Review and Goals for State Boards: Commission and Organizations

Government Efficiency Committee Boards & Commissions Subcommittee

Past and current situation

The quantity of commissions, boards and other State organizations may have caused a duplication of work, loss of focus, inefficient use of expertise and experience as well as a possible inefficient use of valuable State resources and talents. The current situation creates a burden for qualitative and quantitative review and analysis which further complicates suggested cost-benefit savings and better utilization of resources. Among these areas are the inability to not only use historical analysis but also the inability to forecast needs and view trends by State board, commission and other organizations. Good data analysis is seriously challenged due to the apparent lack of usage of available technology. There appeared to be a lack of ‘best practices’ and ‘standards’ in reporting, data collection, data needs by commission, board or organization function. There appears to be a lack of available ‘knowledge-pool’ of talented State employee and their interests, experiences and background by commission, board or organization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duplication of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We found that the minutes of some boards and commissions repeated items which had already been entered and accounted for in other State functions. For example, some minutes had detailed expenditures, product pricing and supportive information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We found that some meetings could be better performed via a teleconference or conference call rather than a face-to-face meeting involving scheduling, travel and related expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inefficient use of expertise and experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We found that reducing travel time could improve overall State resource usage from employees to actual out-of-pocket expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inefficient use of State resources and talents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With limited technological access to any historical data, it becomes difficult to use the tremendous pool of State employee talent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited standards for meeting minutes reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We found that there was a need for a more standard approach to meeting minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited use of available technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We found that there appeared to be limited usage of available technology.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some areas of interest include, but are not limited to:

- Lack of common format for minutes, reports and activities resulting in an inability or serious lack of review of past events and data.
- Lack of controls on data - insecure access to available data
- Extremely high usage of paper
- Cost-justification and benefits analysis not possible or limited
- Inability to validate the value of meetings and their justification
- Inability to determine benefits to the Hoosier taxpayer
- High usage of time for finding and searching for reports, results and other information to ‘fill out forms’
Future:
- Centralize, secure database
  - Meetings
  - Reports
  - Minutes
  - Activities
  - Emails
  - All communications
  - Notes
  - Individuals
  - Other related organizations
- Justify travel meetings – calculate burden costs with travel to justify meeting
- Use electronic means of meeting instead of 'in-person' to reduce travel and associated expenses.
  Use voice conferencing, video conference and other areas of technology.
- Use application software for report distribution and holding
  - Don’t use paper or reduce substantially. If using paper, use both sides
  - Secure distribution of reports
  - Develop a standard reporting format
- Develop commission analytics
  - Develop forward projecting data analysis process
  - Develop a best-practices commission and organization reporting mechanism
- Determine methods for determining cost and liability aversion
- Encourage vendors working with the State to migrate to electronic communications and electronic commerce
- Develop summary and detail general ledger accounts for tracking expenses and burdened costs.
- Drive access to data to be 'click-click-click' rather than 'search-ask-discover'-drop the “SAD" method and deploy the knowledge-based method.
- Develop methods of data analytics to determine Hoosier and demographic trends rather than, in some cases, reacting to current needs.

Goals for consideration for Indiana State boards, commissions and other related organizations.
- Become a more environmentally friendly State government
  - Reduce dependence and usage of paper
  - If using paper, then utilize both sides of paper for internal reports and analysis
  - Utilize technology, such as the Internet, to enhance meetings held at remote locations.
- Reduce the time for casual and prolonged searches for reports, data and related information pertinent to each state employee’s job
- Utilize current and near-term technology for time and cost savings.
- Empower state employees to focus more on serving constituents and businesses who can enhance the economic well-being and quality of life of Hoosiers.
- Develop a more standardized reporting mechanism, non-paper based, that allows for further historical and future data analysis.
- Develop analytics for review of data so that data becomes usable information.
The Economic Development, Transportation and Public Safety Team was assigned to review forty (40) state boards, commissions and committees. No response was received from three (3) on the list as indicated below. Twenty-six (26) were recommended to continue based on the information received from the questionnaires sent. We did contact some of our entities by sending them an email questionnaire. We determined after calling the contacts and alerting them that the questionnaire was being sent that the response rate improved. Email communications is a suggested future approach to obtain information or if follow up work is performed on these entities. We believe that a review of the entities that continue is desirable in order to assure that they continue to be effective and serve the people of Indiana. We recommend the establishment of guidelines and standards for current and future state entities: how they can be formed, what they must do to remain active, and qualified criteria to end them.

RECOMMENDED CHANGES

The Commissioner of the Department of Workforce Development recommended that the Indiana Commission for Women, Indiana Commission on Hispanic/Latino Affairs, and Native American Indian Affairs Commission be combined with the Indiana Civil Rights Commission.

Even though we recommend that they continue we question if the Northern Indian Commuter Transportation District is a candidate for sale or lease? Also should the Indiana Standardbred Advisory Board and the Indiana Quarter Horse Breed Development Advisory be privatized?

Our contact with the Indiana Commission on Vocational & Technical recommends that it be combined with the State Workforce Investment Council per the questionnaire response received.

The Indiana Emergency Management Fire Building Services & Public Safety Foundation will soon be reorganized under the origination of the Indiana Department of Homeland Security according to the questionnaire response.

Two (2) of our entities have been abolished and merged into the Indiana Finance Authority (Indiana Development Finance Authority, Indiana Transportation Finance Authority; one is not a state entity (Historic Hotel Preservation Commission); one does not yet exist (Office of Community & Rural Affairs Advisory Board); and two (2) are no longer active according to Jon Vanator, Governors Office (Commission on Industrial Development, Commission for Downtown Inc). All of these should be removed from the active list of state boards, commissions and committees.

RECAP

All state boards, commissions, and committees assigned to this team, along with comments are listed below. Additional supporting information obtained from this review may be seen in Appendix A & B. The team does not make any recommendations to improve efficiency or any costs cutting for the entities it reviewed due to a lack of information, resources, and time to do a more appropriate analysis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT and TRANSPORTATION</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brookville Lake Regional Waste District</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission for Downtown Inc.</td>
<td>Not active according to Jon Vanator, Governor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Industrial Development</td>
<td>Not active according to Jon Vanator, Governor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Financial Institutions</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor's Commission on Minority &amp; Women's Business Enterprises</td>
<td>No response from questionnaire, emails, phone calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Hotel Preservation Commission</td>
<td>Not a state entity/Administered by Orange County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Bureau of Motor Vehicles Commission</td>
<td>Continue; possibly privatize and review other efficiency options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission for Women</td>
<td>Continue. Combine Indiana Civil Rights Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission on Hispanic/Latino Affairs</td>
<td>Continue. Combine Indiana Civil Rights Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission on Vocational &amp; Technical Education</td>
<td>Combine with State Workforce Investment Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Development Finance Authority</td>
<td>Abolished. Merged with Indiana Finance Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Finance Authority</td>
<td>No response from questionnaire, emails, phone calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Gaming Commission</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Main Street Council</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Quarter Horse Breed Development Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Continue. Privatize?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Rural Development Administration Fund Board</td>
<td>No response from questionnaire, emails, phone calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standard Breed Development Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standardbred Advisory Board</td>
<td>Continue. Privatize?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Tourism Council</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Transportation Finance Authority</td>
<td>Abolished. Merged with Indiana Finance Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American Indian Affairs Commission</td>
<td>Continue. Combine Indiana Civil Rights Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana Commuter Transportation District</td>
<td>Continue, Is it a candidate for sale or lease?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Community &amp; Rural Affairs Advisory Board</td>
<td>Does not exist yet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Egg Board</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Use Committee</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Workforce Investment Council</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey Creek Regional Sewer District</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central Indiana Economic Development District</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterterrorism Security Council</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Board of Safety</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Emergency Management Fire Building Services &amp; Public Safety Foundation</td>
<td>To be under Dept. of Homeland Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Prosecuting Attorneys Council</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Dept. of Health Executive Board</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Police Commission</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Veterans Home Governors Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana War Memorials Commission</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Training Board</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Armory Board</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Board</td>
<td>Continue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See Appendix B for additional information*
Team Report on Education Commissions
By James K. Baker & Dr. Steven Beering

The following Boards and Commissions are performing a necessary and useful function, and therefore were not included in the questionnaire/analysis process:

- State Board of Education
- Commission for Higher Education
- Indiana School for the Blind
- Indiana School for the Deaf
- Ball State University Board of Trustees [BOT]
- Indiana State University BOT
- Indiana University BOT
- Indiana Vocational Technical College BOT
- Purdue University BOT
- University of Southern Indiana BOT
- Vincennes University BOT

[See "Final Questions" for general issues concerning the above Boards]

SECTION TWO

The Boards and Commissions in this section were sent questionnaires and their responses reviewed and analyzed. The result was a "clean bill of health," except as noted.

Indiana Secondary Market for School Loans, Inc. A not-for-profit corporation that provides discounted educational funds to students and their parents... now in its 26th year of successful operations.


Midwestern Higher Education Compact. A consortium of 11 Midwestern states with each state's annual dues approximately $90,000... the Compact boasts of several million dollars of savings each year per state.

School Property Tax Control Board. A hard-working board dedicated to reducing school corporation debt while assuring that these corporations are following the intent of the legislature and the laws of the State of Indiana. Annual budget $4000... savings over the past 3 years equal over $80,000,000. They encourage ex-officio members of the General Assembly attend several meetings of this board to learn first-hand about the real world of school corporation financing.

Indiana Education Roundtable. The concern is that Indiana's Education Roundtable [with a consulting/contracting budget of over $3 million] is receiving good independent advice on policy matters.

State Student Assistance Commission

Charter School Review Panel

Indiana Medical Education Board. Budget is $2,249,791.

Indiana Commission on Proprietary Education. Budget is $454,000 per year. Regulates privately owned, post-secondary schools in Indiana.

Indiana Education Savings Authority. Created in 1996 by the General Assembly to promote programs that encourage savings for education expenses. No budget. 15,000 Hoosier families from 90 counties are saving for college expenses through the College Choice 529 Investment Plan, and those families have over $118 million in assets in the Plan.
SECTION THREE

The boards and commissions in this section are those suggested for discontinuance, or for further study, as described in the critique following each one.

Education Attitudes, Motivation & Parental Involvement. Although this board is listed as active, the board is defunct.

College Affordability Task Force. The final report was issued on January 3, 2005.

Indiana Education Employment Relations Board. This board has not met since June 2005, yet has a salary and expense budget of $682,753. And as of the first of this year was without a full-time, paid chair. Very difficult to make conclusions on matters as sensitive as employee relations…recommend further study.

Indiana Board of Interpreter Standards. Does not meet. Has no budget except for travel expense reimbursement.


ISTEP Progress Citizens Review Committee. No Chair…members impaneled twice a year. Is this the best format for this Committee?


The recommendation is that 7 Boards and Commissions in the EDUCATION area be taken off the list. This reduces the active boards and Commissions in this area by 25%.

Final Questions

Should Trustees, in general, be appointed vs. elected?

Should there be a policy that forbids IN State employees or General Assembly members from serving as officers and/or directors of State universities and schools?
Team Report on
Environmental and Natural Resources Commissions
By Clarence Ehlers & Jim Neal

The Environmental and Natural Resources Subcommittee reviewed an initial list of identified authorities, boards, bureaus, commissions, committees, councils, panels, task force and working groups ("boards"). We then met with representatives of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management and the Indiana Department of Natural Resources. Combined they identified approximately 50 boards that they either support, are appointees to, observe or interact with on a regular basis. The list of boards includes the following:

### Indiana Department of Agriculture
- Agriculture Regulatory Commission
- Commission for Agriculture and Rural Development
- Indiana State Soil Conservation Board

### Office of the Lt. Governor
- Indiana Grain Buyers and Warehouse Licensing
- Indiana Recycling and Energy Development Board

### Office of Indiana State Chemist (OISC)
- Pesticide Review Board

### Indiana Department of Homeland Security
- Indiana State Hazard Mitigation Council

### Department of Natural Resources
- Abraham Lincoln Bicentennial Commission
- African American Landmarks Committee
- Association of Conservancy Districts
- Blue River Commission*
- Bureau of Lands and Cultural Resources Advisory Council**
- Bureau of Water and Resource Regulation Advisory Council**
- Great Lakes Commission
- Heritage Trust Project Committee
- Historic Preservation Review Board*
- Indiana Trails Advisory Board*
- Interstate Mining Compact Commission
- Kankakee River Basin Commission*
- Lake Michigan Marina Development Commission*
- Lakes Management Work Group
- Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Commission*
- Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission*

### Indiana Department of Environmental Management
- Air Pollution Compliance Advisory Panel***
- Air Pollution Control Board*
- Central Indiana Advisory Group
- Clean Manufacturing Technology Board*
- East Chicago Waterway Management District
- E Coli Task Force
- Environmental Quality Service Council
- Great Lakes Commission*
- Great Lakes Initiative***

### Maumee River Basin Commission*
- Natural Resource Commission Advisory Council
- Natural Resource Commission
- Natural Resource Foundation
- Nature Conservancy Board*
- New Harmony Commission*
- Ohio River Greenway Development Commission
- River Marina Development Commission
- Saint Joseph River Basin Commission*
- Shoreline Development Commission
- Surface Coal Mine Reclamation Board
- Surface Coal Mine Reclamation Bond Fund Committee
- Upper Wabash River Basin Commission*
- Wabash River Heritage Corridor Commission*
- Water Shortage Task Force
- White River State Park Commission

### Ground Water Task Force
- Northwest Indiana Advisory Board*
- Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission
- Pesticide Review Board
- Recycling Market Development Board
- Solid Waste Management Board*
- Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Board
- Water Pollution Control Board
Observations
The boards are almost all created and defined in state law without a sunset date. After accomplishing their stated purpose boards often try to expand their roles, to perpetuate their existence. Only two boards were identified as being a specific requirement of federal legislation. Some boards were established prior to the creation of the EPA, IDEM, the Indiana Economic Development Corporation and the Northwest Indiana RDA, the creation of these agencies may impact the legitimacy or need for some boards. Boards are used for multiple purposes they can be advisory, used to audit, provide oversight, issue permits, serve as a conduit for state and federal funds and to regulate including administrative rulemaking. Most are comprised of citizen appointees that serve in a voluntary capacity. These appointees normally have a strong interest in the subject and advocate policy positions.

While most boards have nominal budgets and consist of volunteers, this does not represent the cost of governing in this manner. Unaccounted for are the agency resources and staff time spent supporting these boards, scheduling and attending meetings and responding to requests from board members

Our review indicated that almost every legislated regulatory responsibility delegated to an administrative agency includes a board comprised of citizen stakeholders. It appears that the legislature prefers to delegate responsibility to the administrative agencies without delegating complete authority to carry out that responsibility. This violates the parity principle for efficient organizations; which is delegated authority must equal delegated responsibility. One of the most difficult parts of this review was trying to understand the relationship between political, fiscal, organizational and logistic efficiencies. Political considerations clearly outweigh all other efficiencies. The use of boards when governing may be political efficient but it does create conflict with other efficiency measures most notably the amount of time required to implement regulatory requests. What agencies need is to develop a process to obtain input from advocacy groups in a manner that conveys a sincere consideration the special interests. Such a process would be more meaningful and efficient than creating a board, securing appointees, scheduling meetings and addressing quorum requirements.

Recommendations
While time is insufficient to specifically pass judgment on the necessity of each board there are things that should be done on an ongoing basis to limit the need for legislatively created boards. We have also made some specific recommendations for consideration.

1. No board, commission, committee, panel, task force etc. should be created without a sunset date. An extreme recommendation would be to sunset all non-rulemaking commissions at the end of each biennium. This would force the agency to evaluate each board’s value and continued need.

2. No board, etc. should be created without a review to determine if the objective can be achieved through or by expanding an existing board’s purpose.

3. Review all boards established pre-EPA and pre-IDEM to determine need.

4. If the agency is ultimately responsible for implementing and enforcing administrative rules then allow the agency to directly propose and adopt those rules after due consideration of public input.

5. If a board does not serve a statewide purpose it should not be created by state statute nor assigned to a state agency for support.

6. Each agency should review all board involvement and recommend to the legislature to eliminate unnecessary or nonfunctioning boards or combine boards to eliminate redundancy.

7. All boards should be required to establish annual objectives. A metric needs to be created and implemented that objectively evaluates the annual contribution of each board.

8. Specific considerations:
   a. DNR has initiated board combinations; it should continue identifying opportunities and secure legislative authority as necessary.
   b. Consider transferring the role of the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission, the Lake Michigan Marina Development Commission, and Shoreline Development Commission to the Northwest Indiana Regional Development Authority.
   c. Consider supporting Clean Manufacturing Technology through academic research rather than IDEM.
d. Review the rulemaking process in IDEM, can it be done with one pollution control board or without boards.

e. Review the need for the Northwest Indiana Advisory Board for IDEM.

f. The Department of Agriculture needs to evaluate the continued need for the Agriculture Regulatory Commission and the Commission for Agriculture and Rural Development (possibly include with Indiana Economic Development Corporation)

g. Evaluate the continued need for Indiana Grain Buyers Licensing Authority.

h. The Pesticides Review Board was established prior to IDEM, could IDEM now satisfy the need.
Team Report on
General Service Commissions
By Theressa J. Wright, MD

Review the following 45 general services state boards, commissions, committees and agencies:

Active (n=19)

- Commission on Public Records
- Indiana Arts Commission
- Indiana Board of Tax Review
- Indiana Historical Bureau
- Indiana Historic Preservation Review Board
- Indiana Political Subdivision Risk Management Commission
- Indiana Integrated Public Safety Commission
- Indiana State Fair Board
- Indiana State Library Advisory Counsel (ISLAC)
- Meridian Street Preservation Commission (MSPC)
- Oversight Committee on Public Records
- PEN Products Board of Directors—Statutorily, Division of Industries and Farms Product Advisory Council
- State Budget Committee
- State Employees' Appeals Commission
- State Ethics Commission
- The Indiana State Lottery Commission
- Thoroughbred Breed Dev. Adv. Committee
- Workers Compensation Board (State Agency)

Inactive or Status Unknown (n=26)

- 1977 Fund Advisory Committee
- Advisory Council to the Office of The Utility Consumer Counselor
- Governor's Council On Impaired and Dangerous Driving Chairperson
- Indiana Alcohol and Tobacco Commission
- Indiana Civil Rights Commission
- Indiana Commission On Mental Health
- Indiana Criminal Justice Institute
- Indiana Criminal Law Study Commission
- Indiana Election Commission
- Indiana Horse Racing Commission
- Indiana Hypnotist Committee
- Indiana Organic Peer Review Panel
- Indiana Professional Standards Board
- Indiana Seed Arbitration Council
- Indiana State Office Building Commission
- Indiana State Teachers' Retirement Fund
- Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission
- Information Technology Oversight Commission
- Interagency Coordinating Council
- Juvenile Justice State Advisory Group
- Parole Board
- Physical Therapy Committee
- Prison Enterprises Network Products
- Public Employee Retirement Fund Indiana
- Recreational Development Commission
- State Emergency Management Agency
In accordance with The Budget Bill (Sec. 256 of H.E. A. 1001), the Indiana Government Efficiency Commission (GEC) was enabled to focus on two areas of State Government: non-instructional spending for public education K-12 and secondly, on the continued usefulness of all state boards and commissions.

The Sub-Committee on Boards & Commissions reviewed an all inclusive and exhaustive list of Boards and Commissions from seven areas:

1. Economic Development & Transportation
2. Education
3. Environmental & Natural Resources
4. General Services
5. Human Services
6. Professional Licensing
7. Public Safety

This report provides a summary and recommendations regarding the forty-five (45) boards, commissions, committees and agencies within the area of General Services. In summary, these boards, commissions, committees and agencies were created to provide guidance and support for various groups within the mission and spirit of their given charters or to address a specific need/issue.

The review summary and recommendations that this team has been able to discern are as follows:

**Review Summary:**

1. This team only received and reviewed 19 of 45 (42%) of the General Services boards, commissions, committees and state agencies. Some agencies failed to respond to the questionnaire sent in November, 2005, or were listed as general services per GEC code but may have been reviewed under a difference area (e.g. the Hypnotist Committee listed as a general service via the GEC code but reviewed by the team covering the Health Related Boards & Committees).

2. All responding general services boards, commissions, committees and state agencies self-assessment of their service as good to excellent and claimed their agency was essential for the state.

3. Several groups were established to address specific or very focused needs/issues within a larger board, commission, committee or agency. An example would be the Indiana State Fair Board which functions under the Indiana State Fair Commission to manage the activities of the Indiana State Fair.

4. Based on information from 19 agencies with general services, none were established by federal requirement and do not have sunset dates.

5. Approximately half of the general services agencies had annual budgets less than $3,000. The budget covered per diem and travel of its members.

6. If the annual budgets are greater than $500,000, salaries and operational cost accounts for most of the expenditures.

**Recommendations:**

1. Creation of an independent commission responsible for the development and execution of standard processes/criteria for the establishment, performance assessment and termination of a board, commission, committee or state agency.
2. Periodic review (every 3-5 years) of all boards, commissions, committees and state agencies to assess their performance, need for continuation, reorganization or combination.

3. Create a centralized database of all state and local boards, commissions, committees or agencies including all pertinent information (e.g. purpose for establishment, function, track performance, budgets, etc).

4. Penalty for all state and local boards, commissions, committees or agencies that fails to respond to request for information to assess their performance and rationale for existence.

5. Boards, commissions, committees or agencies with minimum annual budgets (<$3,000) for travel or per diem may be served via public volunteers.

6. Annual requirement for all state and local boards, commissions, committees or agencies to provide rationale for its budget and/or suggestions for reduction of the group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years, the plan for implementation of the cost saving measures and the outcome of the plan's execution. This information should be reported to the aforementioned proposed review commission (recommendation #1).

Special thanks and acknowledgment to Steve McNear and Julie Newland for their assistance in the review and creation of this report.
Team Report on Human Resources Commissions
By Donald Cook & Patricia A. Stroud

The Team was assigned to review and evaluate the following 46 State Boards, Commission and Committees in the Human Resource areas.

One of the largest State Agency, "Indiana Family and Social Services Administration (FSSA) with Five Divisions", was one of the most complicated to sort through for information.

(See Attached Functional chart – Appendix C)

The Five Divisions: Division of Disability & Rehabilitative Services
Division of Mental Health & Addiction
Division of Family Resources
Division of Aging
Maternal & Child Health

Within these five Divisions are numerous Boards, Commissions, Task Forces, Councils, etc. and we did not receive completed surveys on most of them.

We would like to suggest to the Governors Office that FSSA be charged to review and meet with the Directors of the five Divisions to consider combing or abolishing some of the Boards. Commissions, Councils, Task Forces, etc., should be combined or abolished in order to be cost and time effective.

Division of Aging Findings

The primary suggestion for the Division of Aging is that the CHOICE Board and the Commission on Aging be merged into one group. The CHOICE Board/COA (Commission on Aging) merger is a good idea for a number of reasons:

1. There is no mandate in the Older Americans Act for the COA. It simply states that there must be a forum for issues to be presented.

2. The COA has no mandated responsibilities, other than to "advise the administration" on issues pertaining to senior citizens.

3. The COA travel amounts to $12k per year because some commissioners stay overnight. They also meet monthly, which is not necessary. CHOICE board meets 6 times per year.

4. There is no requirement that the division "staffs" the COA, but historically it has. This requires a full-time FSSA staff member be available for an entire day and to do the minutes of the meeting. After having reduced staff by 30%, the division no longer has the resources to perform this function.

5. The CHOICE board is representative of providers and provider associations, but not consumers. It also requires members of various age groups, which the COA does not. The merger would blend the two, due to the fact that there are no providers on the COA.

6. The CHOICE board is not geographically diverse, and has a very Indy-heavy membership. The COA is required to have at least one member from each congressional district, which guarantees geographic diversity.

7. Finally, the COA has produced no work product and has no mandate to do so. The CHOICE board has a mandate to review proposed rules and to hear input from FSSA, providers, and consumers prior to taking a position on an issue. This makes the meetings much more effective.

**One noted suggestion from FSSA Staff and a recommendation from the Team are to merge the Division of Aging into the CHOICE BOARD.**
**DDRS (Division of Developmental Disabilities and Rehabilitative Services) Findings**

DDRS has changed significantly since January of last year and we are asking that oversight be unified to ensure consumer access, transparency and effective reporting. This approach is based on the notion that oversight should review the big picture, identifying trends, reviewing processes, and ensuring that their findings are reported annually to the legislature.

Three critical changes in DDRS make this change desirable:

1. **Our commitment to extensive consumer, family, advocate and provider involvement is this system.** In May we convened the “Vision 2010” meeting to identify the future direction of our DD system. This group included: consumers and families, advocates, legislators, providers, and experts in various related fields. Goals were identified and community work groups are formed and will be responsible for continuing the vision we developed in May.

2. **Transparency.** Secretary Roob has initiated a financial and program reporting system, including quarterly public budget and program updates.

3. **Restructuring so that the various divisions of DDRS work together to ensure more coordinated and comprehensive services.**

Currently, DDRS is overseen by a mix of boards and commissions that fail to function in a coordinated manner, and in one instance exercises authority that adds bureaucracy without adding value. This mix of boards and commissions was created to oversee an organization that was quite different than the one we have created today. With our focus on regular consistent reporting and driving towards outcomes, oversight should be focused on ensuring that reporting continues to evolve and remains transparent and accessible to consumers and their families.

Currently, DDRS is overseen by the following boards and commissions:

- The DDRS Advisory Counsel
- The Community Residential Facilities Counsel (CRFC)
- Rehabilitation Services Commission (RSC)
- There are other commissions, such as the Independent Living Counsel and Elected Committee of Blind Vendors that were formed to perform a unique function. They are welcome in this mix, but due to their specific focus and function they would not necessarily add much.

We request that the DDRS Advisory Counsel be placed at the top of a reporting pyramid. The CRFC and RSC would be responsible for meeting regularly, gathering critical data on their relevant areas and reporting that the DDRS Advisory Counsel on a regular basis. The DDRS Advisory Counsel would meet no less than quarterly to receive a comprehensive report from staff, coinciding with our quarterly financial review. From this an annual report would be prepared for the MRDD and Autism Commissions. The DDRS Advisory Counsel would also be tasked with establishing an annual schedule in cooperation with the Director to ensure that quarterly reports are delivered in settings around the state.

Finally, we would request that the CRFC no longer be allowed to “approve” providers. The provider approval process is already complex, subject to rules that were publicly promulgated, and supported by quality review and improvement through the Bureau of Quality Improvement Services (BQIS). Rather than asking volunteers to redo extensive work already completed by staff, it would be far more productive to have them reviewing our systems and their outcomes. By overseeing results and accountability, you can better hold us accountable.

While change is difficult and uncomfortable, our goal is to ensure that we develop a vision of life span empowerment for those we serve. As many of the changes that we have undertaken have begun to show positive results, people involved in our systems have begun to express excitement and hope for the future. The way to ensure that they remain engaged and effective is to establish a public accountability. This is their system; they need to ensure that we are doing our jobs.
DMHA (Division of Mental Health and Addiction) Findings

The department has already gone through several stages of eliminating or consolidating the DMHA Advisory Groups. Those remaining are:

1. Mental Health Commission
   This is actually a Legislative Committee and has no direct authority over DMHA. They meet 3 or 4 times each summer to study mental health. They develop an annual report that sometimes recommends legislative changes. DMHA is sometimes asked for topics and frequently ask for information. There are 4 legislative members and the rest are appointed by the Governor. They were just reauthorized this last session.

2. DMHA Advisory Council
   This group is required by State statute. It is required to meet monthly and its task is to advise the DMHA Director. There are 10 members appointed by the Secretary of FSSA for 2 year terms. The DMHA Director, per the statute, is also a member. The groups listed below are all represented on the Council. Members receive $50 a meeting and travel expenses if they live outside of Marion County.
   A survey was done by LSA last year about what changes could be made and there were 2 main suggestions regarding the Advisory Council. Reduce the number of meetings to bi-monthly or quarterly, and to eliminate the $50 stipend.

3. State Mental Health Planning Council
   This Council is a requirement to receive the federal mental health block grant which is about $8 million annually. The federal regulations specify certain members/agencies that must be represented on the Council. The Council must review and take action on the annual block grant application and report. The Council has sub-committees on children, adults, critical populations, and the Consumer Council which advises the DMHA Office of Consumer Affairs. The members are appointed by the DMHA Director those who attend from outside of Marion County receive reimbursement for travel expenses.

4. Indiana Addiction Planning Council
   This Council is not mandated but meets the federal requirement for "citizen participation" in the annual application and report for the federal Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) Block Grant which is over $30 million annually. There are sub-committees on prevention and treatment. Members are appointed by the DMHA Director.

5. All Hazards Advisory Committee
   This Committee was created to help coordinate the State's mental health response to disasters such as the flooding and tornados experienced in Indiana and ultimately for the State's response to Katrina. This has been a very valuable group in planning, recruiting volunteers, developing and providing training, and managing federal disaster grants.

   There is a Mental Health Transformation Workgroup that is meeting for that specific purpose. The members were invited to serve by the DMHA Director in response to the Governor's decision that the State would proceed with the mental health transformation process even though the State was unsuccessful in obtaining a federal grant for that purpose. There are a few other similar groups that exist for a specific purpose, such as advising on a federal grant, and are not permanent bodies.

As mentioned above, much eliminating and consolidating has been done by the department. It would be helpful to reiterate the recommendations listed above for the DMHA Advisory Council.

Thank you,

Willard Mays
Assistant Deputy Director for Public Policy

Comment: The Team recently received these comments and suggestions from FSSA and agrees that they were important and should be put in our report for hopefully an ongoing process as suggested above.

** The Team's other recommendation is for the Indiana Occupational Safety Standard Commission be combined with the
Indiana Board of Safety Review. The Indiana Board of Safety Review stated in their returned survey and the minutes verify that they have not had a quorum for their meetings since March 2005; and rated their performance as Fair.

COMMENTS

We would like to suggest that the Governors Office and Legislature strongly consider establishing a SUNSET Date for all Commissions, Councils, Boards and etc. That would ensure some review and monitoring. Our Team reviewed 46 Commissions, Boards, and Councils and only found one that had a SUNSET DATE with one other being reviewed every 5 years.

Our Team found that most of the Boards and Commissions stated that they had no money, but in minutes spoke of paying Travel Costs for meetings and other costs? The State needs to review what these costs are amounting to on a yearly basis along with Staff time to support and attend these meetings.

We were also concerned as to the approaches to Meeting Minutes and see the need for a more standardized method. Where is Technology? Some information was on the Internet for some of the State Boards and Commissions, but not all. It is our recommendation that all Boards, Commission, etc. be required to post their minutes on a common location or Registry on the internet within 60 days of any meetings.

They're appeared to be duplication of work for some of the Boards and Commissions and would like to suggest teleconference or conference calls to save on travel costs. Monthly Meetings could be reduced to quarterly or in some cases annually.

Some of the Board, Commission are not aware of their Mission and Goals and should be required to develop same and make them available to members that are added to their group.

It is this teams opinion that a Central Registry be formed where all Commission, Board, Councils and Advisory Boards, etc. would be listed. This Registry would be accessible by the public and would include information on the appropriate organization, such as contacts, members, purpose, Mission Goals, Meeting dates and Locations, and other appropriate data. It should be the responsibility of each Board, etc. to keep the Registry Information and this should be review by the Board, etc. on a Quarterly Basis.

*See Appendix C for additional information.*
Team Report on  
PROFESSIONAL LICENSING AGENCY  
By Philip Faccenda & Terry White

This team was assigned to review the following 39 state boards, commissions and committees:

Health Related Boards and Committees

- Indiana Acupuncture Advisory Committee  
- Indiana Athletic Trainers Board  
- Indiana Board of Chiropractic Examiners  
- Indiana Controlled Substances Advisory Committee  
- Indiana State Board of Dentistry  
- Indiana Dietitians Certification Board  
- Board of Environmental Health Specialists  
- Indiana State Board of Health Facility Administrators  
- Committee of Indiana Hearing Aid Dealer Examiners  
- Indiana Hypnotist Committee  
- Medical Licensing Board of Indiana  
- Indiana State Board of Nursing  
- Occupational Therapy Committee  
- Indiana Optometric Legend Drug Prescription Advisory Committee  
- Indiana Optometry Board  
- Indiana State Board of Pharmacy  
- Physical Therapy Committee  
- Physician Assistant Committee  
- Board of Podiatric Medicine  
- Indiana State Psychology Board  
- Respiratory Care Committee  
- Social Worker, Marriage and Family Therapist and Mental Health Counselor Board  
- Speech Language Pathology Audiology Board  
- Indiana Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners

Professional Related Boards and Commissions

- Indiana Board of Accountancy  
- Board of Registration for Architects and Landscape Architects  
- Indiana Auctioneer Commission  
- State Board of Barber Examiners  
- State Boxing Commission  
- State Board of Cosmetology Examiners  
- State Board of Funeral and Cemetery Service  
- Home Inspector Licensing Board  
- Manufactured Home Installers Licensing Board  
- State Board of Registration for Land Surveyors  
- Indiana Plumbing Commission  
- Private Detectives Licensing Board  
- Real Estate Appraiser Licensure and Certification Board  
- Indiana Real Estate Commission
These boards and commissions are charged with regulating their professional peers by establishing codes of ethics and standards of conduct and holding these peers to the established standards through disciplinary hearings. Second, these boards and commissions are charged with ensuring that only qualified and competent individuals are entering their profession. This is done through the establishment of set criteria and qualifications for licensure and an often rigorous application reviewing process. The individual boards and commissions do not have an individual budget. The creation of the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency ("IPLA") as an umbrella state agency to provide administrative functions for these boards and commissions was done to help them achieve greater efficiency by combining resources and similar functions and duties. As such, the IPLA has an annual budget of $5,808,388 with the four largest budget points being:

1.) Budget Point 1 - $4,307,807.00 - Covers salaries & fringe benefits as well as board/commission member per diem.
2.) Budget Point 3 - $605,848.00 - Covers contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, ALJ contract payments, etc.)
3.) Budget Point 2 - $571,408.00 - Covers other services (postage, court reporters, telephones, etc.)
4.) Budget Point 8 - $177,700.00 - Covers in-state travel reimbursement (board members and staff).

It is not possible to get an exact dollar amount budgeted to individual boards and commissions. For organizational purposes, IPLA has created eleven working groups. These working groups have anywhere from four to nine staff members and they provide administrative support for anywhere from two to five boards or commissions. In total, there are 92 staff members at IPLA who are either working in one of these eleven working groups or are part of our overall operations division (IT, Human Resources, Legal Department, etc.).

For further reference, see the following information which has been attached hereto:

1.) An organizational chart for IPLA and explanation of working groups.
2.) A spreadsheet providing membership information for each of the 39 boards and commissions.
3.) Indiana Code 25-1-6, which created IPLA and lists the agency's functions and duties and Indiana Code 25-1-5, which created the Indiana Health Professions Bureau and lists that agency's functions and duties.*

*Note: Effective July 1, 2005, the Indiana Health Professions Bureau merged into IPLA to create one agency for all 39 boards and commissions listed in IC 25-1-5 and IC 25-1-6.
Several of these boards and commissions should merit elimination and/or combining for various reasons to make professional licensing more consistent, reliable and efficient in the State of Indiana. The questions and recommendations that this team has been able to discern are as follows:

1. One should consider the elimination of the Manufactured Home Installers Licensing Board for the reason that there is already a national licensing board applicable to home installers which would protect Indiana consumers. This board seems to be an unnecessary duplication of effort and licensing.

2. We question why there is an Indiana Plumbing Commission when there is not a Heating & Air or Electronics Commission requiring the licensure of professionals when licensure of all of these areas is necessary to protect life and health of Indiana citizens.

3. While the State Boxing Commission certainly needs some regulation, it seems more appropriate that this area be placed under the Gaming Commission because of tax revenue collection associated with boxing. One could question whether or not there should be an Athletic Commission that oversees all areas of sports. There is even the possibility of partnering with the Indianapolis Sports Corporation which merits some thought as well.

4. We fail to see why the Board of Barber Examiners could not be combined or partnered with the Cosmetology Examiners. They both have commonality of purpose and expertise.

5. Likewise, the State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers could be combined with the Land Surveyors Registration Board because of their many common areas.

6. It is our understanding that the Indiana Acupuncture Advisory Board is sunsetting this Fall and will, therefore, be eliminated accordingly.

7. Our information is that the Indiana Optometric Legend Drug Prescription Advisory Committee rarely meets. It would be more efficient for them to be placed or absorbed into the Optometry Board where it should belong.

8. The Athletic Trainers Board seems to be a duplication of an already existing strong national association that governs the regulation of athletic trainers. Thus, we fail to see any separate public interest from the State’s perspective that is not already being overseen by the national association.

9. Should there not be a Board of Behavioral Health which would combine the Indiana State Psychology Board and the Social Worker, Marriage and Family Therapist and Mental Health Counselor Board?

10. While there is certainly a need for a Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners, should that not be shifted under the auspices of the Board of Animal Health?

11. We fail to understand the public serve provided by the Indiana Auctioneers Commission other than reducing competition.

12. The Private Detective Licensing Board should probably be placed under Homeland Security for greater controls over background checks of applicants, etc. At the present time there is no real regulation, hardly any background checks are made, and accountability seems to be wanting in this area.
13. We fail to see what public purpose the Hypnotist Committee serves other than reducing competition.

14. The Environmental Health Specialists Board only licensed six people last year. There may be 300 of these sanitarians licensed in the entire state. Only a few county departments of health require sanitarians to be licensed. There is already a national certification available. This commission is a duplicate of the National Environmental Health Association (NEHA).

15. The Indiana Dietician Board is a duplication of the national organization. All Indiana dieticians are required to have a national certification.

16. The Committee of Hearing Aid Dealer Examiners have had very few disciplinary actions over the years. We fail to see the necessity of licensure requirements for dealers of these products.

17. The Indiana State Board of Health Facility Administrators, while necessary, should fall under the auspices of the State Board of Health.
### APPENDIX A

Sample of Spreadsheet used to organize responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board or Commission</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Statutory Authority</th>
<th>GEC Category</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>On Our List</th>
<th>Est Date</th>
<th>Sunset Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Council for the Office of Community and Rural Affairs</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>State Legislation 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7/13/2006</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1985 The Bureau was re-qualified Public Law 37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6/14/2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Commission</td>
<td>Administered By</td>
<td>Staffed By</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Long Term Goals</td>
<td>Most Important Accomplishments Over 3 Yrs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Council for the Office of Community and Rural Affairs</td>
<td>Office of Community and Rural Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td>There has been no meeting set. The first is set for August 4, 2006. The main function of the Council will be to provide feedback to the leadership of OCRA regarding the implementation of the Rural Strategy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td>Indiana Department of Labor</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>Make sure IN underground mines are operating safely/ ensure competent &amp; qualified certifications issued by state/always have 2 mine rescue teams trained to respond to mine emergency.</td>
<td>Update state testing procedures &amp; train new miners in coal theory coal mining application/To be certified &amp; able to teach classes to new generation of coal miners</td>
<td>New Dept Commissioner 3/2006 trying to make mines safer &amp; updating training procedures &amp; mine rescue &amp; recovery operations updated///State just approved &amp; issued to all mines the IN underground coal emergency response plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>3 Goals for This Year</td>
<td>Annual Budget</td>
<td>4 Largest Budget Items</td>
<td>Salaried Members</td>
<td>List of Consultants &amp; Advisors Used &amp; Amount Paid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Council for the Office of Community and Rural Affairs</td>
<td>Teaching certification to help teach new miners: to train &amp; always have mine reuse teams ready: to update the state testing procedure for underground miners</td>
<td>There is no budget. The council will meet semi-annually. Cost of meetings are minimal, to be absorbed by OCRA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td>No budget but has authority to assess &amp; collect from underground mine operators amount necessary to purchase &amp; maintain underground mine rescue equipment</td>
<td>Mine Rescue Equipment</td>
<td>Only one—Don McCorkle, Dept Commissioner</td>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment Purchases of 22,000 for this year from Bio-Marine in PA—United Central Supply in KY—CSE Mine Services in KY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>How to Reduce Expenditures by 10% in Next 2 Yrs</td>
<td>Serves All Areas of IN?</td>
<td>How to Make Group More Effective</td>
<td>Why Continue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Council for the Office of Community and Rural Affairs</td>
<td>No need to purchase three new breathing apparatus @ 6,000 each</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Keep up new training standards &amp; provide new miners with safe Mines &amp; knowledge of this safety.</td>
<td>Mines In IN need the Bureau to oversee them &amp; Make sure there are qualified, competent people doing these jobs safely &amp; with proper training &amp; to ensure the mine rescue &amp; recovery teams are available to answer any mine emergencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Rate Job in Last 2 Yrs</td>
<td>Board's Rating of Its Performance</td>
<td>Comments/Continue/Reorganize/Combine/Other</td>
<td>Agency Responded (Second Contact Effort)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Council for the Office of Community and Rural Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Commission</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Statutory Authority</td>
<td>GEC Category</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Response Date</td>
<td>Est Date</td>
<td>Sunset Date</td>
<td>Who Administers</td>
<td>Resp 4 Staffing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td></td>
<td>1985 The Bureau was re-qualified Public Law 37</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>6/14/2006</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td>Indiana Department of Labor</td>
<td>N.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter-Terrorism and Security Council</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Mara Snyder</td>
<td>IC 10-19-8</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6/20/2006</td>
<td>10/11/2001</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Indiana Department of Homeland Security</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Long Term Goals</td>
<td>3 yr most Imprt Accomplished</td>
<td>3 goals this year</td>
<td>Annual Budget</td>
<td>4 largest budget items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Council for the Office of Community and Rural Affairs</td>
<td>There has been no meeting set. The first is set for August 4, 2006. The main function of the Council will be to provide feedback to the leadership of OCRA regarding the implementation of the Rural Strategy.</td>
<td>Update state testing procedures &amp; train new miners in coal theory coal mining application / To be certified &amp; able to teach classes to new generation of coal miners</td>
<td>New Dept Commissioner 3/2006 trying to make mines safer &amp; ensuring training procedures &amp; mine rescue &amp; recovery operations updated // State just approved &amp; issued to all mines the IN underground coal emergency response plan</td>
<td>Teaching certification to help teach new miners: to train &amp; always have mine reuse teams ready: to update the state testing procedure for underground miners</td>
<td>There is no budget. The council will meet semi-annually. Cost of meetings are minimal, to be absorbed by OCRA.</td>
<td>No budget but has Mine Rescue Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td>Make sure IN underground mines are operating safely/ ensure competent &amp; qualified certifications issued by state/always have 2 mine rescue teams trained to respond to mine emergency.</td>
<td>Develop a strategy in concert with the Indiana Department of Homeland Security to enhance the State's capacity to prevent and respond to terrorism; Develop a counterterrorism plan in conjunction with relevant state agencies, including a comprehensive needs assessment; and review this plan each year and update when needed; Develop in concert with the Indiana Department of Homeland Security a counterterrorism curriculum for use in basic police training and for advanced in-service training of veteran law enforcement officers; Develop affiliates of the CTASC to coordinate local efforts and serve as the point of contact for the CTASC and the United States Department of Homeland Security.</td>
<td>The establishment of the Indiana Intelligence Fusion Center; The adoption of the Indiana Homeland Security Strategy; Establishing and adopting the Indiana District Planning Council Guidance to assist each of the Homeland Security Districts in establishing, organizing, and developing their District Planning Councils.</td>
<td>1) Establishment of a Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) for each state agency and the development of guidance for establishing COOP at the local level; 2) Establish District Councils in each of the 10 Homeland Security Districts in Indiana; 3) Establishing five (5) Operation plans including chemical, biological, radiological/nuclear, explosive and natural events.</td>
<td>No budget but has Mine Rescue Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Counter-Terrorism and Security Council

