

TITLE 170 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS LSA Document #19-410

I. Estimated Fiscal Impact on State and Local Government.

None.

II. Anticipated Effective Date of the Rule.

- The Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (Commission) should receive the Office of Management and Budget's fiscal impact statement *within* forty-five (45) days of submission. IC 4-22-2-28(d).
- The Notice of Intent will be filed within one week of receiving the Office of Management and Budget's fiscal impact approval.
- The Proposed Rule will be filed at least twenty-eight (28) days after publication of the Notice of Intent. IC 4-22-2-23.
- The total cost of the rule is less than five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000). Therefore, the public hearing can take place twenty-one (21) days after the Proposed Rule is published. IC 4-22-2-28(c) and IC 4-22-2-24.
- Assume three (3) weeks for the public comment period, beginning at least one (1) week prior to when the Notice of Public Hearing is published.
- Assume thirty (30) days for staff to review public comments and assemble the rule packet.
- Assume at least one (1) week to circulate the rule for the Commission's weekly Conference to approve the Final Rule.
- The Attorney General has forty-five (45) days to review the packet. IC 4-22-2-32(g).
- The Governor's office has up to thirty (30) days to review the packet. IC 4-22-2-34(b).
- The rule is effective thirty (30) days from the date the Legislative Services Agency accepts the rule for filing. IC 4-22-2-36.

Therefore, based on the facts and timeline above, the Commission anticipates the rule to be fully promulgated and effective on or around 205 days (6.7 months) from the publication of the Notice of Intent.

III. Revenue.

A. Sources of revenue affected by the rule.

The following sources of revenue are potentially affected by this rule:

1. None.

B. Estimated change in government revenues or expenditures.

The following state or local government revenues are estimated to increase or decrease as a result of implementation of this rule:

1. None.

The following state or local government expenditures are estimated to increase or decrease as a result of implementation of this rule:

1. None.

The costs above include the costs necessary to enforce the rule, specifically the following:

1. No cost to state or local government to enforce the rule, as it repeals outdated rules.

C. Related citations.

The costs or revenues above are related to the following provisions of the rule:
N/A.

IV. Appropriations, Distributions, or Other Expenditures of Revenue Affected by the Rule.

D. Appropriations.

The following appropriations are potentially affected by this rule:

1. None.

E. Distributions.

The following distributions are potentially affected by this rule:

1. None.

F. Expenditures or revenue.

The following expenditures or revenue are potentially affected by this rule:

1. None.

G. Related citations.

The costs or revenues above are related to the following provisions of the rule:
N/A.

V. Administrative Impact to State and Local Governments.

There are no known administrative impacts to state or local governments.

H. Related citations.

The costs or revenues above are related to the following provisions of the rule:
N/A.

VI. Unfunded Mandate.

The Commission has made a determination concerning the extent to which the proposed rule creates an unfunded mandate on a state agency or political subdivision. The Commission has determined that the proposed rule, which repeals outdated rules, does not create an unfunded mandate on a state agency or political subdivision.

VII. **Readoption of Expiring Rule.** N/A