Transcript of the

ORIGINAL

IEERB Board Hearing

Date: August 20, 2012



IEERB BOARD MEETING

TAKEN ON AUGUST 20, 2012

AT
INDIANA GOVERNMENT CENTER NORTH
IEERB BOARDROOM N1045
100 NORTH SENATE AVENUE
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

COMMENCING AT 9:00 A.M.

STENOGRAPHIC RECORD

BY

JODIE FRANZEN, RPR, CSR

NOTARY PUBLIC

FRANZEN & ROBERTS REPORTING, INC.
2990 MARKET TOWER
10 WEST MARKET STREET
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204
(317) 636-3808
www.frreporting.com

	1	MR. MAPES: Good morning. We
	2	can bring this meeting to order of the
	3	Indiana Education Employment Relations
	4	Board. The first thing we would like to
	5	do is welcome everyone today. My
	6	name is Pat Mapes. I'm the new chairman
	7	of the IEERB board. I would like
	8	to introduce John Krauss. John has been
	9	an IEERB board member for a while. We
	10	do have a vacancy currently. Steve
	11	Hayford has resigned his position, and
	12	the Governor's office will be searching
	13	for a new member and appointing one
	14	hopefully soon. Our new general
ĺ	15	counsel, Sarah Cudahy is here today as
	16	well. And with that, we'll get started
	17	with our meeting today.
	18	MR. KRAUSS: Mr. Chair, in that I
	19	was the remaining board member who
	20	attended the February 16th board meeting,
	21	I move the approval of the minutes of
	22	that meeting.
	23	MR. MAPES: And I would second
	24	those.
	25	All of those who approve, indicate

1	by saying aye.
2	MR. KRAUSS: Aye.
3 .	MR. MAPES: I will abstain from
4	that since I was not in attendance. So
5	it passes one-zero.
6	The real reason we're here today
7	is to discuss the rulemaking process that
8	the IEERB board had started and we're
9	going to have some discussion over the
10	comments that have been received in the
11	public hearing that we had and we're
12	going to let Sarah go through all of the
13	information that we have for the
14	rulemaking process.
15	MS. CUDAHY: Okay. So we're going
16	to go through this. It represents all of
17	the written comments that were submitted
18	during the public comment period time,
19	which ended August 16th as well as the
20	two public hearings June 22nd of 2012 and
21	August 16th of 2012. I'm going to go
22	through each of the comments one by one
23	as well as the Board's response to those
24	comments.
25	The first comment was IEERB's

1	compliance review of the LBOs should
2	either be confined to whether the parties
3	have submitted all required
4	documentation, or eliminated entirely.
5	Although factfinding timelines are short,
6	24 hours is a short amount of time to
7	make substantive changes, and the LBO
8	will be reviewed for substance by the
9	factfinder. If IEERB does review LBOs
10	for compliance, IEERB should include a
11	procedure whereby it retains the ability
12	to reject LBOs not substantially in
13	compliance with IEERB's requirements. If
14	the LBO is substantially compliant, IEERB
1.5	should notify the submitting party, who
16	will have 24 hours to resubmit or provide
17	the requested documents.
18	The Board agrees with the comment
19	raised. As such, the Board will delete
20	proposed section 560 IAC 2-4-3.1(e) as
21	written and revise it and also amend
22	proposed section 560 IAC 2-4-3.1(d) to
23	provide for non-substantive compliance
24	review of LBOs by IEERB prior to the
25	appointment of a factfinder.

1	And I should say these comments
2	are summaries of the public comments.
3	These summaries are available online as
4	well as the full transcript of the public
5	hearings.
6	Comment 2: IEERB does not need to
7	notify the parties when the LBOs have
8	been reviewed and accepted, especially if
9	IEERB will be notifying the parties if
10	their LBO is not compliant.
11	The Board agrees with the comment
12	raised. As such, the Board will delete
13	proposed section 560 IAC 2-4-3.1(d)(2)
14	providing that IEERB will notify the
15	parties when the LBOs have been reviewed
16	and accepted.
17	Comment 3: Will IEERB be
18	developing an LBO form pursuant to 560
19	IAC 2-4-3.1?
20	MR. KRAUSS: Can I make an
21	interjection?
22	MS. CUDAHY: Yes.
23	MR. KRAUSS: The language in
24	Comment 2 I think is an error. The Board
25	doesn't agree. The Board hasn't

1	considered this comment.
2	MS. CUDAHY: Agreed. Sorry.
3	MR. KRAUSS: So we need to strike
4	that word.
5	MS. CUDAHY: So Comment 3 and
6	that should be for Comment 1 and 2 strike
7	that.
8	MR. KRAUSS: I might suggest "the
9	Board might consider" to replace that
10	rather than "agree".
11	MS. CUDAHY: Right.
12	Comment 3 sorry. What you're
13	not seeing is I'm doing "suggested" on
14	here, and I didn't say that.
15	So Comment 3: Will IEERB be
16	developing an LBO form pursuant to 560
17	IAC 2-4-3.1?
18	And the suggestion what the
19	Board can consider is the need for
20	parties to know the requirements of an
21	LBO and to consider amending 560
22	IAC 2-4-3.1 to include information on LBO
23	requirements.
24	Comment 4: Do the parties need to
25	include all bargained items in an LBO or