The mission of the Counterterrorism and Security Council (CTASC) is to develop and implement a comprehensive state strategy to address terrorism in Indiana.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board or Commission</th>
<th>Anyone receive salary?</th>
<th>List consult &amp; advisors used &amp; $ paid</th>
<th>How to reduce expenditures by 10% in next 2 years</th>
<th>All areas of IN?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Council for the Office of Community and Rural Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td>Only one—Don McCorkle, Dept Commissioner</td>
<td>Equipment Purchases of 22,000 for this year from Bio-Marine in PA—United Central Supply in KY–CSE Mine Services in KY</td>
<td>No need to purchase three new breathing apparatus @ 6,000 each</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter-Terrorism and Security Council</td>
<td>All except one of the members of the CTASC are state employees, judicial employees or legislators that receive their regular pay from the state.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>How to make group more effective</td>
<td>Why to be cont’d</td>
<td>Rate job in last 2 years</td>
<td>Served IN citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Council for the Office of Community and Rural Affairs</td>
<td>Keep up new training standards &amp; provide new miners with safe Mines &amp; knowledge of this safety.</td>
<td>Mines In IN need the Bureau to oversee them &amp; Make sure there are qualified, competent people doing these jobs safely &amp; with proper training &amp; to ensure the mine rescue &amp; recovery teams are available to answer any mine emergencies</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Mines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter-Terrorism and Security Council</td>
<td>To increase participation of state and federal partners.</td>
<td>CTASC brings together members of the executive, legislative, and judicial branches of state government and one local law enforcement representative, in addition to representatives from the U.S. Department of Justice, to help guide the state in the prevention of, preparation for, and response to acts of terrorism.</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Statutory Authority</td>
<td>GEC Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Main Street Council</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Amy Tyra</td>
<td>IC 4-4-16</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Tourism Council</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Amy Tyra</td>
<td></td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Of Financial Institutions</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Judith D. Ripley</td>
<td>Created in 1933 from the results of a two year state legislative study</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Long Term Goals</td>
<td>3 yr most Impor Accomplishments</td>
<td>3 goals this year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Main Street Council</td>
<td>Indiana Main Street encourages and assists Indiana communities to build and sustain vital downtowns through organization, design/preservation, promotion and economic restructuring.</td>
<td>offer more educational opportunities; acquire more financial support in order to offer more services and grants; acquire more financial support in order to offer more services and grants; acquire financial support in order to add a Design and Business Development person(s)</td>
<td>greatly increased the communications coming from the state coordinator’s office; director has made herself readily available to speak at all community meetings; staff has worked diligently on the cultivation of renewing partnerships with state agencies and organizations</td>
<td>Complete the reorganization of the program; Complete and launch the new website; Continue to travel to the communities and keep the lines of communication growing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Tourism Council</td>
<td>The Indiana Tourism Council acts as an advisory body to the Office of Tourism Development</td>
<td>Develop long-term funding mechanism for tourism projects; develop agri-tourism marketing program; develop product development incentive program</td>
<td>The establishment of a separate Office of Tourism</td>
<td>Create a new tourism brand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookville Lake Regional Waste District</td>
<td>Wastewater Utility</td>
<td>To provide efficient, safe, effective wastewater services &amp; protection of public &amp; environmental health</td>
<td>Completed public sewers to areas in need, 6 years planning for next project, no rate increase since 2000 while meeting all obligations.</td>
<td>Continue plan for current project, improve efficiency of overall operation, stay under budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau Of Motor Vehicles Commission</td>
<td>1) Updt BMV policies; 2) recom legis; 3) adopt budgets; 4) adopt stds 4 lic branches; 5) contract 4 private branch operators</td>
<td>1) Cmplt system conversion in branches; 2) impl perf. Mgmt system; 3) reduce wait time &lt; 20 minutes</td>
<td>1) system conversion (in proc); 2) Rewrite employee manual; 3) change Br optg hours; 4) Closing branches</td>
<td>1) Open mail proc cntr; 2) reduce wait time; 3) Trng initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Of Financial Institutions</td>
<td>Protect depositors, borrowers, shareholders, and consumers by enforcing state and federal laws</td>
<td>Safety &amp; soundness of state chartered financial institutions</td>
<td>Safety &amp; soundness of fin inst--compliance with consumer lending laws--development &amp; maintenance of qualified proft staff</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Anyone receive salary?</td>
<td>List consult &amp; advisors used &amp; $ paid</td>
<td>How to reduce expenditures by 10% in next 2 years</td>
<td>All areas of IN?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Main Street Council</td>
<td>Director and Program Assistant</td>
<td>National Main Street Center=$48K; Ball State Center for Historic Preservation=$16K; Cornelius O’Brien Conference on Historic Preservation and Main Street=$5K</td>
<td>Decrease traveling by attending the Nat’l Preservation Conf every other year</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Tourism Council</td>
<td>Several of our council seats are proscribed in the legislation for state employees including:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookville Lake Regional Waste District</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Ice Miller-15M Unbough--24M</td>
<td>Limit outsource, improve efficiency</td>
<td>no, only area served</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau Of Motor Vehicles Commission</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1) $50K perf mgmt impl; 2) $100K new br design</td>
<td>1) PR reductions; 2) Red CC fees; 3) hire PT workers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Of Financial Institutions</td>
<td>None from state--All expenses covered by fees charged Financial Inst.</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Self funding &amp; fees set to cover costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>How to make group more effective</td>
<td>Why to be cont'd</td>
<td>Rate job in last 2 years</td>
<td>Served IN citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Main Street Council</td>
<td>Increase technical assistance to active Main Street Communities</td>
<td>Only coordinating program for the state through the National Main Street Center.</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Tourism Council</td>
<td>This group is totally volunteer – our challenge is to keep everyone engaged in the work groups and actions of the Council.</td>
<td>There is no other organization that provides this level of expertise across the industry. Representatives serve from hotels, restaurants, festivals, government entities, convention &amp; visitors bureaus, attractions, museums, universities, golf courses, retail, wineries, bed &amp; breakfasts, casinos, etc. They provide valuable advice to the IoTDD</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookville Lake Regional Waste District</td>
<td>Establishing partnership with other agencies, funding projects needed to protect public health</td>
<td>Needed to meet wastewater disposal &amp; treatment in areas of state where needed</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau Of Motor Vehicles Commission</td>
<td>&quot;Brand new group but working well after 2 meetings.&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Runs the branches across the State.&quot;</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Of Financial Institutions</td>
<td>Have qualified people on the staff and board of the agency</td>
<td>Safety &amp; soundness of Indiana Financial Institutions</td>
<td>excellent</td>
<td>excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Statutory Authority</td>
<td>GEC Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Workforce Development</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Contact-Anne Valentine</td>
<td>IC 4-4-31.4</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission for Women</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td></td>
<td>1992--Senate Bill 500 Executive Order 92-15</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Emergency Management, Fire And Building Services And Public Safety Training Foundation</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Jon Dietz</td>
<td>IC 10-15-2</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Long Term Goals</td>
<td>3 yr most Imprt Accomplished</td>
<td>3 goals this year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Workforce Development</td>
<td>Study problems American of Indiana Native American Indianas in areas of employment, education, civil rights, health &amp; housing for solutions to appropriate government &amp; community entities</td>
<td>See Mission</td>
<td>See Mission</td>
<td>See Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission for Women</td>
<td>Committed to the full participation of IN women in all areas, making Indiana a better place to live work &amp; raise a family by assessing IN women's needs &amp; to work strategically for systematic change in way IN's communities, Political, economic &amp; educational spheres interact with them.</td>
<td>Foster understanding of women's issues for the purpose of affecting social change thru policy &amp; initiatives which further the economic &amp; social life of IN women. Develop a on-going methodology for continually assessing the status &amp; needs of IN women &amp; their families. Recognize IN women who have overcome or removed barriers to equality or whose achievements have made our state a better place to live &amp; raise a family</td>
<td>Web Site—Torchbearer Awards—Research &amp; Publication of legislative &amp; health guides</td>
<td>Complete a 3 part statistics project for Governor's office &amp; 2007 General Assembly. Develop &amp; produce an educational media on Credit Card Debt geared toward young women, possibly targeting IN college cities &amp; towns. Work with partner organizations to host a business expansion seminar for successful women business owners to help them &quot;Take it to the Next Level&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission On Vocational And Technical Education</td>
<td>Coordinate, approve &amp; evaluate vocational education systems &amp; programs in state. The ICVTE also conducts needs assessments, receives &amp; distributes federal funds, &amp; develops the State Plan for vocational education</td>
<td>Meet legislative mandate: meets needs of state's career &amp; technical education: Link between providers &amp; consumers of career &amp; technical education</td>
<td>Meet legislative mandate: meets needs of state's career &amp; technical education: Link between providers &amp; consumers of career &amp; technical education</td>
<td>See 7/10/2006 memo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Emergency Management, Fire And Building Services And Public Safety Training Foundation</td>
<td>Provide support &amp; grants to Pub Sfty agencies in IN</td>
<td>Pub Sfty Ed; Pub Sfty Wellness; Pub Sfty Prof'l Dev</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1) Reestab Fndtn Brd; 2) Reconv Fndtn Brd; 3) Process backed up Grant reqts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Anyone receive salary?</td>
<td>List consl &amp; advisors used &amp; $ paid</td>
<td>How to reduce expenditures by 10% in next 2 years</td>
<td>All areas of IN?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Workforce Development</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission for Women</td>
<td>Director &amp; part time (hourly) assistant</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Will have increased expenses as ICW will be taking on more responsibilities such as Sexual Assault Standards &amp; Certification Board</td>
<td>Commissioners appointed by Governor, Speaker, &amp; President Pro Tempore with goal to represent all areas of state. Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission On Vocational And Technical Education</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11 members representing, &amp; living in each Indiana congressional district. Also there is a cross-section of representatives from secondary education, business, agriculture, school administration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Emergency Management, Fire And Building Services And Public Safety Training Foundation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&quot;N/A&quot;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>How to make group more effective</td>
<td>Why to be cont'd</td>
<td>Rate job in last 2 years</td>
<td>Served IN citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Workforce Development</td>
<td>Move Native American Indian Affairs to Civil Rights Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td>fair</td>
<td>fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission for Women</td>
<td>Commissioners need to understand need to attend meetings &amp; participate &amp; help plan for future &amp; present. Would like to have support from the Civil Rights Commission</td>
<td>Women's issues have changed since the 1970's but issues still exist &amp; must be identified &amp; addressed in order to meet the Governor's goals of economic growth &amp; growing prosperity for IN families.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission On Vocational And Technical Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Emergency Management, Fire And Building Services And Public Safety Training Foundation</td>
<td>Soon 2 be reorg under IN Dept of Homeland Security</td>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Statutory Authority</td>
<td>GEC Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Gaming Commission</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Ernest Yelton</td>
<td>September 1993 by state statute</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Quarter Horse Breed Development</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Shirley Tresner</td>
<td>Started 1999</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standardbred</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Jeff Henson</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Department Of Health</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Robert Currie</td>
<td>IC 4-21.5 and IC 4-22-2</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Police Board</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>James Heyde,</td>
<td>IC 10-11-2 sec. 5 &amp; 10</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Veterans' Home</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>James Bonner</td>
<td>Title 38, U.S.C. 1741-1743</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Veterans' Home</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>James Bonner</td>
<td>Title 38, U.S.C. 1741-1743</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Long Term Goals</td>
<td>3 yr most Imprt Accomp</td>
<td>3 goals this year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Gaming Commission</td>
<td>Promote to benefit the people of Indiana by promoting tourism &amp; assisting economic development by comprehensive law enforcement supervision &amp; the strict regulation of facilities, persons associations, &amp; gambling operations</td>
<td>Improve methods of regulation. --- Replace Indiana State Troopers serving as gaming agents at casinos. --- Rewrite administrative rules to meet technological &amp; regulatory innovations. --- Maintain &amp; enhance the unannounced audit program.</td>
<td>Indiana State Trooper replacement in Indy office by commission agents -- Training programs for investigations &amp; agents serving casinos -- Success of the French Lick casino project</td>
<td>Transition of gaming agents --- Alternative marine &amp; life-safety programs for vessels -- Revise administrative rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Quarter Horse Breed Development Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Register quarter horses to incent race horse owners &amp; breeders &amp; stallion owner to help promote investment of capital into IN economy</td>
<td>Improve the quality of horses &amp; purse supplements, educate the horsemen of the program.</td>
<td>Getting program started. Brochure to promote program. Filling races for IN breds</td>
<td>Continue 3 yr goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standardbred Advisory Board</td>
<td>&quot;To further the Indiana Standardbred Industry while stimulating the State's Agribusiness economy.&quot;</td>
<td>1) Preserve IN breeding pgm; 2) Provide quality racing opps for IN-sired horses; 3) Preserve IN County Fair Racing Pgm</td>
<td>1) Cmp lyr grant pgm 4 imprvmts to county fairs; 2) Chgs to Fair pgm in response 2 2nd pari-mutuel race trk; 3) Rev'd fin'l benefits &amp; made changes</td>
<td>1) Adm funds for racing pgm; 2) promote IN breeding industry; 3) promote IN Standardbred industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Department Of Health Executive Board</td>
<td>Ultimate authority 4 matters under IC 4-21.5+</td>
<td>Updtr reg re pub health; input 2/ISDH on pub issues</td>
<td>See response</td>
<td>See response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Police Board</td>
<td>See IC 10-11-2-5; 10-11-2-10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Veterans' Home Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Committee to help superintendent &amp; State Health Commissioner concerning ways &amp; means of improving the institution &amp; care of residents in institution</td>
<td>To ensure long-term care for Indiana's elderly Veterans (their widows/widowers/spouses) as long as there are Veterans needing essential nursing facility services.</td>
<td>Involvement of all staff to ensure goals are met &amp; to educate members to changes: Support &amp; recommendations regarding closure &amp; rehab of buildings(2 floors of Ernie Pyle Hall): Support Medicaid certification</td>
<td>Ensure high quality of services as required: Ensure IVH operates cost effectively within allocated budget &amp; attains Medicaid Certification: Reduce &amp; eliminate waiting list time for Veterans to facilitate non-Veteran family members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Veterans' Home Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Advisory capacity 2 St. Health Comm &amp; Supt of Institution</td>
<td>Long term care 2 IN veterans &amp; spouse</td>
<td>1) I/VH cfs into Vet Org; 2) Renov of 2 floors of Ernie Pyle; 3) Medicaid cert</td>
<td>1) Quality care; 2) Cost efficient; 3) Reduce waiting time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Anyone receive salary?</td>
<td>List consil &amp; advisors used &amp; $ paid</td>
<td>How to reduce expenditures by 10% in next 2 years</td>
<td>All areas of IN?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Gaming Commission</td>
<td>Full-time employees---32 Admin---10 investigative--- Total 42</td>
<td>$2,400,000 from 12 different c/a used</td>
<td>Lawsuits &amp; need for more audits &amp; training will make it unlikely any reductions to be made</td>
<td>5 casinos on Lake Michigan, 5 casinos on the Ohio River &amp; the French Lick casino project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Quarter Horse Breed Development Advisory Committee</td>
<td>None from State</td>
<td>Harley Greene--3,135///Field inspector for mares &amp; stallions</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standardbred Advisory Board</td>
<td>&quot;1 and on avg 13 indiv are paid to assist in drug testing pgm.&quot;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&quot;We will continue to review &amp; elim, when nec, line items from our budget.&quot;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Department Of Health Executive Board</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Reduce overnight accom (~10%)</td>
<td>Yes, appt'd by Gov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Police Board</td>
<td>6 of 6</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&quot;During 2005 donated all salary ($50/mtg) &amp; mileage&quot;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Veterans' Home Advisory Committee</td>
<td>State Director of Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Reduce meetings from 4 to 3 per year. Reduce # of members</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Veterans' Home Advisory Committee</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1) Reduce # mtgs; 2) Reduce # mbrs; 3) Reduce trvl &amp; per diem</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>How to make group more effective</td>
<td>Why to be cont'd</td>
<td>Rate job in last 2 years</td>
<td>Served IN citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Gaming Commission</td>
<td>Complete training of gaming agents &amp; deploy to all 11 casinos. Unannounced audits. Training for staff</td>
<td>To meet statutory obligations as regulators of gaming &amp; to maintain the integrity of the gaming industry in Indiana.</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Quarter Horse Breed Development Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Promote program to get more mares to breed &amp; improve breed with better stock</td>
<td>To promote quarter horses &amp; give incentives to breed &amp; increase quarter houses in IN</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standardbred Advisory Board</td>
<td>&quot;Creating more working committees within our group, using volunteers from within the industry.&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;...preserve the IN Fair racing pgm&quot;</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Department Of Health Executive Board</td>
<td>More formal orient'ln on duties &amp; updts on ISDH respons.</td>
<td>Est by IC</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana State Police Board</td>
<td>&quot;Having new superintendent show more respect to the board.&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;We serve as a check &amp; balance to the executive staff. Also we are the judge &amp; jury for appeals of troopers who have been reprimanded.&quot;</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Veterans' Home Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Home to report to Committee every 2 months: Improve Communications between committee &amp; Health Dept</td>
<td>To ensure VA &amp; CMS requirements for governing board are met: To maintain proactive liaison between the IVH, State Veterans Affairs, State Dept. of Health, &amp; Veterans organizations.</td>
<td>excellent</td>
<td>excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Veterans' Home Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1) Rpt ea 2 mos; 2) Mbr of Health Dept attend mtgs</td>
<td>Ensure VA &amp; CMS req'mt met</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Statutory Authority</td>
<td>GEC Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana War Memorials Commission</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Brian Regan</td>
<td>State Legislation, 1887 1920-1923 &amp; other dates</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Training Board</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Paul Whitesell</td>
<td>State Legislation, 1969</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana Commuter Transportation District</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>David Niezgodski</td>
<td>Created by state statute in 1977</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecuting Attorneys Council</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>J. Scott Callahan</td>
<td>IC 33-39-8-2</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Armory Board</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>David Shorter, Controller</td>
<td>IC 10-16-3</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Long Term Goals</td>
<td>3 yr most Imp rt Accomplishments</td>
<td>3 goals this year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana War Memorials Commission</td>
<td>Maintain memorials &amp; parks to commemorate our armed forces: Provide place for their headquarters &amp; a meeting place for them &amp; for other public meetings &amp; special events: Keep records archives documents etc.</td>
<td>Maintain, restore, rehabilitate, facilities: restore Civil War Flag collection: update exhibits &amp; new exhibit &quot;Contributions of Hoosiers to the War&quot;</td>
<td>Renovation of Veterans Memorial Park: Legislative establishment of Indiana: Renovation of National American Legion Building</td>
<td>Complete fountain lips restoration at Soldiers/Sailors Monument: Complete repairs on USS Indianapolis Monument: Establish market plan to Indiana citizens &amp; visitors of the parks &amp; monuments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Training Board</td>
<td>Deliver initial police certification training as well as in-service training to police/agencies statewide. ILEA a state repository for all police training records</td>
<td>Develop &amp; enlarge training facilities.---Increase training staff to lower students/instructor ratio.---Increase administrative staff to facilitate better training across state.</td>
<td>New records management system.--Internet training -based police training network.--Accredited by Commission of Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>Increase compensation of training staff.—Police officer certification revocation became statutory.—Better use of technology for training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana Commuter Transportation District</td>
<td>To provide safe reliable &amp; affordable commuter rail passenger service in northwestern Indiana</td>
<td>Enhance the safety our service, improve service reliability, reduce travel time, &amp; expand capacity</td>
<td>Replaced 5 bridges without disrupted service—New station police headquarters in E Chicago-75Miles of fiber optic cable</td>
<td>High level boarding platforms at Hegewisch—Completing fiber optic signal system Phase 1—ByPass track to eliminate bottleneck where SS &amp; Metra Electric at Kensington Interlocking merge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecuting Attorneys Council</td>
<td>Coordination of duties of PA of the state &amp; their staff. Manuals of procedure. Help in preparation of trial, forms, &amp; instructions. Conduct research &amp; studies for PA &amp; staffs. Liaison with study comm &amp; agencies of all levels of gov to benefit law enforcement &amp; fair justice in IN</td>
<td>Continue to carry out mission statement</td>
<td>Training of PA. Answering many legal questions for PA. Statewide computer network that transmits criminal history info to State Police</td>
<td>Same as mentioned in 3 yr goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Armory Board</td>
<td>&quot;Provide, manage &amp; care for Armories for use by the Military &amp; Naval forces of IN&quot;</td>
<td>1) Maintain structures; 2) Close selected Armories; 3) Analyze future military reqmts</td>
<td>1) Supr'td soldiers deployed to Iraq; 2) maintain Armory needs; 3)Suprtd recruiting of IN Nat'l Guard</td>
<td>1) Maintain structures; 2) Close selected Armories; 3) Suprtd Soldiers &amp; Airmen in War on Terror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Anyone receive salary?</td>
<td>List consil &amp; advisors used &amp; $ paid</td>
<td>How to reduce expenditures by 10% in next 2 years</td>
<td>All areas of IN?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana War Memorials Commission</td>
<td>21 State employees</td>
<td>Many listed</td>
<td>They reduced their budget recently 7% by terminating two &amp; held to vacancies unfilled. May need to reevaluate filling some of these positions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Training Board</td>
<td>70 employees of Academy</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Unfilled positions to stay that way.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana Commuter Transportation District</td>
<td>None from State</td>
<td>Total Contractors &amp; Consultants for 2004--- 14,241,189</td>
<td>Costs are directly related to service levels. Goal is to keep costs growth in line with inflation</td>
<td>NICTD represents the interest of its 4 county service area( Lake,LaPorte,Port er, and St Joseph counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecuting Attorneys Council</td>
<td>All staff Not Board</td>
<td>ProsLink---360,410 for 2005</td>
<td>Budget flatlined for years. May be able to use Internet in distributing info PA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Armory Board</td>
<td>1) Adjutant General (Agency Head); 2) Military Dept of IN</td>
<td>$25K to Lewis &amp; Kappes</td>
<td>1) Close up to 6 Armories but closure based upon DoD Troop level auth</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>How to make group more effective</td>
<td>Why to be cont’d</td>
<td>Rate job in last 2 years</td>
<td>Served IN citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana War Memorials Commission</td>
<td>Need to improve communication among various service groups by inviting them to quarterly Commission meetings.</td>
<td>Need to continue so that commission can meet it's unique charter &amp; responsibilities</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Training Board</td>
<td>Maybe more Board meetings and better students/instructors ratio</td>
<td>Board needed to oversee quality police officers, issuing compliance to train statewide, &amp; to maintain balance between autonomy of local police agencies &amp; policies regarding training new &amp; in-service</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana Commuter Transportation District</td>
<td>Need to increase source of long-term capital and operating funding sufficient to meet the growing demands of our commuter rail market</td>
<td>In order to continue providing quality commuter rail service between northwest Indiana &amp; Chicago</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecuting Attorneys Council</td>
<td>More of the same services now being provided</td>
<td>Need to continue training PA. Help to many state committees and info for legislature. Need for criminal info for State Police delivered by computer system</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Armory Board</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Suprt soldiers, airmen &amp; taxpayers of IN</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Statutory Authority</td>
<td>GEC Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Egg Board</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Virginia Burbrink</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Use Committee</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Kenneth R. Cross</td>
<td>1976- IC16-32-2 7 IC 5 22-13</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Use Committee</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Kenneth R. Cross</td>
<td>1976- IC16-32-2 7 IC 5 22-13</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Long Term Goals</td>
<td>3 yr most Imprt Accompl</td>
<td>3 goals this year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Egg Board</td>
<td>Protect the public supply of eggs via inspections</td>
<td>Continue providing inspections</td>
<td>1) Update rules; 2) Website enh; 3) Increase number of inspections</td>
<td>1) Revisit fee structure; 2) Revise staff placement; 3) Reduce travel costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Use Committee</td>
<td>Coordination of duties of PA of the state &amp; their staff. Manuals of procedure. Help in preparation of trial, forms, &amp; instructions. Conduct research &amp; studies for PA &amp; staffs. Liaison with study comm &amp; agencies of all levels of gov to benefit law enforcement</td>
<td>Increase # of persons with disabilities who are working. Increase disposable income of persons with disabilities. Increase # of persons with disabilities who are competitively employed</td>
<td>Completion of econ. Impact study of the financial impact of employment of these peoples. Persons working with disabilities are working &amp; paying taxes rather than receiving benefits from taxes. Creating value for IN by providing quality products like Rest Area program</td>
<td>Increase # of people participating in program. Increase # of certified non-profits eligible to participate. Increase Sales of products &amp; services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Use Committee</td>
<td>Coordination of duties of PA of the state &amp; their staff. Manuals of procedure. Help in preparation of trial, forms, &amp; instructions. Conduct research &amp; studies for PA &amp; staffs. Liaison with study comm &amp; agencies of all levels of gov to benefit law enforcement</td>
<td>Increase # of persons with disabilities who are working. Increase disposable income of persons with disabilities. Increase # of persons with disabilities who are competitively employed</td>
<td>Completion of econ. Impact study of the financial impact of employment of these peoples. Persons working with disabilities are working &amp; paying taxes rather than receiving benefits from taxes. Creating value for IN by providing quality products like Rest Area program</td>
<td>Increase # of people participating in program. Increase # of certified non-profits eligible to participate. Increase Sales of products &amp; services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Anyone receive salary?</td>
<td>List consult &amp; advisors used &amp; paid</td>
<td>How to reduce expenditures by 10% in next 2 years</td>
<td>All areas of IN?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Egg Board</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Pgm is fee based &amp; receives no state funding; expenses approved via Purdue; few avenues for 10% reduction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Use Committee</td>
<td>Dir Division of Disability &amp; Rehabilitation Services/ Commissioner of Dept of Admin, Comm of Dept of Health, Dir of Divison Mental Health Addiction, EX Dir of Governor's Planning Council for people with Disabilities &amp; three at large members appointed by the Governor</td>
<td>Central Coordinating Agency facilitates delivery of purchase requests &amp; ensures timely return of finished products &amp; services. The CCA is paid a service fee by participating work centers at a rate approved by the State Use Committee.</td>
<td>Does not spend State money other than reimbursement of Committee members as written in IC 16-32-2-4</td>
<td>Of the eight member committee- 5 members are identified in 16-32-2-3 &amp; 3 are appointed by Governor. 1 represents southern IN &amp; 2 represents central IN, northern IN not represented presently. Currently 36 community based non-profit orgs are in the program &amp; they represent all regions of state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Use Committee</td>
<td>Dir Division of Disability &amp; Rehabilitation Services/ Commissioner of Dept of Admin, Comm of Dept of Health, Dir of Divison Mental Health Addiction, EX Dir of Governor's Planning Council for people with Disabilities &amp; three at large members appointed by the Governor</td>
<td>Central Coordinating Agency facilitates delivery of purchase requests &amp; ensures timely return of finished products &amp; services. The CCA is paid a service fee by participating work centers at a rate approved by the State Use Committee.</td>
<td>Does not spend State money other than reimbursement of Committee members as written in IC 16-32-2-4</td>
<td>Of the eight member committee- 5 members are identified in 16-32-2-3 &amp; 3 are appointed by Governor. 1 represents southern IN &amp; 2 represents central IN, northern IN not represented presently. Currently 36 community based non-profit orgs are in the program &amp; they represent all regions of state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>How to make group more effective</td>
<td>Why to be cont'd</td>
<td>Rate job in last 2 years</td>
<td>Served IN citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Egg Board</td>
<td>&quot;Our group is quite effective..&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;We believe our Board has been extremely effective..provide a vital service..&quot;</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Use Committee</td>
<td>Develop statewide public relations program.</td>
<td>Workers contribute tax dollars to IN &amp; need less or no publi assistance. Crowe Chizek study shows that every $ earned by program worker who earns at least 10,000 annually saves about 1.07 in State resources</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Workers contribute tax dollars to IN & need less or no publi assistance.
- Crowe Chizek study shows that every $ earned by program worker who earns at least 10,000 annually saves about 1.07 in State resources.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board or Commission</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Chairman</th>
<th>Statutory Authority</th>
<th>GEC Category</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Date</th>
<th>Est Date</th>
<th>Sunset Date</th>
<th>Who Administers</th>
<th>Resp 4 Staffing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turkey Creek Regional Sewer District</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Larry Clodfelter ( ? on last name spelling)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11/28/2005</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Board</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Mayor James Acton</td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11/22/2005</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central Indiana Economic Development District</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Mike Costello-President</td>
<td>P.L.89-136</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11/28/2005</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Agency is 501 (3) corporation funded by federal/state/local sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standardbred Breed Development Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Ernie Haskin</td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11/30/2005</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>IN Horse Racing Commission</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Long Term Goals</td>
<td>3 yr most Impor Accompl</td>
<td>3 goals this year</td>
<td>Annual Budget</td>
<td>4 largest budget items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Workforce Investment Council effective 7/1/2006</td>
<td>Develop &amp; implement, efficient, effective, &amp; integrated workforce development system to provide employment opport., education, &amp; training programs &amp; services to insure all Hoosiers have skills &amp; opports necessary to earn a living wage.</td>
<td>Inject flexibility, competition, &amp; accountability into IN public funded workforce system that in past spent on administration, to train more Hoosiers, empowering regions to develop solutions best for their needs, 7 align workforce development with econ Development</td>
<td>Former State Human Resource Investment Council did not meet for 2 years. Enacting Workforce Inv Council along with three recent meetings of original commission</td>
<td>Grow jobs &amp; employment, grow pers income, &amp; deliver premier customer service</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Workforce Investment Council effective 7/1/2006</td>
<td>Develop &amp; implement, efficient, effective, &amp; integrated workforce development system to provide employment opport., education, &amp; training programs &amp; services to insure all Hoosiers have skills &amp; opports necessary to earn a living wage.</td>
<td>Inject flexibility, competition, &amp; accountability into IN public funded workforce system that in past spent on administration, to train more Hoosiers, empowering regions to develop solutions best for their needs, 7 align workforce development with econ Development</td>
<td>Former State Human Resource Investment Council did not meet for 2 years. Enacting Workforce Inv Council along with three recent meetings of original commission</td>
<td>Grow jobs &amp; employment, grow pers income, &amp; deliver premier customer service</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey Creek Regional Sewer District</td>
<td>Oper sewer system 4 1750+ cust &amp; 230 water cust</td>
<td>1) Continue sewer collection; 2) Extend svc 2 300 unserviced; 3) Sewer portions of Syracuse Lake</td>
<td>1) Compl w/ IDEM &amp; USEPA; 2) Elim inflow &amp; infiltration; 3) Qty svc inspite of inc costs</td>
<td>Trbl free sewer &amp; water service</td>
<td>$1.2M from users</td>
<td>1) Optn &amp;maint; 2) debt svc; 3) staff PR; 4) util</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central Indiana Economic Development District</td>
<td>Economy &amp; community development assistance, transportation planning, and planning &amp; coordination of programs for aged &amp; disabled of west central IN</td>
<td>Promote employment thru job creation activities: Continue development of S.E SR641 bypass: Services &amp; assistance for aged &amp; disabled of West Cen IN</td>
<td>3 new Manufacturing facilities in WCIN: Development of SR641 bypass: completion of Long Range Transportation Plan</td>
<td>Stabilize funding for agency: Develop funding for Terre Haute transportation network: Create additional jobs for WCIN</td>
<td>5,187,426 for 2004</td>
<td>Payroll 1,970,501/Contract Services--1,883,875/Food &amp; related costs--255,220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standardbred Breed Development Advisory Committee</td>
<td>&quot;..promote the quality breeding of horses while stimulating the agri-business sector of the State's economy.&quot;</td>
<td>1) chg'd pgm from 1 pari-mutual trk to 2 trks; 2) cont promote pgm on growth of IN stdbrd; 3) work w/ racetrks</td>
<td>1) cont IN Sired pgm; 2) promote awareness; 3) review innovative ideas on how to improve pgm</td>
<td>$34M</td>
<td>1) Pari-mutuel purse supl; 2) Owner awards; 3) County Fair Purse supl; 4) Breeder's Awards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Anyone receive salary?</td>
<td>List consl &amp; advisors used &amp; $ paid</td>
<td>How to reduce expenditures by 10% in next 2 years</td>
<td>All areas of IN?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Workforce Investment Council effective 7/1/2006</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Workforce Investment Council effective 7/1/2006</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey Creek Regional Sewer District</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1) Atty $20K; 2) Finl cnsl $11K; 3) Eng firm $140K; 4) Cnslt @ $237K; 5) Lining srvrs @ $744K</td>
<td>None except to reduce regs &amp; costs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Board</td>
<td>4 mbrs rec salary</td>
<td>Navigant Cnsltg $3.6M</td>
<td>Utilize State employees</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central Indiana Economic Development District</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Fox Canteen catering 628,144///Bernardin Lochmueller 175,000///Crowe Chizek &amp; Co 14,000</td>
<td>During past year have cut 10 full time positions, reduced hours of 6 employees, &amp; closed 3 senior dining sites</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standardbred Breed Development Advisory Committee</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&quot;Our funding is legislated. It would be counterproductive to reduce our expenditures since our purpose is to grow the industry. Any reduction would have a negative impact to the horse racing industry and the State's Agri-business economy.&quot;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>How to make group more effective</td>
<td>Why to be cont'd</td>
<td>Rate job in last 2 yrs</td>
<td>Served IN citizens</td>
<td>Comments/Continue/Reorganize/Combine/Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Workforce Investment Council</td>
<td>The new State Workforce Investment Council is smaller, more focused &amp; the move was designed to improve efficiency in a council that had not meet for 2 yrs.</td>
<td>Federal Workforce Investment Act.</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>effective 7/1/2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Workforce Investment Council</td>
<td>The new State Workforce Investment Council is smaller, more focused &amp; the move was designed to improve efficiency in a council that had not meet for 2 yrs.</td>
<td>Federal Workforce Investment Act.</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>effective 7/1/2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey Creek Regional Sewer District</td>
<td>Cont monitoring 4 early detection of probl areas</td>
<td>$20M investment to protect</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Income=expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground Storage</td>
<td>See attached</td>
<td>See attached</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Financial Assurance Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central Indiana Economic Development</td>
<td>Funding has been reduced 20-30%, staff reductions to conform with funding, senior services reduced to provide maximum effectiveness within funding levels</td>
<td>agency provides critical services to 6 member counties in economic development, transportation, and programs &amp; services for aged &amp; disabled</td>
<td>excellent</td>
<td>excellent</td>
<td>Continue/ Do other areas in the state have this kind of program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Standardbred Breed Development</td>
<td>Cont to appt quality ind'vids who have knowledge of the Stdbrd Industry</td>
<td>&quot;This group should be continued in order to gather input from individuals who are participating in our pgms. This input and this grp is nec to advise the IHRC on how to impl the Breed Dev Pgars.&quot;</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Statutory Authority</td>
<td>GEC Category</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Response Date</td>
<td>Est Date</td>
<td>Sunset Date</td>
<td>Who Administers</td>
<td>Resp 4 Staffing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission on Hispanic/Latino Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exec Dir. Amy Memdoza</td>
<td></td>
<td>Economic Development &amp; Transportation</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>7/11/2006</td>
<td>Aug. 7 1998</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>The Indiana Dept. of Workforce Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Long Term Goals</td>
<td>3 yr most Imprt Accomp</td>
<td>3 goals this year</td>
<td>Annual Budget</td>
<td>4 largest budget items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission on Hispanic/Latino Affairs</td>
<td>Improve status of Hispanic/Latino people in state.</td>
<td>See memo Dated 7/10/2006 from Ronal L. Stiver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>Salaries, Conference expenses, expenses paid to DWD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board or Commission</td>
<td>Anyone receive salary?</td>
<td>List consultants &amp; advisors used &amp; paid</td>
<td>How to reduce expenditures by 10% in next 2 years</td>
<td>All areas of IN?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission on</td>
<td>per IC 4-23-28-9 &amp;</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino Affairs</td>
<td>per diem expenses per</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sec 9 under IC 410-11-2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There are 13 non state employee commissioners on the commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board or Commission</th>
<th>How to make group more effective</th>
<th>Why to be cont'd</th>
<th>Rate job in last 2 years</th>
<th>Served IN citizens</th>
<th>Comments/Continue/Reorganize/Combine/Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Commission on Hispanic/Latino Affairs</td>
<td>establish formal process for appointing authorities to appoint commissioners. Increase budget to hire commission staff thereby increasing commission research. Commission currently has 1 full time &amp; 2 part time.</td>
<td>See 7/10/2006 memo from Ronald L. Stiver, Commissioner, Department of Workforce Development</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Place Indiana Commission on Hispanic/Latino Affairs under umbrella of Indiana Civil Rights Commission per memo 7/10/2006 from Ronald L. Stiver, Commissioner, Dept of Workforce Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Standardbred Advisory Board

When was this group originated? 1973

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Horse Racing Commission

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/a

What is your Mission? To further the Indiana Standardbred Industry while stimulating the State's Agri-business economy.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

To continue to meet the needs of the Standardbred horsemen participating and investing in the IN Standardbred Industry. 1. To preserve the IN Breeding Program 2. Provide quality racing opportunities for IN Sired horses. 3. Preserve the IN County Fair Racing Program.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Implemented a grant program for improvements to county fairs that host racing .
2. Successfully made modifications to the Fair Program in response to the addition of a 2nd Parimutuel racetrack in Indiana. 3. Consistently reviewed financial benefits of our programs and made modifications when necessary.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Properly administer funds for fair racing program 2. Continue to promote the IN Breeding Industry. 3. Continue to promote the IN Standardbred Industry and improve awareness of the benefits of this industry.

What is your Annual Budget? $193,000

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Promotional Expenditures, Purses, Drug Testing Program, and Awards.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? On average 13 individuals are paid to assist in the drug testing program. Some of these individuals may only be paid once.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year: N/A.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? We will continue to review and eliminate, when necessary, line items from our budget.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? We will be looking at creating more working committees within our group, using volunteers from within the industry. Continued appointment of high quality individuals with knowledge of the Standardbred Industry is essential.
Your reasons why this group should be continued:

This group should be continued in order to preserve the IN Fair racing program. It could be suggested that there be some modification between the responsibilities of this Board and the Standardbred Breed Development Advisory Committee. In addition, the number of Board members could be reduced to 3 and this Board could still efficiently operate.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: Dec. 19, 2005 ISTA Center; Suite 530

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

jeffrey.henson@damwater.com

Your email address

(317) 431-5751

Daytime Telephone

www.in.gov/ihrc

Internet Address or Website

You may also contact Program Administrator:

Jessica Barnes, Dir. of Standardbred Racing

(317) 233-3120

ejbarnes@ihrc.in.gov
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:  IN Standardbred Breed Development Advisory Committee

When was this group originated?  1994

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?  Indiana Horse Racing Commission

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

No

What is your Mission?  To promote the quality breeding of horses while stimulating the agri-business sector of the State's economy.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? To continue to promote all aspects of the IN Standardbred Industry in order to stimulate IN's Agri-business economy. 1. Provide racing opportunities for IN Sired horses. 2. To maintain a strong IN Program that encourages growth and promotes quality in the Standardbred Industry in IN. 3. To successfully make modifications to the Indiana Program as growth occurs.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Successfully modified a program designed for one pari-mutuel track to two tracks when a 2nd pari-mutuel track was added in Indiana. 2. Continued to promote a program that focuses not only on growth, building and improving quality of the Indiana Standardbred Industry. 3. Successfully worked with racetracks and other organizations to ensure the success of the IN Program. What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Continue an attractive Indiana Sired Program. 2. Continue to promote awareness of the IN Standardbred Industry. 3. To look for innovative ideas on how to continue to improve our program.

What is your Annual Budget? Approximately 34 million per year

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Pari-mutuel purse supplements, Owner Awards, County Fair Purse Supplements, and Breeder Awards

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? No

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Our funding is legislated. It would be counterproductive to reduce our expenditures since our purpose is to grow the industry. Any reduction would have a negative impact to the horse racing industry and the State's Agri-business economy.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? Continue to appoint qualified individuals to this committee who have knowledge of the Standardbred Industry.
Your reasons why this group should be continued:

This group should be continued in order to gather input from individuals who are participating in our programs. This input and this group is necessary to advise the IHRC on how to implement the Breed Development Programs.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting:

Dec. 19, 2005 ISTA Center Suite 530

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Ernie Haskins, IHRC

Date

11/30/05

Your daytime telephone

(317) 233-3120

Your Internet Address or Website

www.in.gov/ihrc

You may also contact Program Administrator:

Jessica Barnes, Dr. of Standardbred Racing, IHRC

(317) 233-3120

jbarnes@ihrc.in.gov
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:

Indiana Gaming Commission

When was this group originated?

September 1993

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The Indiana Gaming Commission is a seven member, part-time board. The Indiana Gaming Commission staff handle the day-to-day operations and have the authority to independently determine a wide range of regulatory issues.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Not applicable
What is your Mission?

The legislative intent sets forth the Commission’s mission and states the following:

IC 4-33-1-2

Legislative intent

Sec. 2. This article is intended to benefit the people of Indiana by promoting tourism and assisting economic development. The public's confidence and trust will be maintained only through:

(1) comprehensive law enforcement supervision; and
(2) the strict regulation of facilities, persons, associations, and gambling operations under this article.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Generally, the long-range goals of the Commission are to identify and implement more effective and efficient methods of regulation while remaining abreast of the ever-changing gaming environment. The replacement of Indiana State Troopers currently serving as gaming agents at the riverboat casinos on behalf of the Commission is the Commission’s top priority and will be implemented by mid-year. The second goal is to rewrite the Commission’s administrative rules, updating provisions that are obsolete and creating new rules that address technological and regulatory innovations. The Commission’s third goal is to maintain and enhance the unannounced audit program. The unannounced audits were implemented beginning in early 2005 and provide the Commission with excellent data regarding the compliance of the licensees.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1 -- The replacement of Indiana State Troopers serving as investigators in the Indianapolis office with Commission agents.
2 -- The development of two gaming-specific training programs, one for investigations and the other for gaming agents serving at the casinos.
3 -- The Commission took actions necessary for the success of the French Lick casino project.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1 -- To complete the transition process for gaming agents.
2 -- To create and implement alternative marine and life-safety standards for the vessels.
3 -- To work toward completion of the revised administrative rules.

What is your Annual Budget?

See attached

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

See attached
How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

See attached

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

See attached

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

Due to the addition of the new gaming agents, a series of lawsuits filed against the Commission requiring outside counsel and the need to direct resources toward regular audits and training, a reduction in expenditures will not likely occur. It is anticipated, however, that a greater percentage of investigatory time and expenses will be billed to license applicants. In addition, several vacant positions at the Commission will remain unfilled.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

The Commission has jurisdiction over the five casinos on Lake Michigan, the five casinos on the Ohio River and the French Lick casino project. It also regulates all supplier and occupational licenses in the state and elsewhere.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

The Commission staff needs to complete the training of gaming agents and deploy those individuals to all 11 casino properties. In addition, the unannounced audits should continue and a greater effort should be made to provide continuing training programs to Commission staff.

Your reasons why this group should be continued

The Commission should be continued in order to meet its statutory obligations as regulators of gaming and to maintain the integrity of the gaming industry in Indiana.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent □ Very Good □ Good □ Fair □ Poor □

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent □ Very Good □ Good □ Fair □ Not at All □
Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

The next Commission meeting will take place on March 9th at a location to be determined. In regard to the minutes, I have attached the minutes from the April, July and September meetings. The Commission also held a meeting on November 17, but those minutes are not yet complete.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair*

November 30, 2005

Date

eyelton@igc.state.in.us

Your email address

233-0046

Daytime Telephone

www.ih.gov/gaming

Internet Address or Website

*As Executive Director of the Commission, it is my responsibility to handle all administrative functions of the agency and the 7-member, part-time board. Therefore, I respectfully submit this on behalf of the Chairman.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IGC Admin</th>
<th>IGC- Investigations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget - FY06</td>
<td>2,877,089</td>
<td>1,329,450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Top 4 Items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>IGC Admin</th>
<th>IGC- Investigations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal Services</td>
<td>2,167,589</td>
<td>875,000</td>
<td>3,042,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>201,000</td>
<td>135,000</td>
<td>336,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreements/Fees</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>106,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle fuel</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT equip/PC's</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Full-time employees   | 32        | 10                  | 42     |

**Consultants/Contractors/Advisors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Amount paid -FY05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charlene Sullivan</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>30,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEA- 3 contracts</td>
<td>1,005,022</td>
<td>502,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard Pylitt</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technasoft, LLC</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>112,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay McQueen</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>1,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landman &amp; Beatty</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>10,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Rimstid</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>9,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katz &amp; Korin</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>50,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaming Laboratories</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, Fulwider,McDowell</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eichorn &amp; Eichorn</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Manakas</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:
A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Quarter Horse Breed Dev. Adv. Committee

When was this group originated? 1999

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Horse Racing Commission

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?


What is your Mission? To register Quarter Horses for the Indiana-bred program and provide incentives and awards for not only the race horse owners but also the breeder and stallion owner. The intent of these incentives and awards is to promote investment of capital into the Indiana economy.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

to improve the quality of horses, continue with purse supplements, educate the horsemen of the program.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

getting the program started, establishing a brochure to promote the program, filling races for Indiana-breds.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

to continue to promote the program, educate the horsemen of the program, fill races.

What is your Annual Budget? $433,531

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? purses supplements, stakes, owner awards, breeder awards

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 0

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

Harley Greene $3,135.50
Field inspector for mares and stallions

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? none

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group continue to promote the program, to get more mares to breed and improve the breed with better stock.
Your reasons why this group should be continued to promote Quarter Horses and give incentives to breed and stand Quarter Horses in the state.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent / Very Good / Good / Fair / Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent / Very Good / Good / Fair / Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Next meeting December 9, 2005 1:00 IHRC Conference Room. No minutes are taken.

Shirley Brown
governor
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

j.brown@hrc.in.gov
Your email address

www.in.gov/ihrc
Internet Address or Website

11/28/05
Date

(317) 233-0187
Daytime Telephone
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

When was this group originated?

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Indiana Finance Authority (ITFA)

→ has one board w/ 5 members
1) Chuck Schalliol 2) Tim Berry 3) Bob Jones 4) Bud Melton 5) Mark Ryan

What is your Mission? ITFA is the consolidation of 5 entities.

1) I Transportation Finance Authority (ITFA) 2) I Developmental Finance Authority (IDFA) 3) State Revolving Fund (SRF) 4) Recreational Development Commission (RDC) 5) State Office Building Commission (SOBC)

These 5 entities each had their own boards before this consolidation.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Brookville Lake Regional Waste District

When was this group originated? 1974

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? Created by petition to State pursuant to IC 1974, 15.3-11 (now IC 13-26)

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? 

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? 

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

District is user supported & administered by a nine member board. We report to State Board of Accounts, Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Environmental Protection Agency (when Federal funds are involved) & in limited nature, the Indiana Utilities Regulatory Agency (campgrounds)

What is your Mission? Wastewater Utility
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Safe, effective & efficient wastewater service
2. Protection of public & environmental health, existing areas of service seek as a needed element for development

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Completed project that provided public service to areas in order and began planning for next project. I have raised revenue since 2000 while still meeting all obligations.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
1. Continue planning for future project
2. Improve efficiency of overall operations
3. Stay under budget with expenses

What is your Annual Budget?

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?
1. Debt service
2. Wages
3. Materials
4. Treatment

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

- Terry Douglas $12,000 (legal work)
- Verburg $24,000 (financial assistance for bond refunding)
- Bank $18,200 (advisor)
- Miller $15,000 (advisor)

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next year?

