards were only required to meet the expectation of the current detention standards. St. Joseph Probate Court Juvenile Justice Center chose to also provide documentation on the extra standards with exclusively new requirements.  The breakdown of each audit listed below is only reflective of the current standards.

**FACILITY INFORMATION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility county:** | St. Joseph  |
| **Governing authority:** | St. Joseph County  |
| **Name of facility administrator:** | Dr. William Bruinsma, Executive Director  |
| **Detention Director:** | Donald Hardin  |
| **Detention facility’s mission:** | The Detention Department and employees are dedicated in offering juveniles educational opportunities and providing safe shelter through professional services.  |
| **Rated capacity:** | 52 |
| **Population during on-site visits:** | 36 and 33 |
| **Average daily population for the last 12 months:** | 26 |
| **Average length of stay for the last 12 months:** | 30 days  |
| **Year the building was built:** | 1997 |
| **Minor upgrades since last audit (i.e. painting, flooring, bedding, furnishings):** | Painting |
| **Major upgrades since last audit (i.e. plumbing, electrical, security system):** | Plumbing and electrical  |
| **De-escalation techniques training:** | SCM  |
| **Physical force techniques training:** | SCM |
| **Chemical agents permitted:** | Yes  |
| **Name of food service provider:** | Stanz /GFS  |
| **Name of food service supervisor:** | Bela Sazlay  |
| **Name of health care authority individual or agency and license/certification:** | Donna Larson, RNRochelle Schroader, CNAElizabeth Kirk, CNA  |
| **Name of mental health care authority individual or agency and license/certification:** | Stephanie Walker, CSWCharis Schedpers, CSWYaneli Perez, CSW  |
| **Education Services:** | Educational services are provided by the South Bend Community School Corporation by three teachers and two paraprofessionals.  |

**St. Joseph County 2022 Audit**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **April 5, 2022** | **St. Joseph County First Site Visit** |
| **Inspectors:** | Rachel McCaffrey, Danielle Zagone, and Laurie Elliot |
| **Facility Tour:**  | The facility tour was conducted by the compliance coordinator, Derick Anderson. |
| **Youth Interviews:** | Two youth were interviewed.Youth interviews consisted of questions regarding procedure about safety, medical, mental health, programming, education, kitchen, due process, and intake. |
| **Youth Responses:**  | Both youth stated that they felt safe in the facility. They both felt that the staff were fair and that the facility expectations were understandable and reasonable.  |
| **Staff Interviews:** | Two line staff were interviewed.Staff were interviewed over the proper procedures in areas such as safety, security, and intake process. |
| **Staff Responses:** | All staff/personnel were knowledgeable about the areas which we covered.  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **September 28, 2022** | **St. Joseph County Second Site Visit** |
| **Inspectors:** | Rachel McCaffrey and Laurie Elliott |
| **Facility Tour:** | The facility tour was conducted by the compliance coordinator, Derick Anderson. |
| **Youth Interviews:** | Two youth were interviewed.Youth interviews consisted of questions regarding procedure about safety, medical, mental health, programming, education, kitchen, due process, and intake. |
| **Youth Responses:**  | Both youth stated that during intake they were asked medical questions and were told about the facility rules and expectations. Both youth said that they felt safe in the facility and felt that the rules and consequences for breaking those rules were fair. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Auditor(s):****Rachel McCaffrey** | **St. Joseph County Standards Audit** |
| **Administration and Management****Standards** | * Sixty-Two Total Standards, 62 Recommended
* Number of Standards in Compliance: 60
* Number of Standards in Non-Compliance: 2
* Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0
 |
| *Recommended Action for Non-Compliant Administration and Management Standards:* | *8-3-40: Provide physicals for staff**8-3-60: Provide training for contractors and volunteers* |
| **Safety Standards**  | * Thirty-Four Total Standards, 6 Mandatory and 28 Recommended
* Number of Standards in Compliance: 33
* Number of Standards in Non-Compliance: 0
* Number of Standards Not Applicable: 1
 |
| *Recommended Action for Non-Compliant Safety Standards:* | *Not Applicable* |
| **Security Standards** | * Twenty-Three Total Standards, 23 Recommended
* Number of Standards in Compliance: 23
* Number of Standards in Non-Compliance: 0
* Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0
 |
| *Recommended Action for Non-Compliant Security Standards:* | *Not Applicable* |
| **Justice and Order Standards** | * Thirty-Seven Total Standards, 37 Recommended
* Number of Standards in Compliance: 37
* Number of Standards in Non-Compliance: 0
* Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0
 |
| *Recommended Action for Non-Compliant Justice and Order Standards:* | *Not Applicable* |
| **Food Service and Hygiene** | * Nineteen Total Standards, 3 Mandatory and 16 Recommended
* Number of Standards in Compliance: 19
* Number of Standards in Non-Compliance: 0
* Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0
 |
| *Recommended Action for Non-Compliant Food Service and Hygiene Standards:* | *Not Applicable* |
| **Medical Standards** | * Twenty-Eight Total Standards, 7 Mandatory and 21 Recommended
* Number of Standards in Compliance: 28
* Number of Standards in Non-Compliance: 0
* Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0
 |
| *Recommended Action for Non-Compliant Medical Standards:* | *Not Applicable* |
| **Mental Health Standards** | * Six Total Standards, 6 Recommended
* Number of Standards in Compliance: 6
* Number of Standards in Non-Compliance: 0
* Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0
 |
| *Recommended Action for Non-Compliant Mental Health Standards:* | *Not Applicable* |
| **Programs and Services Standards** | * Twenty Total Standards, 20 Recommended
* Number of Standards in Compliance: 19
* Number of Standards in Non-Compliance: 1
* Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0
 |
| *Recommended Action for Non-Compliant Programs and Services Standards:* | *8-10-14: No Religious Leader* |
| **Education Standards** | * Six Total Standards, 6 Recommended
* Number of Standards in Compliance: 6
* Number of Standards in Non-Compliance: 0
* Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0
 |
| *Recommended Action for Non-Compliant Education Standards:* | *Not Applicable* |

Was the facility required to implement a corrective action plan as a result of the audit? **NO**/YES

**CONCLUSION**

St Joseph Probate Court Juvenile Justice Center chose to be audited on the proposed standards, which corresponds with the current (301) detention standards and received a score of 100% compliance with the mandatory standards and 98.62% of the recommended standards.

**The facility received a separate scoresheet indicating their level of compliance with the new proposed detention standards.**

Therefore, St Joseph Probate Court Juvenile Justice Center is in compliance with the 2022 Indiana Detention Center Standards Audit performed by the Indiana Department of Correction, Division of Youth Services.

A certificate of compliance will accompany this report, which becomes public information ten (10) days from the date of mailing

Please contact me at (812) 929-3980 should you have any questions concerning this report.

Respectfully submitted,

**Rachel McCaffrey**

Director of Juvenile Detention Inspections

Indiana Department of Correction/Division of Youth Services

cc: Crissy Simmons, Director of External Relations, IDOC

 Honorable Jason Cichowicz, Probate Court

 Dr. William Bruinsma, Executive Director

 Donald Hardin, Director of Detention Superintendent

 Brian Galloway, Assistant Director of Detention

 pursuant to 210 IAC 8-1-5(f)
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