1	only what is in dispute?
1	only what is in dispute?
2	Again, the Board can agree to
3	recognize the need for parties to know
4	the requirements of an LBO and to amend
5	560 IAC 2-4-3.1 to include information on
6	LBO requirements.
7	Comment 5: Under 560 IAC 2-4-3.1,
8	what happens if a party resubmits a
9	non-compliant LBO?
10	And the answer is the rules
11	provide that if a party resubmits a
12	non-compliant LBO, the LBO may be
13	rejected. The revised rule also allows
14	IEERB to reject a substantially
15	non-compliant LBO outright if they adopt
16	the public comments No. 1 and 2.
17	Comment 6: Proposed rule 560
18	IAC 2-4-4(b) provides that parties that
19	settle during factfinding should submit
20	identical LBOs. Will those LBOs be
21	reviewed by the factfinder? And if so,
22	could they be rejected by the factfinder?
23	
24	IC 20-29-6-15.1 provides that
25	factfinding must culminate in the

1	factfinder imposing contract terms on the
2	parties. The factfinder must select one
3	party's last best offer as the contract
4	terms. The factfinder's order must be
5	restricted to only those items permitted
6	under IC 20-29-6-4 and cannot put the
7	employer in a position of deficit
8	financing. As such, the Board can decide
9	whether or not to revise the rule or to
10	leave the rule as it is in place under
11	the statute.
12	Comment 7
13	MR. KRAUSS: Wait, wait, wait.
14	(Pause.) Okay. Go ahead.
15	MS. CUDAHY: Comment 7: Employee
16	organizations and school employers should
17	have equal access via email to teachers
18	regarding representation elections.
19	So the Board can decide whether or
20	not they want to provide for equal access
21	via email, recognizing IEERB's
22	requirements under the Access to Public
23	Records Act.
24	Comment 8: The rules should not
25	delete the parties' ability to request a

1	copy of vote tallies after mail-in
2	representation election under 560
3	IAC 2-2-9(g).
4	Under the Access to Public Records
5	Act a copy of vote tallies is something
6	that would be available to either the
7	parties or the public. The Board could
8	still decide to undelete this particular
9	position in the final adoption of the
10	rules.
11	Comment 9: Does the 60 days for
12	declaring impasse under 560 IAC 2-4-1 run
13	from the date the parties begin
14	bargaining or from August 1st?
15	The Board can decide to clarify
16	when impasse will be declared under this
17	proposed rule.
18	And finally, Comment 10: The
19	rules should include timelines for
20	schools to provide requested information
21	to the exclusive representatives.
22	Exclusive representatives may
23	obtain information relevant to impasse
24	procedures through a request to the
25	school corporation pursuant to the

1	Indiana Access to Public Records Act. So
2	the Board can decide and take into
3	consideration whether or not they want to
4	put into place a timeline under the rules
5	at this time.
6	MR. KRAUSS: I have just a
7	clarification. Under Comment 3, I
8	realize that the requirements can be
9	substantive and can be almost
10	bureaucratic in terms of how things are
11	labeled or described. Would it be
12	worthwhile to and I ask this
13	open-ended question that we enable the
14	staff to periodically post on the website
15	the requirements and the format of how
16	these things should be submitted,
17	therefore it will be a little easier to
18	change if the name of a form that DOE
19	or something else happens we don't
20	have to go back and change the rule. And
21	I don't mean to put something on the web
22	as a requirement that is substantive but
23	it is procedural in form-wise.
24	MR. MAPES: I would think so, only
25	from the standpoint of if legislation

1	also changes and something else gets put
2	in that a last best offer or some other
3	form has to be changed, it would be great
4	for the staff
5	MR. KRAUSS: A form for the
6	procedure.
7	MR. MAPES: Yeah. It would be
8	great for the staff to have the
9	flexibility instead of going through the
10	rulemaking process.
11	MR. KRAUSS: So I would suggest
12	that while this form under 560
13	IAC 2-4-3.1 we would be develop in
14	the minutes we may just say "it is our
15	desire", "our goal", or "our request" to
16	develop to staff to develop that form
17	and ask for comments about the form and
18	then publish it on the web.
19	MS. CUDAHY: Okay.
20	MR. KRAUSS: But we've got a fine
21	line between substance and procedure.
22	But why should we have to wait?
23	I have another question in also
24	dealing with the web. I realized under
25	Comment 7, when I was reading the

1	transcript over and I read the comments
2	and everything else, Comment 7 tends to
3	come from a confusion. Somebody
4	obviously doesn't know that they can have
5	access to tallies and other things, so I
6	think we need to have something of a
7	"what your rights are" or some link on
8	the web to the Access to Public Records
9	Act.
10	MS. CUDAHY: And I think you're
11	referring to Comment 8.
12	MR. KRAUSS: Sorry. Comment 8.
13	But Comment 7 also references the public
14	records act. I know 8 does, too. It
15	seems to be obviously somebody doesn't
16	know, therefore we have a duty to educate
17	them and put it up on the web.
18	On Comment 9, what's our procedure
19	for telling parties when impasse has been
20	declared? When do we do that? I mean a
21	person is asking to clarify this. So
22	when do we do it?
23	MR. MAPES: So after August 1
24	starts the count of 60 days.
25	MR. KRAUSS: So how do we give