- Limit outsourcing whenever possible - improve efficiency

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group:

- Clearer communication
- Establishing more partnerships with other agencies
- Funding for needed projects that are financially marginal but vital from public & environmental health perspective
Your reasons why this group should be continued:

Proper wastewater disposal is a serious problem in many areas of State, and efforts are essential to addressing that problem. Services are needed and wanted in many areas.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent  Very Good  Good  Fair  Poor

Are there still areas of service (financial limitations)?

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent  Very Good  Good  Fair  Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting:

12/7/05 21 E. Union St. Liberty IN

1/4/06 same

End C. Davies
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

11/22/05
Date

617/920@uconline
Your email address

765.458.0848
Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regard to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Northern Indiana Commuter Transportation District

When was this group originated? 1977

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Created by state statute

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No sunset date

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

We are a municipal corporation with all the attendant powers and duties. We are not administered by another Government Agency or Department. NICTD is a 4-county authority that owns and operates the South Shore commuter rail passenger service between South Bend and Chicago. NICTD is also responsible for providing any expanded commuter rail service in northwest Indiana.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

NICTD functions are administered by its own Board and a staff consisting of management and operations personnel.

What is your Mission: NICTD's mission is to provide safe, reliable and affordable commuter rail passenger service between northwest Indiana and Chicago.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

NICTD has four fundamental goals: Enhance the safety of our service, improve service reliability, reduce travel time, and expand capacity. These four goals will assure continued ridership growth.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Enhanced safety by replacing 5 out-dated, pin-truss bridges with modern thru girders without disrupting service. Improved service with the construction of a new passenger station and police headquarters in East Chicago. The station also includes a major parking expansion and the construction of high level boarding platforms. Installed fiber optic cable along the 75-mile length of the railroad to serve as the communication backbone for a new signal system. Phase 1 of the new signal system should be on line this spring. It will improve operating flexibility and service reliability.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Our primary goals for next year include the construction of high-level boarding platforms at Hegewisch Station; completing Phase 1 of the new signal system; initiating a design/build contract for a modern overhead power distribution system; completing the design of a ByPass track to eliminate the bottleneck that currently exists where the South Shore merges with the Metra Electric at Kensington Interlocking.

What is your Annual Budget? Our annual business plan for 2005 is $50,000,000.00.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 2005 Business Plan was set at: capital $20M; Salaries $13M; Fringe Benefits $10M; and Insurance and Claims $3M.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? No one at NICTD receives a salary from the State.
Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. See Exhibit 1 for the list of contractors and Exhibit 2 for expenditures in 2004 (last complete fiscal year).

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? NICTD costs are directly related to service levels. We provide 41 trains per day on weekdays and 21 trains per day on weekends. Given the growing demand for commuter rail service between northwest Indiana and Chicago we believe the greater challenge is keeping cost growth in line with inflation.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? NICTD Board represents the interests of its 4-county service area (Lake, Porter, LaPorte and St. Joseph counties). However, South Shore commuters return in excess of $230M in salaries and wages to the northwest Indiana economy which we believe impacts the entire state.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: NICTD needs an increasing source of long-term capital and operating funding sufficient to meet the growing demands of our commuter rail market.

Your reasons why this group should be continued: In order to continue providing quality commuter rail service between northwest Indiana and Chicago.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____
Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. See Exhibit 3 for minutes from the Board's last three meetings. The next meeting of the Board is scheduled for January 27, 2006.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: The Department of Financial Institutions.

When was this group originated? __1933___

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No, it was the result of a two year state legislative study

commission

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? There is none.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The group oversees a state agency. It is comprised of the staff of the Department of Financial Institutions. The staff reports to the Director of the agency who is appointed by the Governor. The Director is the chief executive and administrative officer of the department and has the general supervision of the work of the department subject to the orders and under the direction of the board. Our group is defined as being the Department of Financial Institutions and we maintain a full time staff.
What is your Mission: **Mission**

To regulate and supervise financial services providers in a manner that:
- assures the residents of Indiana adequate and proper financial services;
- protects the interest of depositors, borrowers, shareholders and consumers;
- promotes safety and soundness in Indiana financial institutions; and
- advocates and enforces compliance with applicable state and federal laws.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Continued safety and soundness of our state chartered depository institutions while maintaining the integrity and viability of the dual banking system.
2. Continued compliance of our Indiana consumer laws by our nondepository institutions.
3. Continuing education and training of our examination force while at the same time striving to maintain our professionalism with competitive salaries.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. The safety and soundness of our financial institutions.
2. The promotion and compliance with consumer lending laws.
3. The development and maintenance of a competent and qualified profession staff.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1. The continuing safety and soundness of our financial institutions.
2. The continuing promotion and compliance with consumer lending laws.

3. The development and maintenance of a competent and qualified profession staff.

What is your Annual Budget? _71/2 Million
Dollars __________________________________________

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? _1). Personnel 2). Travel 3). Contract Services and, 4). __Training. These expenses are paid from the fees we charge in the operation of our agency and no part of the expenses are covered by funds from the general fund. ________________________________

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? _Our seven member board receive $4,000 annually plus travel expenses to attend monthly meetings. This money does not come from the general fund as we derive all operating expenses from the fees we generate. ________________________________

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. The Board does not employ consultants. However, the staff does on occasion for purposes of training. ________________________________

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? _We are a self funding agency that allow us to set fees based on our cost of operations. ________________________________

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? _Yes, they are appointed by the Governor and presently reside in, Vincennes, Muncie, Merrillville, South Bend, Markle and LaGrange. We have a current vacancy. ________________________________

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. The composition of the board is dictated by statute. Two members must have banking expertise. One must have credit union expertise, another consumer credit expertise, one must have savings and loan background, and two people must represent the interests of the general public. ________________________________
Your reasons why this group should be continued: To ensure that decisions being made relative to Indiana's financial environment are being discussed and made by those who are in the best position to understand a very complicated and changing industry so that the citizens and financial industry enjoy the benefits of a progressive, innovative, and modern scheme of financial services that result in a safe and sound industry that the public has confidence.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _X___ Very Good __ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _X___ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Prosecuting Attorneys Council

When was this group originated? 1973 [P.L. 316-1973] I.C. 33-39-8 (See attached)

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Board of Directors of 10 Prosecuting Attorneys governs the State Agency - I.C. 33-39-8-2 (See attached)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

State agency staff reports to Board of Directors of the Prosecuting Attorneys Council

What is your Mission? It is probably best stated in our Statute at I.C. 33-39-8-5 (See attached)
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? The long-range goals of the Prosecuting Attorneys Council would be to continue to assist prosecuting attorneys in the performance of their duties through training, technical assistance, publications, and liaison with the legislature and State and Federal agencies.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? 1. Training of prosecuting attorneys, including development of a nationally recognized "mentor group" for prosecutors involved with traffic safety. 2. Answering literally thousands of legal questions for prosecuting attorneys, many of whom are in the middle of trial and need an immediate response. 3. Maintaining and enhancing a statewide computer network which electronically transmits criminal history information to the State Police, which is virtually the only way the State Police receive criminal history information.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Continue to answer legal inquiries from prosecuting attorneys accurately and timely. 2. Train newly elected prosecutors in the Winter of 2006 after the November elections. 3. Maintain statewide computer system.

What is your Annual Budget? General Fund - $1,107,658; Drug Prosecution Fund - $103,436

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1. Salaries and wages - $533,169 2. Payment to ProsLink (see information on contractors below) - $410,000 3. Conferences - $41,000 4. Library - $40,500

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? All employees of the State Agency, Prosecuting Attorneys Council receive a salary. The Board of Directors of the Council receives no compensation.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. ProsLink - Compensation F.Y. 2005 - $360,410.72. This is the firm which maintains our Statewide computer system.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The Council Board does not expend money. The state agency budget has been flatlined for years. Perhaps greater use of the Internet in distributing information to prosecutors might reduce costs.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. To provide more of the same services, particularly training, but this is difficult to do without increased funding.
Your reasons why this group should be continued. We believe that if any prosecutor in the State were questioned they would say it is the only way they are trained in their duties and updated constantly on the law. I believe many leaders in State Government would say that it is our service on many legislatively created committees and information we provide the Legislature. Also, by maintaining a statewide computer system which feeds criminal history information to the State Police so the State Police and others can do necessary background checks.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _ X _ Very Good _ _ Good _ _ Fair _ _ Poor _ _

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _ X _ Very Good _ _ Good _ _ Fair _ _ Not at All _ _

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Next Board meeting: Sunday, December 4, 2005 Sheraton Hotel, Keystone at the Crossing.

J. Scott Callahan
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Nov 29, 2005
Date

(812) 275-4439
Daytime Telephone

Your email address

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Law Enforcement Training Board
c/o Indiana Law Enforcement Academy

When was this group originated? 1969

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No. State Legislation, 1969

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No sunset date.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Law Enforcement Training Board oversees the state agency (ILEA) functions.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

ILEA reports to the Law Enforcement Training Board. LETB meets every other month to hear ILEA reports and other law enforcement training issues. LETB is a 17-member Governor appointed Board.

ILEA is staffed by 70 state employees.

What is your Mission? Our mission is to deliver initial police officer certification training as well as in-service training to police officers/agencies statewide. ILEA is also the state repository for all police officer training records.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Develop and enlarge our training facilities, to include updating of training systems/equipment.

2. Increase number of training staff positions to lower the ratio of students/instructors.

3. Increase administrative staff to better facilitate consistency of training across the state.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Increase of training staff compensation to increase quality recruiting potential of trainers (2003).


What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1. Implement new records management system which has been a 2-year process.

2. Implement an Internet-based police training network.


What is your Annual Budget? LETB has no budget. The ILEA annual budget is $4.25 million. LETB members receive per diem from ILEA’s budget.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Personnel, utilities, preventive maintenance.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? LETB – none, ILEA - 70

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

LETB has not used any consultants/contractors/advisors.

ILEA – Envisage – to design and implement records management system. Have paid $75,000 through grants. No money from ILEA budget has been used.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

We cannot in good faith recommend more cuts to our budget. We have gone to a four-day workweek to save utility and food service costs. We have decreased travel and training of staff to save costs. We have unfilled positions on our manning table currently we do not intend to fill to save costs.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Our Board is representative of all areas of the state as well as diverse career fields,

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group The Board does an extremely effective job monitoring law enforcement training. The only recommendation might be for the Board to have more frequent meetings, however the attendance would suffer concurrently. ILEA’s effectiveness is directly tied to budget constraints. Kentucky, for example, boasts an incomparable student/instructor ratio which allows instruction to be much more in-depth and individualized to meet police officer’s needs. Indiana does an outstanding job with the resources available.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: The Board's work is highly critical to producing quality police officers, insuring compliance to training mandates statewide, and to maintaining a balance between autonomy of local police agencies and policies regarding training, both new recruit and in-service.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?  
Excellent  X  Very Good  _____  Good  _____  Fair  _____  Poor  _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent  X  Very Good  _____  Good  _____  Fair  _____  Not at All  _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: December 19, 2005 at 1:00 p.m. at the Indiana Law Enforcement Academy.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair  

[Signature]  

Date  

[Date]

Your email address  

[Email Address]

Daytime Telephone  

[Phone Number]

Internet Address or Website  

[Website]
Government Efficiency Commission
Questionnaire

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee
The Indiana War Memorial Commission governs 20 acres of downtown parks, monuments, memorials, and museums honoring Veterans, second only to our Nation's Capitol. The facilities are some of the most visited in the city. The properties also host yearly events regarded as Indianapolis traditions. The Soldiers and Sailors Monument has become the icon of our capitol city. The properties include:

The Indiana War Memorial Complex, a WWI Memorial and the headquarters of operations for the properties. It contains a chronological museum consisting of exhibits from the Revolutionary War through of current conflicts as well as an awe inspiring shrine to Veterans. Indiana’s collection of Civil War Battle Flags are housed here.

The Soldiers and Sailors Monument, the icon of the city of Indianapolis, a Memorial built to honor Civil War Veterans, houses the Colonel Eli Lilly Civil War Museum.

American Legion Mall, houses the National Headquarters of the American Legion, the Headquarters of the American Legion Department of Indiana, WWII Memorial, Korean War Memorial, and the Vietnam War Memorial

Veterans Memorial Plaza, includes the Obelisk Fountain and the fifty state flags.

University Park, includes the Depew Fountain and statues honoring Abraham Lincoln, Benjamin Harrison, and Schuyler Colfax.

USS Indianapolis Memorial, built to honor those brave men who served on the ship and memorialize those who so tragically lost their lives.

When was this group originated?
Three quarters of eligible Hoosiers fought in the Civil War; the war’s effects touched the lives of every Hoosier family. The Civil War was Indiana’s most significant emotional event of the 19th century.

Before the war even ended, Hoosiers sought a means to recognize the valor and sacrifice of her native sons who answered the call and whose blood purchased the preservation of the Union. Finally, with the Soldiers & Sailors Monument Act of 1887, the State dedicated the land, appropriated the funds and created an agency to design, build and operate a Monument to the Hoosier Soldiers & Sailors of the Civil War.

The entity charged responsibility for the Monuments design and construction was the Indiana Soldiers & Sailors Monument Commission. It was apparent then that the best choices to serve on the Commission were Hoosier Civil War Veterans. The Commission did its duty; the Soldiers & Sailors Monument was dedicated in May, 1902.

Fifteen short years later Hoosiers were again in uniform, serving with the American Expeditionary Forces in France. Once again, even before the guns fell silent, Hoosiers sought to memorialize Indiana’s veterans. In a series of legislative actions between 1920 and 1923 the state acquired the land and appropriated the dollars to establish the Plaza and the structures contained thereon.
The State also established another Commission, the War Memorials Commission, to oversee the project and to operate and maintain the properties. This Commission is the lineal descendent of the Soldiers & Sailors Monument Commission whose responsibilities it assumed. Since the creation of the Plaza, the Commission has added memorials to Hoosiers who served in WW II, Korea and Vietnam and assumed responsibility for the USS Indianapolis National Memorial.

Like the Monument Commission before it, the War Memorials Commission, by statute, consists only of native Hoosiers who are veterans of service in the Armed Forces in time of war. This Commission did its duty as well; resulting in internationally acclaimed tributes to Hoosier veterans.

The wisdom of the State in twice making Hoosier veterans responsible for honoring their own is evident by the results. Numerous distinguished citizen/veterans have served on the two Commissions over the past 117 years.

Was this group created by Federal Legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?
No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?
No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?
The Indiana War Memorial is governed by the Indiana War Memorials Commission. Like all State Agencies, the War Memorial is approved for a biennial budget through the Indiana Legislature and complies with statutes and regulations established for the daily operations of State Agencies.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?
The staff of the Indiana War Memorials Commission are employees of the State of Indiana. The Indiana War Memorial Commission complies with all procedures established through the State Personnel Department and Auditor of State’s Office for the hiring of employees. These include records through PeopleSoft and the SPD as well as biweekly payroll through the Auditor’s Office. All salaries and adjustments thereof must be approved through the State Personnel Department.

What is your Mission?
The mission of the Indiana War Memorials Commission is to erect and maintain in Indianapolis, upon or within grounds dedicated or acquired under the Indiana Code a complex
1. To commemorate the valor and sacrifice of the soldiers, sailors, airman, and marines of the United States and of all others who rendered faithful, loyal, heroic and self sacrificial service at home and overseas.
2. To provide a place or places of meeting and headquarters for organizations of soldiers, sailors, airman, and marines of any other patriotic societies or associations.
3. To keep records, archives, documents, flags, mementos and relics: and
4. For other public meetings and special events.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Long Range Goals
1. Maintain infrastructure
2. Obtain funding to complete the Master Plan to continue the restoration and rehabilitation of the facilities
3. Restore the Civil War Flag collection
4. Bring all facilities up to American with Disabilities Act standards
5. Update Museum exhibits and to establish a new exhibit entitled “Contributions of Hoosiers to the War”

Three Most Important Accomplishments in the Last Three Years
1. Renovation of Veterans Memorial Park
2. Legislative establishment of the Indiana War Memorials Foundation
3. Renovation of National American Legion Building

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
1. Complete project replacing fountain lips at the Soldiers/Sailors Monument
2. Complete repairs at the USS Indianapolis Monument
3. Establish a plan to market the 20 acres of parks, memorials, shrines, and museums honoring Hoosier Veterans to Indiana Citizens as well as visitors from across the nation and world.

What is your Annual Budget?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries &amp; Benefits</td>
<td>$1,025,997.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Operations</td>
<td>$ 143,509.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventative Maintenance</td>
<td>$ 865,756.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Budget</td>
<td>$2,035,262.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?
1. Salaries & Benefits
2. Preventative Maintenance to the Properties
3. Utilities for the properties
4. Museum Projects

How many of your group receives a salary from the State?
The facilities are operated with a very small staff of 21 employees. The properties require an around the clock operation including an Administrative Staff, Museum Staff, Maintenance and Custodial Staff and the Indiana War Memorial Police Department.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.
Due to the small size of the staff and budget constraints, the Commission relies strongly on the competence of its employees. The daily operations, maintenance, and museum activities are conducted in house. Due to the aging infrastructure, the properties require extensive rehabilitation on an ongoing basis. Please find enclosed contracts reflecting Capitol Projects and Preventative Maintenance.
Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?
As the above numbers reflect, the large majority of the budget is allocated for salaries and benefits. After comparisons with other State Agencies, the salaries of War Memorial staff are considerably low. Recently all agencies were required to cut their budgets by 7%. After a very in depth evaluation of the allocated budget, the only possible way to cut the budget was through eliminating positions currently held and not hiring employees to fill vacancies. In doing so, the Commission had no other choice than to terminate two employees and hold 2 vacancies this fiscal year. The duties of these positions were combined with other positions causing an obvious strain on the staff. The funding is available for the next fiscal year in this biennium for these positions. If required to do so, the filling of these positions could be reevaluated.

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana?
Our customers are classified into five distinct categories, each with some unique needs, and all sharing common needs that collectively define the services we provide.

- **Hoosier Veterans**: Our primary mission is to commemorate the contributions of Hoosier Veterans of the Armed Forces, some half million who are alive today.
- **Tenants**: The National Headquarters of the American Legion, the American Legion Ladies Auxiliary, the American Legion, Department of Indiana, all who occupy leased office space in the War Memorial complex.
- **Tourists**: On average, over 150,000 tourists visit our museums and monuments annually. Almost half are from out of state; some 15% are from other countries. In 2002, the War Memorial Historic District moved into the “Top Twenty” downtown attractions as surveyed by Indianapolis Downtown Inc.
- **School Group Tours**: The War Memorial Museum as well as the Colonel Eli Lilly Civil War Museum host more than 500 students per week during the school tour season. Students from central Indiana as well as numerous other counties visit.
- **Organizational Activities**: Over two hundred events are booked annually into IWM meeting facilities. Bookings include, small wedding ceremonies, corporate events, military functions, memorial services, government hosted events including congressional hearings and budget finance committee meetings, law enforcement events, to large group events with attendance in excess of 1,000.
- **Community Events**: Our outdoor venues host over a dozen major (attendance greater than 10,000) community events and festivals ranging from Earth Day in the spring to the Celebration of Lights for Christmas. In all, more than 1 million individuals visit the properties annually, over half as participants or spectators in these community events.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of the group?
The Indiana War Memorials Commission functions under the Indiana Code IC-18. It meets four times annually and is represented by each Congressional District which is led by a President elected by the Commission. The Commission receives routine updates on the daily activities of the Indiana War Memorials. The Commission is very effective in its current state; however communication among the various service groups can always be improved. That could be accomplished by inviting them to the quarterly meetings.
Your reasons why this group should be continued.
The Indiana War Memorials Commission represents the principles and ideals of a great nation. Its memorials have earned an international reputation as being among the most beautiful facilities of their kind in the world. Throughout the State of Indiana, Veterans come to the War Memorial to listen in silence to the firing of salutes honoring comrades who did not return from the fields of France, the hills of Korea, the jungles of Vietnam, or the deserts of Iraq.

The fundamental mission of the Indiana War Memorial is to maintain these shrines in memory of the sacrifices made by Indiana's Veterans. This mission extends far beyond any collaborative business efforts addressed by the elimination, reorganization, or combination of the Indiana War Memorial Commission with any other Agency, Commission, or Group, since the War Memorial Plaza serves not only as a historical venue but also as a memorial shrine.

It is therefore the position of the Indiana War Memorial Commission that collaborative efforts with other groups consisting of those like the proposed Department of Indiana Heritage must be limited to consultations for economies of scale when appropriate, but these priorities must be secondary to the performance of the War Memorials primary duty of management and preservation of these shrines for veterans and their families.

Due to the War Memorial Commission's unique charter, the Commission's autonomy must therefore be preserved in support of our primary responsibilities.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board, or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?
Excellent

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.
The Commission will meet on Friday December 9, 2005 at 9:30 am in the Nimitz Boardroom at Indiana War Memorial. Please find attached minutes from the last three meetings.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair
Brian Regan

Date
12-3-05

Email Address
bregan.usmc@aol.com

Daytime Telephone Number
317-233-0991

Internet Address
www.in.gov/iwm/
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee.  Indiana Emergency Management, Fire & Building Services and Public Safety Training Foundation.

When was this group originated? 2003 under IC 10-15-2.

Was this group created by federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency of Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Department of Homeland Security.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? Provide support and grants to Public Safety agencies in Indiana.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?
   - Promote Public Safety Education
   - Support Public Safety Wellness
   - Support Public Safety Professional Development
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1) Reestablish Foundation Board, 2) Reconvene foundation Board, 3) Process backed up Grant requests.

What is your Annual Budget? Varies – depending on amount of license plates sold.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? N/A

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes
Your recommendations for improving the effective of your group. The Board will be reorganized under the origination of the Indiana Department of Homeland Security and will soon reconvene to carry out Foundation business.

Your reasons why this group should be continued. The Board is required to administer the Foundation funds that are derived from license plate sales.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Next meeting is to be determined.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Your daytime telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana State Department of Health (ISDH) Executive Board

When was this group originated? 1891

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana State Department of Health

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission?

The “ultimate authority” for matters under IC 4-21.5 and IC 4-22-2. In addition, the Executive Board serves as an Advisory Board to the ISDH

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1) Continue updating regulations that affect the public’s health
2) Continue input to the ISDH on public health issues.
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) Updating regulations to comply with new technology  
2) Simplifying many of the more complex regulations.  
3) Implementing new requirements from latest health studies.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? The Executive Board supports the goals and initiatives of the ISDH that impact public health and economic status of all citizens, including infrastructure for public health preparedness, prevention and health promotion, and using public dollars wisely.

What is your Annual Budget? $5,775.00

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Travel, Lodging and Per Diem

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year: None

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The Executive Board receives reimbursement for mileage, lodging and per diem and only meets 6 times per year. Current statute requires the Board to meet 6 times per year. We could reduce expenditures by 10% by eliminating overnight accommodations but this would mean appointing members centrally located, which would decrease statewide representation.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes, members are appointed by the Governor

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: More formal orientation on duties and updates on ISDH responsibilities.

Your reasons why this group should be continued: Established by IC 16-19-2 as an Advisory Body and ultimate authority for rulemaking and administrative appeal.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______
Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: January 11, 2006 – ISDH, 2 North Meridian Street, Indianapolis, IN 46204

Robert Currie, R.D.S.  
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair  
30 Nov 2005  
Date

ebarratt@isdh.in.gov  
Your email address

233-7400  
Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Board (FAB)

When was this group originated? The first FAB meeting was August 28, 1991.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Although the FAB was not created by Federal Statute they oversee the Excess Liability Trust Fund (ELTF). The ELTF provides owners/operators of underground storage tanks the financial assurance required by 42 U.S.C. 6991c.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No sunset date.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? The Indiana Department of Environmental Management.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?
What is your Mission?
As specified in the statute, under IC 13-23-11-7, the Board is responsible for adopting rules relative to the use of the Excess Liability Trust Fund and for reviewing reports from IDEM on the financial condition and operation of the fund.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? See

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? This past year the FAB adopted several revisions to the ELTF rules that provided additional safeguards for the Fund and ensured that the Fund was paying for the most cost-effective remediation solutions and clarified implementation of priority payment. Over the last 3 years the ELTF paid out $125,000,000 to reimburse claims for the cleanup of petroleum contaminated sites. Successfully managed the fund as claims paid more than doubled from $24,000,000 to over $51,000,000.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? See attached

What is your Annual Budget? The FAB itself incurred $2,800 in costs for per diem, travel, and meeting transcript costs. The FAB though does oversee the ELTF and for Fiscal Year 2006 the budget is $31,000,000.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? For the Board itself the only budget items are for per diem, travel, and meeting transcript costs. Reimbursements from the ELTF to underground storage tank owners for remediation costs – about $24,000,000, IDEM Agency-wide services – about $3,190,000, Payments to a contractor for processing claims and reviewing technical documents – about $3,000,000, Personnel services for both IDEM and the Department of Revenue - $260,000.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? IC13-23-11-2 states that the FAB consists of 16 members. Four members receive a salary from the State: IDEM Commissioner’s designee, and the State Fire Marshall’s designee, the State Treasurer’s designee, and the Department of Revenue Commissioner’s designee.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. IDEM and the FAB have contracted with Navigant Consulting, Inc. to review claims and technical documents for the ELTF program. In FY 2005 Navigant received $3,600,000. For this fiscal year Navigant has received $1,200,000.
Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? IDEM is in the process of reducing dependence on outside contractors by hiring State staff to review technical documents for the ELTF program. Estimated savings will be $300,000 per year.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: See Attached

Your reasons why this group should be continued: See Attached

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent _________ Very Good ______ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at All ____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _________ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at All ____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Copies attached. The next meeting is scheduled for March 9, 2006, in Rooms 4 and 5 of the IGCN Conference Center.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: ___________________________ Date: 11-22-05

Email Address or Website: ___________________________________________ Daytime Telephone: 765-482-1201

[Other information fields filled in]
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? Continue to monitor the Excess Liability Trust Fund to insure that funds are used appropriately, reduce dependence on contractor support while continuing to meet statutory claim review deadlines, and analyze effectiveness/appropriateness of the newly adopted rules.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Same as above.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. The FAB continues to be very effective. Public attendance at the meetings averages about 20. The attendees represent a broad spectrum and include underground storage tank owners, consultants, and a number of organizations that represent petroleum distributors and marketers.

Your reasons why this group should be continued. The FAB has provided oversight of the Excess Liability Trust Fund since 1993. The Fund continues to provide the financial assurance required by the U.S.E.P.A. to underground storage tank owners and operators. Since its inception the Fund has paid out more than $250,000,000 to clean up petroleum contaminated sites.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Veterans' Home (IVH) Governor's Advisory Committee

When was this group originated? Although I can't say for sure, I have been told that the IVH has had an advisory committee, since opening in 1896.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No; however, I believe that is required. Title 38, U.S.C. 1741-1743. The Veterans' Administration State Home standard (51.210a. Administration) states: "The State must have a governing body, or designated person functioning as a governing body, that is legally responsible for establishing and implementing policies regarding the management and operation of the facility."
In addition, 42 CFR, Chapter IV, 483.75 (d) [pertinent to Centers for Medicare/Medicaid Services] states: "The facility must have a governing body, or designated persons functioning as a governing body, that is legally responsible for establishing and implementing policies regarding the management and operation of the facility."

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? None, to my knowledge.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana State Department of Health. IC 16-19-6-9 (copy attached) outlines the membership and meeting requirements for the Indiana Veterans' Home Governor's Advisory Committee.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible] for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? Members are appointed by the Governor. Other than the State Director of Veterans' Affairs (member) and IVH Superintendent (non-member), there are no State employees involved. Other members serve on an appointed, voluntary basis.

What is your Mission?
Per IC 16-19-6-91, the Advisory Committee shall act in an advisory capacity to the superintendent of the institution and to the State Health Commissioner in his/her capacity as administrative head of the administrative unit for special institutions concerning ways and means of improving the institution and the care of residents in the institution.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? The Committee's long-term goal is to ensure that the IVH will be available to provide high quality long-term (nursing home) care for Indiana's elderly Veterans (and their widows, widowers/spouses), as long as there are Veterans needing such essential nursing facility services. Top three (3) goals:
1. Ensure the provision of high quality clinical care for IVH Residents.
2. Ensure optimum utilization of available resources (funds, personnel, equipment and supplies).
3. Ensure proactive partnership with the Veterans' Administration to enhance care/services, while improving management of Federal and State resources.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?
1. Continuous involvement of IVH officials, Director of Veterans' Affairs, elected officials, VA physician, and leaders of state Veterans' organizations, to ensure goals met; and, to educate members regarding necessary (potentially unpopular) changes (e.g. Medicare B and first-ever Medicaid participation).
2. Support and recommendations regarding closure and rehab of buildings (e.g. major renovation of 2 floors of the Ernie Pyle Hall).
3. Support and recommendations regarding Medicaid certification (now planned for early 2006), essential to defuse and redirect potential Veteran and Veteran organization opposition to this perceived reduction of Resident benefits.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
1. Ensure that the IVH maintains high quality care and services (as measured by VA inspections, State surveys, and Resident satisfaction).
2. Ensure that the IVH operates cost effectively within allocated budget resources; and, attains Medicaid certification to ensure future fiscal vitality.
3. Reduce and eventually eliminate the waiting list time for Veterans, which will then facilitate the admission of non-Veteran family members.

What is your Annual Budget? This Governor's Advisory Committee does not have a budget. Direct travel, per diem and support costs in CY 2004 were $2,077.54.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? One - the State Director of Veterans' Affairs, who receives the salary whether on Committee, or not.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, amount of contract, and amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during next 2 years? 1) Reduce number of meetings (e.g. 3/year, instead of 4); or 2) Reduce the number of members; or, 3) Reduce the amount of travel and/or per diem pay. Of these options, I recommend changing frequency to 3 meetings/year.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?
We represent Veterans and Veterans organizations from all areas.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group:
1. That the Home provide Committee members with a full report every 2 months. This is especially important if the Committee meets fewer than 4 times/year.
2. That a member of the Health Department Special Institutions Administrative Unit attend Committee meetings to ensure enhanced communications and interface with members the major Veterans' organizations we represent.
Your reasons why this group should be continued 1) To ensure that VA and CMS requirement for a governing board are met. Non-compliance could result in loss of VA per diem (approx. $5M/year), and possible lack of certification for Medicare/Medicaid payments. 2) To maintain proactive liaison between the IVH, State Veterans' Affairs, State Department of Health, and Veterans organizations.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent X Very Good ______Good ______Fair ______Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. January 12, 2006; at the Indiana Veterans' Home (DeHart Conference Room), 3851 North River Road West Lafayette, IN 47906

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair
James Bonner
11-30-05 Date

Your email address
J-bonner@insightbb.com 765-962-3423 Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
N/A
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire. We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commissions, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: (2) Board of Trustees of Turkey Creek Regional Sewer District (TCRSD)

When was this group originated? October 18, 1977

Was this group created by Federal legislation? NO. If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? N/A

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? NO. If so, when is that date? N/A

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Created by Order of Stream Pollution Control Board (now IDEM), but 7 member board operates district.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? TCRSD by the Order creating the Regional Sewer District Trustees are appointed: two (2) by Governor, one (1) by Township Trustee, two (2) by Township Advisory Board, and two (2) by County Commissioners. Reporting is to IDEM, IDNR, and State Board of Accounts.

What is your Mission? To operate a sewer system with 1,750+ customers (collection and treatment) plus a water utility with 230 customers within the geographic boundaries of TCRSD which were established by the Order creating TCRSD.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

(1) Continue to provide sewer collection and treatment and water service to existing customers.
(2) Extend sewers to service approximately 300 un-serviced homes on Lake Wawasee.
(3) Sewer portions of Syracuse Lake not within Syracuse corporate boundaries.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

(1) Met terms of Compliance Plan forced upon TCRSD by IDEM and USEPA.
(2) Eliminated significant inflow and infiltration from system.
(3) Continued to provide quality sewer service despite increased government imposed costs and regulations.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
TCRSD always has one primary goal which is to provide trouble-free sewer and water service to its customers while keeping costs down.

What is your Annual Budget? $1,200,000 from user charges. Receive no tax dollars.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Operation and maintenance, debt service, staff payroll and utilities.

How many of your group receives a salary from the State? None. Also, no Trustee has ever asked for $50.00 per day spent on District business as provided by statute.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. Richard A. Green (Attorney for TCRSD), hourly contract $20,000; Umbaugh & Associates (Financial/Rate Consultant), $11,000.00; HNTB (Engineers) - $140,000; Robert E. Crosby, Inc. (Chemical Feed Building), $237,000; Insituform, Inc. (Lining Servers) $744,000.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?
TCRSD does not operate with tax money. About the only manner in which to reduce expenditures is for IDEM & EPA to stop changing regulations and imposing new costs.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? NO (Only area around Lake Wawasee)

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. Continue procedures for monitoring collection system, treatment plant, and administrative functions to provide early detection of areas which can be made more effective and trouble-free so as keep user rates as low as possible.
Your reasons why this group should be continued. Someone has to run the sewer and water systems and the current people know how to do it in a manner which protects the $20,000,000 plus investment in the systems.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent: YES Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent: YES Very Good Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Attached. Next meeting of Board is 7:00 P.M. on the third Monday in December, 2005, at 4852 N. 1200 W, Cromwell, IN 46732.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair Date

Your email address Daytime Telephone

tcrsd@bnin.net 260-856-4341

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: STATE EGG BOARD

When was this group originated? 1939

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

N/A

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The Purpose: To provide staff and to provide the program, and all Board members are appointed by the Governor.

What is your Mission? To protect the public supply of eggs in Indiana by conducting inspections at all levels of egg distribution from the producer to the retailer.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

To continue providing efficient inspection of eggs in Indiana.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Rules have been updated; website enhanced for more efficient registration; increased amount of product inspected.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Revisit fee structure; revise staff placement; reduce travel costs.

What is your Annual Budget? $290,200

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Staff salaries, benefits, travel, postage and office expense.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

None

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Our program is fee based and receives no state funding. All expenditures are approved and administered through the Purdue University System, and presently have few avenues for a 10% reduction.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes, geographically, and based upon components of the industry.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group our group is quite effective, never failing to meet quarterly to offer our guidance and assistance to staff.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: 

**WE BELIEVE OUR BOARD HAS BEEN EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE OVER THE YEARS, AND PROVIDE A VITAL SERVICE TO THE INDUSTRY**

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: 2-22-06, Purdue Memorial Union, Room 258, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: 

**Virginia L. Burkbank**

Date: **11-21-2005**

Your email address: 

**VLBurkbank@AOL.com**

Daytime Telephone: **812 587 5181**

Internet Address or Website: 

**www.ansc.purdue.edu/ISEB**

---

**INDIANA STATE EGG BOARD**

David J. Steen

Executive Administrator

Purdue University

1026 Poultry Science Building

West Lafayette, IN 47907-1026

(765) 494-8510

FAX (765) 494-6349

dsteen@purdue.edu
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana State Police Board

When was this group originated? 1935

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Governor

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

No staff

What is your Mission?

See statutes enclosed:
1C 10-11-2-10
1C 10-11-2-5
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

N/A

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

N/A

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? N/A
What is your annual Budget? Indiana State Police Board doesn't have a budget
What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receives a salary from the State? 6 of 6 Members

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 Years? During 2005 donated all salary ($50.00 per meeting) and mileage.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group Having new superintendent show more respect to the board.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: We serve as a check and balance to the executive staff. Also we are the judge and jury for appeals of troopers who have been reprimanded.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission?  
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. 12/12/2005 – Conference room, third floor – I.S.P. Government Center North

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: ________________________________  Date: 11/25/05

Your email address: ________________________________

Daytime Telephone: 574-223-2139 or 574-532-2334 cell

Internet Address or Website: ________________________________

N/A
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Bureau of Motor Vehicles Commission

When was this group originated? In 1986 to take branch operations from political parties.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No. It was created by the General Assembly through IC 9-15.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No sunset

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The BMV Commission sets policy for the Bureau of Motor Vehicles

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission?

According to IC 9-15-2-1, the Commission shall update and develop BMV policies, recommend legislation and rules, adopt budgets for BMV and license branches, adopt standards for and operate license branches and contract for private branch operators.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Complete system conversion in branches
Indemnity performance measurement system
Reduce wait time in branches to less than 20 minutes

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

System conversion (in progress) Change in branch operating hours
Writing employee manual Closing branches

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
Reduce new mail processing center
Reduce wait time (see above)
Recruiting/training initiatives

What is your Annual Budget? $81 million

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Personnel, Benefits, Rent (sales and), contracts (janitorial, credit card fees)

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

Consultant: $50,000 Performance management implementation
Consultant: $100,000 New branch design

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Payroll reductions
Reduce credit card fees
Hire part-time workers in branches

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? Bravo new group
but working will attend 2 meetings
Your reasons why this group should be continued

STATE

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? 

Excellent ☑ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent ☑ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: 1-25-06 1604 RM 4140 CONFERENCE ROOM.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: State Armory Board

When was this group originated? 1945

Was this group created by Federal Legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Appointed by Governor of Indiana I.C. 10-16-3

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, Who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Appointed by Governor of Indiana. Members of the Military Department of Indiana

(Indiana National Guard) assist the Board and the Adjutant General of Indiana is a sitting member.

What is your mission? Provide, manage and care for Armories for use by the Military and Naval forces of Indiana. Currently there are 68 Armories, 2 Air Bases and the Muscatatuck

Urban Training Center provided support by this Board.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Maintain structures to military standards.
2. Close selected Armories.
3. Analyze future military requirements.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Supported soldiers deploying to Iraq and Afghanistan.
2. Maintained Armories to military needs and requirements.
3. Supported the Recruiting and retention efforts of the Indiana National Guard.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Maintain structures efficiently. 2. Close selected Armories to reduce costs. 3. Support Soldiers and Airmen in the War Against Terror.

What is your Annual Budget? $0.00

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1. Pay for utilities 2. Equipment needs at Armories and Air Bases. 3. Modernization needs. 4. Cleaning supplies required to clean the facilities.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Adjutant General (Agency Head), Military Department of Indiana. Does not receive salary as Board member.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. 1. Lewis and Kappes, $25,000.00 – Utility study FY 2004.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Closure of up to six (6) Armories. Additional closures are possible, but closure based upon Department of Defense Troop level authorizations.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendation for improving the effectiveness of your group. None
Your reasons why this group should be continued. **Efficiently and effectively supports the Soldiers, Airmen and taxpayers of Indiana. Maximizes use of Federal dollars to support the State of Indiana facilities and personnel.**

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _X_ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Poor _______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _X_ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at All _______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting _Next meeting, 8 December 2005 at 10:00 a.m., Heslar Naval Armory, 3010 White River Parkway, East Drive, Indianapolis, Indiana 46208_.

**David Shorter**, Controller
Signed by Chair or Vice Chair
David.Shorter@in.ngb.army.mil
Your email address

Internet Address or Website
APPENDIX C

GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

Indiana State Board of Education

When was this group originated?

The current Board dates to 1984; however, a State Board of Education has existed in Indiana since the 1800s.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

None.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The Indiana Department of Education provides staff support and has the statutory responsibility to implement policies of the State Board of Education. The State Board of Education has a statutory responsibility to make recommendations to the Governor and General Assembly concerning the education needs of the state, including financial needs.

What is your Mission?

See attached Mission and Vision Statements.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

See attached Aims for Education. At the last planning retreat, State Board members established the following top three goals:

- Closing the achievement gap among groups of students.
- Improved funding for public education and efficient use of resources.
- Strengthening early childhood education.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Indiana's world-class academic standards were incorporated into the state assessment system. The state assessment system became the basis for a new accountability system. A data system was implemented to allow progress of individual students to be measured over time, and reports are available to Indiana citizens on an award winning website.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

- Establish measurable goals for the State Aims for Education.
- Improve the state assessment system and implement the technical assistance program for under-performing schools.
- Revise state special education rules under the newly reauthorized individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

What is your Annual Budget?

The State Board of Education has no budget. Meeting expenses are absorbed by the Department of Education budget.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

Not applicable.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

Eleven.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

Reduce meetings from two days per month to one day per month.
Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana?

Yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

Reduce meetings to one day per month. Increase use of technology to facilitate public participation.

Your reasons why this group should be continued

The State Board of Education is the only board that provides continuing and widespread public input into state level education decision making. The Board serves as an arbiter for disputes between school corporations.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board of Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ___ Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Poor ___

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent ___ Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Minutes and next meeting notice are attached.

Dr. Suellen Reed
Dr. Suellen Reed, Chair

11/30/2005
Date

sreed@doe.state.in.us
Your e-mail address

(317) 232-6665
Daytime Telephone

http://www.doe.state.in.us
Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

Indiana Education Employment Relations Board (IEERB)

When was this group originated? Established in 1973 by IC 20-75-1 (Commonly called A217)

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No. Established by State Statute. IC 20-75-1 Recodified eff. 7/1/03 as IC 20-29.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? The IEERB is a state merit agency created by statute to administer the collective bargaining law between school boards and teacher organizations (CEBA). It consists of a full-time chairman, a part-time board member, and full-time and ad hoc (part-time) staff to fulfill mandated functions. If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

See above. - State merit agency

What is your Mission? The IEERB administers CEBA (Certificated Employees Bargaining Act). The IEERB staff is responsible for unit determinations, processing unfair labor practice complaints, mediation and fact-finding of contract disputes, and research, (CUP litigation, impasse, Unfair labor, research).
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Policy statement - see cover letter.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

See above - mandated functions.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

See above.

What is your Annual Budget? $682,753.00

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1) salaries 2) West group 3) in-state travel 4) copier.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 6 full-time, 2 Board members, ad hoc staff (amount varies each year by usage, determined by caseload).

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. Ikon - contract amount $4380.00 paid $4380.00.

Allen reporting Contract amount $15,000 paid $5,293.1.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Policy.

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? Policy.
Your reasons why this group should be continued to administer the teacher collective bargaining law. Hear and decide ULP complaints. Supply mediators and fact-finders during impasse. Compile and maintain research, conduct elections, determine unit composition.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting attached.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website

Prepared by

Vicki E. Martin

Labor Relations Specialist EVII

Mediator

11-29-05

317-233-6619
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee Vocational Technical Proficiency Panel, now named the Indiana Workforce Proficiency Panel.

When was this group originated? P.L. 51 – 1990

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal Statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Department of Workforce Development

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? The mission of the Workforce Proficiency Panel is to work with people from business, labor and education to identify a common set of essential and technical skills required to be effective in major occupational areas. These skills will be based on a portable certification system allowing secondary, postsecondary, and adult learners to demonstrate what they know and can do.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. **Determining the essential and technical skills required to be effective in the workplace (i.e., skill standards)**
2. **Improving proficiency based curriculum for existing vocational programs.**
3. **Developing written and performance based scenario assessments so industry recognized Certificates of Technical Achievement can be awarded.**

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. **Number of individuals – 29,306**
2. **Number of assessments – 136,385**
3. **Implementing a protocol for integrating Workkeys and CTAs in a statewide system.**

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1. **Upgrade quality of the Certificate**
2. **Continue to develop a core in the 14 Career Clusters**
3. **Expand implementation of Workkeys/CTA model.**

What is your Annual Budget? None – Funds for activities of the Panel are requested from the Indiana Commission on Career and Technical Education.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Purdue Technical Assistance Program (TAP)/BMT – Technical Assistance, and Vincennes Project – Technical assistance and curriculum from Vtecs.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

VTecs – $358,600.00

TAP – $324,996.00

Vincennes – $250,000.00

Rick Streepy – $39,500.00

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Working with the Commission on Career and Technical Education in reducing any Federal funds needed for the Workforce Proficiency Panel.
Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group For a volunteer board, this group is very committed and effective.
Your reasons why this group should continue To complete the development of the Core Proficiencies in the 14 Clusters. Two are completed and one is in the process, leaving eleven areas for continued work. This will be a major contribution for the Technical Honors Diploma that must be in place by the fall of 2007.

Overall, how would you rate the job our Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ X _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?
Excellent _____ X _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting Minutes are attached. The next meeting will be held Monday, December 19 at 10:00 a.m. in IGC South Conference Center, Conference Room #1

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:

When was this group originated? Under P.L. 132-2001, Sec. 4

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

[ ] No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

[ ] No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Department of Insurance

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission?

To review and make recommendations to the Commissioner with respect to course materials, curriculum, and credentials of instructors of each prelicensing course of study for which certification is sought under IC27-1-15-1 and shall make recommendations to the Commissioner with respect to educational requirements for insurance producers. The Council shall make recommendations concerning continuing education courses and rules proposed that would affect continuing education.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? 

Improve the pre-licensing process by coordination of pre-licensing schools, the industry (represented by this council, academic institutions and test administrators)

1. Rewriting exam questions that address entry level required knowledge.
2. Test sites coordinated through Indiana colleges
3. Reduce time between required coursework and exam

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Council members reviewed (approved/disapproved) approximately 2000 courses/proposals annually

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Satisfy Rule 40 and Rule 50 requirements for both pre-licensing and continuing education course material 2. Implement new pre-licensing process.

What is your Annual Budget? 0

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 0

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 1 per dem - each member for monthly meetings.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

Ed Goble - consultant with IDOT

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? None at this time

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group Reqs call for 13 active members, currently only 10 active members
Your reasons why this group should be continued:

Indiana has adopted the NAIC* system which establishes a consistent, uniform process between all 50 states for licensing insurance producers. One item in the system establishes this council, IC 27-1-15.6 et seq. 27-1-15.7. Also, Indiana does not have a budget to support full-time staff to review courses, instructors, tests, etc.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ____ Very Good ____ Good ____ Fair ____ Poor ____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ____ Very Good ____ Good ____ Fair ____ Not at All ____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting:

December 15, 2005, 1PM, Indiana Department of Insurance

Cheste S. Pietras
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Signed: 11/30/2005

cpietras @ doi.state.in.us
Your email address

317-234-1138
Daytime Telephone

www.in.gov/doi
Internet Address or Website

( ) National Association of Insurance Commissioners
Commission name:
State Student Assistance Commission of Indiana (SSACI)

Originated:
1965 by IC 20-12-21-4: “The commission is a separate body, corporate and politic. The commission is not a state agency. The commission performs essential governmental functions.”