	1	notice?
	2	MR. MAPES: We'll do it two ways.
	3	We'll do it electronically via email to
	4	both parties and also write and send
	5	letters to both parties. Because if we
	6	don't receive a contract by that 60-day
	7	count, the statute pretty much
	8	automatically puts them into impasse, so
	9	that's where they're at.
	10	MR. KRAUSS: Okay, That's the
	11	notice. Do we and I don't say this
	12	with a conclusion in mind. Are we
	13	keeping a tally on the web, or anywhere
	14	else public, of the number of
	15	corporations that are at impasse or have
	16	completed the contract?
	17	MR. MAPES: Yes, we will.
	18	Obviously we haven't got that started
	19	yet.
	20	MR. KRAUSS: You haven't started
	21	yet. We're not just saying you look down
	22	there and you say I mean there is a
l	23	psychological thing, too, here, as to
	24	95 percent of the schools have signed,
	25	and these are them. Or 95 percent of the
I		

1	schools haven't signed.
2	MS. CUDAHY: And what is available
3	online under the "research" section of
4	IEERB's website are all of the contracts
5	that we have in, so as they roll in, we
6	will have them as well as a tally.
7	MR. MAPES: And as an agency,
8	that's one of our metrics that we'll be
9	recording as far as success and the
10	procedure, whether it be impasse or
11	MR. KRAUSS: In 10 we have another
1.2	comment another reference to public
13	access records again, so
14	MR. MAPES: Yep.
15	MR. KRAUSS: In my reading of the
16	transcripts and the comments, I think it
17	was a healthy exercise. I appreciate the
18	comments that were made. And as I said
19	in the previous Board meeting, this is a
20	new law and new rules and everything is
21	brand new and if anyone can help us
22	navigate the implementation of this and
23	do it well and do it clear, I'm all for
24	it. I thank you.
25	Mr. Chairman, I move that we adopt

1	the suggestions. I leave it to the staff
2	to make sure that we get everything
3	precisely written as reflected in the
4	suggestions they make, plus the comments
5	that I made.
6	MR. MAPES: Okay. We have had a
7	motion to approve the revision by
8	Mr. Krauss. I would second that.
9	MR. KRAUSS: Any discussion?
10	MR. MAPES: Any discussion?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. MAPES: Seeing there is none,
13	we'll take a vote.
14	All of those who approve, say aye.
15	MR. KRAUSS: Aye.
16	MR. MAPES: Aye.
17	Opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. MAPES: And that takes care of
20	our changes to the rules.
21	So the last thing that we would
22	like to do, just so we have the rule as a
23	whole approved, I think that's important
24	for us for the process of what LSA
25	expects us to do, we would now need a

1	motion to approve a final rule under
2	IC 20-29.
3	MR. KRAUSS: I move that we
4	approve it subject to the technical
5	changes that we have just made the motion
6	and that be incorporated and so that we
7	approve the body of that action.
8	MR. MAPES: And I would second
9	that.
10	Any discussion?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. MAPES: All of those in favor
13	signify by saying aye.
14	MR. KRAUSS: Aye.
15	MR. MAPES: Aye.
16	Opposed?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. MAPES: And we have a rule to
19	move forward due process now.
20	Any other new business?
21	MS. CUDAHY: Do you want to go
22	through the specific revisions?
23	MR. KRAUSS: Let me go back to
24	the we have suggestions based on the
25	public comment and the transcripts.

1	There are technical revisions that
2	have that we welcome that should be
3	made. I have a memorandum that IEERB
4	counsel has given me. It is reflecting
5	19 technical revisions. I move that they
6	be incorporated in the motion that I had
7	made. I ask that we revise my motion to
8	incorporate those.
9	MR. MAPES: That's what I took as
10	the "technical" being.
11	MR. KRAUSS: That technical thing.
12	MS. CUDAHY: I will provide you a
13	copy of this.
14	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
15	MR. KRAUSS: Is it clear what I
16	have just said we have already done?
17	MR. MAPES: I took as being such
18	when we said we were doing the technical
19	revisions as well, so that's why I didn't
20	move any other area for that.
21	Any new business? We have none.
22	John, do you have any new
23	business?
24	MR. KRAUSS: No.
25	MR. MAPES: Okay. Any public

1	comments at this time?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. MAPES: Seeing that there is
4	none, we have no future meeting dates at
5	this point in time as things
6	MS. CUDAHY: Sorry. Just for open
7	discussion, the review of Indiana
8	Economic Development Corporation's
9	comments to IEERB's proposed rules. We
10	have sent the rules to IEDC. They came
11	back and did not have any suggested
12	changes and approved the rule as written.
13	MR. MAPES: Okay. If there is
14	nothing else, I will take a motion to
15	adjourn.
16	MR. KRAUSS: So moved.
17	MR. MAPES: Second.
18	Motion to adjourn, vote by saying
19	aye.
20	MR. KRAUSS: Aye.
21	MR. MAPES: Aye.
22	Thank you very much for attending
23	today.
24	
25	

```
1
       STATE OF INDIANA
                            )SS:
 2
       COUNTY OF HAMILTON
 3
                I, Jodie Franzen, RPR, CSR, and Notary
 4
       Public in and for said county and state, do
 5
 6
       hereby certify that said public hearing was
       taken before me at the time and place herein set
 8
       forth and was taken down by me in shorthand and
 9
       thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
10
       direction and supervision.
11
1.2
                I further certify that I am neither
13
       counsel for nor related to any party to said
14
       action, nor in any way interested in the outcome
15
       thereof.
16
17
               IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my
18
       name and affixed my seal this 21st day of
19
       August, 2012.
20
21
       My Commission Expires:
       June 12, 2016
22
23
                            JODIE FRANZEN, RPR
24
                             CSR #01-R-3011
25
```

	-			
A	13:8	clarification	corporations	dispute 7:1
	available 5:3 9:6	10:7	13:15	documentation
ability 4:11 8:25	14:2	clarify 9:15	Corporation's	4:4
abstain 3:3	AVENUE 1:9	12:21	18:8	documents 4:17
accepted 5:8,16	aye 3:1,2 15:14	clear 14:23	counsel 2:15	DOE 10:18
access 8:17,20	15:15,16 16:13	17:15	17:4 19:13	doing 6:13 17:18
8:22 9:4 10:1	16:14,15 18:19	come 12:3	count 12:24 13:7	due 16:19
12:5,8 14:13	1	COMMENCI	county 19:2,5	duty 12:16
act 8:23 9:5 10:1	18:20,21 A.M 1:13	1:12	CSR 1:17 19:4	duty 12.10
12:9,14	A.WI 1:13	comment 3:18	19:24	E
action 16:7	B			easier 10:17
19:14	back 10:20	3:25 4:18 5:6	Cudahy 2:15	Economic 18:8
adjourn 18:15	16:23 18:11	5:11,17,24 6:1	3:15 5:22 6:2,5	educate 12:16
18:18	ļ	6:5,6,12,15,24	6:11 8:15	Education 2:3
adopt 7:15 14:25	bargained 6:25	7:7,17 8:12,15	11:19 12:10	
adoption 9:9	bargaining 9:14	8:24 9:11,18	14:2 16:21	either 4:2 9:6
affixed 19:18	based 16:24	10:7 11:25	17:12 18:6	election 9:2
agency 14:7	best 8:3 11:2	12:2,11,12,13	culminate 7:25	elections 8:18
agree 5:25 6:10	board 1:1 2:4,7	12:18 14:12	currently 2:10	electronically
7:2	2:9,19,20 3:8	16:25		13:3
Agreed 6:2	4:18,19 5:11	comments 3:10	<u>D</u>	eliminated 4:4
agrees 4:18 5:11	5:12,24,25 6:9	3:17,22,24 5:1	date 9:13	email 8:17,21
ahead 8:14	6:19 7:2 8:8,19	5:2 7:16 11:17	dates 18:4	13:3
allows 7:13	9:7,15 10:2	12:1 14:16,18	day 19:18	Employee 8:15
amend 4:21 7:4	14:19	15:4 18:1,9	days 9:11 12:24	employer 8:7
amending 6:21	BOARDROOM	Commission	dealing 11:24	employers 8:16
amount 4:6	1:8	19:21	decide 8:8,19 9:8	Employment 2:3
answer 7:10	Board's 3:23	completed 13:16	9:15 10:2	enable 10:13
1	body 16:7	compliance 4:1	declared 9:16	ended 3:19
appointing 2:13	brand 14:21	4:10,13,23	12:20	entirely 4:4
appointment	bring 2:2	compliant 4:14	declaring 9:12	equal 8:17,20
4:25	bureaucratic	5:10	deficit 8:7	error 5:24
appreciate 14:17	10:10	conclusion	delete 4:19 5:12	especially 5:8
approval 2:21	business 16:20	13:12	8:25	exclusive 9:21
approve 2:25	17:21,23	confined 4:2	described 10:11	9:22
15:7,14 16:1,4		confusion 12:3	desire 11:15	exercise 14:17
16:7	<u>C</u>	consider 6:9,19	develop 11:13	expects 15:25
approved 15:23	care 15:19	6;21	11:16,16	Expires 19:21
18:12	CENTER 1:8	consideration	developing 5:18	
area 17:20	certify 19:6,12	10:3	6:16	F
asking 12:21	Chair 2:18	considered 6:1	Development	factfinder 4:9,25
attendance 3:4	chairman 2:6		18:8	7:21,22 8:1,2
attended 2:20	14:25	contract 8:1,3	direction 19:10	factfinder's 8:4
attending 18:22	change 10:18,20	13:6,16	discuss 3:7	factfinding 4:5
August 1:4 3:19	changed 11:3	contracts 14:4	discussion 3:9	7:19,25
3:21 9:14	changes 4:7 11:1	copy 9:1,5 17:13	15:9,10 16:10	far 14:9
12:23 19:19	15:20 16:5	corporation	18:7	favor 16:12
automatically	18:12	9:25	10.7	February 2:20
	10.12			- 301 1111