Sunset date:
None

Government Agency or Department responsible:
The Governor appointed Commission of ten oversees a staff of 20 including an Executive Director who is responsible for the day-to-day operation.

The Executive Director is appointed by the Governor in consultation with the Commission. The Commission elects its Chairperson from its appointed members.

Mission:
The mission of the State Student Assistance Commission of Indiana (SSACI) is
- to make college affordable with student grants;
- to allow greater choice by granting awards to students attending public, independent and proprietary colleges; and
- to increase college preparation by giving additional grants to Twenty-first Century Scholars and to students graduating from high school with Core 40 and Academic Honors Diplomas.

SSACI accomplishes its mission with:
- Special Program grants for nurses, working students, minority students, and children of certain veterans and public safety officers;
- Early Intervention programs for Twenty-first Century Scholars;
- Research to better understand the needs of Hoosier students and families; and
- Technology to make the delivery of awards as simple as possible for students and colleges.

Long-range goals:
- SSACI needs to increase its efforts to get funding for student financial aid from General Fund appropriations as well as federal and private sources.
- SSACI needs to enhance its technology to make student application for financial aid easier and more transparent, and to increase the ease by which students can update and correct their applications.
- SSACI needs to review its policies and statutory driven rules and regulations to make student financial aid
STATE STUDENT ASSISTANCE COMMISSION OF INDIANA

Better meet the needs of the changing student populations—traditional versus non-traditional;
better meet the needs of students requiring more distance education; and
better meet the needs of students by modifying the calendar deadlines for students to apply for financial aid.

Three most important accomplishments in last three years:

- Working with the General Assembly and the Indiana Commission for Higher Education on the 2005-07 Biennial Budget which resulted in increases in student financial aid.
- Successful application for a new federal Gear Up grant through 2011 which included a successful reorganization of the state-wide Early Intervention Sites for Twenty-first Century Scholars to improve efficiency and reduce costs.
- Policy changes to increase the fairness of and to reduce costs for the Child of Disabled Veteran and other fee remission programs.

Three primary goals for this year (2005-06):

- Enhance the technology so that colleges can better claim various state awards, for example, the Hoosier Scholar award; enhance the on-line application eStudent to make it easier for students to review problems with their financial aid application.
- Work with college and university financial aid offices on the impact of extending financial aid application and correction deadlines; extending deadlines can benefit students (and the State) but also cause problems for college financial aid offices that could offset gains to students.
- Work with various stakeholders to revise the legislatively mandated fee remission programs (for example, the Child of Disabled Veteran program).

Annual budget:

The appointed Commission has no budget. SSACI as the operational body politic has a budget of approximately $198M for 2005-06.

In 2004-05 the budget was $192M of which 98.9% went to college students as grants and 1.01% for administrative costs. See attached “Activity and Program Report for Academic Year 2004-05”.

Four largest items in the budget:

The four largest budget items from 2004-05 are grants. See attached “Activity and Program Report for Academic Year 2004-05”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Grant</th>
<th>Dollars Spent</th>
<th>% of Total Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education Award</td>
<td>$98,213,238</td>
<td>51.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of Choice</td>
<td>$37,909,680</td>
<td>19.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st Century Scholarships</td>
<td>$17,098,140</td>
<td>8.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Remission</td>
<td>$15,533,213</td>
<td>8.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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How many receive a salary from the State:
Of the 10 appointed members of the Commission, none receive a salary. They are reimbursed only for travel expenses when attending Commission meetings.

The 20 operational staff members are paid as if they were state employees.

Consultants in past 24 months:
Crowe Chizek LLC for Information Technology (IT) development. Crowe Chizek implemented the Scholar Enrollment and Affirmation System (SEAS) for SSACI's Office of Twenty-first Century Scholars. It also implemented improvements in SSACI's Grant Reporting and Delivery System (GRADS), originally implemented by Crowe Chizek.

Crowe Chizek was paid $161,142 in FY05. Over the past two and a half fiscal years, some $440,000 was paid to Crowe Chizek for system development and implementation including discounted pre-payment for anticipated work in FY06.

Suggestions for reducing expenditures by 10% in next 2 years:
SSACI's financial aid grant budgets for students cannot be reduced; indeed they should be increased to keep pace with tuition increases and to make up for lost ground when grants were flat-lined for 3 years (FY3, FY4, and FY5).

SSACI's administrative budget, which is about 1% of its total budget, has been reduced by two separate reversions: 5% in 2003-04, flat-lined at the reduced rate in 2004-05, and reduced another 7% in 2005-06. SSACI is struggling to make ends meet as it is.

Because the oversight Commission has no budget, its costs could be reduced only by reducing the number of public meetings.

Does group represent all areas of the State:
Commissioners are appointed one from each of the nine congressional districts plus an at-large student member for a total of ten members.

Last year SSACI gave financial aid to over 55,000 students attending 98 campuses of public, private, and proprietary colleges in the state of Indiana.

Recommendations for improving effectiveness:
The State Student Assistance Commission of Indiana is both effective and efficient in its current configuration. Maintenance of that effort requires the continued support of the Governor and the General Assembly for student financial aid (which has always been strong).

SSACI also needs the restoration of its appropriated administrative budget. It is becoming extremely difficult for SSACI to maintain its technological edge in delivering awards to Hoosier families because of administrative cutbacks.
Reasons why this group should be continued:
The State Student Assistance Commission is ranked in the top handful of state grant agencies in the country. Without it, tens of thousands of Hoosier students would not be able to go to college and the State could not meet its goal of raising Hoosier education levels.

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana:
Excellent, without question.

Minutes are attached.

Date and location of next meeting:
January 11, 1 PM, ISTA Center, 150 W. Market Street, Indianapolis, Indiana

State Student Assistance Commission of Indiana

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

John Michael Kennedy, Chairman

November 30, 2005

Date

john.m.kennedy@delphi.com

Your email address

(765) 451 - 7005

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website

Please feel free to also contact the following full-time SSACI member:

Dennis Obergfell, Deputy Director and Interim Executive Director
ISTA Center
150 W. Market Street, Suite 500
Indianapolis, In 46204
dobergfell@ssaci.in.gov
(317) 232-2097
http://www.in.gov/ssaci/
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Board of Interpreter Standards (Sign Language)

When was this group originated? 1997

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No Federal legislation

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No sunset date was established

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Deaf and Hard of Hearing Services/ within DDRS/ within FSSA

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

It is administered by a division of a state agency,

Indiana Deaf and Hard of Hearing Services

What is your Mission? "To establish standards that determine necessary competency and proficiency in sign language and oral interpreting and ensure quality, professional interpreting services in order to protect the public and persons who are Deaf or hard of hearing from misrepresentation." (BIS minutes April 18, 1998.)
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The goals of the BIS were to establish a working standard for sign language interpreters in Indiana, create a grievance committee for this standard, and to maintain this standard as needed. The BIS has fulfilled the first two goals and DHHS is able to maintain or modify the standards through the rule promulgation process.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

The BIS has not met since the Governor stated he wished to reduce meetings at his first State of the State address. Prior to that it had met infrequently since 2001.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? The standards do need review as there have been changes at the national level in terms used to describe certified interpreters and modifications to the code of professional conduct and Indiana's standards should reflect this.

What is your Annual Budget? There is no unique budget for the BIS, all funds come from DHHS.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? The largest expenses have been per-diem, travel and interpreting costs.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? none

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year none

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

Meet only when needed or send in suggestions for changes to DHHS without meeting.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? When it was active, yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

The standards have been created. The primary role of the BIS has been accomplished.

DHHS has been able to monitor the standards and make changes to them. DHHS could continue to do this without the BIS meeting to tell DHHS to do this if there were some way to allow for the community to provide input prior to the implementation of any changes.
Your reasons why this group should be continued. It should not be continued.

The standards have been created. The primary role of the BIS has been accomplished. DHHS has been able to monitor the standards and make changes to them. DHHS could continue to do this without the BIS meeting to tell DHHS to do this if the community is still able to provide input prior to the implementation of any changes as allowed by the current promulgation process.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent X Very Good ________ Good ________ Fair ________ Poor ________

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good ________ Good ________ Fair ________ Not at All ________

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Minutes are attached—there is no future meeting set.

James W. Van Manen, Director, DHHS
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair
james.vanmanen@fssa.in.gov

Your email address
www.in.gov/fssa/dhhs

Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire. We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:

Indiana Commission on Proprietary Education

When was this group originated?

1971 Indiana General Assembly (P.L. 313, Sec. 2)

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

NO

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

NO

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The Indiana Commission On Proprietary Education

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission?

The Commission is charged with regulating privately owned, postsecondary career schools as prescribed in the Indiana Code 20-12-76 et. seq. Our mission is to not only maintain but improve the educational quality and vocational effectiveness of the private
career schools. In addition, the commission is to protect the citizens of Indiana, as well as the ethical operators of private career schools, from the fraudulent activities of a minority of unscrupulous operators of such schools.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Continued service to the students and enterprise of Indiana Postsecondary Education through consumer protection, business support, and comprehensive information.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

(1) Continued regulation of a growing sector of postsecondary proprietary education.

(2) Implementation of the protective capacity of the Career College Student Assurance Fund. (3) Developing regulatory partnerships with industry-specific agencies.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

(1) Increased and improved public information availability through web services.

(2) Re-structuring of agency accreditation functions for improved service response.

(3) Information development for consumer and industry protection pertaining to fraudulent individual and institutional credentials (degree mills).

What is your Annual Budget? FY2005-2006 = $454,671; FY2006-2007 = $454,994

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Personnel (92%); Contracted Services (3%);

Other Services (2%); Materials and Supplies (1%)

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None of the Commission members.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year:

Administrative Law Judge: Jan Kreuscher ($3,500 each in FY2004 and FY2005)

Copy Machine Lease: Indy Office Solutions (FY03=$5,392; FY04=$5,288)

Information Technology Services: IOT (FY03=$1,495; FY04=$4,293)

Various on-site evaluators: see attached Annual Reports (NOTE: paid by schools)

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? With all due respect, the agency budget remains 2% below the 1999 funding
appropriation and is currently operating under the mandated reduction-for-reversion of 7% of the appropriated funds. In addition, two approved positions remain unfunded (Account Clerk and Secretary), leaving the agency with no support staff. The salary assumptions for these two positions mean that the agency is currently operating at more than 10% below that which is necessary to support the approved manning table. And, finally, each year, the agency generates fee revenue (paid to the General Fund) equal to approximately 13% of the General Fund Appropriation.

In summary, under the current reversion assumptions, the Commission will generate a return to the General Fund equal to 20% of the appropriated amount. Further cuts cannot be accomplished without compromising statutory requirements for public service and industry regulation.

Is your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes. Regulated institutions are located throughout the State of Indiana (and in various other states).

Appointed members to the Board of Commissioners may come from any geographic location of the State.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group:

Fully-populated Board membership (there is currently a vacant at-large position that remains un-filled). Financial capacity to fill a support staff position to assist in the information management and day-to-day operations of the agency.

Your reasons why this group should be continued The mission and expertise of both the Board and the staff are unique within Indiana Government. (Earlier considerations for agency consolidation were deemed revenue neutral.) Without the functions served by the Commission on Proprietary Education, the capacity for fraud would be substantial and educational access would be compromised.
Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent [X] Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent [X] Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Minutes are attached for March, 2005, June, 2005, and September, 2005 meetings.

The next two scheduled meetings of the Commission are: November 30, 2005

(IIndianapolis), and March 8, 2006 (Indianapolis)

Signed by Chairman

11-30-2005

Date

Paul E. Black
Printed Name

(812) 491-8232
Daytime Telephone

peh@bowersharrison.com
Your email address

www.in.gov/cope
Internet Address or Website

Jeff Weber
Printed Name

(317) 232-1329
Daytime Telephone

jweber@cpe.in.gov
Commissioner email address
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Adult Literacy Coalition (IALC)

When was this group originated? Legislated 1986 (PL 160-1996)

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? January 1, 2007

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Department of Education

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? N/A

What is your Mission?

(1) Promote lifelong learning for Indiana residents so that Indiana residents may participate fully in family, community, civic, employment and education opportunities and (2) Encourage the coordination of state agency activity related to adult literacy.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The long-range goal is to increase coordination efforts of stakeholders to meet the expanding needs of adult learners. The Coalition completed a strategic plan and delivered it to the Governor in August 2005. The Coalition believes if state agencies, literacy programs, community groups and other stakeholders collaborate to implement the strategies of this plan, Indiana will make great strides in improving the literacy levels of its residents and workforce.
The plan includes six vision statements with an overarching goal. The six goals are:

- Recognize the importance of adult literacy on Indiana's economy and individual quality of life.
- Serve those in need of basic and increasingly higher literacy level instructional services, including ESL.
- Improve the delivery and capacity of the adult education field to meet the large and growing workforce education needs in Indiana.
- Increase adult literacy levels through volunteer-based literacy programs to reinforce the growth of local and state economies.
- Provide a literacy planning model for use in Indiana communities.
- Provide a dynamic, responsive literacy Web site that provides easy access to information, enhances communication among literacy providers and, at the same time, is a source of information for those needing literacy services.

Of the six identified above, the Coalition determined three top priorities:

- Enhance communication among literacy providers;
- Improve the delivery and capacity of the adult education field to meet the workforce education needs specifically through work with state agencies and other stakeholders on credentialing, programs, return on investment, collaboration and cross-training;
- Recognize the importance of adult literacy on Indiana's economy and individual quality of life by publishing a statewide network of resources for local literacy providers.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

- The Coalition spent a great deal of time, effort and collaboration over the last two years revising and updating the October 2001 Strategic Plan. Representatives from government agencies, literacy providers, libraries, community organizations, industry, adult learners and others actively participated in this process.

- IALC developed and promoted Put Your Nose in a Book, Indiana!, a campaign to encourage reading during September, which is International Literacy Month. With two years of promotion, the campaign continues to grow.

- A Web site for the Coalition was created as a foundation for better communication between providers and easy access for information about available resources. (This is a work in progress and requires additional development.)

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

The Coalition prioritized three strategies to meet goals of the Strategic Plan to focus efforts for 2006.

1. Create a list serv/chat room where individuals can communicate.
2. Work with (at a minimum): Department of Workforce Development, State Chamber of Commerce, Indiana Economic Development Corporation, FSSA, Department of Correction, Department of Education and other stakeholders on credentialing, programs, return on investment, collaboration and cross-training.
3. Publish statewide network of resources for local literacy stakeholders.
What is your Annual Budget?
There is no budget. The Department of Education reimburses mileage for one member to attend meetings and pays for printing and other incidental expenses.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?
The six ex officio members who represent the six state agencies and the consultant with the Division of Adult Education who provides administrative support.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? N/A

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes. Geographic distribution is one criterion in the selection of members.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group.
State support at the highest levels would ensure success of the IALC's Statewide Literacy Plan. Increased awareness of the Coalition among local literacy coalitions, public libraries, educational providers, and state and local government leaders will help improve the effectiveness of the group by increasing participation, collaboration and communication. Representatives of the Coalition will meet with the Governor's office Dec. 6 to discuss the strategic plan and future endeavors of the group.

Your reasons why this group should be continued
The need for adult literacy training in Indiana has never been greater. Concerned citizens and professionals alike recognize our communities and the state serve people better when they:
- Give individuals the tools to help themselves;
- Strengthen a family's ability to support each other;
- Help employers compete in an economic environment that is increasingly global; and
- Help a community develop an informed, capable workforce to maintain and attract industry.

The Coalition has completed the Strategic Plan, and its members are committed to moving it forward through collaborative efforts of state agencies, literacy programs, community groups and other stakeholders. The development of a literate citizenry not only enhances the quality of life for individuals, but positively impacts Indiana's economy.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent_____ Very Good__XX__ Good_____ Fair______ Poor_______
How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent _____ Very Good  XX  Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All_____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting Minutes attached

Next Meeting: Thursday, January 19, 2005
Indianapolis Electrical Joint Apprenticeship and Training Center
1751 S. Lawndale Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46241

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Karen D. Braeckel

Your email address

kbraeckel@hspa.com

Date

317-624-4426

Daytime Telephone

www.indianaliteracycoalition.org

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

Indiana Medical Education Board (IMEB)

When was this group originated?

1967

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The IMEB is setup as a state agency within the Indiana University School of Medicine. The IUSM has delegated the administrative duties between two employees. These employees work closely with state accounting and budget departments to insure compliance and fiscal prudence.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The seven IMEB members are appointed at the call of the Governor. If a member vacates a position, the IMEB will make recommendation for a replacement member.

What is your Mission?

Our mission is to retain and attract more physicians by the state of Indiana; in particular the specialty of Family Practice.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The top three goals of the Indiana Medical Education Board are: to support the practice of family medicine in rural and inner city populations, to recruit and retain family practice doctors in the state of Indiana, and to support the family residency programs in their goal of keeping with medical technology. (i.e. Patient Simulators, PDA's, electronic indexing, ect.) Our long range goals are consistent with the top three goals. The original intent of the distributed medical education program initiated in the late 1960’s had
similar goals, i.e., increase interest in primary care medicine, specifically family practice, and encourage retention in and around the sites of the “Centers of Medical Education". Almost forty years after the initiation of these Centers, preliminary data would suggest that we are attracting and retaining these physicians. The support of these primary care residency programs are in large part responsible for this success.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) Support of the practice of family medicine in rural and inner city populations. The support of the medical educational program has provided additional programmatic support and enabled new educational curricula to enhance residency training programs. This comes in terms of faculty and technologic support (see #3).
2) Recruitment and retention of family practice doctors in the state of Indiana. There is a declining national trend in attracting family practice physicians into this specialty. The reasons for this are complex but reduced reimbursement is certainly contributory. The Med Ed program offers programs to be competitive for residents choosing family medicine training.
3) Support the family residency programs in their goal of keeping with medical technology. (i.e. Patient Simulators, PDA's, electronic indexing, etc.).

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

Our three primary goals continue to be consistent with our top three past goals. We need to proactive in support of recruitment of fourth year medical students into family medicine. This is done by the programs but the Board could be more instrumental by promoting Family Practice symposia, discussions, and educational programs both in the state and out of the state in an attempt to enhance recruitment to these Indiana family Medicine residency programs.

What is your Annual Budget?

The annual budget consists of:
- Support to 13 Family Practice programs throughout Indiana: $1,000,000
- Support for Family Practice residents via Per Capita payments: $1,206,255
- IUSM administrative support (% of IUSM admin salary, fringe, supplies): $42,965
- Travel for IMEB members: $571

Total: $2,249,791

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

Support to the thirteen Family Practice programs throughout Indiana (~ 44%) and Family Practice residents per capita payments (~ 54%) equal ~ 98% of the total budget. Administrative support and IMEB travel is ~ 2%.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

The seven IMEB members are voluntary and receive no income. As offset to the administrative costs, a contract is created each year and submitted to the state budget department.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

The Indiana Medical Education Board is administrated via support from the Indiana University School of Medicine. The contract for FY2004-2005 was $42,170. The contract for FY 2005-2006 is $42,965; a ~ 1.8% increase to accommodate the administrative salary increase and a change in the fringe benefit rate.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?
As less than 2% of the Indiana Medical Education Board budget is used for administrative support; any cut to the program would be a decrease in funds distributed to the family practice residency programs. With the award not receiving an increase over this biennium the programs are not receiving an increase.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

The IMEB funds support residency programs in: Gary, South Bend, Fort Wayne, Muncie, Indianapolis, Terre Haute, and Evansville.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group.

Consider more meetings rather than twice yearly.

Your reasons why this group should be continued.

Continued support of the Indiana Medical Education Board award enables Family Practice programs the ability to enhance their current programs, as well as, support each family medicine resident with individual support. It is important to maintain an independent appointed board to administer the funds, as it is the best way to maintain the focus on supporting Family Medicine. This group has expertise and experience with family medicine residencies. It would be a mistake for the medical school take over the distribution; as the money may end up being directed to other uses in the long term.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent—Very Good—Good—Fair—Poor—Not at all

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent—Very Good—Good—Fair—Poor—Not at all

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Stephen B. Leapman, M.D.
Proxy Ex officio member and Chairman
Executive Associate Dean for Education
(317)278-6513
sleapma@iupui.edu

November 16, 2005
Date

SECTION 256 - H.E.A. 1001 (2005 BUDGET BILL)

(a) As used in this SECTION, "board or commission" includes any:

(1) board;
(2) commission;
(3) committee;
(4) council;
(5) panel;
(6) taskforce;
(7) authority;
(8) foundation or (9) bureau;
that was created by an executive order or by statute and that is in existence on July 1, 2005.
Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:

Commission for Higher Education of the State of Indiana.

When was this group originated?

It was established in Indiana law at IC 20-12-20.5-1 et seq. in the Acts of 1971, P.L. 326, SEC. 1

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

As noted above, the Commission is created by action of the Indiana General Assembly.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

None.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The Commission is authorized to employ a Commissioner and retain its own staff. The Commissioner reports to the Commission. As noted in the authorizing legislation, the Commission is appointed by the Governor to staggered terms.

What is your mission?
The Mission of the Commission is set forth at IC 20-12-0.5-3:

“(1) Plan and coordinate Indiana’s state supported system of postsecondary education.

(2) Review appropriation requests for postsecondary education.

(3) Make recommendations to the governor, budget agency, or the general assembly concerning postsecondary education.

(4) Perform other functions assigned by the governor or the general assembly, except those functions specifically assigned by law to the commission on vocational and technical education within the department of workforce development.”

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The operational goals of the Commission are to assist the State and its institutions in meeting specific higher education goals (See attached list).

How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years?

(1) The creation and evolution of the Community College system, including the establishment of STAC (the Statewide Transfer and Articulation Committee).

(2) The establishment of Core 40 as the default high school curriculum, as well as the minimum course preparation requirement for admission to Indiana's public four-year institutions.

(3) Implementing the e-Transcript Initiative, which computerizes the delivery of high school transcripts to colleges and universities statewide.

What are your three primary goals for this year?

(1) The implementation of the Core Transfer Library, consisting of the courses most taken by lower division students across the state. A portion this is a Statewide Transfer Website, which assists students at any Indiana institution in identifying transferable courses that may be applied toward a degree program at any other Indiana institution.

(2) The design of a new funding incentive to encourage institutions to achieve higher levels of degree completion.
(3) The identification of aspirational peers for the Ivy Tech Community College System, and a comparison of key areas in which Ivy Tech development may be necessary.

What is your Annual Budget?

The Commission’s core operations budget (the portion funded by the General Assembly directly) for FY06 is $1,539,343. These funds are augmented by foundation and indirect state appropriation for a total FY06 budget of $7,326,557. These budgeted sums do not include federal program funds.

What are the four largest items in your Budget?

(1) Core operating Personnel Expense - $1,181,610
(2) Core operating Office Facilities (primarily rent) - $131,182
(3) Core operating Communications Expense - $93,815
(4) Core operating Word and Data Processing Expense – $56,435

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

The 14 appointed members of the Commission receive the standard $50 per diem stipulated in the biennial appropriation bill for members of boards and commissions.

The Commission currently employs eight full-time professional staff, three full-time support staff, and one part-time staff member.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

See separate sheet.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of ten percent during the next two years.

The Commission is a very small agency with a very large list of aspirations and assignments by the Governor and the General Assembly. It is very difficult to imagine down-sizing the Commission. The 2005 Government Efficiency Commission should note that the previous 2004 Government Efficiency Commission concluded that the role of the Commission should be increased, not decreased, and that it should expand its efforts in mission review/approval and the creation of new performance financing mechanisms for the state.
Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana?

By statute, the members of the Commission represent all nine Congressional districts in the state. In addition, the Governor appoints three at-large members. The faculty and student members are not generally representative of the State of Indiana.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?

We shared numerous suggestions concerning the Commission with the 2004 Government Efficiency Commission as well as with the transition team for Governor Daniels. Several of these suggestions continue to be relevant:

(1) Give the Commission specific authority to de-authorized degree programs, regardless of when they were authorized

(2) Give the Commission the authority to develop – with the institutions – a common general education core for all degree programs

(3) Grant the Commission approval (not just review) authority for capital projects

(4) Require Commission approval for all construction planning requests (i.e., prior to any facility planning conducted by the institutions).

Your reasons why this group should be continued?

(1) The public universities are an important piece of the state’s overall commitment to education. There needs to be a state interface with these institutions.

(2) It is essential to have a system of coordinated missions among the public institutions to avoid duplication of expensive programs and associated facilities this cannot be accomplished without a state-level authority.

(3) It is essential to the state’s continued economic development that student preparation for, participation in, and completion of postsecondary education be increased. These goals cannot be achieved by piecemeal efforts at individual schools and institutions.

(4) The Governor and the General Assembly create expectations about the behavior and results for the public institutions; an agency like the Commission must exist to ensure those expectations are achieved and reported.
Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last two years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent.

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent.

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Chair

ncralston@yahoo.com
Your e-mail address

www.che.state.in.us
Internet address or website

Nov. 30, 2005
Date
HIGHER EDUCATION GOALS

- **Participation:** Increase participation in Indiana's higher education system to exceed the national average. The goal is to add 30,000 more students to the system by 2009. (This goal was set in 2000, and the State has attained 91% of the goal total by 2005). Continue the upward trend of Hoosier students enrolling in college immediately following high school.
  
  - Identify aspirational peers for the Ivy Tech Community College System, and a comparison of key areas in which Ivy Tech development may be necessary.
  - Develop “Early College” and dual credit opportunities that allow students to jumpstart collegiate coursework while still in high school.
  - Complete the e-Transcript Initiative.

- **Affordability:** Ensure higher education is affordable for all students.
  
  - Develop a set of recommendations that considers all aspects of the affordability issue, including tuition and fees, as well as financial assistance.
  - Maintain the State Student Assistance Commission as one of the best-funded aid programs in the country.

- **Transfer of Credit:** Increase the number of courses and degree programs that transfer across Indiana’s colleges and universities.
  
  - Implement a Core Transfer Library, consisting of at least 70 courses most taken by lower division students that will transfer statewide.
  - Implement a Statewide Transfer Website, which assists students at any Indiana college or university in identifying transferable courses that may be applied toward a degree program at any other Indiana institution.

- **College Completion:** Improve college completion rates to mirror, at a minimum, peer averages.
  
  - Design a new funding incentive to encourage institutions to achieve higher levels of degree completion and increase time-to-degree.
  - Narrow the gaps between minority and underrepresented student populations.

- **Economic/Workforce Development:** Increase the supply of well-prepared graduates to meet workforce needs.
  
  - Develop internship mechanisms that encourage and assist cities and businesses with investing in their future workforce.
- Design a data bridge that allows ongoing analysis of postsecondary degree production and placement in the workforce.

- **Research**: Boost Indiana University and Purdue University into the top 20 public research universities in the nation. Increased research capacity will lead to a greater flow of federal funds to Indiana along with more opportunities for economic development and innovation.
  
  - Increase funding for the 21st Century Research and Technology fund.
  - Sustain the higher education research support adjustment.
  - Continue the rapid expansion of research facilities at Indiana University Bloomington, Purdue University West Lafayette, and IUPUI.
  - Give Purdue University and Indiana University bonding authority to expedite their ability to successfully pursue major contracts and grants.
Commission for Higher Education

CONTRACTORS/CONSULTANTS/ADVISORS USED BY THE COMMISSION
(for fiscal years 2003-04 and 2004-05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHE Contract</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Amount Paid In 2004-05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P376-2004</td>
<td>Hall Render</td>
<td>K-12 Higher Education Initiatives</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>12/1/2003</td>
<td>3/1/2004</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P405-2005</td>
<td>Jason Elwood</td>
<td>Transfer Website - XML Workshop</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>9/20/2004</td>
<td>9/20/2004</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P421-2005</td>
<td>NCHEMS</td>
<td>Presentation to SECD</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>1/19/2005</td>
<td>1/19/2005</td>
<td>5,242.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P427-2005</td>
<td>Karen Rasmussen</td>
<td>IN/Ohio Reciprocity Agreement</td>
<td>$40 per hr</td>
<td>3/1/2005</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>440.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P432-2005</td>
<td>Mike Baumgartner</td>
<td>Miscellaneous Projects</td>
<td>$50 per hr</td>
<td>5/1/2005</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects With Outside Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHE Contract</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Amount Paid In 2004-05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P357-2004</td>
<td>ICPAC</td>
<td>04 CHE ICPAC Activities</td>
<td>199,228</td>
<td>7/1/2003</td>
<td>12/31/2003</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P358-2004</td>
<td>IBJ</td>
<td>Sallie Mae Funding PREP Magazine</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>9/15/2003</td>
<td>9/15/2003</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P359-2004</td>
<td>IBJ</td>
<td>CHE Funding PREP Magazine</td>
<td>12,703</td>
<td>9/15/2003</td>
<td>9/15/2003</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P361-2004</td>
<td>IBJ</td>
<td>ISM Funding GRAD &amp; NEXT Mag</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>10/17/2003</td>
<td>10/17/2003</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P366-2004</td>
<td>Borshoff</td>
<td>Academic Standards Student/Parent/Spanish Guides</td>
<td>27,700</td>
<td>7/1/2003</td>
<td>12/30/2004</td>
<td>7,134.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P367-2004</td>
<td>Borshoff</td>
<td>Curriculum Frameworks 03-04</td>
<td>49,000</td>
<td>7/1/2003</td>
<td>12/30/2004</td>
<td>3,748.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P369-2004</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>P-16/ICPAC/SSACI/Consulting</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>8/1/2003</td>
<td>6/30/2004</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P370-2004</td>
<td>ETS</td>
<td>Core 40 End-of-Course Assessments</td>
<td>971,633</td>
<td>12/1/2003</td>
<td>8/31/2004</td>
<td>400,500.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Commission for Higher Education

### CONTRACTORS/CONSULTANTS/ADVISORS USED BY THE COMMISSION

(for fiscal years 2003-04 and 2004-05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHE Contract</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Amount Paid In 2004-05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P371-2004</td>
<td>IBJ</td>
<td>03/04 NEXT Indiana Activity Guide</td>
<td>11,410</td>
<td>9/1/2003</td>
<td>10/31/2004</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P373-2004</td>
<td>DWD</td>
<td>FY04 ICPAC Activities (ICIS)</td>
<td>143,939</td>
<td>1/1/2004</td>
<td>6/30/2004</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P374-2004</td>
<td>ICPAC</td>
<td>FY04 ICPAC Activities (Second Half)</td>
<td>381,201</td>
<td>1/1/2004</td>
<td>7/30/2004</td>
<td>71,178.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P378-2004</td>
<td>Web Academy</td>
<td>Acad. Stds Resources Online</td>
<td>54,712</td>
<td>7/1/2003</td>
<td>12/31/2003</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P380-2004</td>
<td>Web Academy</td>
<td>Standards Website Maintenance</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>1/1/2004</td>
<td>6/30/2004</td>
<td>6,580.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P388-2004</td>
<td>KSA-Plus</td>
<td>P-16 Communications Planning</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>3/15/2004</td>
<td>9/30/2004</td>
<td>45,640.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P390-2004</td>
<td>Borshoff</td>
<td>Acad Stds-Stu/Par/Tea 04-05</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>2/23/2004</td>
<td>12/31/2004</td>
<td>17,938.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P392-2004</td>
<td>ETS</td>
<td>Criterion - 9th &amp; 10th Grades</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>11/1/2003</td>
<td>10/31/2004</td>
<td>19,885.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P396-2004</td>
<td>Web Academy</td>
<td>FY05 Website Maintenance</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>7/1/2004</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>40,067.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P399-2004</td>
<td>IU/CIA</td>
<td>ICPAC FY05 Workplan</td>
<td>789,715</td>
<td>7/1/2004</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>343,184.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P400-2004</td>
<td>IU/CIA</td>
<td>FY05 Services</td>
<td>325,526</td>
<td>7/1/2004</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>211,349.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P401-2004</td>
<td>IBJ</td>
<td>Sallie Mae Funding PREP Magazine</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>6/11/2004</td>
<td>6/11/2004</td>
<td>60,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P402-2005</td>
<td>IBJ</td>
<td>Biomet Funding NEXT IN Resource Guide</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>7/16/2004</td>
<td>7/16/2004</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P412-2005</td>
<td>TASA</td>
<td>Core 40 ECA Paper and Pencil FY05</td>
<td>494,750</td>
<td>10/1/2004</td>
<td>8/31/2006</td>
<td>105,603.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P414-2005</td>
<td>Huynh</td>
<td>RT Meeting Speaker</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>10/12/2004</td>
<td>10/12/2004</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P415-2005</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Name Study</td>
<td>20,350</td>
<td>10/20/2004</td>
<td>12/31/2004</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Commission for Higher Education

## CONTRACTORS/CONSULTANTS/ADVISORS USED BY THE COMMISSION

*for fiscal years 2003-04 and 2004-05*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHE Contract</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Amount Paid In 2004-05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P418-2005</td>
<td>ETS</td>
<td>Core 40 ECA On-line FY05</td>
<td>3,001,814</td>
<td>12/1/2004</td>
<td>8/31/2006</td>
<td>1,578,092.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P419-2005</td>
<td>Haverstick</td>
<td>Enhance CHE Data Systems</td>
<td>154,360</td>
<td>11/8/2004</td>
<td>6/15/2005</td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P423-2005</td>
<td>Karen Rasmussen</td>
<td>RT Meeting Minutes</td>
<td>$40 per hr</td>
<td>1/1/2005</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>380.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P424-2005</td>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>FY05 Website Maintenance-Orig 396</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>1/10/2005</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>10,477.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P428-2005</td>
<td>Borshoff</td>
<td>Indiana Acad Standards Stu/Par/Tea/Editions 05/06</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>3/5/2005</td>
<td>12/31/2005</td>
<td>15,239.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P430-2005</td>
<td>Borshoff</td>
<td>IN Acad Standards Resources Eng/Lang/Arts Gr 10</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>4/1/2005</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P453-2005</td>
<td>Borshoff</td>
<td>Core 40 Graduation Charts</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>6/8/2005</td>
<td>8/15/2005</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: **Indiana Secondary Market for Education Loans, Inc.**

When was this group originated? **1981**

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? **No**

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? **No**

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? **None**

Our organization is a not-for-profit corporation

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? **Our organization is a not-for-profit corporation**

What is your Mission? **Please see enclosed report.**
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?  

Please see enclosed report


How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Please see enclosed report

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Provide means by which more Indiana citizens can pursue a higher education; 2. reduce the cost of higher education loans to students and parents; 3. provide meaningful financial contributions to the State of Indiana and its citizens.

What is your Annual Budget? See enclosed report.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? See enclosed report.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

Please see enclosed report.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Our organization continues to grow at a rapid rate in the interest of serving students and parents.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

Offer our services to a broader range of students and parents so that our financial products can be offered to them so as to improve access to higher education for Indiana students.
Your reasons why this group should be continued please see answers to previous questions and the enclosed report.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent _X_ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _X_ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting 2/21/06, 8:00 a.m., at the offices of Indiana Secondary Market, 111 Monument Circle, Suite 202, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

[Signature]

January 16, 2006

Date

Robert.Maccall @ bt-law.com

Your email address

(317) 231 7223

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION
QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Pesticide Review Board

When was this group originated? 1971

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?
No, Created by State Law IC 15-3-3.5-12

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?
No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?
Office of the Indiana State Chemist

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission?
To collect, analyze, and interpret information on matters relating to the use of pesticides; adopt rules; regulations providing for: 1) lists of restricted use pesticides; 2) Pesticide container storage & packaging; 3) Safe Pesticide use, handling & disposal; 4) Diagnostic inspection & reporting for wood destroying pests.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

To develop reasonable scientific-based rules & policies for the safe & effective use of pesticides. To ensure these rules provide adequate regulatory oversight to provide confidence to the public that they can continue to be used safely. To provide protection for consumers, the environment & pesticide users.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Carefully scrutinized (line by line) all state pesticide rules, some which have been on the books since 1971, and revised & updated as needed.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1) Finalize the off-target pesticide drift rule; 2) Study the need for state-specific pesticide/water quality protections; 3) Study the need for... 

What is your Annual Budget? 2005($5138.78), 2004($2764.15), 2003($2966.38)

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Mileage, per X1em, 3 long for travel to quarterly board meetings

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 4 (2em, 1BAH, 1SAH, 1NR)

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. NA

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

__________________________

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? YES

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: 2) Work more closely with office of the Governor to ensure timely appointment of board members; 2) Limit the number of agenda items at the quarterly meetings.

2) Analyzed, audited, reviewed, and coordinated efforts related to pesticides and water quality in Indiana.

3) In response to public input, developed rules & model policies relating to off-target pesticide drift, area-wide mosquito control & pesticide application activity in schools.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: 1) OUTSTANDING FORUM FOR PUBLIC DEBATE & INPUT ON OFTEN CONTENTIOUS RULES & POLICIES THAT IMPACT THE GENERAL PUBLIC, AGRICULTURE, PESTICIDE USERS; 2) SERVES AS THE FIRST LEVEL OF APPEAL ON ENFORCEMENT MATTERS INVOLVING PESTICIDES, OFTEN BEING REQUIRED TO RULE OBJECTIVELY ON TECHNICAL ISSUES; 3) BOARD MAKE-UP CONVENE TO DEVELOPING REASONED, SCIENTIFIC POLICIES MORE SO THAN THOSE BASED ON EMOTION OR POLITICS.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good _______ Good ______ Fair _______ Not at All _______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

2-23-05, 9:00AM, TIAMECANOE COUNTY COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair - AS DIRECTED

SPOTNE@PURDUE.Edu

Your email address

www.151co.purdue.edu/pesticide/pesticide_review_board.html

Internet Address or Website

SCOTT, MIKE, CHAIR

(765)494-1593

Daytime Telephone

12-15-05

Date

Your reasons why this group should be continued...
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee ______ Water Pollution Control Board

When was this group originated? ______ In 1986 When IDEM was split away from the State Board of Health.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

____ No. The Board was established under Indiana Statute IC 13-18-1.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

____ No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? ______ Indiana Department of Environmental Management

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?
What is your Mission? The WPCB shall adopt rules for the control and prevention of pollution
in waters of Indiana (IC 13-18-3-1). Further, the board may adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 that are
necessary to the implementation of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and the federal
Safe Drinking Water Act (IC 13-18-3-2).

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

- Protect the waters of the State of Indiana

- Assure clean safe drinking water

- Promote economic development while promoting pollution prevention

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three
years?

Rulemakings concerning CAFOs, Wetlands and the Streamlined Mercury Variance.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1) Development of an overarching statewide
wetlands policy. 2) Develop rulemakings required by SEA 620. 3) Work on timely advancement
of existing rulemakings.

What is your Annual Budget? There is no budget. Direct costs are paid through the IDEM
30-01/0000000/805 fund center.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? The four largest direct costs are: per diem, travel,
court reporter, and costs associated with public meetings.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each
contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None.
Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The Board has averaged nine meetings per year rather than monthly which reduces expenditures.

____________________________________

____________________________________

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? The board has representatives from the following constituencies: agriculture, manufacturing, environmental interests, labor, local government, health, small business, and the general public (IC 13-18-1-2)

____________________________________

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. Much has been accomplished in streamlining the rulemaking process. The Agency and the Board are committed to working together to continue this improvement process.

____________________________________

____________________________________

Your reasons why this group should be continued. Citizen Boards are vital to assuring all constituencies are represented in the promulgation of rules and regulations concerning protection of all waters of the state of Indiana. Not withstanding the Water Board is required by statute.

____________________________________

____________________________________

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Poor

____________________________________

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Not at All

____________________________________

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.
Next meeting: December 14, 2005 @ 1:30 PM Conference Room ‘A’ Government Center South.

Gary L. Powdrill, PE  
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair  
11-28-2005 
Date
gpowd45@aol.com

Your email address

Internet Address or Website

Daytime Telephone
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:

Indiana State Board of Animal Health (BOAH).

When was this group originated?

Originally the Indiana Livestock Sanitary Commission created by the Indiana General Assembly in 1889.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?


If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

BOAH is a state agency.

What is your Mission?

See IC 15-2.1-1 and the following:

BOAH Mission: To promote and protect animal welfare, animal agriculture and animal food safety so all may prosper.

BOAH Vision: To be the standard by which animal welfare, animal agriculture and animal food safety is measured world wide.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

BOAH’s Long Range Goals are best expressed in our Guiding Principles:

1. To have ACCESS to information, resources and answers.
2. To have EXPERTISE in the person of professionals who are in touch with constituent issues.
3. To continue OUTREACH efforts to our constituents through education, awareness and training.
4. To enable REPRESENTATION and receive constituent input on specific issues.
5. To always be RESPONSIVE through an ability to address situations quickly, with the correct priority.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

BOAH's three most important accomplishments in the past three years include:

1. Biosecurity planning - With the national response to 9/11, BOAH has been able to devote a lot of energy to biosecurity planning primarily through the use of federal dollars made available for that purpose. Biosecurity accomplishments include and are not limited to:
   a. Integration of geographic information tools into BOAH planning and response;
   b. Developing a process for identifying self help agricultural emergency response resources in each county.
   c. Working with Purdue University on a graduate level curriculum and certificate program on animal agriculture biosecurity.
   e. Developing Biosecurity Standard Operating Procedures for the agency and assisting other agencies with the same.
   f. Training agency personnel in the National Incident Management System, NIMS, and the Incident Command System, ICS.
   g. Training eight BOAH veterinarians as foreign animal disease diagnosticians.
   h. Establishing 'first responder' teams of private practice veterinarians to assist BOAH in its surveillance and response activities.
   i. Working with Animal Agriculture Commodity Groups to enable them to prepare industry and premise level biosecurity plans.

2. Achieved Stage 5 "free" status in the national Pseudorabies control program for swine.

3. Premise identification - One of the critical information pieces BOAH must have in order to plan for and respond to events in animal agriculture is to know where animals are located. To that end, BOAH has worked diligently at establishing an Indiana program as part of the National Animal Identification System to identify premises where value is added to animals. Specific accomplishments include:
   a. Working with animal industry to pass a rule making premise identification mandatory under certain conditions.
   b. Obtaining federal funding to bring premise identification to Indiana.
   c. Establishing a State Repository for Premise information.
   d. Integrating geographic information tools with premise information.
   e. Engaging animal industry, federal and state partners in registering premises.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

BOAH primary goals for 2006 include:

1. Continuing to develop BOAH's Biosecurity capabilities and fostering industry biosecurity efforts.

2. Animal Health Data Base - BOAH's current management information system for the gathering, storing and analyzing information relative to animal health status is antiquated. BOAH has identified and secured funding for a replacement system that is in the final stages of procurement. This system will be integrated with the premises
identification and animal identification components of the Indiana ID program coordinated with the National Animal Identification System.

3. Strengthen and revitalize the dairy inspection program to provide better service to stakeholders.

What is your Annual Budget?
Estimated total Budget (2005) $8,096,201 (Includes state and federal dollars)
State allocation: 5,558,147
Federal money: 2,538,044

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

1. Personnel
2. Reimbursements for in-state travel by BOAH employees on official business.
3. Rent
4. Gasoline purchased for state owned cars driven by BOAH employees on official business.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?
Staffing:
1. Authorized – 148 FTP’s; 4 Summer Interns; 1 WAE
2. Filled – 121 FTP’s
3. Vacant – 27 FTP’s
4. Unfunded – 20 FTP’s
5. Federally authorized & funded – 5 FTP’s

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.
FY 03/04 JER Consulting $2,495.00 exp $2,495
FY 03/04 HAS, Inc. $23,137.90 exp $13,921.13
FY 05/06 JER Consulting $4300.00 exp $4300.00

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

BOAH animal health and dairy program funding has been reduced by 22% over the last five years. The meat and poultry program has not been reduced because it is 50% federally funded. The animal health and dairy programs are at risk of failing to meet constituent expectations if funding is further reduced. Reducing BOAH’s reliance on general tax revenue by a minimum of 10% during the next two years would seriously impair BOAH’s animal health and dairy programs. BOAH is considering the following actions to maintain vital animal health and dairy functions:

1. Establishing fees for licenses and dairy inspection program services.
2. Increasing federal funds for agency animal health programs.

Is your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes.
Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group.

Funding vacancies and associated costs in the Animal Health/Dairy and Meat and Poultry Program areas will do the most to make BOAH programs more effective in accomplishing its Public Health, Animal Health and Economic Development missions.

Your reasons why this group should be continued.

1. Public health – disease control. BOAH animal health programs are public health programs. Many animal diseases that are the subject of BOAH programs have human health impacts. The diseases rabies, tuberculosis, and brucellosis are a few examples. Controlling these diseases in animals prevents outbreaks in humans.

2. Public health – food safety. The BOAH meat and poultry inspection and dairy inspection programs work to ensure the safety of these important food staples. Food borne illnesses are a public health threat. BOAH programs set standards designed to limit these risks.

The animal disease control and food safety functions at the BOAH compliment each other. Controlling diseases in herds affects the processing and marketing of food products from those herds. Assessments during production of meat and poultry and dairy products can relate to on-farm herd health issues. BOAH has cross utilized veterinary staff to take advantage of these relationships.