final 9:9 16:1	hopefully 2:14	Jodie 1:17 19:4	16:8,12,15,18	notify 4:15 5:7
finally 9:18	hours 4:6,16	19:23	17:9,17,25	5:14
financing 8:8	110413 4,0,10	John 2:8,8 17:22	18:3,13,17,21	notifying 5:9
fine 11:20	Ī	June 3:20 19:21	MARKET 1:22	number 13:14
first 2:4 3:25	IAC 4:20,22	June 5.20 17.21	1:23	N1045 1:8
flexibility 11:9	5:13,19 6:17	K	mean 10:21	1110451.0
form 5:18 6:16	6:22 7:5,7,18	keeping 13:13	12:20 13:22	0
10:18 11:3,5	9:3,12 11:13	know 6:20 7:3	meeting 1:1 2:2	obtain 9:23
11:12,16,17	IC 7:24 8:6 16:2	12:4,14,16	2:17,20,22	obviously 12:4
format 10:15	identical 7:20	Krauss 2:8,18	14:19 18:4	12:15 13:18
form-wise 10:23	IEDC 18:10	3:2 5:20,23 6:3	member 2:9,13	offer 8:3 11:2
forth 19:8	IEERB 1:1,8 2:7	6:8 8:13 10:6	2:19	office 2:12
forward 16:19	2:9 3:8 4:9,10	11:5,11,20	memorandum	Okay 3:15 8:14
	4:14,24 5:6,9	12:12,25 13:10	17:3	11:19 13:10
Franzen 1:17,22	5:14,17 6:15	13:20 14:11,15	metrics 14:8	15:6 17:25
19:4,23 full 5:4	7:14 17:3	15:8,9,15 16:3	mind 13:12	18:13
	IEERB's 3:25	16:14,23 17:11		one-zero 3:5
further 19:12	4:13 8:21 14:4	17:15,24 18:16	minutes 2:21	online 5:3 14:3
future 18:4	18:9	18:20	11:14	open 18:6
G	impasse 9:12,16	10,20	morning 2:1 motion 15:7	open-ended
general 2:14	9:23 12:19	$oxed{ f L}$	1	10:13
give 12:25	13:8,15 14:10	labeled 10:11	16:1,5 17:6,7	Opposed 15:17
given 17:4	implementation	language 5:23	18:14,18	16:16
go 3:12,16,21	14:22	law 14:20	move 2:21 14:25	order 2:2 8:4
8:14 10:20	important 15:23	LBO 4:7,14 5:10	16:3,19 17:5	organizations
16:21,23	important 13,25	5:18 6:16,21	17:20	8:16
goal 11:15	include 4:10	6:22,25 7:4,6,9	moved 18:16	outcome 19:14
going 3:9,12,15	6:22,25 7:5	7:12,12,15	N	outright 7:15
3:21 11:9	9:19	LBOs 4:1,9,12	name 2:6 10:18	outignt 7.15
Good 2:1	incorporate 17:8	4:24 5:7,15	19:18	P
GOVERNME	incorporated	7:20,20	navigate 14:22	particular 9:8
1:8	16:6 17:6	leave 8:10 15:1	need 5:6 6:3,19	parties 4:2 5:7,9
Governor's 2:12	Indiana 1:8,9,23	legislation 10:25	6:24 7:3 12:6	5:15 6:20,24
great 11:3,8	2:3 10:1 18:7	letters 13:5	15:25	7:3,18 8:2,25
great 11.5,0	19:1	line 11:21	neither 19:12	9:7,13 12:19
H	INDIANAPO	link 12:7	new 2:6,13,14	13:4,5
HAMILTON	1:9.23	little 10:17	14:20,20,21	party 4:15 7:8
19:2	indicate 2:25	look 13:21	16:20 17:21,22	7:11 19:13
happens 7:8	information	LSA 15:24	non-compliant	party's 8:3
10:19	3:13 6:22 7:5		7:9,12,15	passes 3:5
Hayford 2:11	9:20,23	M	non-substantive	Pat 2:6
healthy 14:17	interested 19:14	mail-in 9:1	4:23	Pause 8:14
hearing 3:11	interested 15:14	Mapes 2:1,6,23	NORTH 1:8,9	percent 13:24,25
19:6	introduce 2:8	3:3 10:24 11:7	Notary 1:17	period 3:18
hearings 3:20	items 6:25 8:5	12:23 13:2,17	19:4	periodically
5;5		14:7,14 15:6	notice 13:1,11	10:14
help 14:21	J	15:10,12,16,19		permitted 8:5
				-

nowson 12,21	grantian 10:12	9:20	Savah 2:15 2:12	grapicot 16.4
person 12:21 place 8:10 10:4	question 10:13 11:23	required 4:3	Sarah 2:15 3:12 saying 3:1 13:21	subject 16:4 submit 7:19
19:7	11;23	· -	16:13 18:18	submitted 3:17
plus 15:4	R	requirement	school 8:16 9:25	4:3 10:16
point 18:5	raised 4:19 5:12	requirements	schools 9:20	
position 2:11 8:7	read 12:1	4:13 6:20,23	13:24 14:1	submitting 4:15 subscribed
9:9	reading 11:25	7:4,6 8:22 10:8	seal 19:18	19:17
post 10:14	14:15	10:15	searching 2:12	substance 4:8
precisely 15:3	real 3:6	research 14:3	second 2:23 15:8	11:21
precisely 13:3	realize 10:8	resigned 2:11	16:8 18:17	substantially
pretty 13.7 previous 14:19	realized 11:24	response 3:23	section 4:20,22	4:12,14 7:14
previous 14.19 prior 4:24	reason 3:6	15:11,18 16:11	5:13 14:3	substantive 4:7
prior 4.24 procedural	receive 13:6	16:17 18:2	seeing 6:13	10:9,22
10:23	received 3:10	restricted 8:5	15:12 18:3	success 14:9
procedure 4:11	recognize 7:3	resubmit 4:16	select 8:2	suggest 6:8
11:6,21 12:18	recognizing 8:21	resubmits 7:8,11	SENATE 1:9	suggest 0.6 11:11
14:10	RECORD 1:16	retains 4:11	send 13:4	suggested 6:13
procedures 9:24	recording 14:9	review 4:1,9,24	sent 18:10	18:11
process 3:7,14	records 8:23 9:4	18:7	set 19:7	suggestion 6:18
11:10 15:24	10:1 12:8,14	reviewed 4:8 5:8	settle 7:19	suggestion 0.18
16:19	14:13	5:15 7:21	short 4:5,6	15:4 16:24
proposed 4:20	reference 14:12	revise 4:21 8:9	shorthand 19:8	summaries 5:2,3
4:22 5:13 7:17	references 12:13	17:7	signed 13:24	summaries 3.2,3
9:17 18:9	referring 12:11	revised 7:13	14:1	19:10
provide 4:16,23	reflected 15:3	revision 15:7	signify 16:13	sure 15:2
7:11 8:20 9:20	reflecting 17:4	revisions 16:22	signify 10.13 somebody 12:3	Suic 15.2
17:12	regarding 8:18	17:1,5,19	12:15	T
provides 7:18,24	reject 4:12 7:14	Right 6:11	soon 2:14	take 10:2 15:13
providing 5:14	rejected 7:13,22	rights 12:7	sorry 6:2,12	18:14
psychological	related 19:13	ROBERTS 1:22	12:12 18:6	taken 1:4 19:7,8
13:23	Relations 2:3	roll 14:5	specific 16:22	takes 15:19
public 1:17 3:11	relevant 9:23	RPR 1:17 19:4	SS 19:1	tallies 9:1,5 12:5
3:18,20 5:2,4	remaining 2:19	19:23	staff 10:14 11:4	tally 13:13 14:6
7:16 8:22 9:4,7	replace 6:9	rule 7:13,17 8:9	11:8,16 15:1	teachers 8:17
10:1 12:8,13	RÉPORTER	8:10 9:17	standpoint	technical 16:4
13:14 14:12	17:14	10:20 15:22	10:25	17:1,5,10,11
16:25 17:25	REPORTING	16:1,18 18:12	started 2:16 3:8	17:18
19:5,6	1:22	rulemaking 3:7	13:18,20	telling 12:19
publish 11:18	representation	3:14 11:10	starts 12:24	tends 12:2
pursuant 5:18	8:18 9:2	rules 7:10 8:24	state 19:1,5	terms 8:1,4
6:16 9:25	representatives	9:10,19 10:4	statute 8:11 13:7	10:10
put 8:6 10:4,21	9:21,22	14:20 15:20	STENOGRAP	thank 14:24
11:1 12:17	represents 3:16	18:9,10	1:16	17:14 18:22
puts 13:8	request 8:25	run 9:12	Steve 2:10	thereof 19:15
	9:24 11:15		STREET 1:23	thing 2:4 13:23
Q	requested 4:17	S	strike 6:3,6	15:21 17:11
			· - , -	
		ı	l	l