3. Economic development. The animal disease programs BOAH implements facilitate trade in animals and animal products from Indiana. Animal diseases can result in substantial losses to producers. BOAH works with producers to control certain diseases to prevent and limit these losses. Other states and countries require verified disease status for the state or herd before animals or products from the state/ herd may move into those states and countries. The dairy program implements national standards that all states require in order for milk and milk products from Indiana to move interstate. The meat and poultry inspection program implement standards that are required to sell meat and poultry products produced in the state.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent____Very Good____Good____Fair____Poor____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent____Very Good____Good____Fair____Not at All____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Copies of minutes are attached. Minutes of the October 2005 meeting are draft subject to BOAH approval at the next meeting. Next meeting: January 19, 2006 at 9:30 a.m. at the BOAH office, 805 Beachway Drive, Suite 50, Indianapolis, Indiana.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

Center for Agricultural Science & Heritage Board of Trustees

When was this group originated? 1998 with House enrolled ACT No. 159 established The Barn as a quasi governmental body corporate and politic. 2005 with House enrolled ACT No. 1431 merged The Barn as part of the Indiana State Fair Commission.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana State Fair Commission

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

NA

What is your Mission?

Agriculture touches every living person. The food we eat, the clothes we wear, the products we use, the way of life which developed the values, economy, and culture of our nation find roots in agriculture. Agriculture will always be integral to America’s prosperity and well being.

The Center of Agricultural Science & Heritage bridges members of the agricultural community to the consumer public through education and entertainment, and to each other by addressing the needs of the industry.

The Center’s emphasis is on the future of agriculture, using the present as a springboard to the future, and honoring the past for the lessons and cultural perspectives it provides.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?
- Educate the public concerning the past, present, and future of American agriculture and rural life.
- Educate youth and the general public about American agriculture and food systems.
- Provide educational programming for youth that compliments school curricula, both onsite and in the classroom.
- Create synergy between Indiana’s institutions of education and agriculture-related industries.
- Generate economic vitality, convention activity, and tourism activity for Indiana.
- Serve as a center for agricultural business and thinking, a clearinghouse of agricultural information, a resource center for educators and the public, and a repository for agricultural artifacts and history.
- Create a central, prominent partner with whom agricultural organizations can launch, collaborate on, and coordinate programs.

Top 3 Goals:
- Enhance the agricultural and educational activities of the Indiana State Fairgrounds by offering year round activity on the grounds.
- Create an objective, sound science-based platform for communicating agriculture to the consumer public.
- Engage Indiana youth with agriculture with the intent they will become informed adults.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?
- Offered agricultural programs to over 30,000 youth.
- Opened a farmers market in an inner city low income community which will be in its fourth year and has proven successful.
- The development of a unique traveling exhibit which will be made available to youth and adults on a statewide basis.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
- Identify meaningful education-based programs for the Indiana State Fairgrounds.
- Develop an exhibit which will successfully showcase the 150 years of the Indiana State Fair.
- Expand educational programs to reach more youth.

What is your Annual Budget? Revenue: $600,000.00 State Fair Commission

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?
- Educational Programs/Services
- Salaries/Wages
- Marketing/Advertising
- Group Medical Insurance

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 5 ex officio members: Governor, Lt. Governor, Dean of Agriculture of Purdue University, Superintendent of Public Instruction, State Veterinarian.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>Amount Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>360 Group</td>
<td>$48,000.00</td>
<td>$48,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Power</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$5,750.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

- Discontinue regular relationship with 360 Group

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

Yes, the Board of Trustees consists of geographical, ethnic and gender diversity. Education outreach programs are offered to all counties in Indiana, through distance learning, scheduled outreach education in the classroom, and various festivals and special events.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group.

Increasing the number of educational staff will allow the Center to meet the demand for agricultural education programming requests. Currently we are at capacity and are unable to fulfill requests due to lack of manpower.

Your reasons why this group should be continued.

The Center was legislated in 1998 to meet the need for communication agriculture to the consumer public. The initial target audience is K-12 youth, incorporating agriculture into the state’s academic standards and offering in-class programming. As programs develop and resources allow, the Center also provides information that is objective and sound science-based to the consumer public, on a statewide level.

After numerous feasibility studies, interviews, and focus groups with legislators, educators, agricultural and community leaders, it was determined that while agricultural education programs existed, there was a need for programs that integrated agriculture into other standards in school curricula, appealed to the general consumer and was available on a regular basis.

The demand for the Center’s programs and the response from youth and educators as to the value of these programs suggests this group should be continued.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent_____ Very Good_______ Good_____X
Fair_____ Poor_______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent_____ Very Good____X
Good_______ Fair_______ Not at All_______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: December 15, 2005, Indiana State Fairgrounds Administration Building Conference Room, 1202 East 38th Street, Indianapolis, IN 46205
choye@indianastatefair.com
Your email address

www.indianastatefair.com
Internet Address or Website

317/927-7501
Daytime Telephone

11-30-05
Date
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:
Air Pollution Control Board of the State of Indiana

When was this group originated?
The Air Pollution Control Board was created by the Indiana General Assembly in 1961. Chapter 171, Acts of 1961. The first meeting of the Air Pollution Control Board was on April 29, 1963.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?
No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?
No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?
Indiana Department of Environmental Management.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?
NA

What is your Mission?
The Air Pollution Control Board was formed by the Indiana General Assembly to adopt rules necessary to:
(1) maintain the purity of Indiana's air resource for the purpose of protecting the public health and welfare and the public's enjoyment of the air resource, physical property and other resources, flora and fauna, maximum employment, and full industrial development through the prevention, abatement, and control of air pollution by all practical and economically feasible
methods;
(2) implement the federal Clear Air Act, as amended by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990;
(3) allow the commissioner's actions on permits and permit modifications to become effective immediately, with certain restrictions;
(4) require sources to report hazardous air pollutant emissions if the reporting is necessary to demonstrate compliance with emissions and other performance standards established under federal law;
(5) amend the emission reporting rule at 326 IAC 2-6 for the purpose of:
   (a) allowing the department to request hazardous air pollutant emissions data from individual sources for the purpose of site specific studies of hazardous air pollutant emissions and impacts;
   (b) adopting new rules to establish a general requirement for sources to report defined hazardous air pollutant emissions;
(6) classify regions into attainment areas and nonattainment areas for regulated air pollutants based upon scientific study of geographical, topographical, and meteorological data; and
(7) set standards for ambient air quality for each region to accomplish the purposes of air pollution control laws.

The board also has the authority to develop operating policies governing the implementation of air pollution control laws by the commissioner.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?
To adopt rules that implement the Clean Air Act in Indiana for the purpose of meeting the goals stated when the Indiana Pollution Control Law was passed in 1961 and as it is articulated in the statutes at IC 13-17. This will ultimately achieve improved air quality for all Indiana citizens while allowing our economy and commerce to grow effectively.

Top three goals:
1. Adopt rules, as needed, to reduce emissions for the purpose of meeting existing or new national ambient air quality standards.
2. Adopt rules to reduce emissions of hazardous air pollutants (HAP), as needed, to implement federal requirements or to protect public health.
3. Adopt rules that help improve the efficiency of the air permit programs.
4. New mercury rules

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?
1. Adopting new SO2 rules that led to Lake County being classified as meeting the national ambient air quality standards for SO2.
2. Adopting Indiana's version of the New Source Review Reform removing hurdles to environmentally sound modifications at major industrial sources.
3. Adopting into state rules national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs) for 47 categories of regulated sources.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
1. Adopting rules to implement the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule for power plants.
2. Performance Track rulemaking to encourage voluntary compliance by businesses.
3. Adopting rules to redesignate counties to attainment for ozone or PM2.5 (fine
particulates) as appropriate.

What is your Annual Budget?
The Air Pollution Control Board does not have an annual budget. Expenses are covered by annual operating funds under 409-105.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?
In 2005 the Air Pollution Control Board will have met 7 times (February, March, May, June, August, October and December). For board meetings and expenses associated with board meetings in 2005 costs are estimated to total $15,500.

1. Travel for out of town board members for the purpose of attending board meetings: $3,600 and per diems $2,400.
2. Printing of Board Packets and Agendas: $2,900.
3. Cost of a Court Reporter for 7 board meetings: $3,500.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?
The board is comprised of 12 members:
Four ex officio members:
(A) The commissioner of the state department of health;
(B) The director of the department of natural resources;
(C) The lieutenant governor; and
(D) The secretary of commerce or the secretary's designee;
who receive a salary from the State for their regular full time jobs;
as well as eight (8) members, who are appointed by the governor based on recommendations from representative constituencies and who do not receive a salary.

The ex officio members of the Air Pollution Control Board serve with no additional compensation for their service as a member of the board.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.
No consultants/contractor/advisors were used during the past 24 months.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?
Maximize use of the web for distribution of board packets and agenda mailings thereby reducing printing expenses.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?
Yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group.
Increase community and citizen involvement in the development of rules and policy.

Your reasons why this group should be continued.
The Air Pollution Control Board is appointed by the governor to represent statewide constituencies. It has statutory authority to adopt rules necessary to protect air quality and public
health and to implement the federal Clean Air Act as amended by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 for the State of Indiana. Absent the Air Pollution Control Board for the State of Indiana, the federal Clean Air Act would be implemented by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. It is to Indiana’s benefit to adopt regulations implementing the federal Clean Air Act for the businesses and citizens of Indiana.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent _X_ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _X_ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting:
Minutes for the following board meetings are attached:
May 4, 2005
June 1, 2005
October 5, 2005
The next meeting of the Air Pollution Control Board is December 7, 2005, at 1:00 p.m. at the Indiana Government Center South, Conference Room A, 402 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana. An agenda is attached.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Email Address

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:

**Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO)**

When was this group originated?

1948

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

ORSANCO was created as a provision of the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact (See enclosed Compact, Article III). Authority to enter into the Compact was initially granted by act of the 74th Congress of the United States by Public Resolution No. 104, approved June 8, 1936, and subsequent consent to and approval thereof was expressly granted by the Congress of the United States by the following legislation:

*Public – No. 739 – 76th Congress*
*Chapter 581 – 3rd Session*
*S. 3617, approved July 11, 1940*

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The Compact was expressly ratified and approved by the State of Indiana, a signatory State, by the following legislation:

*Enrolled Act No. 337, House*
*Approved March 4, 1939*

No reservations were contained in this legislation.

As an Interstate Commission, no specific state agency or department administers the Commission. However, by HEA 1001, the Commissioner of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management is a member, ex-officio, of the Commission.
If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

**Staffing of ORSANCO is as authorized by the Commission. The Commission comprised of appointed representatives from each Compact State. Article IV of the Compact states, "The Commission shall consist of three commissioners from each state, each of whom shall be a citizen of the State from which he is appointed, and three commissioners representing the United States Government. The commissioners from each State shall be chosen in the manner and for the terms provided by the laws of the State from which they shall be appointed.**

Current Indiana Commissioners are:
Joseph H. Harrison, Sr.
Bowers Harrison, LLP
25 N.W. Riverside Drive
Evansville, IN 47706-1287

Thomas Easterly, Commissioner
Department of Environmental Management
P.O. Box 6015
Indianapolis, IN 46206-6015

Vasiliki (Vicky) Keramida
President & CEO
Keramida Environmental, Inc.
330 N. College Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46202-3613

What is your Mission?

To work cooperatively with the signatory states to abate interstate water pollution from rivers, streams and water in the Ohio River basin which flow through, into or border upon any of such signatory states. (See Compact, Article I)

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The Commission’s long term goals are to place and maintain the waters of the Ohio River basin in a satisfactory sanitary condition, available for safe and satisfactory use as public and industrial water supplies after reasonable treatment, suitable for recreational usage, capable of maintaining fish and other aquatic life, free from unsightly or malodorous nuisances, and adaptable to such other uses as may be legitimate.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Implementation of water pollution control programs, either by coordinating states’ efforts, or undertaking programs as assigned, in a manner that is technically and cost efficient and avoids duplication of efforts.
2. Conducted scientifically accurate and cost effective water quality and biological monitoring on behalf of the Compact States, and developed and published an Ohio River Fish Index.
3. Provided source water protection and spills response for drinking water utilities using the Ohio River as a source for drinking water.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1. Work with Compact States to resolve wet weather water quality standards issues.
2. Provide water quality assessments for the Compact States as required under section 305b of the Clean Water Act.
3. Continue to conduct water quality monitoring activities and reporting results to the Compact States.

What is your Annual Budget?

FY06: $4,093,482, of which the State of Indiana contributes $242,800, based on population and land within the Ohio River Basin.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

1. Staff payroll/benefits
2. Contractual services
3. Lab fees
4. Equipment & Supplies

How many of your group receives a salary from the State?

The Commission employs 27 staff members who are compensated by the Commission. Sources of funding for salaries include state funds, federal grants, and special project grants.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

The majority of contractual services are funded by Federal Clean Water Act Section 106 grant funds. However, the two vendors below are funded with a combination of Compact State funds.

Cardinal Laboratories, Inc. – FY06: $10,368
Northern Kentucky University – FY06: $22,000

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

During fiscal years 2004 through 2007, the Commission has received no increases in state funding, while at the same time continuing to provide a consistent level of services, and in some instances, increased services. It would be difficult to identify opportunities for expenditure reductions while maintaining needed services.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

The Commission's activities focus on the land area and population within the Ohio River basin. Estimated population is 4 million.
Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group:

_The Commission can continue to strive to employ up-to-date scientifically based monitoring and assessment methods and to effectively communicate with, and focus on the needs of the Compact States._

Your reasons why this group should be continued.

_The Commission provides scientifically accurate, and cost effective water quality monitoring and assessment activities on behalf of the Compact States. It also coordinates interstate water quality activities within the Ohio River basin. The activities performed by the Commission on behalf of the Compact States would otherwise need to be undertaken by the individual states at potentially higher costs. The Commission is vitally instrumental in setting water quality standards which ensure interstate consistency._

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent_ Very Good_ X Good_ Fair_ Poor_ Not at All

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent_ Very Good_ X Good_ Fair_ Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

**Next Commission meeting: February 9, 2006, Cincinnati Ohio**

Signed by Executive Director

avicory@orsanco.org
Your e-mail address

www.orsanco.org
Internet Address or Website

November 29, 2005
Date

(513) 231-7719
Daytime Telephone
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:

Wabash River Heritage Corridor Commission

When was this group originated?

Legislation passed by the 1991 legislature (House Enrolled Act 1382).

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Department of Natural Resources

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission?

Indiana Code 14-13-6-16 (a), states the Commission "shall promote the conservation and development of the natural, cultural, and recreational resources in the corridor by the following:

1. The exchange of information.
2. The establishment of common goals.
3. Cooperative action of the people and governmental units along the corridor."
Indian Code 14-13-6-5 defines the Wabash River Heritage Corridor as the "strip of land in Indiana abutting: (1) the Wabash River; (2) the Little River; and (3) the portage between the Little River and the Maumee River."

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The Commission foresees a world class corridor fusing the concept of the "Appalachian Trail of the Midwest" with family/educational destination points. Based on willing buyer/willing seller procedures only, each county's citizens choose to create points of interest connected by the historical and cultural events that depended on the river. These will be literally connected by recreation uses as permitted by the free-flowing river and abutting river-oriented parks and trail areas. Ultimately the 500-mile corridor will provide a quality place upon which to base economic opportunities; a context for Indiana as a destination for tourists and industry alike. Thus our current goals are:

- A corridor trail system - using the Wabash as a water trail and also supporting local multi-use trails along the river bank, with signage, maps, and amenities.
- Increase knowledge about the Wabash - using 4-H "Discover the River" project, "Teach the River" rafting trips and teacher educational symposiums, Public Television documentary, Art & History Museum exhibitions.
- Increased sense of quality and place for the Wabash Corridor - using interpretive brochure (attached), De-Trash the Wabash events, signage (brand the corridor), Outdoor Recreation listing, press releases, cooperation with local groups and non-profit organizations.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

- The Commission held a series of 9 public meetings, facilitated by the National Park Service, for input to update the 1993 Corridor Management Plan. Upon this input, as well as comments from both Ohio and Illinois DNRs, we completed the 2004 Corridor Management Plan (attached). This plan is a valuable tool for Foundation grants and aid applications.
- The Commission completed and began distribution of the third edition of its Interpretive Brochure. This brochure has now attained 300,000 in print and is the most popular piece, behind the state map, in the Convention & Visitors Bureaus and highway welcome centers.
- Ground-breaking for the only remaining Corridor Fund project (the Corridor Fund has not been replenished since 9/11). Since 1991, the Corridor Fund resulted in more than $13 million dollars of investment in public trails, parks and historic infrastructure, of which only $7.9 million was state money - a 69% return on state investment.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

- Launch the corridor wide Water Trail.
Finish and begin distribution of the documentary, "The Wabash: Bright, White River".

Identify experts and arrange meetings to pursue CEU qualified status for the "Teach the River" program.

What are the 4 largest items in your budget?

- Water trail promotion - $12,000 (some offsets from grants)
- Brochure refresh, reprint, store & distribute - $16,500 (prior editions were printed with contributions from corridor counties, other agencies and the National Park Service)
- PBS Documentary script, shoot, produce & distribute - $45,000 (so far, $42,000 has been raised through grants from the Wabash Valley Assoc., Nature Conservancy, Hoosier Environmental Council and Hoosier Energy)
- Executive Director contract ($59,750)

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

The Legislature passed a budget for the Commission of $97,849.00, a 2.151% reduction from the prior biennium. An additional mandatory reserve has been set at $6,849.43, a 7% reduction from the budget. Unless the Commission extends the timeline for reaching its goals, funding has already been extended as far as possible.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

Yes. The Corridor runs directly through the nineteen counties which send volunteer representatives to join the five agencies or departments which make up the Commission (meeting six times a year, we have had a quorum at all but one meeting (97%) in the past five years). The Corridor directly benefits the citizens living within ten miles of the river (27 counties). The Corridor indirectly benefits the more than two million people living within 100 miles of the Wabash main stem (93% of the state) and those living in the land area which drains to the Wabash (65%). Statistics aside, the Wabash River is the designated state river. Its increased visibility as a quality place, the state’s largest body of water located within a couple of hour’s drive of every corner of the state, is projected to draw investors and employers offering high quality, creative jobs throughout the state.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?

- Replenish the Wabash River Heritage Corridor Grant Fund - The investment of state dollars spurs projects on the local level.
- Staggered terms for Commission members with training and educational support for those members and government representatives
- Increased use of non-profit organizations linked to the Wabash River
Your reasons why this group should be continued: The needs of the Corridor Management Plan require a representative body. Leaving the Corridor to piecemeal groups and projects would result in a fraction of the benefit of a coordinated effort. As the state river, the Wabash needs its own advocacy group, a role ill-suited for the DNR or other agency which are limited in their ability to attract external funding as well as consider multi-use development.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent XXX Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent XXX Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Indianapolis (IGCS Conference room), January 11, 2006, 1:00 p.m.

John Gettinger, Chair
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair
Date 11-28-05

John Gettinger, Chair jjgettinger@msn.com 812-356-4433
Your email address Daytime Telephone

www.in.gov/wrhcc

Internet Address or Website

Attached: 2004 Corridor Management Plan
Interpretive brochure
Wabash River Heritage Corridor Commission explanatory brochure
WRHCC Natural & Cultural History poster
L'esprit de Ouabache historical newsletter (current edition)
Promo DVD for upcoming PBS documentary
Wabash River Water Trail poster
Minutes for meetings: May, July, September, 2005

Executive Director is Ron James, execdir@wrhcc.IN.gov 765-427-1505
Permanent mailing address (donated office space):
102 N. Third Street, Suite 302
Lafayette IN 47901
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Surface Coal Mine Reclamation Bond Pool Comm

When was this group originated? ________________________________

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No ________________________________

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No ________________________________

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Department of Natural Resources

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The Director of the Department of Natural Resources is responsible for appointing members. The Director of the Department of Natural Resources reports annually to the Committee and to the Governor on the status of the bond pool.

What is your Mission? To formulate recommendations to ensure a viable alternative to conventional reclamation bonding is available for coal industry while maintaining adequate protection of reclamation liability for the State of Indiana.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

- To ensure proper mechanisms for alternative bonding.
- To monitor other regulatory program bond pools to determine potential applicability to Indiana's program.
- To provide recommendations in a sound manner.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Statutory revision to provide an avenue for the State to remove a participant, with cause.
2. Generation of procedures for bond pool membership.
3. Statutory revision to decrease meeting requirements.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Ensure proper program for continued success of coal industry members while providing adequate protection.

What is your Annual Budget? \(\$100,000\) annually is utilized from the bond pool fund to provide member reimbursement for travel and per diem.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The statute was changed to require at least one meeting per year rather than two.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes, but coal region is only the Southwestern portion of state.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

Continue meeting annually while providing input electronically as the need arises.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: The Surety industry has shown a decreased interest in providing reclamation performance bond for coal mining operations nationwide. The Bond Pool provides (see below)

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting:
Department of Natural Resources, Division of Reclamation, Jasonville Office, Spring 2006

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: John R. Andrews

Date: 11-30-2005

Your email address: ENDUSER1@aol.com

Daytime telephone: 812-867-5978

Internet Address or Website:

(Continued)

a viable alternative to conventional surety bonding. This alternative has been extremely important to Indiana's coal industry in the recent past and may receive more attention as coal production is expected to increase in the coming years. Some bond pools in other states have become insolvent. Maintaining a committee will help to ensure solvency of the Bond Pool.
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:
St. Joseph River Basin Commission

When was this group originated?
The St. Joseph River Basin Commission was originated in 1988 through enabling legislation of the Indiana General Assembly (Indiana Code 14-30-3). It was the first Basin Commission in Indiana to have a primary focus on water quality issues.

Was the group created by Federal legislation?
No.

If so, what is the citation of the Federal statue?
N/A

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation?
No.

If so, when is that date?
N/A

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?
St. Joseph River Basin Commission—The enabling legislation states, “The St. Joseph River Basin Commission is established as a separate municipal corporation” (IC 14-30-3-5).

If your group is not administrated by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom, does your group report?
The Michiana Area Council of Governments (MACOG) staffs the St. Joseph River Basin Commission through an inter-local agreement. The staff reports to the St. Joseph River Basin Commission whose composition is determined by the enabling legislation (IC 14-30-3-8).
What is your Mission?

“The St. Joseph River Basin Commission exists to conserve, enhance, and promote the natural resources and benefits of the Watershed for the present and future generations by providing vision, leadership and means.”

What are your long-range goals?

- Provide a forum for discussion, study and evaluation of water resource issues, facilitate and foster cooperative planning and coordinated management of the Basin’s water and related land resources and develop plans to improve water quality in the Basin;
- Develop positions on major water resource issues and serve as an advocate of the Basin’s interests before Congress, and federal, state, and local governmental agencies;
- Act as a coordinating agency for programs and activities of other public and private agencies that are related to the Commission’s objectives;
- Publicize, advertise and distribute reports on the Commission’s purposes, objectives, studies and findings.

How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Provide a forum for discussion, study and evaluation of water resource issues, facilitate and foster cooperative planning and coordinated management of the Basin’s water and related land resources and develop plans to improve water quality in the Basin;

   - **REGIONAL EDUCATION AND COORDINATION:** The St. Joseph River Basin Commission provides opportunities for local elected officials and citizens to view their water resources as valuable community assets. The St. Joseph River Basin Commission staff researches, coordinates and disseminates information needed in sound decision-making regarding water quality within the surface water portion of the Basin.

   The Basin Commission staff places additional emphasis on that portion of the Basin designated as the federally designated St. Joseph Valley Sole Source Aquifer, particularly as it related to potential groundwater contamination. Water quality has obvious impacts on the region’s economic development, tourism, and employment. The SJRBC public education goals contribute to the positive water quality actions of local elected officials, their staff and the public at large.

   **Examples:**
   - **Newsletter:** The St. Joseph River Basin Commission staff researches, informs and disseminates information through *Basin Bites and Technical Tidbits*. The newsletter has a quarterly distribution of 1,650 (an increase of nearly 500 over the past three years) and includes research and information related to best management practices relevant to the region, notice of water quality activities in the Basin and summaries of water-related subjects that aid policymakers and the general citizenry in protecting water resources.
   - **Brochures:** Through alternative funding the St. Joseph River Basin Commission researched, developed and distributed two brochures related to landuse changes, soil erosion and stormwater runoff and a related CD.
     - **Reducing Nonpoint Source Pollution, Soil Erosion and Stormwater Runoff—It’s All in the Planning!** focuses on the key principles of erosion
control and stormwater management. The original distribution of 3,000 brochures went through a direct mailing to contractors, developers and homeowners in the River Basin. Due to demand, an additional 2,000 were reprinted and distributed to local Planning, Zoning and Building Departments as an education component of the new Indiana Rule 13 (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System—"MS4") and revised Rule 5 requirements.

- **Erosion Control & Stormwater Management; A Developer’s Assessment Tool** is a CD that accompanies the brochure and was distributed to 300 builders, developers and contractors.
- **Watershed Management—Playing Your Part; Where to Get Technical and Financial Assistance to Improve Water Quality and Preserve Water Resources** has been distributed at various watershed information meetings and workshops, aiding citizens in finding ways they can help improve water quality. 2,000 have been distributed.

- **Video:** *Septic System 1-2-3; The Use and Maintenance of On-site Wastewater Disposal Systems* had a distribution of 10,000 copies. Bulk supplies have been provided to local title companies allowing new homeowners simple information related to the functioning, limitations and maintenance requirements of a septic system. The Commission staff did a follow-up evaluation with title companies confirming that the title companies were distributing the videos to new homeowners with septic systems. The Agency gets monthly requests from new homeowners, and the video has now been transferred to DVD format for additional distribution.

- **Workshops:** Several workshops have been conducted that serve to educate not only the policymakers and decision makers, but also the general public allowing sound water-related decisions. Regional workshops allow individuals to learn pertinent information without the expense of traveling great distances, thereby better serving those in the region.

- **MS4 (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System) Workshops:** The St. Joseph River Basin Commission along with several MS4 jurisdictions have conducted three workshops for contractors and developers to highlight the State regulation requirements and provide best management practices regarding erosion control and stormwater runoff management. Combined attendance—300.

- **Drainage:** A workshop regarding the legal aspects of drainage and the impacts of drainage was conducted. Attendance—120.

- **On-site wastewater disposal systems:** The St. Joseph River Basin Commission coordinated with several agencies to host two workshops related to septic systems. The first focused on alternatives to onsite wastewater disposal systems and the second focused on technical information on installation of alternative systems. Combined attendance—200.

- **QUARTERLY MEETINGS:** Meetings of the St. Joseph River Basin Commission not only serve as a method of conducting Basin Commission business, but also provide an
opportunity for Commission members to discuss pertinent water resource issues of the region. Meetings are open to the public and also provide new information related to water resource studies and new and innovative water-related activities in the Basin that are transferable to all present.

2. Develop positions on major water resource issues and serve as an advocate of the Basin’s interests before Congress, and federal, state, and local governmental agencies.

- **Juday Creek Task Force**: The St. Joseph River Basin Commission was designated within the Juday Creek Watershed Management Plan as the coordinator of the Task Force—scheduling meetings, reviewing landuse changes in the watershed; serving as the liaison between relative state, local and federal agencies; summarizing decisions of the Task Force and forwarding those decisions to the appropriate agency.

- **Review and comment related to groundwater cleanup and permit issuance**: The St. Joseph River Basin Commission reviews and comments as appropriate on activities related to groundwater contamination cleanup processes and permits focusing on wetland impacts, construction in a floodway or other activities related to potential impacts on regional water resources.

- **Baugo Creek Watershed Management Plan**: The Basin Commission developed the initial plan along with various agencies and citizens in the subwatershed and serves as the “keeper of the Plan” coordinating future activities in the subwatershed that help to complete the stated goals.

3. Act as a coordinating agency for programs and activities of other public and private agencies that are related to the Commission’s objectives.

**Examples:**

- **Coordination and cooperation with Michigan partners.**
  - The St. Joseph River Basin Commission serves as the Indiana link for Basinwide activities that include the State of Michigan. The St. Joseph River Basin Commission served on the Steering Committee for the development of the St. Joseph River Basin Watershed Management Plan and continues to serve in an advisory capacity in the completion of the Plan goals.
  - The Indiana-Michigan St. Joseph River Basin Symposium is held annually. Participants include state legislators and senators, mayors, surveyors, planning staff and health department representatives from both the Indiana and Michigan portion of the Basin. It provides a forum on water quality issues pertinent in the St. Joseph River Basin for elected officials and policymakers. The Symposium has also served to strengthen the Bi-state connection, and has experienced an increasing trend in participation.

- **Assists various counties and cities in Indiana portion of the St. Joseph River Basin in complying with the education/outreach portion of Indiana Rule 5/Rule 13 (Municipal Separate Stormwater Sewer System) requirements.**
  The St. Joseph River Basin Commission is in a unique position to assist communities throughout the Basin, linking communities in dealing with relevant water resource issues across jurisdictional boundaries as necessary. The MS4 workshops are an example where Basin staff works with various entities in a joint capacity to serve the citizenry from the regulated communities.
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

♦ **Education and Information Products:** Follow-ups and evaluation of distributed materials indicate that products do not duplicate other available information, that the information is relevant to the regional issues, and is developed in a format that facilitates extended distribution of the information.

**Examples:**
- Through grant funding, the Basin Commission developed and distributed 10,000 videos entitled *Septic System 1-2-3; The Use and Maintenance of Onsite Wastewater Disposal Systems*. The distribution network included title companies in all seven counties, homebuilders associations, health departments, realtors and individual citizens. The project was so successful that the Commission continues to get requests of the video, which is now also available in DVD format.
- *Reducing Nonpoint Source Pollution, Soil Erosion and Stormwater Runoff—It’s All in the Planning* (5,000 copies distributed) and *Watershed Management—Playing Your Part; Where to Get Technical and Financial Assistance to Improve Water Quality and Preserve Water Resources* (2000 copies distributed) and the accompanying CD—*Erosion Control Stormwater Management; A Developer’s Assessment Tool* (300 copies distributed) are materials that were developed to address the increasing concern of nonpoint source pollution, erosion and stormwater runoff from land use changes. Both documents have served as a platform to introduce the citizenry to the Phase II-MS4 issues and raise awareness of nonpoint source pollutant, soil erosion and stormwater runoff. Through bulk distribution of these products to local planning, building and zoning departments, these materials have been incorporated into the local MS4 education/outreach portion for communities in the St. Joseph River Basin.

♦ **Seven County Regional Workshops:** Providing local policymakers, decision makers and the general citizenry an opportunity to learn new information related to water quality issues without committing travel time and expenses to go outside the region, ultimately reaching more people. Exit evaluations indicate that people seek continued opportunities to learn best management practices, law and rule updates and water quality issues in a local workshop format.

**Successful Regional Workshops:**
- Stormwater Runoff Management (2 half-day workshops)—Total attendance: 145
- The Drain Game; Drainage Information and Education (full-day workshop)—Total attendance: 120
- Making the Grade; Stormwater Pollution Prevention (2 half-day workshops)—Total attendance: 144

♦ **St. Joseph River Basin Symposium:** A Basinwide opportunity for sharing information, activities and issues among the policymakers and leaders of those communities that encompass the entire St. Joseph River Basin—Lake Michigan Basin. County commissioners, state legislators, mayors, health department personnel, and planning staff, along with soil and water conservation employees and those people involved in drainage
issues, have all participated in the half-day session. They are educated in innovative projects and activities related to water quality, and also learn of issues that are pertinent to preserving and improving water quality in the entire St. Joseph River Basin. The event also serves as an opportunity for Indiana and Michigan counterparts to meet and share information.

**What are your three primary goals for this year?**

- Assist MS4 jurisdictions in the seven-county River Basin region with the education/outreach portion of their MS4 compliance through hosting workshops, distributing printed materials related to best management practices and requirement compliance or inclusion of such information on the St. Joseph River Basin Commission website.
- Publishing and distributing *Basin Bites and Technical Tidbits: The Newsletter of the St. Joseph River Basin Commission*. The continued increase in circulation coupled by regular inquiries about articles after each distribution, serves as a confirmation this document is a source of water related information to the general citizenry in the region, and confirms a need for its continued production.
- Watershed Management Planning activities.
  - **JUDAY CREEK TASK FORCE**—Continue to serve as the coordinator of the Task Force as it reviews landuse changes in this cold-water trout stream watershed, providing liaison activities between the Task Force, the St. Joseph County Drainage Board, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management, the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the citizens in the subwatershed.
  - **ST. JOSEPH RIVER BASIN WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN**—Continue to serve as the prime contact for the Indiana portion of the St. Joseph River Basin in completion of action items and goals incorporated in the St. Joseph River Basin Watershed Management Plan.
  - **BAUGO CREEK-WISLER DITCH WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN**—Coordinate with various agencies as requested in the completion of action items and goals incorporated in the Baugo Creek-Wisler Ditch Watershed Management Plan, including identification of funding sources, and those people or agencies that can conduct the activities.
  - **ELKHART RIVER WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLANNING PROCESS**—Assist as requested with the Elkhart River Restoration Association, the Elkhart County Soil and Water Conservation District and other agencies in the development of a watershed management plan for the Elkhart River, a major tributary of the St. Joseph River.

**What is your Annual Budget?**

FY 2006 Budget: $89,345.70

**What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?**

- Salaries
- Fringe Benefits
- Indirect costs
- Postage expenditures

**How many of your group receive a salary from the State?**

Less than one full-time equivalent—Four persons (one water quality staff, three support staff)
Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

- Legal Counsel: Thorne, Grodnik, Ransel, Duncan, Byron & Hostetler
  - Annual Contract: $350.00/Commission Meeting; $90.00-100.00/ per hour for other services
  - Amount Paid in FY2005: $1,490.00 (4 quarterly meetings; audit letter)

- Interlocal Agreement: Michiana Area Council of Governments
  - Annual Contract: Amount is based on expenses associated with conducting the St. Joseph River Basin Commission business. Items included in contract are office facilities, staff and supplies, budget preparation, collection and deposit of funds, management of funds, disbursal of funds, graphics, printing and clerical services, maintaining records of billings and expenses
  - Amount Paid in FY2005 (July 2004-June 2005): $64,721.19

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

The St. Joseph River Basin Commission does not have a large budget. If a 10 percent reduction were required, the Basin Commission would stop printing and mailing its newsletter, reconsider the Bi-state symposium and further reduce staff.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

No. The St. Joseph River Basin Commission represents the Indiana portion of the St. Joseph River Basin—Lake Michigan Basin. IC 14-30-3-4 specifically defines the St. Joseph River Basin as “…the area in Elkhart County, Kosciusko County, LaGrange County, Noble County, St. Joseph County, and Steuben County that drains into the St. Joseph River.”

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group.

Further effort, in concert with the Basin’s MS4 entities, to facilitate the development of educational materials and workshops.

Your reasons why this group should be continued.

- St. Joseph River Basin Commission is the only agency that jointly represents seven counties in the Indiana portion of the River Basin related to water issues, coordinating with its membership on activities that serve to protect the natural, aesthetic and economic viability of water resources in the region.

- St. Joseph River Basin Commission serves as the primary link with agencies and water-related organizations in the Michigan portion of the Basin
The St. Joseph River Basin Commission can cross jurisdictional borders in matters dealing with the St. Joseph River Valley aquifer—the only designated sole source aquifer in Indiana.

The St. Joseph River Basin Commission serves as the regional forum for water-related information/education development and distribution.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ____ Very Good ____ Good ____ Fair ____ Poor ____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent ____ Very Good ____ Good ____ Fair ____ Not at All ____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting

Attached Minutes:

March 31, 2005 (approved)
June 7, 2005 (approved)
September 13, 2005 (Scheduled for review and approval at December 6, 2005 meeting)

Next meeting:

December 6, 2005, 10:00 a.m., Elkhart County Public Services Building,
4230 Elkhart Road (U.S. 33), Goshen, IN 46526

Karl Kopec, Chairperson
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

kkopenc@mishawakaultilities.com
Your email address

574-258-1663
Daytime Telephone

www.sirbc.com
Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION
QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendation to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any addition material to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: The Solid Waste Management Board (Board) for the State of Indiana

When was this group originated? The Board was statutorily established in 1985 by Public Law 143-1985, Section 49 revised by Public Law 112-1986. This Board superseded the Environmental Management Board.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Land Quality and Office of General Counsel.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? Under IC 13-2-12-8 (repealed and readopted 1996 as IC 13-19-3-1), "the solid waste management board shall do the following: (1) Except as provided in sections 3 through 4 of this chapter, adopt rules under 4-22-4 to regulate solid and hazardous waste and atomic radiation in Indiana, including rules necessary to the implementation of the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.), as amended. (2) Develop operating policy concerning the activities of the department. (3) Carry out other duties imposed by law.

Also, under 13-23-1-1, the Board will adopt rules for underground storage tank releases, detection, prevention and corrective action that are no less stringent than Section 9003 of the federal Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6991b, as amended).

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1) The first goal of the board is protection of human health and the environment, including the air, water and land, for the people of Indiana. This goal is tempered with consideration for cost effectiveness, flexibility, feasibility and fairness to all concerned.

2) The second goal is to make the rules in Title 329 clear, concise, and legally defensible.

3) The third goal is to provide representation and opportunity to participate for all Indiana citizens in the rulemaking process.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) Adopted #01-207, Significant changes to the Underground Storage Tank Rules at 329 IAC 9, which allowed the Indiana program to be consistent with the Federal UST program and
obtain federal approval. This approval also provided Indiana with $100,000+ more per year in federal monies.

2) Adopted #01-289, #02-235, and #03-312, Hazardous Waste Rules annual updates, 2001, 2002, 2003. These rules allow the Indiana hazardous waste program to be consistent with the federal program and provide approximately $3,000,000 in federal monies annually.

3) Adopted #00-185, Substantial Changes to the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill rules. This rule was a cross media rule, allowing the permitted landfill to apply for storm water prevention plans under their landfill permit. This was a savings in time and money for the regulated community as they only had to deal with one permitting entity.

Other important rules that were adopted during this three year period included:

#02-160, the ground water quality standards for hazardous waste.
#03-286, added asbestos certification for landfill operators to the landfill rules and took it out of the air pollution control rules; and
#04-256, the research, development and demonstration permit modification for municipal solid waste landfills that allows flexibility for landfills in three areas, liquids restrictions, run-on restrictions and final cover requirements.

What is your annual budget? The annual budget is approximately $10,500 per year for a minimum of 6 meetings required by statute at IC 13-19-2-7, not including state employees (staff) time. This budget is increased by $1,750 for each additional meeting that occurs.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?
(1) Salaries as per IC 13-19-2-6 ($3,300 for 6 meeting per year)
(2) Travel costs ($3,000 for 6 meeting per year)
(3) Copying ($50/meeting) and mailings ($177 per meeting)(total $1,375)
(4) Hotel $80 per person/per diem $32 per person per day (total $1,250 per year)
(5) Transcription costs ($200 per meeting) (total $1,200 for 6 meeting per year)

How many of your group receives a salary from the State? There are 4 ex officio, voting members of the Board: the commissioner of the state department of health, the director of the department of natural resources, the lieutenant governor and the secretary of commerce or the secretary's designee. An ex officio member of the board may designate in writing a technical representative to serve as a voting member of the board when the ex officio member is unable to attend a board meeting. The ex officio members or their designees receive a salary from the State and IC 13-19-2-6 states that "ex officio members of the board serve without additional compensation.

Please list all consultants/contactors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

The Board selects both a technical secretary and a legal counsel as advisors. The technical secretary position has been vacant for 4 years. The legal counsel receives a $50 stipend per meeting and travel expenses. Neither advisor is a voting member of the Board. (IC 13-19-2-10 and IC 13-19-2-11) A contractor is used to transcribe Board meetings/hearings at a cost of $200 per meeting.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years.

1) Board members should only be allowed to claim mileage if the member lives or works more than 20 miles from the meeting site. (Savings $75 per year)
2) Board packets should only be mailed to individuals without easy computer access. Agendas could be mailed instead with a link to the website for board packet information (Savings $750 per year).
3) Meetings/trainings off-site of the Indiana Government Complex should be limited to once every other year or refrain from having any meetings that would require hotel costs or per diem. (Savings $2,250 per year)
4) Only have the 6 meetings per year as required by the statute at IC 13-19-2-7 (Savings $1,750 per meeting).
Is your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? The Board members come from all areas of Indiana; however, it is the Board’s construction that is most representative. Members are appointed by the Governor based on recommendation from representative constituencies for the following: agriculture, manufacturing, environmental interests, labor, local government, health profession, small business, general public, solid waste industry, solid waste management districts. (IC 13-19-2-2)

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

1) Members who do not attend undermine the board’s effectiveness as well as public perception. Prolonged absence leaves a constituent base without representation on the board. Any member who misses 2 or more meeting per year should be replaced by the governor as per the current statute at IC 13-19-2-5(d) and in a timely manner.

2) Also, the rulemaking process needs to be streamlined because of its complexity (approximately 308 steps). This reflects on the effectiveness of this Board. A more reasonable process should be considered.

Your reasons why this group should be continued The rules adopted by this Board are necessary to the protection of the people of Indiana and provides additional federal funding for IDEM programs. The board, representing various public interests provides an avenue for open participation in the rulemaking process that wouldn’t otherwise exist. The board fulfills its duty as set forth in the Indiana statutes.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting

Signed by Chair or Vice-chair

December 13, 2005

Date

myrgr@c3bb.com

812-752-3169

Your email address

Daytime Telephone
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Trails Advisory Board

When was this group originated? June 1993

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No, but requires States to form board (Title 23 US Code 230 C)

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Department of Natural Resources

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? See attached Mission Statement
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Add more multi-purpose trails
2. Educate trail users
3. Promote trails

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Produced Indiana's first motorized trail area.
2. Acquired more state land.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1. Complete OHV projects
2. Build on more education programs.

What is your Annual Budget?

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. None

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The board is made up of volunteers that do not receive money for their efforts.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group at this time. Nothing
Your reasons why this group should be continued: No expense to the citizens, provides a sounding board for user groups to better quality of life for citizens of Indiana.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: Dec. 1, 2005

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair 11-23-05 Date

Internet Address or Website

Your email address Direct. 2002 @ ATT. NET 812-249-8788 Daytime Telephone
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Office of Environmental Adjudication (OEA)

IDEM, created in 1985 (P.L. 143-1985 Sec. 98) required

When was this group originated? to have a hearing office (IC 13-7-2-13(3)), and

Commissioner authorized to appoint administrative law judges. OEA established in


Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Not directly; inferred by EPA primacy to IDEM. OEA authorized by IC 4-21.5-7.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

OEA is the government agency responsible for "administering this group"; otherwise, part of Executive branch.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Director is selected by bipartisan committee, per statute. Staffing is OEA director's responsibility.

What is your Mission? Conduct adjudicatory hearings on challenges to IDEM decisions, issue decisions, control litigation schedule, maintain case files, maintain decision index. Overwriting vision of independence, fairness and efficiency.

Further focus is on communications with agency service users to evaluate, refine agency performance.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? 

Maintain timely calendar, increase transparency by increasing the ease and lowering the cost of access to case data, increasing mediation and facilitated settlements.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Transition agency from crisis to effectiveness. Eliminate case backlog. Initiate decision index with internet access, rule review and revision using advanced input from user work group. Citizen's guide.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?


What is your Annual Budget? $314,293.00

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Salaries, out-of-state travel, court reporter, copier

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 3

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. LEXIS NEXIS - annual contract amount $648.00/pd $648.00; ISTA contract amount $268,117.75 (4 yrs)/pd $69,040.44 (1 yr) - contract now expired - no renewal.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Already sharing variable and fixed costs with 2 other agencies where possible. Our highest variable cost, computers, minimize via periodic upgrade, not former practice of total system crash. Other expenses depend on litigant needs, case volume, all required by statute to be available on demand. Over 75% of total budget is from salaries.

Do you feel your budget is sufficient to serve litigants from all areas of the State of Indiana? Staff selected for job qualifications to serve litigants from all areas of the State of Indiana.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

Increase support staff skills, electronic filing/database retrieval, continue user workgroups on procedural efficiency.

of total budget is from salaries; per budget analyst agency has no reductions available.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Legally required first level of independent review of IDEM decisions. OEA is fulfilling statutory role as independent timely forum for technically and legally complex cases which forum is accessible to citizens and advocates.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent xx Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent xx Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. OEA proceedings do not generate minutes. Each case has its own schedule, scheduling orders, and final decision, if not settled by the parties. Calendars for November, December, January and February are attached. Contested hearings held at IGCN M1045, located at 100 N Senate Ave, Indianapolis, IN.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair DIRECTOR
CHIEF ENVIRONMENTAL LAW JUDGE

Your email address
mdavidsen@oea.in.gov

Daytime Telephone
317-232-8530

Internet Address or Website
www.IN.gov/OEA
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Commission, Board, or Committee</th>
<th>Lake Michigan Marina Development Commission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When was this group originated?</td>
<td>1985 P.L. 163-1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?</td>
<td>Independent Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?</td>
<td>each municipality with representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your Mission?</td>
<td>see attached mission statement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The goals are development and growth of Lake Michigan marinas.

The final construction and opening of the Gary Marina is the major goal to be pursued.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

The LMMDC has been inactive for the past 3 years except for encouragement toward the final design and implementation of the Gary marina.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Final construction of Gary

What is your Annual Budget? $9600

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? financial management

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? none from LMMDC

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

none

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? no, only the Lake Michigan shoreline

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

LMMDC needs to have authority over Shoreline Development as well as marinas.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: The LMMDC was very effective in the development of the marinas of Portage, Michigan City, Hammond, and East Chicago and will finally see the marina at Gary. The LMMDC reconstituted as a Shoreline Commission could be equally successful at marshalling resources and plans for the development of the Lake Michigan shoreline.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good X ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ X ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Judy Melton
Mayor Doug Olson, City of Portage, Chair, LMMDC 11-28-05
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Internet Address or Website

jmelton@nirpc.org
219 763 6060
Daytime Telephone
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Kankakee River Basin Commission

When was this group originated? 1978

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

no

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

no

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Independent commission

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The eight county executives with representation on the Board

(Jasper, Lake, Newton, Porter, Marshall, Starke, and St. Joseph) and LaPorte

What is your Mission?