				Page
things 10:10,16	we're 3:6,8,11	2-4-4(b) 7:18		
12:5 18:5	3:15 13:21	20 1:4		
think 5:24 10:24	we've 11:20	20-29 16:2		
12:6,10 14:16	WHEREOF	20-29-6-15.1		
15:23	19:17	7:24		
time 3:18 4:6	WITNESS	20-29-6-48:6		
10:5 18:1,5	19:17	2012 1:4 3:20,21		
19:7	word 6:4	19:19		
timeline 10:4	worthwhile	2016 19:21		
timelines 4:5	10:12	21st 19:18		
9:19	write 13:4	1		
today 2:5,15,17		22nd 3:20		
3:6 18:23	written 3:17	24 4:6,16		
TOWER 1:22	4:21 15:3	2990 1:22		
	18:12	3		
transcribed 19:9	www.frreporti	3 5:17 6:5,12,15		
transcript 5:4	1:24	10:7		
12:1	Y	317 1:24		
transcripts	Yeah 11:7	31/1.24		
14:16 16:25	Yep 14:14	4		
two 3:20 13:2	1 cp 14,14	46:24	·	
typewriting 19:9	#	46204 1:23		
U	#01-R-3011	102041,23		
undelete 9:8	19:24	5		
underete 9.8		57:7		
V	1	560 4:20,22 5:13		
vacancy 2:10	1 6:6 7:16 12:23	5:18 6:16,21		
vote 9:1,5 15:13	1st 9:14	7:5,7,17 9:2,12		
18:18	10 1:23 9:18	11:12		
	14:11			
W	100 1:9	6		
wait 8:13,13,13	12 19:21	6 7:17		
11:22	16th 2:20 3:19	60 9:11 12:24		
want 8:20 10:3	3:21	60-day 13:6		
16:21	19 17:5	636-3808 1:24		
way 19:14				
ways 13:2	2	7		
web 10:21 11:18	2 5:6,24 6:6 7:16	7 8:12,15 11:25		
11:24 12:8,17	2-2-9(g) 9:3	12:2,13		
13:13	2-4-1 9:12	0		
website 10:14	2-4-3.1 5:19 6:17	8		
14:4	6:22 7:5,7	8 8:24 12:11,12		
welcome 2:5	11:13	12:14		
17:2	2-4-3.1(d) 4:22	9		
WEST 1:23	2-4-3.1(d)(2)			
we'll 2:16 13:2,3	5:13	99:11 12:18		
14:8 15:13	2-4-3.1(e) 4:20	9:00 1:13 05 12:24 25		
		95 13:24,25		
	·····			

To: IEERB Board Members

Cc: Andrew Kossack, Deputy Chief of Staff, DOE From: Sarah Cudahy, General Counsel, IEERB

Date: August 20, 2012

Re: Guide to Final Adoption of LSA #12-112

I. Reminders

-IC 20-29-3-11 provides that IEERB has the power to adopt, amend, or rescind rules the board considers necessary and administratively feasible to carry out IC 20-29.

-Prior to adopting a rule, the Board "shall fully consider comments received at the public hearing ... and may consider any other information" Review of a written record or summary of the public hearing constitutes full consideration.

-An agency may not adopt a rule that *substantially differs* from the version of the proposed rule published in the Indiana register unless it is a logical outgrowth of any proposed rule as supported by any written public comments.

II. Public Comments & Recommended Revisions

a. Public Comments & Suggested Board Comment

Comment 1: IEERB's compliance review of LBOs should either be confined to whether the parties have submitted all required documentation, or eliminated entirely. Although factfinding timelines are short, 24 hours is a short amount of time to make substantive changes, and the LBO will be reviewed for substance by the Factfinder. If IEERB does review LBOs for compliance, IEERB should include a procedure whereby it retains the ability to reject LBOs not substantially in compliance with IEERB's requirements. If the LBO is substantially compliant, IEERB should notify the submitting party, who will have twenty-four (24) hours to resubmit or provide the requested documents.

Suggested Comment: The Board agrees with the comment raised. As such, the Board will delete proposed section 560 IAC 2-4-3.1(e) and amend proposed section 560 IAC 2-4-3.1(d) to provide for non-substantive compliance review of LBOs by IEERB prior to the appointment of a factfinder.

<u>Comment 2</u>: IEERB does not need to notify the parties when the LBOs have been reviewed and accepted, especially if IEERB will be notifying the parties if their LBO is not compliant.

Suggested Comment: The Board agrees with the comment raised. As such, the Board will delete proposed section 560 IAC 2-4-3.1(d)(2) providing that IEERB will notify the parties when the LBOs have been reviewed and accepted.

Comment 3: Will IEERB be developing an LBO form pursuant to 560 IAC 2-4-3.1?

Suggested Comment: The Board recognizes the need for parties to know the requirements of an LBO. As such, the Board will amend 560 IAC 2-4-3.1 to include information on LBO requirements.

<u>Comment 4</u>: Do the parties need to include all bargained items in an LBO, or only what is in dispute?

Suggested Comment: The Board recognizes the need for parties to know the requirements of an LBO. As such, it will make the change afore mentioned.

<u>Comment 5</u>: Under 560 IAC 2-4-3.1, what happens if a party resubmits a non-compliant LBO?

Suggested Comment: The rules already provide that if a party resubmits a non-compliant LBO, the LBO may be rejected. Moreover, the revised rule also allows IEERB to reject a substantially non-compliant LBO outright.

<u>Comment 6</u>: Proposed rule 560 IAC 2-4-4(b) provides that parties that settle during factfinding should submit identical LBOs. Will those LBOs be reviewed by the factfinder, and, if so, could they be rejected by the factfinder?