The coordination and development of water and water related land resources within the Kankakee Basin in Indiana.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Protection from flooding and continuing drainage maintenance
2. Reduction of erosion and sedimentation
3. Development of ecosystems compatible with agricultural economics

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

- Sponsored the US Army Corps of Engineers Sumava Resorts Flood Control project report
- Levee and channel maintenance in 60 miles of Kankakee and Yellow Rivers
- Sponsored the Lake of the Woods watershed management plan study

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1. Inclusion of the Yellow River in the Federal Illinois River Restoration program
2. Continued levee and channel maintenance
3. Finding a funding source for these activities

What is your Annual Budget? $46,350

What are the 4 largest items in your budget? staff, phones, postage, mileage

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? No commission members, one staff person.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year see attached sheet

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? The 8 counties of northern and northwestern Indiana

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?

- A steady source of funding for maintenance needs and to serve as local match for several federal funded projects.
The KRBC is the only group that recognizes the importance of the Kankakee drainage system and the coordination that needs to be established to keep the system working for the economic productivity of NW Indiana.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _______ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Poor _______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent _______ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at All _______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Jody Melton
Chris Knochel, Newton County Surveyor
KRBC Chairman
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair
11-28-05
Date

jmelton@nirpc.org
Your email address
219 763 0696
Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana State Soil Conservation Board

When was this group originated? 1937 - Indiana Code 14-32 Article 32

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The Board is independent but has a close working relationship with the Division of Soil Conservation in ISDA.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The Board has no staff but relies on the Division of Soil Conservation in ISDA to provide staff assistance. The Board reports to the Governor & Lt. Governor through the ISDA.

What is your Mission? To provide guidance & coordination to the 92 SCD's, make appointments of SCD supervisors, make decisions on allocation of state appropriated funds to SCD's assist SCD supervisors carry out their responsibilities in the work of Districts as they implement programs to protect Indiana's land and water resources for the general good of all Indiana citizens.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Build capacity of the 92 SWCD's.
2. Allocate state appropriated funds to highest priority projects.
3. Improved soil & water quality.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. CREP
2. Farm Bill Implementation
3. Watershed Protection

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Build SWCD capacity. Strengthen assistance to the 92 SWCD's. Begin to develop a more resource based system of fund allocation.

What is your Annual Budget? $12,000

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? TRAVEL, PER DIEM, CONFERENCE/TRAINING

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None - per diem and travel expenses

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

None

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Expenses of the Board are very minimal.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group Help the 5 (of 6) newly appointed Board Members to fully understand their roles and responsibilities in the local, state and federal conservation partnerships.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Indianas land and water are the basis for our agricultural and natural resources productivity which account for nearly 30 billion dollars of our gross state product.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair X _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: Next Meeting December 13, 2005 Indiana Government Center

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: Robert E. Edelmann

Date

Your email address: boedleman@sbcglobal.net

Daytime Telephone: 317 271-4413

Internet Address or Website
Government Efficiency Commission Questionnaire

Name of board: Recycling and Energy Development Board (originally the Energy Development Board, first established in 1980, and renamed in 1990.)

Originated: 1980 by Indiana General Assembly, IC 4-23-5.5

Created by Fed legislation: No

Sunset date: No

Which agency/dept is responsible for administering: The Lieutenant Governor’s Energy Group administers the REDB. Through a recent Memorandum of Understanding, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management administers the Recycling Promotion and Assistance Fund loans and two of the grant programs for the LGEG.

If your group is not administered...: Not applicable

Mission: To provide financial assistance to companies in the form of zero interest loans and grants for developing new markets for recycled products. This includes the awarding of grants to public entities to purchase recycled products.

What are your long-range goals: Increase solid waste diversion in Indiana through developing markets for recycled materials and the manufacture of new recycled products. Top 3 goals: 1) Bring new large and small scale industry utilizing recycled materials to Indiana; 2) Help successful manufacturers expand their recycling operations in Indiana; 3) Open new markets for materials that have been difficult to recycle in Indiana (examples: coal combustion products, electronics, plastics, glass, tires, etc.)

3 most important accomplishments: 1) Attracted new recycling industry to the state (verses surrounding markets in Kentucky, Ohio, or Illinois); 2) Helped develop new recycling processes and markets; 3) Provided consistency and accountability in the use of Recycling Promotion and Assistance funds to meet waste diversion goals.

3 primary goals for this year: 1) Investigate program changes to better respond to the needs of recycled product market manufacturers in Indiana; 2) To consider projects that have a larger positive impact on economic development, income levels and recycled materials; 3) Promoting program to a wider market to attract the best projects into the program.

Annual budget: The REDB oversees a dedicated fund (Recycling Promotion and Assistance Fund) as established by IC 4-23-5.5-14 with annual appropriation of $1.5 million. Augmentations are done in order to fund additional projects.

4 largest items in budget: 1) Loans and 2) Grants from the Recycling Promotion Assistance Fund; and 3) Personnel and 4) Contractual Services (outreach and marketing
materials) from the State Recycling Operating Fund used for the administration of the program. Augmentations are done in order to fund additional projects.

**How many receive salaries:** No voting board members receive state salaries; they are allotted travel reimbursement (per diem) for the quarterly board meetings.

**List all consultants:** Not applicable (The Indiana Finance Authority, through an MOU with Indiana Department of Commerce Energy Recycling Office now referred to as the Lieutenant Governor’s Energy Group, provides the financial review of outstanding and all future loans. These services are funded through the State Energy Plan and do not impact the Recycling Promotion Assistant Fund.)

**Reducing expenditures:** Because the board members’ salaries are not funded through the Recycling Promotion and Assistance Fund (including per diem), the only way to reduce expenditures would be to reduce the funding awarded to Indiana businesses.

**Representative of state:** The composition of the board is determined by statute and the members are appointed by the governor (IC 4-23-5.5-2).

**Recommendations to improve effectiveness:** 1) A smaller number of board members and in turn number required for a quorum, as long as still representative of board’s goals (this would take a statutory change); 2) re-evaluating program policies and guidelines to encourage recycling business startup and addressing challenging recycling issues; 3) prioritize projects utilizing old brownfields sites for the development of new business recycling opportunities; 4) shifting focus to waste diversion goals.

**Reasons why group should continue:** As recycling industries change and the state attempts to effectively reduce materials going to landfills, the Recycling and Energy Development Board provides fair and consistent reviews of projects. Because the members are representative of stakeholders’ perspectives, they have the background knowledge to assess if an applicant’s project is viable. The board also provides LGEG and IDEM staff the ability to concentrate on assisting businesses as they apply for funding; providing the technical expertise and review of the applications for board approval. Assistance from the board through the zero interest loans provides the additional financial security for lenders and investors to make that investment into the company.

**Rate job:** Very good

**Served Indiana citizens:** Very good

**Attach minutes:** Linda
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

When was this group originated?

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Indiana Energy Group is housed by IDEM and its members

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission?
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

What is your Annual Budget?

________________________________________________________________________

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

________________________________________________________________________

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana?

________________________________________________________________________

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
Your reasons why this group should be continued

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. February 9th @ 9 am - One North Capital 1st floor conference room

* B. Seitz
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

11-30-05
Date

bseitz@ig.in.gov
Your email address

232-7578
Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website

* Tom Sparrow is acting chair. The term of the prior chairman was up and that position was not filled. On the OK of Lt. Governor Skillman and the Governor's office, Tom Sparrow is the current chairman of the board. As Director of the Indiana Energy Group, I am by law the office that houses and runs the R E D B. Therefore, I will put my signature in the chairman spot, because of the inconvenience of getting Dr. Sparrow's signature.
**Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:**
Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission

**When was this group originated?**
The Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission was created by the Indiana General Assembly in the 1980 legislative session. A copy of the State Enabling Act is included as Attachment “A”.

**Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?**
No, the Development Commission was created by the State legislature for the explicit purpose to be the non-federal sponsor agency with the Federal government (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) on a Federally-authorized flood control and recreation project along ten miles of the Little Calumet River in Lake County, Indiana. The Development Commission’s geographic authority extends one (1) mile either side of the river from the Illinois state line to the outlet of the Little Calumet River into Lake Michigan.

The Federal Flood Control/Recreation project was authorized by Congress in the 1986 Water Resources Development Act. The Development Commission has a legally binding “Local Cooperation Agreement” with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers which details respective roles and responsibilities in implementing and operating the project. The Local Cooperation Agreement is included as Attachment “B”.

**Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?**
There is no sunset provision in the enabling legislation. The Local Cooperation Agreement details the items of cooperation needed to leverage Federal construction (75% Federal cost participation) and requires the Development Commission provide for the maintenance and operation of the completed construction to keep the project certified and remove the area protected from floodplain designation.

The project is approximately 60% construction complete with a committed construction completion date scheduled for December 2009.

**Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?**
In order to qualify for sponsor status, the Development Commission needed to be an independent board. A copy of the current board and its appointing authorities is included as Attachment “C”.

**If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?**
The Development Commission functions as a State Development Commission; acquires land similar to the Indiana Department of Transportation; and holds it in the name of the State. The 11 member policy board has a contract for basic administrative services with the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission. The Development Commission also contracts with qualified professional services to accomplish needed tasks.
The Federal oversight is tightly regulated by the Local Cooperation Agreement, the Chicago District Corps of Engineers, and coordinated by Congressman Visclosky's office.

State funding is coordinated through efforts of the N.W. Indiana legislative delegation and appropriations are subject to review/allocation by the State Budget Committee and Agency. The Indiana Department of Natural Resources has an appointment to the board and the IDNR Division of Water provides technical oversight and coordination.

**What is your Mission?**
The mission of the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission is to successfully implement with the Federal government (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) the construction of a Federal flood control/recreation project along the Little Calumet River in northwestern Indiana. A brief outline of the project along with a project map is included as Attachment “D”. Also included is the committed schedule to complete the flood control project by the end of 2009, identified as Attachment “E”.

**What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?**
The Development Commission's top three long range goals are:
(1) Successfully complete the Federal flood control/recreation construction on time and within cost projections;
(2) Continue support and cooperation of the Indiana General Assembly in funding the remaining needed non-federal share and the support and cooperation of the local communities in contributing added resources/donations to meet the required 1/4 cost share with the Federal government on the project; thus removing the corridor from the flood plain (over 8,400 homes, businesses, and public structures).
(3) Successfully implement with the local authorities a maintenance and operations plan to keep the project federally certified.

**How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?**
In the past three years, major accomplishments have been:
(1) Maintained support of the area state delegation in providing funding to leverage over $11 million in Federal construction contracts. There have been three contracts let in the past two years in Hammond and Highland.
(2) Obtained $1.4 million commitment from City of Gary for application to Burr Street contracts and over $550,000 of donations of easements from City of Hammond, Hammond Sanitary District, Hammond Park Department, Town of Highland, and the Highland Park Department. Also, over $350,000 of needed easements were donated through negotiation with a private industrial land owner.
(3) Successfully acquired over 344 acres of land at Hobart Marsh to fulfill construction permit conditions for project construction in west reach (Hammond, Highland, Munster) for wetland mitigation.

**What are your 3 primary goals for this year?**
This year’s primary goals are:
(1) Begin construction of the Burr Street levee segments – the last remaining line of flood protection needed in Gary to begin the process of removal from the floodplain;
(2) Resolve, along with the Corps of Engineers, FEMA technical assurances to allow the floodplain re-designation to proceed;
(3) Utilize remaining State appropriations and local community contributions/donations to aggressively continue right-of-way acquisition and utility relocation to allow Federal FY07 flood control construction letting of over $11.5 million.

What is your Annual Budget?
The 2005 Development Commission budget is $3,227,073. A copy is included as Attachment “F”.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?
The four largest items in the 2005 Budget are: (1) Project land purchase expenses; (2) Professional Services (to accomplish required local cooperation items); (3) Commission operating expenses with NIRPC; (4) Utility relocation expenses. These are numbered on the Attachment “F” budget page.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?
No members of the Development Commission board receive a state salary, nor do any administrative staff. One member of the board is appointed by the IDNR. He is an employee of the IDNR and thus is paid by the IDNR. Currently, the IDNR appointment is the Lake Michigan specialist within the Division of Water.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.
Professional contractors hired by the Development Commission are procured to accomplish the required items of non-federal cooperation found in the project Local Cooperation Agreement (Attachment B). The charges are certified by the Corps of Engineers for their project cost eligibility and submitted with documented claims to the state Budget Agency for payment. Prior to submission to the State Budget Agency, claim listings are reviewed and approved by the Development Commission at their monthly meetings.

A complete record of the past 24 months of contractors/professional services claims are submitted as Attachment “G”.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?
The best way to reduce project expenditures in the next two years is to receive contributions/donations from the local communities and private land owners benefited by the project. In the past several years, local contributions have included: $1.4 million from the City of Gary to help fund the Burr Street levee; over $500,000 worth of right-of-way easements owned by the City of Hammond, Hammond Sanitary District, Hammond
Parks, the town of Highland, and Highland Parks Department; over $350,000 of easement value donated by a private land owner businessman for value engineering on his property.

The Development Commission must perform certain acquisitions and project items of cooperation according to Federal law, so willing donations are the only way to reduce cost in many situations. The Development Commission is seeking all methods to obtain donations to speed the project and reduce cost.

Administratively, the Commission has held the expenses to no budget increases the past four years with all administrative costs paid from local revenues, not State appropriations.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?
The Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission has a limited jurisdictional area of authority along the river in Lake and Porter counties.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group
The effectiveness of the Development Commission to successfully complete the project at the earliest time feasible and at the least cost is tied directly to receiving sufficient State funding in the next two sessions of the Indiana General Assembly. This effort will be coupled with strong pleas to the local communities of Hammond and Munster to assist in meeting the items of non-federal cooperation and help leverage State support. This state/local commitment can then match the Federal construction funding committed by Congressman Visclosky (on a 3-1 Federal cost share) to complete the project.

Your reasons why this group should be continued
The Development Commission, acting as the non-federal project sponsor, is currently engaged on a $191 million Federal flood control/recreation project. The project is 60-65% complete and the Commission has a legal obligation (Local Cooperation Agreement, Attachment B) to complete the much needed project.

The staff, as well as the Board members, all share a deep personal commitment to the project and are dedicated to seeing the project through to completion.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board, or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your state goals?
Very Good

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?
Very Good

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.
The approved minutes of the last three Board meetings (August, September, and October) are included as Attachment H.
The monthly Board meetings are traditionally held on the first Wednesday of each month, thus making meeting attendance easier to plan, not only for the Board members, but for the audience as well. The next meeting is Wednesday, December 7, at our office location in Portage – 6100 Southport Road, Portage, IN.

William Biller, Chairman
Little Calumet River Basin
Development Commission

Email address: billerw@hmdin.com

Telephone: 219/853-6413 ext 503

11-28-05
Date
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee. Clean Manufacturing Technology Board (CMTB).

When was this group originated? In 1997 the CMTB was created to replace the Pollution Prevention Board which was created in 1990. (See P.L. 124-1997 Sect. 22-25, and P.L. 105-1990 Sect. 3.); IC 13-27.5-1 (Board) and IC 13-27.5-2 (Institute).

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Department of Environmental Management.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? To provide oversight of the Indiana Clean Manufacturing Technology and Safe Materials Institute, which is responsible for promoting strategies among and providing technical assistance to manufacturers to enable them to reduce their reliance on toxic raw material inputs to manufacturing production and to reduce the generation of environmental waste.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? (1) To promote the reduction of toxic materials use by manufacturers served; (2) To reduce the generation of environmental wastes; (3) To improve operational efficiency of manufacturers served by conserving energy, reducing waste and improving production.
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? 

1. Reduced pollution in Indiana by 4 million pounds through engineering assistance provided to manufacturers (on average, eliminating 1.3 million pounds of pollution annually).
2. Saved Indiana manufacturers $4.3 million by assisting in implementation of pollution prevention strategies (saving manufacturing almost $1.5 million annually).
3. Enabled manufacturers served to increase tax payments to the State by approximately $11 million.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 

1. To increase productivity among manufacturers served by 50%.
2. To reduce the reliance of manufacturers served on toxic raw material inputs to production by 100%.
3. To reduce dollars expended by manufacturers served on production that results in the generation of environmental wastes by 100%.

What is your Annual Budget? $950,000 per biennium (from FY '93-'95 to FY '03-'05).

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 

1. Personnel Salary and Fringe.
2. Travel.
3. Office Rent.
4. Utilities and Communications.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 12 (previously, ranging from 2.2% to 66%); currently, zero for FY '05-'07 (no appropriation.)

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Currently, no state appropriation. During the last two biennia ('01-'03 and '03-'05) we reduced our budget by 7%.

Does your group representative or all are of the State of Indiana? Yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. Governor needs to fill vacant Board positions and legislators need to reestablish the state appropriation.

Your reasons why this group should be continued. Without this group, Indiana may no longer have an engineering resource for pollution prevention and clean manufacturing technical assistance. This would result in higher toxic releases by Indiana's manufacturers, less efficient manufacturing, higher national ranking in toxic releases. As the governor promotes business coming to Indiana, it is essential we continue to provide free services such as this to assist industry in making efficiency improvements. To continue to promote pollution prevention (clean manufacturing technology) among Indiana's manufacturing sector as well as improve the economic efficiency and health for sectors served.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent__x___ Very Good __________ Good _______ Fair _______ Poor _______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent__x___ Very Good __________ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at All _______
Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. April 20, 2006 @1:00 P.M.
Indiana Government Center
Indianapolis, IN

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

iriskiesling@co.monroe.in.us
Your email address

812-349-2550
Daytime Telephone

1/23/06
Date

Internet Address or Website
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GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: State Employees' Appeals Commission

When was this group originated? IC 4-15-1.5 (Acts 1973, P.L. 19, Sec. 1)

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? State Personnel Department

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

NA

What is your Mission? Render decisions on state employee appeals pursuant to IC 4-15-2 and Executive Order 05-14.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Conduct a pre-hearing conference for each appeal within 30 days of filing. 2. Conduct evidentiary hearings as soon as the parties are ready. 3. Render findings and conclusions within 90 days of hearing pursuant to IC 4-21.5.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Eliminated huge backlog of cases present in mid-2003, now processing cases in a timely manner and regained respect for the process.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Continue to work toward the goals mentioned above in spite of a caseload that has tripled with no addition in staff.

What is your Annual Budget? $147,995

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Salaries & fringes, travel/per diem for Commission members, travel/per diem for staff.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Two staff members

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

None

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Conduct more legal proceedings by telephone or teleconference.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

Add more administrative law judges.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Statutory requirement to decide complaints from merit employees pursuant to IC 4-15-2-35 and non-merit employees pursuant to Executive Order 05-14. Also must hear appeals from Ethics Commission decisions.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent __ X Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent __ X Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. 10 a.m. December 20, 2005, Room N1045 of the Indiana Gov. Ctr. North

Richard C. Hager, Chairman
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair 11/30/05

Tim Rider, Chief ALJ, WRider@spd.state.in.us
Your email address

317-232-3137
Daytime Telephone

State Personnel Department Website
Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Board of Tax Review

When was this group originated? January 2, 2002

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No, SIMLE; HEA 1499-2001 (PL .098) IC ; 6-1.5-2-1

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The TBIR is a Government Agency.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The three Commissioners report to the Governor.

What is your Mission? To protect the interest of all Indiana owners by assuring that their property tax appeals are heard promptly and decided fairly.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? _________

To achieve our mission and instill public confidence in the integrity and efficiency of the state's property tax appeal process. The Board and staff will pursue the following goals:

See Attached List

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Posting of decisions on the Web for taxpayer review. 2. Development and implementation of Lake Co. special reassessment appeal process. 3. Reorganization of staffing table calling for additional Attorneys and Administrative Assistants.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Completion of 2002 Lake Co. appeal project. 2. Improve the quality of opinions issued. 3. Continuing education for Administrative Law Judges.

What is your Annual Budget? $2,002,108

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Manpower, Contracts, Travel, and other services/supplies.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 22 (All)

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

See Attached List

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? We have initiated an intern program through the IU School of Law at no cost to the state.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group Additional training of staff; Legislative initiatives; additional Attorney staff through attrition.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Thousands of property tax appeals filed with each assessment year.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent x Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent x Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. N/A We are a "working" board in constant session.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: [Signature] 12/15/05

Daytime Telephone: 232-3753

Internet Address or Website: http://www.in.gov/ibtr/
Indiana Board of Tax Review
Efficiency Commission Questionnaire

Exhibit A – Goals

To achieve our mission and to instill public confidence in the integrity and efficiency of the State's property tax appeal process the Board members and staff will pursue the following goals:

- Resolve property tax appeals in a timely fashion by issuing impartial decisions based upon the law and the weight of the evidence;
- Issue written findings that clearly articulate the basis for the decisions that are made available to the public;
- Provide informal and alternative dispute resolution options to parties who wish to expedite their tax appeals;
- Establish clear, concise, accurate and timely communications with taxpayers, their representatives and the assessing community; and
- Maintain a work force that demonstrates the highest standards of integrity, efficiency, and performance.

Our work has some affect on all citizens. In addition to homeowners, all property taxpayers and local government officials rely on the Indiana Board of Tax Review to judge their assessments with a fair and open mind.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PO#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>FY05</th>
<th>FY06</th>
<th>Total FY05+06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4513719</td>
<td>Eads</td>
<td>$45,000.00</td>
<td>$23,712.00</td>
<td>$8,487.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4514153</td>
<td>Mayes</td>
<td>$21,000.00</td>
<td>$11,750.00</td>
<td>$2,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4515530</td>
<td>Schultz</td>
<td>$21,000.00</td>
<td>$6,875.00</td>
<td>$875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4514901</td>
<td>Wiggins</td>
<td>$21,000.00</td>
<td>$20,050.00</td>
<td>$2,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5541063</td>
<td>Mayes</td>
<td>$129,000.00</td>
<td>$13,100.00</td>
<td>$35,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5537275</td>
<td>Ends</td>
<td>$129,000.00</td>
<td>$32,950.00</td>
<td>$27,009.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5515497</td>
<td>Salveson</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$23,712.50</td>
<td>$8,487.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5535115</td>
<td>Clark</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5531063</td>
<td>Mayes</td>
<td>$44,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5514153</td>
<td>Mayes</td>
<td>$44,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4537275</td>
<td>Ends</td>
<td>$14,500.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4531063</td>
<td>Mayes</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ISTR Contract Payments: FY 05 and FY 06 to date**

**Exhibit B - Contracts**

Efficiency Commission Questionnaire

Indiana Board of Tax Review
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Oversight Committee on Public Records

When was this group originated? 1979

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? The Commission on Public Records

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? Not Applicable

What is your Mission? Set policies for the Commission on Public Records (a state agency) including approval of retention schedules for all State & local government records & authorizing fee structures for support of the State Archives. (See Exhibit I).
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

* Improve government efficiency through better records management
* Increase ICPR/State Archives Revenues
* Work with The Indiana Commission on Public Records to develop a critical records and an electronic records program.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) Approved fee increases to cover actual cost of services; 2) Approved retention schedules for State & local entities; 3) Reviewed & Revised policies of ICPR.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1) Increase revenues to adequately support archives; 2) Develop critical records program to safeguard records & reestablish Govt. after a disaster; 3) Develop an electronic archive for stringing.

What is your Annual Budget? $2,000 from ICPR funds for electronic records.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Travel & per diem for Lay members to attend monthly meetings.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Two members from public, remaining 9 members are State employees, none of which receive compensation to attend ICPR.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? If public participation is eliminated, or per diem & mileage is discontinued, then the State could save some money.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? No.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group The Committee is very effective & is dependent on ICPR's staff to move items forward.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: O.C.P.R. plays a vital role in determining which public records are retained, for how long, or whether they're destroyed, archived, etc. & allows for public access to these records.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: December 21, 2005 @ 1:30 pm, 16C-South Rm E 418

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: 

Keele

Date: 11. 29.05

Email: keele@sos.in.gov

Daytime Telephone: 317. 232. 6583

Website: www.in.gov/icpr
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Commission on Public Records (ICPR)

When was this group originated? 1935, present form since 1979

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? The Commission on Public Records is an independent state agency.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The ICPR is staffed by state employees. It has an oversight group, The Oversight Committee on Public Records. The Commission's director, also the State Archivist, is appointed by the Governor.

What is your Mission? To assist state & local government in the efficient management of public records, including design, use, storage, destruction or permanent preservation.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? 1. Reduce paper flow and storage. 2. Develop an electronic records program and critical records program. 3. Build an appropriate State Archive (vs. the former warehouse currently used) to preserve and protect Indiana's historic public records.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Established & enhanced web access to State Archives collections
2. Dramatically increased micrographics lab's productivity & efficiency
3. Destroyed 32 more records in 2005 than 2004, 55,428 cubic ft. of records

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Increase revenues to support State Archives 2. Implement Critical Records Program 3. Develop Electronic Records program.

What is your Annual Budget? $1,485,000 before 7% reversion

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1. Personnel, accounts for 89.5% of budget. 2. Contract $16,814 YTD. 3. Equipment, $11,727. 4. Office Supplies $5476.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 35 employees

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. See attached information projecting forward.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? ICPR has cut 5.5% in 2005 to meet the Governor's 7% reversion. Further cuts would damage the Commission's ability to operate & serve the needs of Indiana citizens.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? No

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: Provide adequate staffing to allow ICPR to monitor compliance with record retention to rid the State of unnecessary records. It would also allow the State Archives the ability to generate more revenue by cataloging the backlog of State records and making it available to the public for copying, downloading, etc.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: This group provides critical services to the operations of local & state government by designing forms, developing record retention programs for public access, & preserving official records for future generations of Hoosiers.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. The Commission does not have meetings, the Oversight Committee on Public Records meets monthly. A separate report has been filed with you for them.

Jim Corridan
Signed by Director

11/28/05

Date

jcorridan@icpr.in.gov
Your email address

(317) 232-7191
Daytime Telephone

www.in.gov/icpr
Internet Address or Website
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VENDOR</th>
<th>TERMS</th>
<th>PAYMENT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANACOMP</td>
<td>CV-QTRLY-REV</td>
<td>$ 895.00</td>
<td>Maintenance-Diazo Duplicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQUA PERFECT</td>
<td>CV-QTRLY-OP: 08-01-04 to 7-31-08</td>
<td>$ 99.00</td>
<td>QPA #9593-Water Cooler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROWLEY</td>
<td>CV-MTHLY-REV: 02-22-05 to 02-21-09</td>
<td>$ 2,650.00</td>
<td>Vesicular Duplicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMAGING OFFICE</td>
<td>CV-QTRLY-REV: 01-01-05 to 12-31-09</td>
<td>$ 122.50</td>
<td>Maintenance on 1 camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMAGING OFFICE</td>
<td>CV-QTRLY-REV: 10-25-04 to 10-24-08</td>
<td>$ 2,362.75</td>
<td>Maintenance on 11 pieces of equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KONICA/MINOLTA</td>
<td>CV-MTHLY-OP: 04-02-01 to 04-01-05</td>
<td>$ 657.00</td>
<td>Copier maintenance &amp; purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KONICA/MINOLTA</td>
<td>CV-MTHLY-REV: 04-02-01 to 04-01-05</td>
<td>$ 228.00</td>
<td>Copier maintenance &amp; purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>CV-QTRLY-REV</td>
<td>$ 1,300.00</td>
<td>Maintenance on the M-30 processor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRONITECH</td>
<td>CV-MTHLY-REV</td>
<td></td>
<td>Varies Computer Output Microfiche Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEROX CORP</td>
<td>PARTIAL-MTHLY-OP: 06-30-03 to 05-31-07</td>
<td>$ 268.70</td>
<td>Copier-maintenance &amp; purchase-Micro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEROX CORP</td>
<td>PARTIAL-MTHLY-OP: 09-01-03 to 08-31-07</td>
<td>$ 440.88</td>
<td>Copier-maintenance &amp; purchase-Admin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEROX CORP</td>
<td>PARTIAL-MTHLY-OP: 09-01-03 to 08-31-07</td>
<td>$ 268.70</td>
<td>Copier-maintenance &amp; purchase-Arch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEROX CORP</td>
<td>PARTIAL-MTHLY-OP: 04-01-04 to 03-31-08</td>
<td>$ 735.63</td>
<td>Copier-maintenance &amp; purchase-RC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Political Subdivision Risk Management Commission

When was this group originated? 1986

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

N/A

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Department of Insurance

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission? Provide a non-competitive, non-reactionary and consistent liability pool for Indiana political subdivisions that have difficulty obtaining liability coverage in the marketplace. Competing in that marketplace to the extent necessary to remain viable for its current and future members. This is a Fund of last resort.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? Continue to be available to political subdivisions who otherwise would be unable to secure insurance in the private market. 2) Conduct scheduled meetings as needed to review and resolve issues. 3) Enforce and interpret rules necessary for the enactment of the statutory procedures that govern the Commission. 4) Maintain the Fund’s viability, to assure there will be money available to pay claims.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) Administer Fund members liability coverages. 2) Purchase of reinsurance. 3) All Board member positions were filled with one alternate.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1) Keeping the Fund viable. 2) Continued availability to political subdivisions needing liability insurance. 3) Strengthen the Fund’s financial position.

What is your Annual Budget? $874,094

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1) Reinsurance. 2) Vendor Service Fees. 3) Public Officials Liability Insurance. 4) Claims.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Budget is for two positions and currently one is filled.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year: Arthur J. Gallagher & Company ($75,000), The Owens Group ($24,495), Purchase of reinsurance from Catlin Insurance Company Ltd. & Lloyds by Arthur J. Gallagher & Company ($464,829).

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Improved underwriting and continued positive loss experience will reduce the cost of reinsurance.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes, all 10 congressional districts in the State of Indiana.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? Keep the Commission fully staffed with knowledgable individuals that are willing to participate.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Provide political subdivisions in the State of Indiana with liability insurance when the coverage is not available in the public market.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Next meeting is scheduled for December 12, 2005. The meeting will be held within the Indiana Department of Insurance, 311 W. Washington Street, Suite 300 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204. Conference Room on the third Floor.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair 12/1/05

Your email address 233-5503

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: STATE BUDGET COMMITTEE

When was this group originated? UNDER 10-12-1-3 (FORMERLY: ACTS 1961, C.123, §3.) AS AMENDED BY ACTS 1977, PL. 28, SEC. 3; P.C. 3-1986, SEC. 5.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

NO

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

NO

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

STATE BUDGET AGENCY

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

NOT APPLICABLE

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

TO DEVELOP BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 2007 GENERAL ASSEMBLY SESSION.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

WITH ATTENTIVENESS TO STATE REVENUES AND THE NEEDS OF THE CITIZENS OF THIS STATE, THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HAS SOUGHT TO PROVIDE BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS THAT EXEMPLIFY Fiscal PRUDENCE WHILE MEETING THE DEMANDS OF OUR STATE GOVERNMENT.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? THE PRIMARY GOAL OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE IS ADMINISTER THE BUDGET ENACTED DURING THE 2005 GENERAL ASSEMBLY SESSION.

What is your Annual Budget? $60,000.00

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? PER DIEM AND TRAVEL

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? NONE, legislators receive per diem.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year NOT APPLICABLE

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? NONE

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? YES

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group NONE
Your reasons why this group should be continued: THE COMMITTEE IS AN EFFECTIVE MEANS FOR THE LEGISLATIVE & EXECUTIVE BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT TO COOPERATE ON FISCAL MATTERS OF THE STATE

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting Dec. 14th in Statehouse

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair ___________________________ Date ___________________________

Your email address ___________________________ Daytime Telephone ___________________________

Internet Address or Website ___________________________
1. Name of the Mission, Board, or Committee.

Indiana State Library Advisory Counsel. (ISLAC)

2. When was the group originated?

1985.

3. Was this group created by federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the federal statute?

Public Law 104-208 (Library Services and Technology Act) allows the establishment of an advisory Council in each state receiving Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) funds to review programs under consideration for funding. IC 4-23-7.1-39 (effective 1985) provides for the establishment of the Indiana State Library Advisory Council for the purpose of advising the Indiana Library and Historical Board and the State librarian concerning:
- General policies of the state library;
- Plans or programs for library development and interlibrary cooperation.
- Library research;
- Professional development for librarians;
- Standards and rules for library services;
- Administration and distribution of state and federal funds;
- Other matters as requested by the Board and the state librarian.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No sunset date.

3. Which government agency or department is responsible for administering this group?

The Institute of Museum and Library Services is the federal administrator of LSTA funds. The Indiana State Library is the administrative unit for the Council. All input from the Council is directed to the Director of the Indiana State Library. The relationship of ISLAC to the Indiana State Library Historical Board is through the Director of the State Library.

4. What is your Mission?

The Indiana State Library Advisory Council advises and assists the Indiana State Library in the planning and coordination of library services in the state; in policy
matters relating to the administration and distribution of state and federal funds; and in evaluation of library services.

5. What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

To advise and assist the Indiana State Library in the planning and coordination of library services in the state. To advise and assist the Indiana State Library in policy matters relating to the administration and distribution of state and federal funds. To advise the Indiana State Library in the evaluation of library services.

6. How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Creation of and participation in the "Digital Summit" that will determine types of documents to be digitized with federal funds and standards for digitization; Inclusion of service to diverse populations and support for projects related to economic development as priorities for federal funds; Continuation of support for INSPIRE (professionally selected information databases) and WorldCat (virtual library). Both are provided free of charge to all citizens of Indiana.

7. What is your annual budget?

The ISLAC annual budget is approximately $800 for member travel expenses to and from meetings and for postage.

8. What are the four largest items in your budget?

The only items in the budget are travel and postage. ISLAC travel and postage expenses are funded by the administration portion of the annual federal LSTA allotment.

9. How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

No member of ISLAC receives a state salary for service on the Advisory Council. Some members are employed by the state of Indiana in institutional and academic libraries. ISLAC members are paid travel expenses, at state rates, with federal funds.

10. Please list all consultants/contractors/advisers used during the past 24 months, the account of each contract, and amount paid each during the past year.

A list of members is attached.
11. Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next two years.

Any unspent funds, in the Indiana State Library’s LSTA allotment are forfeited and revert to Washington, DC. By federal law, LSTA funds are to be distributed, by the Indiana State Library, to libraries within the State of Indiana. The only way to cut the group’s expenditures is to cut the number of meetings per year from four to three. This would enable savings of approximately $180.

12. Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana

Yes. The State Library encompasses all areas of the State of Indiana: any resident of Indiana is entitled to a State library card. The Advisory Council consists of library representatives from all types of libraries, namely: small public libraries; school libraries; academic libraries, special libraries including institutional; library trustees and users of libraries.

13. Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group.

ISLAC meets four times annually. Packets regarding the meeting are sent out two weeks prior to the meeting. All members of ISLAC are or have been professionals; library trustees, and/or active in library centered state activities. The membership represents all types of Indiana libraries but could be more ethnically diverse and the minutes of meetings could be processed more quickly than they currently are done. ISLAC is effective.

14. Your reasons why the group should be continued.

ISLAC represents many facets of the library world and segments of society throughout Indiana. The group makes recommendations for LSTA projects to be approved by ILHB. ISLAC reviews all grant applications and many sub-grant applications thus saving the Board and staff a great deal of time. ISLAC acts as a liaison between the ILHB and the taxpayers of Indiana.

15. Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board, or Committee has accomplished in the last two years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goal’s?

Very Good.

16. How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Very Good.
Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Minutes of the last three meetings are attached. The next meeting is scheduled for January 20, 2006, 10 a.m., at the Indiana State Library, Room 401.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

harshbar@rose-hulman.edu

812-877-8462

e-mail

Daytime Telephone

http://www.statelib.lib.in.us/www/isl/1do/islac/islacmenu.html

Internet address or Website
Please prepare copies of the minutes of your last three meetings plus the due and
3rd meeting is scheduled for January 20, 2005, 10 a.m., at the Indiana State Library.

John & Dorothy

812-373-8450
Dwight Telephone

http://www.struts.indiana.edu/sections/forestry/
Instructor access or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana State Fair Commission

When was this group originated? April, 1990, P.L. 20 - 1990

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

NO

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

NO

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? 

NONE. The Commission is statutorily created as a separate body corporate and politic. It is not a State Agency.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

By statute (15-1.5-2-9) the State Fair Commission employs an executive director who hires staff for the Commission. Staff reports to the executive director, who reports to the Commission. The Governor appoints members to serve on the Commission and the Lt. Governor, State Fair Board President and the presiding officer of the CASH board of trustees serve as ex officio members. The Governor designates one of the Commission members to serve as the Commission's presiding officer.

What is your Mission? By statute, the Commission shall maintain and develop the State Fairgrounds and property owned by the Commission, administer the State Fairgrounds and property it owns to provide maximum utilization of the property for the benefit of the citizens of Indiana; provide for the holding of the annual State Fair, giving it priority over all other activities during the time the Fair is held. By Commission policy, its missions are to be: a good community
neighbor and corporate citizen; support family-oriented activities and youth programs; serve its primary customers: event managers; provide cost-effective, secure and modern facilities and serve its largest customer: the State Fair.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? Maintain, preserve and develop the State Fairgrounds property and facilities for use in commerce, for the holding of the State Fair and to maximize utilization of rental facilities to increase operational revenues.
1) Maintain/preserve existing investments in capital projects accomplished over the last 10 years and assess needs in facilities that have not been renovated.
2) Increase year-round agriculture/education utilization of Fairgrounds facilities in conjunction with Purdue University 4-H Cooperative Extension, Dept. of Education, FFA, Indiana Young Farmers, Dept. of Natural Resources, Dept. of Agriculture, agricultural commodity groups, the Center for Agricultural Science and Heritage, etc.
3) Upgrade and/or renovate those remaining facilities that serve State Fair or public show purposes.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?
1) 2003-2004: completion of new Champions Pavilion (formerly Draft Horse Barn), Farm Bureau Insurance Celebration Park and two buildings within the 4-H Educational Complex capital projects; hosting President George Bush for a speech in the Pepsi Coliseum, two attendance record-breaking State Fairs at 878,114 and 900,365 respectively and national livestock shows.
2) 2005: completion of new 1880s replica pin frame barn in Pioneer Village for and new transportation center to increase public transit ridership to the State Fair, first time hosting the National American Rabbit Breeders Show featuring 28,000 rabbits.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Developing a facility-wide maintenance schedule and execution plan, training employees to enhance quality customer service and improving employee relations.

What is your Annual Budget? $12,200,000


How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Lt. Governor or designee.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year: Please see attached spreadsheet.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The Commission’s expenses are largely fixed as described in response to our four largest budget expense items, including bond debt retirement. The Commission will undertake fewer
capital projects in the next two years, is currently expanding energy efficiencies in HVAC, lighting and insulation systems to mitigate increases in electric and gas rates, and is investigating revenue enhancements.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? YES

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: Improving quality customer relations and employee relations and facility maintenance.

Your reasons why this group should be continued: In 1990, the State Fair Commission administered an $8,096,970 budget. In 2005, it administers a $20,000,000 event venue business. Conservative economic impact studies conducted by Indiana University’s Kelley School of Business concluded that the year-round business conducted at the State Fairgrounds amounted to $104.5 million in direct economic impact on Marion County and of that, $19.5 million is directly attributable to the annual State Fair. The year-round impact compares to a 400-room hotel operating at 70% capacity or a manufacturing business that employs 2,000 people at an annual average salary of $50,000. Over 300 producers of public shows and events rent Fairgrounds’ facilities every year. The Commission offers a highly qualified event venue staff that, unlike larger metropolitan convention centers, deals one on one personally with show/event producers from the first point of contact until the show concludes. The Commission has demonstrated effectiveness in developing a professional staff and has not only stopped the dramatic level of disrepair and deterioration in the buildings and facilities it inherited in 1990, but has implemented an aggressive, systematic capital improvement plan that completely renovated the majority of its major livestock facilities that first serve the needs of the State Fair and secondarily our show producers.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent XX Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?
Excellent XX Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: December 15, 2005. Indiana State Fairgrounds’ Administration Building Conference Room, 1202 East 38th Street, Indianapolis, IN 46205.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

November 30, 2005

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

November 30, 2005

317/927-7501

Your email address

www.indianastatefair.com

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana State Fair Board

When was this group originated? On February 17, 1852, the General Assembly passed an Act making it a duty of societies formed by the Act to offer and award premiums for the improvement of soils, tillage, crops, etc. From this Act, State Fairs began in Indiana. The first Board of Agriculture appears to have been created by the General Assembly in 1921, then changed to the State Fair Board in 1941. Several modifications of the State Fair Board occurred from 1941 through 1969. Finally, amendments to the Fair Board statute were made in 1990 by P.L. 20–1990 when the day to day operations of the Fairgrounds were removed from the authority of the Board and were place under the authority of the new State Fair Commission. From that point forward, the State Fair Board is responsible for conducting the annual State Fair under the policies and guidance of the State Fair Commission.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

NO

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

NO

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana State Fair Commission – a separate body corporate and politic – not a state agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The Indiana State Fair Commission. By statute (15-1.5-2-9) the State Fair Commission employs an executive director who hires staff for the Commission. Staff reports to the executive director, who reports to the Commission.
What is your Mission? By statute, the Fair Board shall hold one (1) state agricultural fair each year. The Fair must emphasize agriculture. The Fair Board and Commission by policy created further missions: Showcase agriculture and youth, educate the general public about agriculture, maintain and promote agricultural heritage, current production methods and future developments, entertain the public.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? Continue to grow and develop the State Fair to promote agriculture, youth and education. Reach 1,000,000+ in annual attendance. Remain one of the preeminent state fairs in the nation.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Annual State Fair attendance in the last 3 years have been the highest in modern record keeping. Fair revenue has exceeded expense in all of the last 3 years. Fair programming, especially livestock shows, continues to excel in both numbers and quality.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Develop programming to celebrate the 150th State Fair in 2006; continue to attract new and repeat visitors to the Fair to reach 1,000,000 in attendance; continue to provide and highlight agriculture and youth exhibits, public education about agriculture with revenue continuing to exceed expense.

What is your Annual Budget? $7,400,000

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Revenue: Gate Admissions, Concessions/Midway, Sponsorships, Marsh Grandstand Entertainment. Expense: Livestock Shows, Marketing, Marsh Grandstand Entertainment, Staff Salary and Wages.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Three (3) ex officio Fair Board members: Governor, Lt. Governor, Director of Cooperative Extension at Purdue University.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year: Please see attached spreadsheet.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The State Fair Board will examine a reductions in entertainment, programming and in advertising. Any reduction in expenditures will be carefully analyzed against the potential for attending loss of revenue. Opportunities for revenue enhancement, particularly with regard to sponsorships, will also be pursued.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? YES: two members each from 7 agricultural districts.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: Improving quality customer relations for Fair attendees, improving employee relations and analyzing Indiana’s State
Fair programming in terms of national and international fair industry trends to insure excellence in our product.

Your reasons why this group should be continued: This historical event celebrates Indiana’s agricultural heritage while educating the public about the importance of agriculture, food and fiber, both past and present, in an entertaining family-oriented manner at reasonable prices for the public.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent XX Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Poor _______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?
Excellent XX Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at All _______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: December 14, 2005 at the Center for Agricultural Science and Heritage’s Barn, 1202 E. 38th Street, Indianapolis, IN 46205.

choye@indianastatefair.com
Your email address

www.indianastatefair.com
Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire. We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee. Indiana Historic Preservation Review Board

When was this group originated? May 25, 1970 (1st meeting)

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

The board performs functions for a Federal program but was created by Indiana law. I.C. 14-21-1-11 (earliest citation found)

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Department of Natural Resources

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Not applicable

What is your Mission? The Indiana Historic Preservation Review Board is responsible for 1) nominating eligible resources in Indiana to the Indiana Register of Historic Sites and Structures (State Register) and to the National Register of Historic Places 2) approving grant funding for preservation activity throughout the state as well as preservation work on National Register listed properties in Indiana, and 3) granting Certificates of Approval for work on State Register listed properties where there is some involvement by the State.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The Indiana Historic Preservation Review Board has very specific statutorily-mandated duties and responsibilities that do not vary. The Board will continue to 1) respect the historic character of State Register listed resources while accommodating current and future change as part of the Certificate of Approval responsibility; 2) the Board will continue to evaluate eligibility of State Register and National Register listing of those properties for which applications have been received; 3) the Board will continue to set priorities for Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) grant funding and award funding in light of those priorities to the best proposals received.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) The Indiana Historic Preservation Review Board played an important role in the development of the State’s *Cultural Resources Management Plan 2005 to 2011*. 2) the Board awarded $1,540,775 in funding 68 historic preservation projects around the state which leveraged $3 million in total historic preservation investment, and; 3) the Board successfully nominated over 100 Indiana properties to the Indiana Register of Historic Sites and Structures (State Register) and the National Register of Historic Places.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Please see long-range goals above.

What is your Annual Budget? Approximately $2,500 total.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? The budget covers 8 of the 9 members’ per diem ($50 ea./quarterly meeting) and their mileage allotments.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 5 members

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. Not applicable

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

Disallow the per diem and mileage allotments beginning in 2007 and have appointments be for the honor of serving.

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana? Five members are appointed for their professional expertise, the Director of DNR who is also the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) is the chair, three citizen members represent the public at large, and the deputy-SHPO serves as a non-voting member. Currently, members hail from Hanover, Batesville, Indianapolis, Lafayette, Muncie, and Ft. Wayne.

Your recommendation for improving the effectiveness of your group. Educational opportunities occur at least once a year in Indiana for Historic Preservation Commission/Review Board members to improve their knowledge and skills. Requiring Board members to attend at least 1 training session at the beginning of their 3 year appointment would improve effectiveness.
Your reasons why this group should be continued. It is federally mandated that a State Review Board exist in order for Indiana to participate in programs identified under the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. If the Board should cease to exist, Indiana would no longer receive its annual allotment of over $.5 million in federal funding for preservation work around the state.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board, or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent X Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Poor ___

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent X Good ___ Fair ___ Not at all ___

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Next meeting: January 25, 2006, Indiana Government Center South, Conference Room A

signed by Chair or Vice-Chair 11/30/05

Your e-mail address 317-254-8869

www.dnr.in.gov Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION QUESTIONNAIRE

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: PEN Products Board of Directors – statutorily, Division of Industries and Farms Product Advisory Council

When was this group originated? By statute 1979 – first meeting 2002

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Department of Correction

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? IC 11-10-6-1 by statute: to assist the department in determining the need for products, the estimated volume or number of units required, pricing policies, and other matters relating to sales or promotion of such products manufactured or produced within the correctional system

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? The long range goals of the Board are to assist and aid PEN Products in dramatically increasing offender employment. Top three goals – 1) help in marketing PEN 2) spread word about joint ventures 3) relate to all that offender employment saves tax dollars through reduced recidivism and money to General Fund

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? Meeting three times

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1) Organize new board 2) meet three times 3) measure performance

What is your Annual Budget? Board Budget = 0, not a budget line item

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Seven

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. No cash paid out
Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Remain a no frills board, meet at facility, staff participation, low food costs.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? No, but areas of like businesses to ours, most from Indianapolis because of no cost.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? We have implemented three meetings a year and have asked the Board Members to recommend strategies and to assist in marketing PEN Products.

Your reasons why this group should be continued? We just had a new organizational meeting and expectations are high.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting? March, 2006 (date not specified yet) – Correctional Industrial Facility, Pendleton, IN.

Mike Herron, Secretary

mherron@doc.in.gov

e-mail address

(317) 388-8580

Daytime Telephone

Date 12/19/05
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION
QUESTIONNAIRE

Name of Commission, Board or Committee: Integrated Public Safety Commission

When was this group originated? 1999 (IC 5-26-2-1 (P.L. 117-1999)

Was this group created by Federal legislation? Is so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? N.A.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?
A staff of 10 is responsible for carrying out the directives of the IPSC. (See attached staffing chart). The staff is led by a Director, Dave Smith.

What is your mission?
The Integrated Public Safety Commission was established to promote the efficient use of public safety agency resources through improved coordination and cooperation in order to enhance the safety of Indiana residents. As a first step in accomplishing this goal, the commission was directed to focus on establishing a statewide wireless voice and data communications system, dubbed Project Hoosier SAFE-T. The SAFE-T motto, "Saving Money, Saving Lives" reflects the ultimate mission.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?
1. Revolutionize Indiana public safety communications by building a statewide system for interoperability.
2. Balance the need for technological advancement with financial reality in order to deliver a vital public safety need for the least possible cost and maximum local involvement.
3. Coordinate local, state, and federal public safety resources, tear down agency and geographical boundaries, and foster cooperation between police, fire, EMS, and other Hoosier first responder and public safety agencies.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?
1. In the last three years, with a very small staff, the IPSC constructed and/or implemented 69 of the 126 communications sites needed to provide a statewide system for interoperability. This complicated process requires significant design work and planning including: finding a location to provide the best possible coverage for an area and negotiating leases; navigating the FCC licensing/congested frequency spectrum; establishing telecommunications connections to the site via commercial telephone line or state police
private microwave services; civil construction work; tower and antenna installations; user training; acceptance testing; programming of mobile and portable radios; site integration with the master site.

2. To date, with half of the 126-site system built, more than 15,000 user radios are registered in the SAFE-T system database. This includes first responders in 55 counties, 16 state agencies (including 2500 state Department of Transportation workers and 2500 Corrections Officers); and three federal agencies. Approximately 75% of the state now has mobile radio coverage under the SAFE-T network.

3. Recent financial revisions put the final cost of construction and maintenance of the SAFE-T system at $79 million, a number that is strikingly less than what other states are spending and also notably less than the original $98 million project estimate. Project Hoosier SAFE-T has attracted national attention for its practical, effective and frugal approach to solving the first responder communications crisis.

What are your three primary goals for this year?
1. Manage an aggressive construction schedule as best as possible with limited staff. Due to Governor Mitch Daniels' support, a funding mechanism is now in place that will ensure a speedier, smoother buildout schedule. By year's end 2006, IPSC plans to have 110 communications site on the air.
2. Improve and increase “customer” support and training through the new Network Operations Center and interactive use of the internet in order to prepare to transition from an implementation agency into a first responder service agency.
3. Continue to aggressively pursue creative funding, both for system build-out and also to help user agencies identify funding sources for radios, consoles and other equipment.

What is your annual budget?
$13,205,269.00 with augmentation authority.

What are the 4 largest items in your budget?
1. Radio equipment and installation
2. Site construction
3. Equipment maintenance
4. Site leases

How many of your group receive a salary from the state?
Ten

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year:
Kendall Telecommunications (for construction observation) $~100,000
Goble Consultants (for construction observation) $~100,000

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum 10% during the next 2 years:
IPSC staff (brought on in 2002) has been aggressively creative in the effort to fund construction of the system. In fact, to date, nearly half of the construction funding has come from federal earmarks or grants. In addition, SAFE-T has reduced project costs by millions by fostering
parnerships between local governments and state agencies. On an operational level, IPSC staff saves taxpayer money by using surplus vehicles with ultra-high mileage, using surplus furniture, by personally contributing refreshments for Commission meetings and by employing other savings. In short, the IPSC is distinctively Hoosier in its frugal approach.

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana?
Yes. By statute, the 12-member commission consists of a sheriff; a chief of police; a fire chief; an emergency medical services provider; a mayor; a county commissioner; a representative of campus law enforcement; a representative of the private sector; the superintendent of the state police; the special agent in charge of the Indiana office of the FBI; an individual appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and an individual appointed by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group
Additional field staff and network operations staff.

Your reasons why this group should be continued:
9-11. Oklahoma City Bombing. Deadly VX nerve agent neutralization. Hurricane Katrina. Indiana tornados. Prisoner transport. Police pursuits. Snow removal. All these events pound home a harsh lesson: whether a monstrous act of nature, an act by monstrous men or day-to-day efforts to keep people safe, the bottom line remains the same. Lives can be saved and suffering can be lessened if first responders involved in protection and recovery missions can talk with each other. The Integrated Public Safety Commission has started the process of unifying disparate agencies and first responders in Indiana. All Hoosiers will be safer as a result.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent [X] Very Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent [X] Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at all _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Signed by Chair or Vice Chair  12-5-05
Date

sfay@ipsc.state.in.us  317.234.1541
email address  Daytime telephone

http://www.state.in.us  Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee
Indiana Arts Commission

When was this group originated?

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?
In 1965, a predecessor of the IAC was created to receive federal funds from the National Endowment for the Arts, which requires in its funding policies that a state arts agency with its own governing board be the state entity to receive NEA funds. The NEA is required by Congress to allocate 40% of its program funds to state and regional (multi-state) arts agencies.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?
No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?
The Indiana Arts Commission is an agency of the State of Indiana.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?
N/A

What is your Mission? http://www.in.gov/arts/about/mission.html
“The Indiana Arts Commission, an agency of state government, is a public catalyst, partner, and investor in the arts and serves the citizens of Indiana by funding, encouraging, promoting, and expanding the arts.”

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?
Goal 1: Recognize Public Value: Articulate persuasively the public value of the arts, and evoke public awareness and ownership of this value;
Goal 2: Energize Arts Environment: Create a statewide ubiquitous arts environment and an infrastructure for sustainable presence of the arts in communities;
Goal 3: Vitalize Community Quality: Engage in community and economic development demonstrating the role of the arts in creating places of choice.
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last 3 years?
1. Sustaining and refining the Regional Arts Partnership, a statewide decentralized service delivery structure created in 1997 through a network of 12 Regional Arts Partners;
2. Creation of an improved IAC website, [www.in.gov/arts](http://www.in.gov/arts) to provide a statewide portal to arts information and resources.
3. Providing resources (consultant, funding) to assist the 12 Regional Arts Partners with cultural needs assessment and planning in all 92 counties, including a statewide cultural needs assessment survey that had over 2500 responses.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
1. Complete strategic planning process begun in October 2005 with the pro bono assistance of Mr. Rabindra Mukerjea, director of strategic planning and assessment at Purdue University.
2. Develop RFP process for on-line grant application system for the IAC's centralized grant programs and to work with the 12 Regional Arts Partners to develop similar for the decentralized programs.
3. Fill vacant positions and complete staff restructuring.

What is your Annual Budget? $4,100,076 for FY 2006

What are the 4 largest items in your budget?
1. Grant programs ($3,156,742; Regional Arts Partners -$2,339,777) for FY 2006
2. Salary and wages (+/- $400,000) for FY 2006
3. Funds set aside for on-line grant contractor ($118,014) for FY 2006

How many of your group receives a salary from the State? 11 staff members; 15 Commissioners receive travel and per diem reimbursements for official meetings.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year:

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? This is a challenging question in light of the fact that the IAC has had to absorb salary increases(IT Crosswalk), costs for the on-line grant application system, and rent out of existing dollars, totaling over $200,000 on an administration budget (non-grant services and agency operations) of approximately $940,000 (or more than 20%). The IAC has also, along with other state agencies, absorbed annual across-the-board reductions, and, since 1997, has reduced its staff by 45% (from 20 to 11 positions).

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes, all 92 counties are served, and Commissioners come from all the 12 Regions.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group
Successful implementation of the on-line grant application system for the IAC and the Regional Arts Partners will increase agency efficiency and allow reallocation of IAC staff to other underserved areas of operation and areas of the state.

Your reasons why this group should be continue
In 2004, the total audience at IAC-funded events was, in the aggregate, 16.2 million persons, including 5.2 million children and 2.8 million older adults. According to the Indiana Household Survey (IUPUI, 2003) 66% of Indiana households reported attending a non-school (community of professional) arts event vs. 39% who attended a community of professional sport event and 53%
who participated in recreational activities. The arts are important to the citizens of and visitors to Indiana.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? 
Very Good (in light of reduced staff size and budget reductions)

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? 
Excellent

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting
Next meeting will be held on January 31, 2006 from 9:30 to 11:30 at the IAC office, 150 W. Market Street, Suite 618, Indianapolis. This is a “make up” meeting for the December 9th meeting that, due to inclement weather, had an attendance less than the required quorum.

Minutes have been sent to Andrew Norris and can be accessed on the IAC website at: http://www.in.gov/arts/commissioner/minutes_archive.html

Sandra Clark (Vice Chairman)  
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

bloomingsc@aol.com
Your email address

www.in.gov/arts
Internet Address or Website

December 19, 2005
Date

317-232-1288
Daytime Telephone
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: INDIANA HISTORICAL BUREAU

When was this group originated? 1915 as the Indiana Historical Commission; present legislation (IC 4-23-7.2) originated in 1925.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

NO

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

NO

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The Indiana Historical Bureau is a state agency established under IC 4-23-7.2; it is an Executive Branch agency.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The director (agency head) is selected by the Indiana Library and Historical Board, to which she reports. The director also reports to the Governor. Other agency personnel are hired by the director under State Personnel Department rules and procedures.

What is your Mission?

Under IC 4-23-7.2, the Historical Bureau is to edit and publish materials relating to Indiana history, promote the study of Indiana history, work with others concerned with Indiana history, maintain the Governors' Portraits Collection, and establish a program for
marking historical sites. Other code citations call for the celebration of certain days important in Indiana history.

Our mission statement is as follows: The Indiana Historical Bureau provides publications, programs, and other opportunities for Indiana citizens of all ages to learn and teach about the history of their communities, the state of Indiana, and their relationships to the nation and the world.

What are your long-range goals?
Our long-range goals are implicit in the strategies adopted by our Board August 7, 1992 for carrying out our legislative mandate.

"The Bureau Director, with the concurrence of the Indiana Library and Historical Board, will plan and obtain funding for all operations and programs, seeking to establish partnerships that will enhance content, use of existing resources, and funding of programs and operations through combinations of services and appropriated, earned, and donated funds.

"The Bureau will carry out its mandated responsibilities through the following programs:
• a professional publications program, which provides on a regular basis documentary and other works of a scholarly nature that can serve as resources for the study and understanding of the history and culture of the state;
• an educational program, which reaches the broadest possible audience but emphasizes service to the in-school population of teachers and students, providing a variety of program opportunities and materials that encourages the use and exploration of state and local history and cultural resources;
• a limited outreach program, which encourages interest and activities in local history and culture among the adult population, especially supporting the efforts of other statewide public and private agencies which deal more directly with the needs of local historical and cultural organizations."

These overarching program strategies are applied to the operational program areas that carry out specific legislative mandates: Celebrations, Educational Outreach, Governors’ Portraits Collection, Historical Marker Program, and Publications (print and Web).

How would you summarize your top three goals?
There are goals for each operational program area. More general agency goals address the desire to maintain an overall vision and standards for the agency.
• Achieve and maintain the resources—human, financial, and technological—necessary to carry out the IHIB mandate and mission.
• Using the highest standards for historical research, present clear, concise, and understandable information about a variety of topics in Indiana history to the citizens of Indiana in appealing and affordable formats, including books, magazines, exhibits, digital, and markers.
• Maintain integration of operational program goals to reflect, convey, and carry out the IHIB mandate and mission.
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

The move from offices that have been occupied since 1934 on the fourth floor of the Indiana Library and Historical Building to the newly renovated area on the first floor, with a very visible presence of a book shop, has been very beneficial in many ways, but it also was not without organizational strain.

The following three items are significant achievements to note:

- Our Web site is now a major method of publication, presenting educational outreach initiatives, primary documents and transcriptions of them, our printed publications, the catalog for our book shop, and databases and supplementary materials related to our programs (governors' portraits, historical markers, a major George Rogers Clark exhibit, county cemetery commission reports, etc.). A significant new Web publication initiative with the Supreme Court of Indiana is our Indiana Constitutional History Digital Collection, which was introduced September 15 at the Judiciary Conference in Indianapolis.

- We have begun to consider markers as a publication on cast aluminum—with research and sources published on the Web site to backup facts and, in some instances, to correct previous interpretations of the topic. By utilizing available technologies and developing our relationship with local communities, we are increasingly treating the sign on the roadside as a starting point for educating and reaching an expanding audience interested in Indiana's history.

- We have been part of collaborative efforts, which have made significant progress in documenting aspects of the diversity in Indiana's history: an American Indian Initiative, an Underground Railroad Initiative (including historical markers), and a Women's History Trail Program—all of which involve state agencies and private-sector organizations as partners.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

- We will complete our evaluation of our current technology resources and needs and create a plan for achieving necessary upgrades/changes—working with Indiana State Library IT staff and IOT.

- We will produce the following major products (excluding historical markers): complete first phase of Indiana’s Constitutional Digital Collection with IUPUI and the Supreme Court; publish a magazine issue on the Delaware Indians; publish the book *Natural Resources and the Great Depression in Martin County and South-Central Indiana* (complete); obtain a portrait of Governor Joseph Kernan for the Governors’ Portraits Collection (complete).

- Since it is our largest program and we have a new coordinator, we are reexamining the Historical Marker Program goals in terms of its impact as a part of Indiana’s tourism efforts, community involvement, media coverage of dedications, as an educational tool in the classroom, and its topical and geographical coverage. We will prepare a “revisioning” statement for use by the IHB, our Marker Advisory Committee, our Board, our organizational partners, and, of course, applicants and community partners.
What is your Annual Budget?
Appropriated funds:
• 1000/107350 – Operating account - $412,678 (includes reserve of $28,220)
• 1000/470130 – Historical Markers - $17,150 (includes reserve of $1,875)
  There are also dedicated funds for historical markers, governors’ portraits, and education/publications.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?
From appropriated funds
  Personnel - $289,637
  Historical Markers - $15,275
  Non-personal services – $3,070
  Services by contract - $2,734

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?
All staff receive salaries (9 positions, 1 vacancy)

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year
The only personal service contract was for the artist to paint Governor Kernan’s portrait - $8,000. We have a contract for copier maintenance ($1,400), a QPA in our name for the purchase of historical markers (est. expenditures $25,000, much of that is donated funds from applicants); a QPA for mailing equipment (est. $364).

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?
We have cut and/or placed into reserve for reversion over the past few years a total of more than 14%. It is expected that 7% will again be placed into reserve from our FY 07 appropriation. Cuts would have to come from a combination of the personnel line and the marker appropriation.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? As would be expected for state employees reporting for duty daily, six live in Indianapolis; one lives in Selma, and one lives in Bloomington.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group
Overall, the most significant opportunities for delivery of services are related to Web technology, which is cost-effective and convenient for a large percentage of customers. Maintaining and increasing collaborative programming and educational services is equally crucial in order for us and our partners in government and the private sector to make best use of resources available and add value for every partner.
  Our recommendations are more fully described in the Program Description and Assessment submitted to the Government Efficiency and Financial Planning Department in August 2005.
Your reasons why this group should be continued
There is no other agency in state government whose mandate and mission is devoted to Indiana history and whose services encompass those of the Indiana Historical Bureau, including, by law, free distribution to Indiana libraries of our publications. Those services are more fully described in the Program Description and Assessment submitted to the Government Efficiency and Financial Planning Department in August 2005.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. The minutes of the Indiana Library and Historical Board have been submitted by our Board president with the Board’s submission.

Pamela J. Bennett, Director
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

pbennett@statelib.lib.in.us
Your email address

www.IN.gov/history
Internet Address or Website

317-232-2988
Daytime Telephone

11/30/05
Date
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: State Ethics Commission

When was this group originated? 1974

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

N/A

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

N/A

When Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Office of the Inspector General

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission?

Our mission is to teach, interpret, enforce and recommend the ethics rules and laws of the State of Indiana.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Complete a new Ethics Code by mid-2006; 2. Educate every state employee and person with a business relationship with the executive branch of the state government about the ethics laws and rules; and

3. Implement the application of the Executive Branch lobbyist rules concerning ethics. How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Revision of the Ethics Code; 2. Involvement in writing admin-

rules for executive branch lobbyist registration; and 3. Keeping state employees in compliance with the State Ethics rules and laws by educating them before they make mistakes of judgement.

What are your three primary goals for this year? ________________________________

Same as previous question.

What is your Annual Budget? Appropriation is $263,412; allotted is $244,969.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1. Salaries; 2. Fringe benefits;

3. Mileage; 4. Subsistence claims by commission members.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 3 full-time; 1 part-time.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. The Ethics Commission held one contract - with Kridan Business Equipment for copier maintenance services, for the term of Oct. 1, 2004 to September 30, 2005.

Total contract value was $1,128, of which $376 was paid in FY 2006 before contract expired on Sept. 30, 2005.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? ________________________________

Reduce staffing.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. Our most effective tool for creating an ethical environment in state government is to encourage state officers and employees to aspire to ethical behavior. Our goal is to do so by education rather than enforcement.
Your reasons why this group should be continued. The implementation and enforcement of the ethics code are continuous processes.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. January 12, 2006 at 10am. 150 Market Street, Suite 414

Clare R. Macchiarini
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

November 29, 2005
Date

MAIL 4 CLARE@AOL.COM

Your email address

ETHICS, IN.GOV

Internet Address or Website

Daytime Telephone
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Meridian Street Preservation Commission (MSPC)

When was this group originated? 1971 by IC 36-7-11.2

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? The Commission is self-administering with the Chair preparing the docket, legal notices, ballots and decision letters. The Treasurer keeps all financial records at the Treasurer's home. The Meridian Street Foundation provides the website and support. The only State support is the Deputy Attorney General who attends our monthly meetings and provides legal guidance.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? The Commission is self-administering with the Chair preparing the docket, legal notices, ballots and decision letters. The Treasurer keeps all financial records at the Treasurer's home. The Meridian Street Foundation provides the website and support. The only State support is the Deputy Attorney General who attends our monthly meetings and provides legal guidance. The Governor makes 7 appointments and the Mayor of Indianapolis appoints 2 commissioners one of which is an employee of the City of Indianapolis who Chairs the Commission. Consequently, office resources, such as copying, long-term record storage and phone service are provided by the City.

What is your Mission? The mission is to preserve and protect a historic, scenic, and aesthetic portion of North Meridian Street and its surrounding area within the City of Indianapolis. The Commission strives to preserve the unique character of this area by limiting and restricting any uses or changes that are unhealthful, unsafe, and unaesthetic. Specifically, IC 36-7-11.2 indicates that MSPC's purpose is "to preserve from deterioration; from improper conceived or implemented change; and for the continued health, safety, enjoyment, and general welfare of the citizens of Indiana, a historic, scenic, esthetically pleasing, and unique part of a street lying within
Indianapolis constituting the backbone of a unique residential area. The general assembly intends by passage of this chapter, to: encourage private efforts to maintain and preserve that part of the street and similar streets and areas in Indiana; promote orderly and proper land usage; and preserve significant tourist attractions of historical and economic value in Indiana by limiting and restricting unhealthful, unsafe, unaesthetic, or other use of unique areas that would be inconsistent with their character as tourist attractions and with the general welfare of the public."

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? Encourage, support and approve historically appropriate development along Meridian Street as quickly as possible. Raise the level of awareness among everyone about the Meridian Street corridor.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? 1. Resolving the Boczar dispute at 4821 N. Meridian Street. For a long time, this infamous house has been in various states of disrepair with unapproved additions which are historically inappropriate, structurally unsound, and poorly designed. Recently the MSPC approved its demolition. 2. On-line applications via the Meridian Street Foundation website: www.meridianstreetfoundation.org which allows property owners the ability to access the applications at their convenience. 3. Started the process of recording a 'Notice of Jurisdiction' on Meridian Street properties in the district. This would provide notice to all new owners at the time of purchasing their home that they are in a historic district.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Finish the process of recording a 'Notice of Jurisdiction' on Meridian Street properties in the district. This would provide notice to all new owners that they are in a historic district at the time of purchasing their home. 2. Improve awareness of the historic district with property owners and realtors. 3. Improve the application and related documents to ease the process for property owners.

What is your Annual Budget? $2,020 which is entirely met by filing fees. No State funds are used.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Recording Secretary services at $900; Registration for Commissioners' attendance at annual Preservation Conference (30% is paid by scholarship) at $480; Legal Notice in the Indianapolis Star at $340; meeting room rental at $300; National Alliance of Preservation membership dues at $80.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. Kathleen Blackham, Recording Secretary services at $900.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? All of our expenses are covered by filing fees. If funds are not available (low number of application filings) then the Conference attendance is paid by the individual Commissioner.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? The MSPC is geographically-specific; therefore, no.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. 1. Timely appointments by the Governor's office when vacancies occur. In the past we've waited for over a year for appointments which makes the possibility of having a quorum more difficult. 2. Continue Commissioner education and training using historic preservation resources locally and nationally.
including workshops and conferences. 3. Have a webpage on the State’s website where applicants can download applications, guidelines, procedures and learn about historic preservation resources. 4. Continue community education efforts, including working with the Meridian Street Foundation.

Your reasons why this group should be continued. The mission and purpose are still relevant, the Commission performs effectively, and it costs the taxpayers nothing.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. All meetings are held on the third Tuesday of the month at 4:00 p.m. at Meridian Street United Methodist Church, 5555 N. Meridian Street, Indianapolis, IN 46208. Our next meeting is December 20th.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

________________________________________ 11-22-05

Date

Ttracy@indygov.org

Your email address

327-5155

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Workers Compensation First Board

When was this group originated?

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission?
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

What is your Annual Budget?

________________________________________________________________________

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

________________________________________________________________________

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

________________________________________________________________________

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Your reasons why this group should be continued

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?  
Excellent ______ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Poor _______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting

________________________________________________________________________

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair ________________________________________________________________________________ Date __________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Your email address ____________________________________________________________________________________________ Daytime Telephone __________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Internet Address or Website ____________________________________________________________________________________
RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION QUESTIONNAIRE
FOR THE WORKER'S COMPENSATION BOARD OF INDIANA

Indiana's worker's compensation laws were first enacted in 1915, and remained virtually unchanged from 1929 until the early nineties. The Worker's Compensation Board of Indiana was previously known as the Industrial Board of Indiana. It was not created by federal legislation, but rather, by state statute and can be found at IC 22-3 et seq.

The Worker's Compensation Board of Indiana (hereinafter referred to as the Board) is headed by the Chairman and consists of six Single Hearing Members and the Chair. In addition to the Board members, there are approximately 30 part-time or full-time staff members reporting to the Chair and her Executive Secretary. The Chairman reports to the Governor.

While the Board has no official mission statement, it is our goal to process documentation concerning work-related injuries and claims of injured employees for benefits in an efficient manner.

Long-range goals for the Board are currently being developed. I spent ten years as a Single Hearing Member, and only filled the position of Chair in the fall of 2005. As such, I have had limited opportunity to evaluate the current procedures of the staff, which handles the majority of worker's compensation issues without intervention of the actual Board members. Major shifts in the procedures used to handle and respond to incoming mail were made in the summer of 2005. The efficacy of these changes is being reviewed, and further changes are projected.

Briefly stated, the top long-term goals for the Board would be: 1. Expansion of the use of electronic filing of forms and notices used by the Board, attorneys practicing before the Board, insurance companies providing worker's compensation coverage, and employers in the State of Indiana. Progress was thwarted when the Board's database was moved to the central IOT system. 2. Creation of a more professional staff, while remaining a small, efficient agency; 3. Creation of a system of specialized workers, with employees cross trained to fill in for other employees.

When I speak to individuals outside the Board regarding the operation of the Board, I often mention the strides it has made to keep pace with the world of electronic media. Employers and insurers can now file First Reports of Injury on line, and attorneys regularly practicing before the Board are informed of hearings and the status of their cases via the Internet. This creates savings in manpower, equipment, space, postage and supplies.
While I cannot specifically relate it to an accomplishment in the past three years, I can also say one strength of the Indiana Worker's Compensation Board is the overall accountability of its Hearing Members in timely considering and deciding contested worker's compensation cases. This helps to more quickly get benefits into the hands of some of the State's most needy citizens.

However, improvement in this area is also one of my goals as Chair. New members have been appointed to the Board, replacing members who previously had difficulty in deciding cases within 60 to 90 days of the hearings.

In the past three years, the Board has developed a system of electronically tracking cases, which process has the intent of preventing cases from "slipping between the cracks." However, our investigation shows this system needs more rigorous monitoring and refinement to adequately meet its goals.

My primary goals for 2006 are to develop job descriptions for each of the positions at the Worker's Compensation Board, to reinstitute performance reviews, and to improve the accuracy of documentation and correspondence from the Board.

The current annual budget of the Worker's Compensation Board is $1,890,657.00. The four largest items in that budget are salaries, IT costs, travel expenses and postage, with salaries accounting for $1,800,788. IT costs, which come with the new system and so the Board could not have forecast, are estimated to be more than $49,000.00. Because the Board is a state agency, it is comprised of employees and has no volunteers.

The sole consultant utilized by the Board is Crowe Chizek. Crowe is an actuarial firm which calculates the recommended funding level of the Second Injury Fund. This service is required under IC 22-3-3-13 (d), and costs approximately $5,000. This cost is paid out of the Second Injury Fund.

Frankly, I do not have suggestions for reducing the Board's expenditures by at least ten percent during the next two years. In my view, most of the employees of the Worker's Compensation Board are underpaid. Several of the Board employees have worked for the Board for more than 15 years, receiving no significant raise during their tenure. Some of these employees provide services which would justify salaries in the private sector of double what they make for the State of Indiana. Other employees of the Board who perform more menial jobs earn wages so low it is difficult to motivate them to better perform their work for the pay they receive.
Under the previous administration, Board members were urged to reduce or completely absolve the State of its responsibility for any of their travel costs. Thus, the budget for travel expenses appropriately attributable to the Board, and thus the State, has been unreasonably low.

The telephone system used by the Board is obsolete and does not allow for identification of callers to the Board. The cost of upgrading this system has not yet been estimated. Our computers are more than nine years old. A few have been rebuilt by our staff expert who is also accountable for our electronic advancements.

While it will not reduce the expenditures of the Board, there are plans to develop within the Board the position of magistrate. These magistrates will handle claims filed by workers unrepresented by attorneys and will also provide mediation services for all contested claims. Hopefully by implementing a fee structure for mediation services and providing for reimbursement of travel expenses associated with mediation, the cost of raising the salaries of three ombudsmen to magistrates will be offset.

The Worker's Compensation Board of Indiana has jurisdiction over all workplace injuries within the state, with limited exceptions. My recommendations for improving the effectiveness of the Board are set out above.

I would rate the performance of the Board as somewhere between Very Good and Good, overall. This rating incorporates both staff, and Board members. I would rate the performance of Board members as a whole as Very Good. It is my belief that the makeup of the Board in light of new appointments made by Governor Daniels, will bring it to a rating of Excellent.

I would state the Board has served the citizens of Indiana in the area of Good to Very Good. I believe this rating can be improved through a system of checking the work of co-employees for accuracy, and training individuals to cover the work of co-employees out on vacation or due to illness. This will ensure that the process is not delayed due to necessary absences.

As an agency of the State of Indiana, the Worker's Compensation Board does not have regular meetings, and thus has no minutes to attach hereto. However, I would be more than willing to speak to you on the phone, or sit down with you in person to address matters discussed herein. I may be reached at lphamilton@verizon.net or (260) 373-0117. My cell phone number is (260) 341-1638.
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Commissioner
Department of Public Health
Name of Commission/Group: Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission.

When was this group initiated? The Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission was established in 1977 by the Indiana General Assembly, at I.C. 12-28-1, in response to a federal mandate.

Was this group created by federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the federal statute? Yes. The Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (PUBLIC LAW 106-402--October 30, 2000) SUBTITLE C--PROTECTION AND ADVOCACY OF INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS SEC. 141 — 145 42 USC 15043 SEC. 143. SYSTEM REQUIRED; 15044 SEC. ADMINISTRATION.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No.

Which Government agency is responsible for administering this group? U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

What is your mission? To protect and promote the rights of individuals with disabilities through empowerment and advocacy.

What are your long range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? IPAS currently administers eight federal grants. For each federal grant, a set of priorities and objectives are developed and reviewed annually. These priorities determine into which disability rights areas manpower and efforts are directed during the federal fiscal year. The IPAS Commission (with advice from the Mental Illness Advisory Council and input from the public) develops a three-year plan, which establishes program priorities and objectives. The plan is reviewed annually and modified as needed. IPAS' top three goals involve working to:
1.) Reduce or eliminate abuse and neglect of individuals with disabilities,
2.) Reduce or eliminate discrimination on the basis of disability and
3.) Educate and train individuals with disabilities and their families to increase their self advocacy skills.
How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years?
Over the course of the past three years IPAS has continued to:
1.) Provide individual advocacy* (approximately 2000 individuals), responded to requests for information (approximately 9700 requests), and trained or educated (approx. 570 presentations reaching over 47,000 individuals) thousands of individuals regarding disabilities and disability rights.

   *IPAS responds to complaints i.e., allegations of abuse/neglect and disability based discrimination. When an allegation is substantiated, IPAS works to ensure that the abuse/neglect no longer occurs and that the individual’s disability rights are intact.

2.) Assure that students with disabilities, whose educational services have been inappropriately reduced or terminated due to suspension or expulsion, receive a free an appropriate public education.

3.) Assure physical, program and service access for individuals with disabilities through compliance with the American’s with Disabilities Act

What are your three primary goals for this year?
IPAS’ top three goals are:
1.) Reduce/eliminate abuse/neglect of individuals with disabilities,
2.) Reduce/eliminate disability based discrimination, and
3.) Educate and train individuals with disabilities and their families to increase their self advocacy skills.

What is your annual budget?
$2,096,851 (100% federal grant funds. IPAS receives no state appropriated funds)

What are the four largest items in your budget?
1.) Personnel (salary)
2.) Fringe benefits
3.) Agreement/fees (contracted services),and
4.) Rent for office space.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?
IPAS Commission receives no salary. IC 12-28-1-9 Sec. 9 The commission members are entitled to per diem and travel expenses for attending commission meetings.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Service Provided</th>
<th>Amount of Contract(s)</th>
<th>Amount Paid Out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Association in Indiana</td>
<td>Residents Rights</td>
<td>$17,195</td>
<td>$9,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>$13,430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County Rehabilitation Services</td>
<td>Space lease</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Grimmitt</td>
<td>Attorney Services</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Services/Activities</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Hendren</td>
<td>Attorney Services</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward F. Napleton</td>
<td>Space lease</td>
<td>$16,564</td>
<td>$16,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revocable Trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Pearce</td>
<td>Client Satisfaction Assessment</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$3,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tippmann Properties</td>
<td>Space lease</td>
<td>$10,074</td>
<td>$10,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Martin</td>
<td>Resident rights training</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,750</td>
<td>$1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirons and Company</td>
<td>Public Information Services</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raintree Programs</td>
<td>Space lease</td>
<td>$5,040</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor House Law Press</td>
<td>Speakers for IPAS Conference</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omni Hotel</td>
<td>Conference facility rent</td>
<td>$7,605</td>
<td>$7,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Baker</td>
<td>Conference speaker</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hingsburger</td>
<td>Conference speaker</td>
<td>$2,075</td>
<td>$2,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trustees of Indiana University</td>
<td>Client satisfaction interviews and report</td>
<td>$12,655</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disability rights critical barriers survey</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Chance Center</td>
<td>Janitorial Services</td>
<td>$3,840</td>
<td>$560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV Works</td>
<td>Space lease</td>
<td>$30,240</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting Nurse Services</td>
<td>Space lease</td>
<td>$387,750</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Relations Alternatives Inc.</td>
<td>Investigations training</td>
<td>$5,630</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next two years?**

Since the agency is 100% federally funded, unspent federal grant funds are returned to the system for reimbursement among other state P&As. Federal statute requires that IPAS be free from any restrictions concerning staff travel, staff hiring, etc. Thus any attempt by the state to impose a control of the agency’s fiscal budget, a reduction, would result in a federal statutory violation by the state.

42 USC 15043 SEC. 143. SYSTEM REQUIRED.

(K) hire and maintain sufficient numbers and types of staff (qualified by training and experience) to carry out such system's functions, except that the State involved shall not apply hiring freezes, reductions in force, prohibitions on travel, or other policies to the staff of the system, to the extent that such policies would impact the staff or functions of the system funded with Federal funds or would prevent the system from carrying out the functions of the system under this subtitle;

Any reductions in expenditures would not result in any savings to the state, but may result in a reduction of advocacy services to the citizens of Indiana.
Does your group represent/serve all areas of the State of Indiana?
Yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?
One way to ensure the continued effectiveness of the Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission is by filling vacancies with individuals that have an interest and willingness to serve individuals with disabilities in accordance with the following federal regulations.
42 USC 15043 SEC. 144. ADMINISTRATION.
(a) GOVERNING BOARD. -In a State in which the system described in section 143 is organized as a private nonprofit entity with a multimember governing board, or a public system with a multimember governing board, such governing board shall be selected according to the policies and procedures of the system, except that-
(1) the governing board shall be composed of members who broadly represent or are knowledgeable about the needs of the individuals served by the system;
   (B) a majority of the members of the board shall be-
     (i) individuals with disabilities, including individuals with developmental disabilities, who are eligible for services, or have received or are receiving services through the system; or
     (ii) parents, family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives of individuals referred to in clause (i); and
   (C) the board may include a representative of the State Council on Developmental Disabilities, the Centers in the State, and the self-advocacy organization described in section 124(c)(4)(A)(ii)(I);
(2) not more than 1 /3 of the members of the governing board may be appointed by the chief executive officer of the State involved, in the case of any State in which such officer has the authority to appoint members of the board;
(3) the membership of the governing board shall be subject to term limits set by the system to ensure rotating membership;
(4) any vacancy in the board shall be filled not later than 60 days after the date on which the vacancy occurs;

Your reasons why this group should be continued.
Unfortunately, individuals with disabilities continue to be subjected to abuse, neglect and discrimination and continue to need independent advocates to promote and protect their disability rights.

Protection and advocacy systems in each State are provided to protect the legal and human rights of individuals with developmental disabilities; to assure that individuals with developmental disabilities and their families participate in the design of and have access to needed community services, individualized supports, and other forms of assistance that promote self-determination, independence, productivity, and integration and inclusion in all facets of community life, through culturally competent programs (42 USC 15001 (b) PURPOSE).

Additional federal funds, which Indiana receives, are dependent on the continued commitment towards the operation of the P&A system e.g., Indiana uses $50,000,000 (federal) Vocational Rehabilitation funds with the condition that the State operates a Client Assistance Program,
which is one of the programs administered by the Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission.

Existence of Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission demonstrates Indiana’s continued commitment to protecting and promoting the rights of individual with disabilities.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at all _____
Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. The next IPAS Commission meeting is February 11, 2006 at 9:30 AM. The meeting will be held in the IPAS conference room, 4701 N. Keystone Ave. Suite 222, Indianapolis, IN 46205.

Kristie M. Carter
Signature of Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

(317) 924-9024
Daytime Telephone #

Kristie Carter @aol.com
Printed name of above signatory

Email Address

www.in.gov/ipas
Agency Website
Government Efficiency Commission
Questionnaire

Name of Commission/Group:
Mental Illness Advisory Council (for the Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission)

When was this group initiated?
The Protection and Advocacy for Individuals with Mental Illness Program was created by The Mental Illness Act of 1986. Regulations in this Act require that the governing authority i.e. the Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission, establish an advisory council.

Was this group created by federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the federal statute?
42USC 10805
Part A--Establishment of Systems
Sec. 10805. System requirements
(a) Authority; independent status; access to facilities and records; advisory council; annual report; grievance procedure...
(6) establish an advisory council--
(A) which will advise the system on policies and priorities to be carried out in protecting and advocating the rights of individuals with mental illness;

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?
No.

Which Government agency is responsible for administering this group?
The Administration for Children and Families, Center for Mental Health Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.
The federal regulations requires the establishment of the advisory council i.e., Mental Illness Advisory Council (advisory to the Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission).

What is your mission?
To protect and promote the rights of individuals with disabilities through empowerment and advocacy. The Mental Illness Advisory Council advocates specifically for individuals with significant mental illness.

What are your long range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?
IPAS/MIAC long range goal is to protect the disability rights of individuals with significant mental illness. The top three goals (recommended by the Mental Illness Advisory Council and adopted by the Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission) are to:
1. Reduce instances of abuse/neglect of individuals with mental illness,
2. Reduce instances of disability based discrimination, and
3. Educate and train individuals with disabilities and their families to increase their self advocacy skills.

Federal Statutory Responsibility (goal) 42 USC 10801(b)

(1) to ensure that the rights of individuals with mental illness are protected; and
(2) to assist States to establish and operate a protection and advocacy system for individuals with mental illness which will--

(A) protect and advocate the rights of such individuals through activities to ensure the enforcement of the Constitution and Federal and State statutes; and
(B) investigate incidents of abuse and neglect of individuals with mental illness if the incidents are reported to the system or if there is probable cause to believe that the incidents occurred.

How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Over the course of the past three years Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services/Protection and Advocacy for Individuals with Mental Illness (through the Protection and Advocacy for Individuals with Mental Illness (PAIMI) has provided individual representation* for approximately 495 individuals with significant mental illness (over 600 requests for services) and responded to over 2800 requests for information about mental health issues affecting the rights of individuals with significant mental illness.

*IPAS responds to complaints i.e., allegations of abuse/neglect and disability based discrimination. When an allegation is substantiated, IPAS works to ensure that the abuse/neglect no longer occurs and that the individual’s disability rights are intact.

IPAS/PAIMI continues to provide Treatment Rights Training to individuals with significant mental illness residing in Indiana’s state operated mental health facilities. During the past three years IPAS has provided this training to approximately 5800 residents and over 1000 staff members. The purpose of the training is to increase the understanding of the treatment rights of individuals residing in state operated facilities.

IPAS/PAIMI served a critical role in the creation of a highly successful Crisis Intervention Team program in the state. The goal of the training is for law enforcement to better identify when they are dealing with individuals with significant mental illness and assist the individual in seeking treatment rather than incarceration. Supporting this important training continues to be a PAIMI objective. To date, with assistance from IPAS (using PAIMI funds) over 600 law enforcement officers have been trained statewide.

As in the past, IPAS/PAIMI continued to receive high consumer satisfaction concerning the both the agency’s cases and informational/referral services. It is the practice of IPAS/PAIMI to survey the consumer at the conclusion of all cases regarding our services. During 2004 the mail survey had a 20% return rate, a 33% increase. Concerning our case work, clients were satisfied with our services and the outcome (79%). Additionally, clients viewed IPAS/PAIMI as prompt (94%), respectful (89%), professional (94%), and knowledgeable (89%). For Informational and Referral services, IPAS/PAIMI conducts a sample survey which a 25% return rate from the 111 sent. The results of this survey continued to give IPAS/PAIMI high marks for our promptness (82%), respectfulness (85%), professionalism (85%), and knowledge (77%).
IPAS/PAIMI has continued to serve a greater percentage 18.7% of people of color than the state’s general population demographics, 13.5%. Fiscal year 2004 marked the fourth consecutive year of increase in percentage of minority clients served.

**What are your three primary goals for this year?**
IPAS/MIAC top three goals are to:
1. Reduce/eliminate abuse/neglect of individuals with significant mental illness
2. Reduce/eliminate discrimination on the basis of disability, and
3. Educate and train to empower individuals with significant mental illness and their families to increase their self advocacy skills.

**What is your annual budget?**
FFY 2005 funding is $570,935 (100% federal grant funds. IPAS receives no state appropriated funds). Formula grants are based on the population of the state.

**What are the four largest items in your budget?**
1.) Personnel (salary)
2.) Personnel (fringe benefits)
3.) Agreement/fees (contracted services)
4.) Rent for office space.

**How many of your group receive a salary from the State?**
MIAC members receive no salary. However, They are reimbursed for travel expenses and subsistence for days in travel status, consistent with state travel rules and 42 CFR Part 51.23.

**Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Service Provided</th>
<th>Amount of Contract(s)</th>
<th>Amount Paid Out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Association in Indiana</td>
<td>Residents Rights Training</td>
<td>$17,195 $13,430</td>
<td>$9,900 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County Rehabilitation Services</td>
<td>Space lease</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Grimmitt</td>
<td>Attorney Services</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Hendren</td>
<td>Attorney Services</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward F. Napleton Revocable Trust</td>
<td>Space lease</td>
<td>$16,564</td>
<td>$16,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Pearce</td>
<td>Client Satisfaction Assessment</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$3,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tippmann Properties</td>
<td>Space lease</td>
<td>$10,074</td>
<td>$10,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Martin</td>
<td>Resident rights training</td>
<td>$3,500 $3,500</td>
<td>$3,500 $1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirons and Company</td>
<td>Public Information Services</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next two years?

Since the Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission and its Mental Illness Advisory Council are totally federally funded, unspent federal grant funds are returned to the system for reimbursement among other state P&As.

Federal statute requires that IPAS be free from any restrictions concerning its financial, staff travel, and staff hiring. Thus any attempt by the state to impose a control of the agency’s fiscal budget, a reduction, would result in a federal statutory violation by the state. Any reductions in expenditures would not result in any savings to the state, but may result in a reduction of advocacy services to the citizens of Indiana.

Does your group represent/serve all areas of the State of Indiana?

Yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?

One way to ensure the continued effectiveness of the the Mental Illness Advisory Council is by the governor continuing to appoint individuals that have an interest and willingness to serve to protect the disability rights of individuals with significant mental illness within the prescribed time i.e., fill vacancies within 60 days of term expiration or resignation notification and in compliance with the regulations.

Your reasons why this group should be continued

Unfortunately, individuals with significant mental illness continue to be subjected to abuse, neglect and discrimination and continue to need independent advocates to promote and protect their disability rights.
The Mental Illness Advisory Council should be continued because federal statute and regulations require that this Advisory Council exist for the State to remain in compliance.

Additionally, the Mental Illness Advisory Council contributes invaluable expertise regarding mental health issues to the Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission in the form of priority and objective recommendations.

Existence of Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Commission and the Mental Illness Advisory Council demonstrates Indiana's continued commitment to protecting and promoting the rights of individual with disabilities.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent____ Very Good____ x ____Good____ Fair_____ Poor_____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?
Excellent____ Very Good____ x ____Good____ Fair_____ Not at all____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.
The next Mental Illness Advisory Council meeting is February 14, 2006. The meeting will be held in the IPAS conference room, 4701 N. Keystone Ave. Suite 222, Indianapolis, IN 46205.

Thomas Gallagher
Signature of Chair or Vice-Chair

317 722 5555 ext 226
Daytime Telephone #

www.in.gov/ipas
Agency Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Medicaid Drug Utilization Review (DUR) Board

When was this group originated? The Board’s first meeting was held in March, 1993.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? Yes, it was created by Federal legislation. The citation is 42 USC 1396r-8(g)(3).

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? Not applicable.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Office of Medicaid Policy and Planning, which is under FSSA.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? See response immediately above.

What is your Mission? To monitor, review and educate physicians, pharmacists, and Medicaid recipients about appropriate utilization and prescribing. To intervene when inappropriate prescribing, utilization, fraud, abuse, or misuse is identified. To publish and distribute information to practitioners regarding appropriate utilization and/or prescribing.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

LONG RANGE GOALS: Reduce/eliminate inappropriate prescribing; Reduce unnecessary/inappropriate utilization; Reduce/control/manage cost when appropriate; Control fraud/abuse/misuse; Educate/intervene for positive medical outcomes; Appropriate choices across provider formularies.