Suggested Comment: IC 20-29-6-15.1 provides that factfinding must culminate in the factfinder imposing contract terms on the parties. The factfinder must select one party's last best offer as the contract terms. The factfinder's order must be restricted to only those items permitted under IC 20-29-6-4, and cannot put the employer in a position of deficit financing. Therefore, the factfinder will have to review and analyze the identical LBOs, including possibly rejecting them. As such, the Board does not feel that any revision to the rule is necessary at this time.

<u>Comment 7</u>: Employee organizations and school employers should have equal access via email to teachers regarding representation elections.

Suggested Comment: While the Board recognizes the need for equal access to teachers, there are complications with IEERB's requirements under the Access to Public Records Act. As such, the Board will table this suggestion for further review.

<u>Comment 8</u>: The rules should not delete the parties' ability to request a copy of vote tallies after a mail-in representation election under 560 IAC 2-2-9(g).

Suggested Comment: The Board recognizes the need for parties to be able to quickly receive a complete tally of the ballots. Therefore, the Board will undelete the provision.

<u>Comment 9</u>: Does the 60 days for declaring impasse under 560 IAC 2-4-1 run from the date the parties begin bargaining or from August 1st?

Suggested Comment: The Board recognizes the need for the parties to know when impasse may be declared. As such, the Board will amend 560 IAC 2-4-1 to clarify when impasse will be declared.

<u>Comment 10</u>: The rules should include timelines for schools to provide requested information to the exclusive representatives.

Suggested Comment: Exclusive representatives may obtain information relevant to impasse procedures through a request to the school corporation pursuant to the Indiana Access to Public Records Act. As such, the Board will not revise the rules at this time. However, should there be an issue with timely dissemination of information from either party, the Board will reconsider.

b. Revisions

- 1. Add IC 20-29-3-11 to the Authority tag line for each proposed rule.
- 2. Change fact-finding to factfinding throughout 560 IAC 2.
- 3. Add to 560 IAC 2-1-2 (Definitions) the following definition of contract: "'Contract' means a written agreement reached through collective bargaining that is ratified by the governing body of the school corporation and the exclusive representative."
- 4. Change reference in 560 IAC 2-2-9(b) of IC 20-7.5-1-10 to IC 20-29-5-3.
- 5. Change reference in 560 IAC 2-2-9(f) of "interested parties" to "all employee organizations listed on the ballot."
- 6. Undelete the following provision of 560 IAC 2-2-9(g): "Upon request, a complete tally of the ballots will be furnished to the parties at the conclusion of the count."
- 7. Delete from 560 IAC 2-4-1(a): If the parties do not reach a collective bargaining agreement sixty (60) days following the beginning of formal bargaining collectively between the parties, the IEERB shall declare impasse.
- 8. Add to 560 IAC 2-4-1(a): "The IEERB shall declare impasse after September 30 if either (1) the parties notify the IEERB of impasse or (2) the parties are, or are supposed to be under the terms of their contract, in collective bargaining for all or part of a contract and have not submitted a contract to the IEERB."
- 9. Add "email address" to information required by the parties pursuant to 560 IAC 2-4-1(b).
- 10. Add to 560 IAC 2-4-1(c): "Unless otherwise indicated in these rules, all correspondence for impasse procedures shall be via email. Parties or the IEERB may, in addition to email, correspond via mail, facsimile, or hand delivery. Receipt of an email will be presumed upon dispatch."
- 11. Add "by the board" to 560 IAC 2-4-3 (Appointment of mediator).
- 12. Add to proposed section 560 IAC 2-4-3.1(b): Prior to October 1st of each year, the IEERB shall post on its website the required format, information, and documents for an LBO for that year's bargaining season. This information also will be sent to the parties upon declaration of impasse.

- 13. Amend proposed section 560 IAC 2-4-3.1(d) to read: IEERB shall review the LBOs to ensure that the LBO is in the required format and contains the required information and documents. Failure to substantially comply with the requirements of an LBO could result in rejection of the submitted LBO and acceptance of the opposing party's LBO.
- 14. Amend proposed section 560 IAC 2-4-3.1(e) If a party has substantially complied with the LBO requirements, IEERB will notify the submitting party, who will have twenty-four (24) hours to provide the requested format, information or documents. Failure to submit an LBO as requested by IEERB could result in rejection of the submitted LBO and acceptance of the opposing party's LBO.
- 15. Add IC 20-29-8-7 as Authority and IC 20-29-8 as Affected to 560 IAC 2-4-4 (Fact-finding).
- 16. Change "audio tape of the proceedings" in 560 IAC 2-4-4 to "recording of the proceedings."
- 17. Change IC 20-20-6-15-1 to IC 20-29-6-15.1 in Authority section of 560 IAC 2-4-5.
- 18. Amend 560 IAC 2-4-5 (Initiation of mediation or fact-finding) to read: "The chairman or chairperson or his or her designee shall initiate mediation pursuant to 560 IAC 2-4-1 and 560 IAC 2-4-3, regardless of whether the parties have requested it. The chairman or chairperson or his or her designee shall initiate the factfinding process if mediation does not result in a contract."
- 19. Amend 560 IAC 2-4-6 (Appeal of factfinding reports) to add that additional briefs may not be filed "unless requested by the board."