TOP THREE GOALS: Ensuring quality care for recipients of the Medicaid system(s) through continued monitoring/intervention/education to remove inappropriate and encourage appropriate utilization; Monitoring and reviewing formulary decisions to ensure...
adequate care is available to Medicaid recipients, and then disseminating that information to practitioners; Assisting the administration in matters of medical intervention relative to medical outcomes of Medicaid recipients.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) The Preferred Drug List (PDL) – This has been a major undertaking of the DUR Board. It entailed a creation, review and implementation of the PDL. All members of the Traditional Primary Care Case Management (PCCM) as well as Risk Based Managed Care (RBMC) programs are managed under prescription drug formularies. The RBMC arms have their own review body, however, the DUR Board created its own review bodies, known as their Pharmacy & Therapeutics (P&T) Committee. The DUR Board relies upon the the Indiana Medicaid Therapeutics Committee or “T Committee” as their reviewing entity. The PDL has been created, implemented and continues to be evaluated and modified.

2) Education –
   a. Intensified Benefit Management (IBM) Program – Physician targeted intervention to ensure/encourage appropriate prescribing. IBM interventions involved pharmacists calling practitioners about specific targeted drug therapy problems. The IBM pharmacists encouraged practitioners to consider changing targeted recipients’ therapy to a more appropriate drug therapy and discussed various alternatives with practitioners.
   b. Retrospective Drug Utilization Review Program – Educational program to identify and notify prescribers of potential inappropriate prescribing. This program involves letters to prescribers identifying potential drug therapy problem(s) in patient-specific situations. RetroDUR intervention letters may include the patient’s current comprehensive drug history profile, and are reviewed by the DUR Board for accuracy and appropriateness prior to release to the practitioners.
   c. Therapeutic Academic Interventions (TAI) – TAI interventions involved large group meetings with targeted practitioners about drug therapy problems. In addition, TAI pharmacists also conducted face-to-face visits to educate targeted practitioners on specified drug therapy interventions, which were reviewed and approved by the DUR Board.

3) Development and continuation of appropriate review criteria.
   a. Prior Authorization Statistics Review – The DUR Board reviews monthly the totals of Prior Authorizations requested by practitioners in areas such as but not limited to:
      i. Drug Drug Interactions
      ii. Therapeutic Duplication
      iii. Early Refills
      iv. PDL Exceptions
      v. Cost Appropriateness
      vi. Over Utilization
What are your 3 primary goals for this year? They would be those that are enumerated in response to the question “How would you summarize your top three goals?”, please refer to that question as posed on the first page of this questionnaire.

What is your Annual Budget? There is no budget per se for the DUR Board; rather, members are entitled to a modest per diem and mileage.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Please see response to preceding question.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Not applicable, please see information directly above.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. Not applicable, regarding the DUR Board.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The DUR Board does not have expenditures as such, and the only costs associated with the body are for the modest per diem and mileage. The Board is required by State statute (IC 12-15-35-42) to meet monthly, so it would be difficult to curtail Board-related costs without changing statute to allow for less frequent meetings.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? The membership of the Board are aware of medical and pharmacy practices in the various areas of the State. Current Board members are primarily from the central Indiana region, which has allowed for good attendance at Board meetings.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. Members of the DUR Board are practicing physicians, pharmacists and other professionals from a variety of settings. Continuing this diversity is essential to including perspective in all that we review. A major opportunity for effectiveness in prescribing deals with specific classes of medications which are exempted from review. Currently, the DUR board is limited by statute from reviewing specific classifications of medications which comprise a great majority of the prescribing for members of the Medicaid system. Specifically, mental health and related medications encompass a majority of the top 25 medications prescribed for recipients and yet they remain protected from review. Carrying over the successes the DUR Board has accomplished with other classes to the mental health drugs would both serve to ensure appropriate utilization as well as potentially achieve vastly greater cost saving prescribing of mental health pharmaceuticals. The Mental Health Quality Assurance Committee currently provides the potential for the Board to have limited oversight over behavioral health drugs. It would be advantageous for behavioral health drug utilization to come under full DUR Board scrutiny, in order to ensure appropriate utilization of same.
Your reasons why this group should be continued see below.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent x Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent x Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting see attachments.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Your email address

Internet Address or Website

Your daytime telephone

Members of the DUR Board are practicing physicians, pharmacists and other professionals from a variety of settings. Continuing this diversity is essential to including perspective in all that we review. A major opportunity for effectiveness in prescribing deals with specific classes of medications which are exempted from review. Currently, the DUR board is limited by statute from reviewing specific classifications of medications which comprise a great majority of the prescribing for members of the Medicaid system. Specifically, mental health and related medications encompass a majority of the top 25 medications prescribed for recipients and yet they remain protected from review. Carrying over the successes the DUR Board has accomplished with other classes to the mental health drugs would both serve to ensure appropriate utilization as well as potentially achieve vastly greater cost saving prescribing of mental health pharmaceuticals.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: West Central Indiana Economic Development District, Inc.

When was this group originated? Executive Order of the Governor of Indiana on April 29, 1968

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?


Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No sunset date established.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Agency is 501(c) 3 corporation funded by federal/state/local sources.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Agency operates through a thirty six (36) member Board of Directors chosen by local elected officials and economic development boards pursuant to articles of incorporation and bylaws.

What is your Mission? Mission of the agency is to provide economic and community development assistance, transportation planning, and planning and coordination of programs for the aged and disabled of west central Indiana.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? Continue
to provide exceptional planning and operational assistance to west central Indiana

1.) Provide employment opportunities through job creation activities

2.) Continue the development of the S.E. SR 641 bypass corridor

3.) Continue to provide services and assistance to the aged and disabled population of west central Indiana.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Agency efforts resulted in location of 3 new manufacturing facilities in west central Indiana, development of SR 641 bypass, and completion and adoption of Long Range Transportation Plan.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? For year 2006 1.) Stablize funding for agency

2.) Develop funding mechanism for Terre Haute transportation network 3.) Create additional job opportunities for residents of west central Indiana.

What is your Annual Budget? 5,187,426 audited expenses year 2004

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Payroll 1,970,501

contract services 1,883,875, food and related costs 626,819, employee benefits 255,220

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? none

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. Fox Canteen catering 628,144

Bernardin Lochmueller $175,000, Crowe Chizek and Co. LLC 14,000

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2

years? During past year agency has cut 10 full time positions, reduced hours

of six employees, and closed 3 senior dining sites.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Agency is representative of all six counties in west central Indiana as well as representative of Governors Office.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

Agency funding has been reduced by 20%-30%, staff reductions have been made to

conform to funding levels, and senior services have already been reduced to

position agency provide maximum effectiveness within available funding levels.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Agency provides critical services to six member counties of west central Indiana in areas of economic development, transportation, and programs and services to the aged and disabled.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent X Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Poor _______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at All _______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: December Board of Directors meeting December 19, 2005 January 23, 2006, Clabber Girl, Terre Haute, IN

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION
QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Unemployment Insurance Board

When was this group originated? Apx. 1935

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Do to the best of my knowledge - Yes

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Not aware

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Department of Workforce Development

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

J/A

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

assist in providing in the collection of UI monies.
New and better job creation thru various training activities. Provide a well managed UI Trust Fund and support DWP efficiencies thru funding action.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

The UI Trust is solvent. Have provided training and support to a large number of DUAIA workers. Have worked together (the board) regardless of political and/or labor-management affiliations.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

Continue as in the past and accept changes to the better when available.

What is your Annual Budget? __________

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? __________

__________

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None that I am aware.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year ____________________________

None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Gentlemen recommended that if a board member does not attend a regular monthly meeting, they would not claim a stipend.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? For the most part yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group ____________________________

The Board works together very effectively, therefore cannot suggest any changes at this time.
Your reasons why this group should be continued.

1. Training to unemployed and employed workers so to reduce unemployment to provide better skills to meet the present and future demand of current and prospective employers.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Minutes are available at the OWO office, Board Clerk. Next meeting in December 7th and the first Wednesday of subsequent months.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

__________________________

Your email address

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website

EDMUND THAYS
503 GROUSELAND DR

VINCENNES, IN 47591-5134

812/882-1101

812/890-3217
Government Efficiency Commission Questionnaire

1. Name of Commission:

Department of Workforce Development Unemployment Insurance Review Board (Please note this is not the same Board as the Department of Workforce Development Unemployment Board)

2. When was this group originated?

Indiana Code 22-4-17-5 is the present statutory authority creating and governing the Review Board. This statute was originally passed in 1947. The 1947 legislation abolished a predecessor form of the Review Board.

3. Was this group created by Federal Legislation? Is so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

The unemployment program is created by federal law. The Federal-State unemployment compensation program was created by the Social Security Act of 1935. The Department of Labor views this program as a Federal-State partnership based on federal law and administered by the state. It is funded by federal employer taxes.

Title III of the Social Security Act provides for payments from the federal UTF to the states to meet the necessary costs of administering the program in the states. These payments are restricted to states that are in compliance with an unemployment compensation program approved under FUTA and certified by the Secretary of Labor.

4. Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

5. Which government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Department of Workforce Development

6. If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A
7. What is your mission?
The Review Board is a quasi-judicial body whose duty is to ensure that administrative appeals decided by lower authority Administrative Law Judge's comply with the laws of the state and the requirements of due process. The Review Board is the last step of the administrative appeal process before appeal to the Indiana Court of Appeals or to the Supreme Court.

8. What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize these goals?

Our goals are the same as they have been for years.

1. To assure that unemployment compensation is awarded in a manner that is fair to labor and management.
2. To serve the best interests of the citizens of Indiana by protecting the rights of both employers and claimants.
3. To protect the rights and substantive interests of both employers and claimants by carefully reviewing the evidence, by giving careful and due regard to the legalities involved and by providing timely, clear, concise and easily understood judgments in every case.
4. To assist those who are unemployed through no fault of their own and entitled to unemployment compensation.
5. To implement the unemployment compensation policy by the application of reason and law.
6. To assure the fair and impartial award or denial of unemployment insurance through careful deliberation and timely judgment.

9. How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Each year the Review Board reviews thousands of appeals by employers and claimants. Only about one percent of the Review Board's decisions are appealed to the Indiana courts, and, of that number, only a very minute number are reversed or remanded by the courts. Our most important accomplishment is protecting the interests of employers and citizens of Indiana. The Board ensures that legal compliance occurs without expensive litigation thus safeguarding everyone's rights.

10. What are your three primary goals for this year?

(1) Eliminate the Review Board's current backlog that was already greatly reduced in 2005.
(2) Issue prompt, high quality, and just decisions that will serve as guidelines to lower authority Administrative Law Judges, avoid expensive appeals to the courts, and withstand any appeal should it occur.
(3) Adopt and embrace new technologies that contribute to the efficient processing and adjudication of unemployment claims.

11-12. What is your annual budget? What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?
The budget funds for our operation come through federal government and are apportioned through the Department of Workforce Development.
13. How many of your group receives a salary from the State?
All three members of the Review Board are full-time employees who receive a salary from the state.

14. Please list all contractors used within the past 24 months.

NONE.

15. Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

We have already trimmed our budget through open unfilled positions and cutting back on office expenditures.

16. Is your group representative of all the areas of the State of Indiana?
The Review Board is located in Indianapolis. The members reside in Indianapolis or its immediate vicinity. Appeals are received from employers and claimants throughout the state.

17. Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group.
The Review Board is striving constantly to improve its internal efficiency. Better communication with other branches of the Department is the key to improving overall efficiency.

18. Your reasons why this group should be continued.
The Review Board plays a very important part in the appeal process for unemployment claims. When an individual files a claim for unemployment benefits, a Deputy reviews the initial claim and any response from employers. The Deputy issues a decision denying or granting benefits. The Deputy’s decision may be appealed to the Appellate Division, where an Administrative Law Judge will hear and decide the matter. Both parties may appear before the Administrative Law Judge to present evidence. The Administrative Law Judge issues a written decision that may be appealed to the Review Board. The Review Board will usually decide the issue based on the record established at the Administrative Law Judge hearing. The Review Board has the authority, however, to conduct its own hearing, to admit additional evidence, or to remand the matter back to the Appellate Division for further proceedings. The decision of the Review Board can be appealed to the Indiana Court of Appeals, or the Supreme Court. Appeals are rare and seldom successful. Thus, the Review Board serves as an important filter between the administrative process and the judicial process. The Review Board is, for most parties, the final chance to obtain a just result at little or no expense.

The Review Board is comprised of three members whose full time job is to ensure that the agency has provided a fair, impartial hearing and followed the correct precedent in each case. The Review Board’s present caseload is approximately 4500 cases a year. The Review Board is not an advisory panel. It performs a valuable working function reviewing the decisions of approximately twenty Administrative Law Judges, and based on majority vote, affirming, modifying, reversing, or remanding their decisions.
This Review Board step is crucial to the Appeals process. Appeals to the Indiana Court of Appeals and/or the Supreme Court are costly to employers, claimants and the State. On appeal, for example, the Review Board is represented by the Attorney General’s office at State expense. Judicial salaries and overhead are also a State expense. The Review Board is the only administrative agency that provides oversight for all Administrative Law Judges’ decisions. The Review Board functions on Federal monies. Abolishing the Review Board would not only not save the State money, it would increase its expenses.

19. Overall how would you rate the job your Board has accomplished in the last two years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent X Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Poor ___

20. How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?
Excellent X Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Poor ___

21. Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

The Indiana Department of Workforce Development Unemployment Insurance Review Board is not a Board that has quarterly or annual meetings. As previously mentioned, the Board is comprised of full time state employees who consult on cases everyday.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

hlytton@dwd.state.in.us
Your email address

November 29, 2005
Date

317-232-7719
Daytime Telephone

N/A
Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:
Governor’s Council for People with Disabilities is the name of the state agency. The agency has a board of directors and designated staff to carry out the day to day operations of the agency.

When was this group originated?
1970

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?
The Council was created by the following Federal legislation: Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act and Indiana State Statute IC 4-23-29.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Congress has established a time table to review the Federal DD Act via the process of reauthorization every 5 years. The reauthorization establishes whether Congress will continue the DD Act with or without amendments or dissolve the Act altogether. Thus far the federal legislation has been continued for 35 years.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?
The Council is an independent totally federally funded state government agency.
If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The Council is a governmental agency, whose purpose is to administer the federal funds as provided under the DD Act, develop and submit a five year plan in accordance with the tenants described within the DD Act to be approved by Administration on Developmental Disabilities, Health and Human Services. (ADD,HHS) To insure compliance with the DO Act and meet the oversight requirements of the expenditure of funds the ADD, HHS have very specific reporting requirements in place for both programmatic performance and financial status and quarterly federal cash transaction reporting.

The staff generates quarterly activity and financial reports that are submitted to the board. The Council adheres to the policy, procedures as required by the Department of Administration and all other administrative policies as set forth by the administration. The agency undergoes annual audits from the State Board of Accounts. The Council has a board of directors, whose role is defined in the DD Act. The Council Executive Director reports to the Governors office through a liaison. In accordance with the DD Act, The Council’s Board of Directors is appointed by the Governor and evaluates the Executive Director. The Board provides oversight for the agency in accordance with the adopted Governance Policy and the Five Year State Plan.

What is your Mission?

The agency’s mission is “To advance independence, productivity and inclusion of people with disabilities in all aspects of society.”

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The Council is mandated by the DD Act to develop a five year strategic plan. The DD Act sets forth the purpose and the role of the Council. Councils are to engage in advocacy, capacity building and systemic change activities, all of which require long term commitments.

Top three goals

1. People with disabilities have the information, skills, opportunities, and supports to make and implement informed choices and decisions about their lives.
2. People with disabilities get and keep competitive supported employment, with accommodations, supports, and opportunities for advancement.
3. Home and community-based services, supports, and resources are available to meet the needs of people with disabilities and their families.
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

The Fifth Freedom Project is managed by a not for profit private enterprise. It provides training and consultation to local cross disability groups to assist them in taking personal responsibility to accomplish changes necessary to their full inclusion in community life. Fifth Freedom trains people from different disability groups at the local level to network and unify their efforts to accomplish change without major confrontations or lawsuits. It also maintains a statewide communications network for participants in the program. The following are some of the results.

The Delaware county local coalition worked with the Mayor’s office to establish a Mayor’s Advisory Council on Disabilities. They also worked with the Delaware County Clerk to establish an advisory board in compliance with HAVA.

The Wayne County local coalition achieved establishment of a Riders Advisory Council for city transit and placement of 2 people with disabilities on the transportation board. They convinced the city to set up a time schedule for improving curb cuts. They also hosted a housing workshop.

The Hancock County local coalition worked with businesses and the city of Greenfield to increase access parking and accessibility of businesses. A local access guide was published. They hosted a Legislative Dialogue and co-sponsored a disability awareness rally with 499 in attendance.

The Fort Wayne local coalition convinced the housing authority to create an action plan for correcting safety and access related problems in its facilities.

The emerging Marion County group received training and hosted a Legislative Dialogue. They also worked with a local legislator to keep a consumer in their own home who was ready to be moved to a group home by a service provider.
**Count Us IN** is a Council initiative to engage people with disabilities in the electoral process. Count Us IN was solicited by the Secretary of State’s Office to spearhead the surveying of all 92 Counties polling sites in compliance with the HAVA State plan to insure access. The Council coordinated the recruitment, training of 100’s of volunteers consisting of people with disabilities working with local county commissioners and county clerks. The coordination entailed formulating partnerships at every level of government and private sector support. Partners in this endeavor included Protection and Advocacy Services, Yellow Cab, Women’s Prison, ADA Indiana, Circle Office Supplies, YMCA and many others. As a result of this monumental effort 3,629 polling places were surveyed. Findings of the survey have been published in *Special Report, How Accessible are Indiana’s Polling Places?* The survey provides a baseline of 3,629 polling places and outlines specific access issue which will need to be addressed in order to comply with HAVA. The survey is being used by The Secretary of State, County Commissioners and County Clerks in partnership with people with disabilities and the aging population to assist in assuring all polling places are accessible.

**Self Advocates of Indiana (SAI)** is a statewide organization operated by and for people with developmental disabilities. The mission of self-advocates is to speak out and educate for equal rights respect and inclusion in the community. Through support from the Council, the Arc of Indiana and the Institute for the Study of Disability and Community, SAI has grown from a small group of leaders in 1999 to being established as a non-profit organization with 35 local self-advocate organizations and elected regional representatives. The Council continues to provide a grant to support SAI in their efforts to provide leadership for people with developmental/cognitive disabilities, to educate people in the community about equal respect and understanding and to partner with state government and other stakeholders to create a system of supports and services that offers quality of life for people while being financially responsible.

**What are your 3 primary goals for this year?**

The goals are long term in nature and will span over a five year period.
What is your Annual Budget?

The agency receives approximately 1.5 million dollars from the federal government under the auspices of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act. The council has been successful in competing for other federal grants and developing collaborative partnerships in order to supplement the federal allotment. No state funds are allocated to the Council, nor is it envisioned that state funds will be sought through the General Assembly.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

Grants, and Other Activities in the State Plan Priority Area:

Personnel (Administrative plus Priority Area Activities)

Office Rent and other Operating Costs (combined)

Board meeting and business related activities
How many of your group receive a salary from the State?
None, all salaries are derived from the federal funds.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

Council Projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Awareness</td>
<td>Borshoff, Johnson, Matthews</td>
<td>$242,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Investment Fund</td>
<td>Mental Health Association</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth Freedom</td>
<td>Alliance for Community Inclusion</td>
<td>193,920.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Plan</td>
<td>Directed in house with various components</td>
<td>78,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count Us IN</td>
<td>Directed in house with various components</td>
<td>135,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners in Policymaking</td>
<td>Directed in house with various components</td>
<td>142,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Wide Disability Convention</td>
<td>Sandy Kite Hunt, Conference Coordination and Management Service (various components)</td>
<td>73,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self Advocates of Indiana</td>
<td>Arc of Indiana</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

The Council is 100% federally funded.

The Council is compelled to work within the budget allocated by the federal government. The Council has set up safe guards to insure the maximum use of these dollars and has been prudent in its expenditures. Federal law requires that monies which are not liquidated be returned to the federal government. It would not be wise or prudent for the Council to return these funds. It is the Council's policy to insure that all funds received under the DD Act are used to benefit Hoosiers with Developmental Disabilities and are not returned to Washington.

The Council has been diligent in searching out and applying for other grants to supplement the Council's core budget of 1.5 million per year.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?
Yes, the DD Act sets forth a framework that encompasses a statewide perspective. Board membership represents various geographic regions of the state. Projects and activities initiated by the Council have statewide implications.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

To continue to successfully compete for grants and develop partnerships with the private sector in achieving the overall mission of the Council.

Your reasons as to why this group should be continued?

If the Council were to cease existence, the state would forfeit the federal dollars allocated to the Council under the federal DD Act. Additionally, other federal funds that are designated to sister agencies under the DD Act would also be in jeopardy.

The agency is 100% federally funded. As a condition to receiving the federal funds the Council must be in existence and able to comply with the mandates of the DD Act without interference.

How would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Please refer to the enclosed documents A Quarter Century of Achieving Results for Hoosiers with Disabilities

Excellent x Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair ____________________________ Date November 30, 2005

Email Address [detienne@ccoa.org] _____________ Daytime Telephone (317) 803-6610

Internet Address or Website ____________________________
Government Efficiency Commission

Questionnaire

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Governor’s Commission for a Drug Free Indiana

When was this group originated? 1989

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Criminal Justice Institute

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? To reduce the incidence and prevalence of substance abuse, addictions and other behavioral health problems among adults and children in Indiana.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? The Governor’s Commission for a Drug Free Indiana’s, “purpose is to improve the coordination of alcohol and other drug abuse efforts at both the state and local levels in an effort to eliminate duplication of efforts. “ With this in mind, the Commission is focused on developing a strategic plan to identify long term objectives, (4 years), and short term goals, (6 months- one year), to achieve the statutory requirements. The Commission’s long term goals include:

1. The ability to identify alcohol and other drug trends throughout the state of Indiana.
2. Identify the top alcohol and other drug related problems throughout the state of Indiana.
3. Identify the programs and services that most effectively address alcohol, tobacco and other drug use and abuse in the areas of prevention, treatment and criminal justice.
4. Assist the local communities in funding services and programs that most effectively address substance and abuse and use by providing technical support and assistance, as well as other areas of professional consultation.
5. Establish documented roles, responsibilities and performance standards for the local coordinating councils in an effort to assist them in achieving their goals identified through the comprehensive community plans.
The top three goals:
1. The local coordinating councils will have documented success in reducing the use and abuse of alcohol and other drugs.
2. Through community collaboration, evidence-based programs will become more prevalent throughout the state.
3. The state will increase the amount of federal funding available and increase the amount of funding available to the local coordinating councils by educating the judicial system regarding the purpose of the community drug free funds.

How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years? The following accomplishments have occurred within the last 6 months.
1. The budget to operate the program at the state level has been reduced by increasing efficiency and accountability. The number of community consultants was reduced from 22 to 16 in fiscal year 2005.
2. The reporting requirements for the community consultants have been revised to include both quantitative and qualitative information for purposes of measuring the success and effectiveness of the community consultants and local coordinating councils.
3. The commission and staff are engaged in maintaining and ensuring statutory compliance, which has not previously occurred.

What are your three primary goals for this year?
1. Begin planning process for a strategic plan
2. Develop written performance standards for local coordinating councils
3. Database development

What is your annual budget? $1,645,892.31

What are the four largest items in your budget? Contractors payments, staff payroll, rent & phone, postage

How many of your group receives a salary from the State? 2 full time staff and one shared staff person at half salary

Please list all paid consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Advisor</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Wiers</td>
<td>$54,500</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Whitfield-Hydul</td>
<td>$54,500</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Smith</td>
<td>$54,500</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Linkel</td>
<td>$54,500</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Wilson-Sickafoose</td>
<td>$60,500</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Bushore</td>
<td>$60,500</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Timothy Retherford $60,500 $62,000
8. Monica Greer $60,000
9. Maggie Lewis-London $60,000
10. Rebecca Smith $60,000
11. Ruthann Walton $54,500 $60,000
12. Linda Montgomery $54,500 $62,000
13. Jacqueline McComb $54,500 $60,000
14. Terry Cohen $54,500 $62,000
15. Sandra Rucker $54,500 $60,000
16. Terri Wedding $60,500 $62,000
17. Geoff Downie $60,500
18. Denise Post $54,500
19. Deborah Bowsher $54,500
20. Grace Arvin $54,500
21. Dawn Wordsworth-Wade $60,500
22. Cheryl Laffon $60,500
23. Steven King $60,500
24. Bob Snyder $72,000
25. Gail Dobbs $54,500
26. Larry Long $60,500

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next two years?
Based on the limited amount of time with the commission, and efficiencies that have occurred over the last six months, it may be possible to further reduce the number of community consultants from 16 to 12 for fiscal year 2006, this would be a potential savings of $240,000. Additionally, our office is in the process of making all required documents web-based. This would potentially allow for postage savings.

Is your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?
Yes, through the efforts of the 92 local coordinating councils, each county has a comprehensive community plan that covers all ages in the areas of prevention, treatment and criminal justice as it relates to substance abuse and use.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group
Historically, the commission did not meet monthly as required by statute. Since August, 2005, the commission has begun meeting monthly and recently formed sub-committees that will also meet monthly. The monthly meetings allow for greater collaboration and the ability to move forward more aggressively. The standards of reporting, both by the community consultants and the local coordinating councils, have been revised. These changes now allow us to measure the success of our efforts. We must continue our efforts to increase collaboration both on the state and local levels as it relates to alcohol and other drug use and abuse. Through data base development and obtaining statistical data to support our efforts, the state will have the opportunity to apply for additional federal funding opportunities that may not have previously been available.
By making information available on the internet, coalitions and individuals around the state will be able to view programs that are funded in communities that may be replicated in other areas of the state.

**Your reasons why this group should be continued**
The commission is responsible for coordinating, statewide, all alcohol and other drug related prevention, intervention and criminal justice efforts. Included in this, is the responsibility to coordinate data collection concerning alcohol and other drug abuse, recommend to the Governor and general assembly long and short range goals to reduce drug abuse and assist local communities in the development of citizen based drug related efforts. There is no other agency, board or commission charged with these responsibilities. Substance abuse and use affects every aspect of Hoosier lives. It destroys families, increases our health care costs, burdens our economy and strains the justice system. Through continuing, collaborative efforts at both the state and local level, we will be able to continue our efforts to combat the menace that threatens the quality of life for all Hoosiers.

**Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last two years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?**
Fair

**How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?**
Fair

**Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.**
Your reasons why this group should be continued

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent_______ Very Good_______ Good_______ Fair_____ Poor_______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent_______ Very Good_______ Good_______ Fair_____ Not at All_______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting  

December 19, 2005, 10:00 AM - 2:00 PM, 9th Floor Conference Room, One North Capitol  

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair  

Date  

Nov. 17, 2005  

Your email address  

jvanarx@ArchChizer.com  

Daytime Telephone  

(317) 201 - 8755  

INTERNET ADDRESS OR WEBSITE  

WWW.CJI.IN.GOV
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

**Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:** Advisory Council for the Division of Disability, Aging, and Rehabilitative Services

**When was this group originated?** 12-9-94

**Was this group created by Federal legislation?** If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

**No**

**Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation?** If so, when is that date?

**No**

**Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?** FSSA - Division of Disability & Rehabilitative Services

**If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?**

**What is your Mission?** The DOARS Advisory Council exists to support and advise DOARS small issues relating to protection and service delivery for individuals with disabilities, older adults, and their families. The DOARS Advisory Council will carry out their mission by: promoting best practices; gaining input from internal and external stakeholders; and advising on public policy issues.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

That individuals with disabilities, older adults, and their families, have opportunities that reflect their untapped value and endure capacity to grow and prosper as equal and contributing members within their communities.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

This group only started meeting in Aug. 2004. Establishing the group membership and developing the vision, values, and mission statement has been the most important accomplishment.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

0. Advise on the implementation of the Coers Annual Plan waiver change.
1. Advise on implementation of uniform assessment tool.
2. Provide stakeholder input on all COERS activities.

What is your Annual Budget? $0

What are the 4 largest items in your budget? $0

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? $0

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. No

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? No budget

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? To improve effectiveness this group should be more focused on developing pro-active policy input on division goals, objectives, projects. Should function more actively as a work group.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: This group should continue as the main point of stakeholder input for the Division. This is the primary advisory group or council. If OAERS - other smaller groups with OAERS should be rolled into this group.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: January 17, 2006 1:00-3:00 pm ICES W451A conference room.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair ___________________________ Date ________________

Your email address ___________________________ Daytime Telephone ________________

Internet Address or Website ___________________________
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Community Residential Facilities Council (CRFC)

When was this group originated? Approximately 1992.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Division of Disability, Aging and Rehabilitative Services (DARS), now known as Division of Disability and Rehabilitative Services (DDRS). If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Members are Governor appointed.

What is your Mission? There's no stated mission. There are statutory mandates. It's 12-28-5.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Goals are to fulfill requirements in the statute.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) per statute, licensed supervised group living facilities (group homes)
2) approved providers of supported living services.
3) began revising rules/Indiana Administrative Code.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1) continue to meet the statutory mandates
2) complete revisions of rules/Indiana Administrative Code.

What is your Annual Budget? Approximately $13,000

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Travel reimbursement, per diem to non-state members, Xerox cost, mailing.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None. There are state employees who serve on the council per the statute, and provide services to the council = 7 people.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The budget represents the minimum necessary to meet the requirements of the statute.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes, to the best of my knowledge.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: Reduce duplication of other agencies' services currently being performed, i.e., Indiana State Dept. of Health, Bureau of Quality Improvement and Bureau of Developmental Disabilities Services.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Required by statute, may provide an extra layer of oversight.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Signed by ___________________________ 11-29-05
Chair or Vice-Chair  Date

Juman Bruce @ fssa.in.gov  332-7820
Your email address  Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:

CHOICE Board

(CHOICE is an acronym which stands for "Community and Home Options to Institutional Care for the Elderly and Persons with Disabilities.")

When was this group originated?

Approximately 1987-1992

(CHOICE program was piloted at several AAAs in 1987, board development not clear between 1987-1992)

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No, state statute: See IC 12-10-11-8 and SB 615

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No sunset date; this board is ongoing and meets six times per year per statute

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

FSSA/DDARS/Bureau of Aging and In-Home Services (Indiana Division of Aging) per IC 12-10-11-7

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?
Staffing requirement per IC 12-10-11-7 ("The division shall provide staff services for the board")

What is your Mission?

The CHOICE Board structure and duties are described for them by IC 12-10-11 and SB 615 and include rule reviewing authority.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The board, with new leadership, is taking the initiative to identify goals consistent with SEA 493 and the Aging Reform Agenda.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

None known except in the last few months have taken the initiative to develop new leadership and revitalization with relation to SB 493 and SB 615. As a result the board has reviewed proposed rule 460 1.1

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

As described above.

What is your Annual Budget?

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

Division staffers—usually one program director I and a clerical staff person

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

None

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

Expenses are already limited to per diem and travel.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

It is a public board and does have a generalized statewide representation; however, many board members are from central or southern Indiana.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

1. Work closely together with new board leadership to identify specific goals, objectives, and time frames.

2. Pay a flat rate for per diem per meeting—like $25.00 to $40.00 range, which would cover round-trip gas from about anywhere in the state and a cafeteria meal.
Your reasons why this group should be continued

Statutory requirement, but really no other reason. Their functions may be somewhat duplicative of the Indiana Commission on Aging, and the FSSA Rule committee.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

FAIR

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

FAIR

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting:

Tuesday, January 10, 2006, 1:30 pm –3:30 pm IGC-S, Conference Center- Rooms 1 & 2

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Your email address

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Blind and Visually Impaired Services

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Advisory Council

When was this group originated? February 24, 1977

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

N/A

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?
Indiana Family and Social Services Administration/Division of Disability and Rehabilitative Services/Blind and Visually Impaired Services

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission? The purpose of the council is to provide advice and counsel to the Director of Blind & Visually Impaired Services in designing and providing services to people who are blind and visually impaired.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?
- Assure that comprehensive services are delivered to citizens of Indiana who are blind and visually impaired.
- Support the policies and procedures of Blind and Visually Impaired Services.
- Provide input to the administration as a five year strategic plan is developed for Blind and Visually Impaired Services.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?
- Established a closer working relationship with Vocational Rehabilitation to try and decrease the 70% unemployment rate for persons who are blind and visually impaired.
- Participated in focus groups to identify the needs of individuals who are blind and visually impaired in Indiana.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Provide input into the privatization of Bosma Rehabilitation Center, the privatization of the Adaptive Technology Lab and providing continued support for the mission of Blind and Visually Impaired Services.

What is your Annual Budget? N/A

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? N/A

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.
N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? N/A

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group.
Re-evaluate current members and realign the membership to meet future goals.
Your reasons why this group should be continued with the changes taking place within Blind & Visually Impaired Services and the closer working relationship with Vocational Rehabilitation, it is imperative that the Council provide input, guidance and support as the agency strives to reduce the unemployment rate for individuals who are blind and visually impaired.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

*Due to the previous administration, the involvement of the Council was limited.

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: January 25, 2006 at the IGC-S in Indianapolis.

Ronald Brown
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

rb15@iquest.net
Your email address

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementia

When was this group originated? 1987

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Only State Statute - I.C. 12-10-5-2, 12-10-5-5, 12-10-5-3(b)(b)

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No sunset date

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

INDIANA DIVISION OF DISABILITY, AGING, AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES (DARS)

AUDITOR THE INDIANA DIVISION OF AGING

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission? The Taskforce is to:

1) Identify areas of concern for the Division of Aging
2) Compile available research in the area of Alzheimer's Disease or related dementia
3) Recommend services to the Division to meet the needs of Alzheimer's Disease, including caregivers and families
4) Recommend the development of training materials by the Division for persons who care for Alzheimer's patients and caregivers
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

- List of Goals Attached
- Top 3:
  1. Create effective communication among stakeholders
  2. Support development of community-based options to ensure continuum of care availability
  3. Provide effective caregiver education for families and professionals

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

- We completed several projects that were funded through mini-grants on a variety of ways to best practices for caregivers and providers.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

- Complete website
- Finalize resource guides/caregiver guides
- Develop training materials for distribution

What is your Annual Budget?

- $0

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

- N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?

- 5

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

- We had a mini-grant given to us by the Indiana Aging for just under $90K. We dispersed the money to 8 different contractors. (Please see minutes for Feb of pg. 3 for list)

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

- N/A

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

- Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?

- To build more networks to get best practices out in the community; to better improve our website for ease of access of information
Your reasons why this group should be continued: **Alzheimer's Disease has devastating effects and will impact increasing numbers of persons as the population ages.**

The Task Force will be able to provide up-to-date information and resources to caregivers, providers, and family members affected by this disease.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ☑ Very Good ________ Good ________ Fair ________ Poor ________

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ☑ Very Good ________ Good ________ Fair ________ Not at All ________

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: **Dec 19th, 2005 1:00-2:30 P.M. In Conv Center West W451**

**Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair:**

_Judy Kratzer_

**Date:** 11/25/05

**Your email address:** jkratzer@and.lib.in.us

**Daytime Telephone:** 217-354-3582

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Veterans Affairs Commission

When was this group originated? March 3, 1945

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?
No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?
No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?
Indiana Department of Veterans Affairs

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?
N/A

What is your Mission?
Supervise and control the Indiana Department of Veterans Affairs
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 to administer the Department.

Advise the State Service Officers in problems concerning the welfare of veterans.

Determine general administrative policies within the Department.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Establishment & funding of the IN Veterans Memorial Cemetery
2. Better testing methods for training of County Veterans Service Officers
3. Dedication of the IN IRAQI Freedom Memorial Wall

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

What is your Annual Budget? -0-

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 1 - The Secretary

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

None

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? N/A

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? No

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group None
Your reasons why this group should be continued: This group is an excellent buffer between the veterans and the Department and the Administration; a deciding voice for disagreements.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Signed by Chair or Vice Chair: ___________________________ Date: 12-8-05

Your email address: Have 313 0 5bcg@com.net 317-377-1795
Daytime Telephone: ___________________________

Internet Address or Website: ___________________________
Government Efficiency Commission Questionnaire: Responses of the Indiana Library and Historical Board

Name of Board: Indiana Library and Historical Board.

When Originated: By legislative act in 1925 (IC 4-23-7-1).

Was this group created by federal legislation? No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? None.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? We have no staff. Our minimal clerical needs, mainly publishing agendas and typing minutes, are met by state library staff. IC 4-23-7-2.1b authorizes us to designate an executive secretary, but we have not recently done so. We report to the governor through one of his assistants.

What is your mission? IC 4-23-7 sets our duties. They include the following elements:
We are charged with hiring and reviewing the performance of the state librarian and the bureau director (IC 4-23.7.1-37a, IC 4-23-7.2-16). To that end, we conduct annual reviews. When there is a vacancy, we conduct the search and appoint the director. Other statutory duties include:
"The board may prepare plans subject to the approval of the governor and advise with the proper officials in the construction of alterations to the building and provide necessary equipment and furnishings."
"The board may receive and administer any state or federal aid which may become available for the improvement and development of library and historical services in Indiana."
"The library and historical board shall formulate rules and regulations for the care, management, and expansion of the library and historical department so that the department and its several divisions may at all times be operated according to the most approved standards of library and historical service."
Various statutes have incorporated within this certification of public librarians and promulgation of rules to be observed by public libraries receiving state and federal funds.

As we oversee two separate departments, the Indiana Historical Bureau and the Indiana State Library, we are also mindful of their separate missions and our responsibility for seeing that they are carried out. That of the Bureau is: "The Indiana Historical Bureau provides publications, programs, and other opportunities for Indiana citizens of all ages to learn and teach about the history of their communities, the state of Indiana, and their relationships to the nation..."
and the world." The state library's is: ""Our vision is to ensure that state government, other libraries and all residents of Indiana have access to whatever information and services they need today to help them plan for tomorrow."

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? Again, it has appeared appropriate for us to recognize that while the state library and the bureau are closely, and properly, connected in myriad ways, they have separate goals. Those we have set for the library are:

1) developing and providing library services to state government, its branches, its departments and its officials and employees,
2) providing for the individual citizens of the state those specialized services not generally appropriate, economical or available in other libraries of the state,
3) encouraging and supporting the development of the library profession, and
4) strengthening services to all types of publicly-supported special, school, academic and public libraries.

Specific goals stated were to:

a) increase information access for state government and its employees,
b) increase information access for historians and residents interested in Indiana history
c) provide service to genealogy and family history audiences,
d) provide library services for the blind and physically handicapped,
e) provide service to those using federal government and census data, and
f) increase support for technology development in Indiana libraries.

Those for the historical bureau are:

The Bureau Director, with the concurrence of the Indiana Library and Historical Board, will plan and obtain funding for all operations and programs, seeking to establish partnerships that will enhance content, use of existing resources, and funding of programs and operations through combinations of services and appropriated, earned, and donated funds.

The Bureau will carry out its mandated responsibilities through the following programs:

• a professional publications program, which provides on a regular basis documentary and other works of a scholarly nature that can serve as resources for the study and understanding of the history and culture of the state;

• an educational program, which reaches the broadest possible audience but emphasizes service to the in-school population of teachers and students, providing a variety of program opportunities and materials that encourages the use and exploration of state and local history and cultural resources;

• a limited outreach program, which encourages interest and activities in local history and culture among the adult population, especially supporting the efforts of other statewide public and private agencies which deal more directly with the needs of local historical and cultural organizations.

Our top three long-range goals will be:
1) Fulfiling our duties under statute as indicated above, particularly review and oversight of the directors.
2) Working to maintain adequate funding for library and state historical activities, recognizing that at least some of these may be attractive to private donors and thus could be budget-relieving.
3) To work toward rationalizing the relationship, in particular, among the state library, public libraries, and the Indiana Cooperative Library Services Authority (INCOLSA).

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?  We answer this as the accomplishments of this board, not of the state library or the bureau. The three main accomplishments of the ILHB since November 2002 have been:
1) Conducting the national search for a new state librarian and the hiring of the search committee’s unanimous first choice, Barbara Maxwell.
2) Oversight of the major renovation project in the state library building that has both restored its 1930s grandeur and made it functional in an age of wireless technology.
3) Review and approval of a series of policies for the better and more efficient operation of the state library and the historical bureau. Copies will be supplied on request.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
As a board, our top three goals for the coming year will be:
1) To carry out effectively and efficiently all of our duties as mandated by Indiana statute, particularly review of the directors, oversight of certification, oversight of the INCOLSA budget, promulgation of rules, and accountability in general, as should be the function of any oversight board.
2) To consider ways that both the state library and the bureau may raise private funds through grants and donations that could support appropriate acquisitions, conservation and preservation, and other projects.
3) To further the collaborative relationship among the state library, INCOLSA, the Indiana Library Federation, and other library entities in Indiana, and to explore potentially productive partnerships beyond traditional library partners, especially those that have the potential to produce cost savings.

What is your annual budget? We have no budget.

How many of your group receive a salary from the state? None. The two members who live outside Indianapolis receive mileage for attendance at meetings.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year? We have not used such persons and have undertaken no such contracts.
Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next two years? As noted above, we have no budget. Our expenditures are limited to mileage (usually less than a total of $300 annually) and the cost of duplicating and mailing board materials. If necessary, the two board members receiving mileage would be willing to forego it.

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana? Three of our members live in Indianapolis, one in Spiceland, and one in Bloomington. We have had members from Rochester and Evansville in recent years. Our statute provides that only one member is directly appointed by the governor. The others are recommended by the Indiana Historical Society, the Indiana Library Federation, the Indiana Library Trustees Association, and the Indiana Department of Education. Geographic representation is thus not always possible.

Our recommendations for improving the effectiveness of this group. Until about five years ago, this board had a reputation for passivity, allowing itself to be dominated by the long-time state librarian. The membership has changed significantly since 1997, with only one member who has served for more than ten years. We have become more assertive in setting policies and reviewing recommendations.

We all agree that we would benefit from more regular communication with the governor's office. All members of the board are appointed by the governor, and want to be sensitive to his concerns and vision of a new direction for the state. At the same time, the ILHB statute also envisioned that all but one of us be recommended to the governor by third parties with significant stakes in the state's public libraries and historical activities. There will always be some tension in those dual roles, and we want to be sensitive to both sides.

Reasons why this group should be continued. With the exception of an extraordinary interlude in the 1930s, the ILHB has functioned to keep the state library and the historical bureau free from partisan politics. The 1925 statute provided that the directors would be hired by the board to further that nonpartisan mission. That insulation has provided for continuity, professionalism, and, in particular, morale levels that have compared well with the rest of state government.

The ILHB, moreover, simply does work that some entity or organization has to do. Librarians have to be certified, regulations mandated by state legislation have to be promulgated, federal funds distributed. Unless the state is willing to let the state librarian make all of these decisions, some sort of oversight board is necessary. As currently constituted by the 1925 statute, the ILHB will always be a body knowledgeable about the areas it oversees and sensitive to the concerns of Hoosiers working in those areas.

Overall rating for the past Two Years in following mission and meeting goals: Excellent

How well has this group served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent.
Copies of minutes of the meetings of June 22, August 5, and October 16 are attached. Our next meeting will be held Friday, December 16, at 9:30 A.M. at the Indiana State Library.

Respectfully submitted, Nov. 30, 2005

[Signature]

Thomas D. Hamm
President
Indiana Library and Historical Board
tomh@earlham.edu
765-983-1511
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee Indiana Retirement Home Guaranty Fund

Board of Directors

When was this group originated? 1983; same year IC 23-2-4 was enacted

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Securities Division of the Indiana Secretary of State's office

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission? to administer the Indiana Retirement Home Guaranty Fund

See attached: 1) IC 23-2-4 Supervision of Continuing Care Contracts

2) Plan of Operation - Indiana Retirement Home Guaranty Fund Board of Directors
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

In the event an Indiana registered CCRC (*) would experience financial failure, the Board would be responsible for determining the amount of entrance fee refunds to be made from the Fund to all eligible residents in that facility. Fortunately, the Fund has not been utilized to make refunds since created. The Fund's balance at March 31, 2005 was $2,841,715.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

The Board of Directors exists to ensure the fair and equitable distribution of Guaranty Fund payments in the event a CCRC becomes bankrupt and terminates.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

No specific goals other than as above.

What is your Annual Budget? No budget. Any expenses (i.e. Securities Division on-site audits of CCRCs) are paid from Securities Division funds.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

None

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

None

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

Although the Board's travel expenses plus one meal per year are allowed, no expenses have been claimed in recent years.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

The Board operates without expense to taxpayers and would provide a significant contribution in the event of a CCRC failure.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: **To protect the financial interests of residents living at Indiana CCRCs.**

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent XX Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent XX Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: April 2006 at the Office of Secretary of State, Securities Division

302 West Washington Street, Room E-111
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: Michael J. Erlar

Date: 11/17/05

Email address: merler@bkdl.com

Daytime Telephone: (260) 460-4005

Internet Address or Website: www.bkdl.com
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, CO-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Northwest Indiana Advisory Board

When was this group originated? Set up in 1993

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, What is the citation of the Federal statute?

No, State Statute (citation IC 13-13-6)

Does this group have sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? IDEM

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? In accordance with IC 13-13-6-5:

The board shall monitor permit applications; disseminate information & material to the public; make recommendations to the department and boards; and assist any department regional offices that affects counties described in IC 13-13-6-1.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?  

Pursuant to ID 13-13-6-5, the NWIAB is set up to monitor permit applications, disseminate information & materials to the public; make recommendations to board & help out in counties. See dismissal order.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?  

Formation, settlement of lawsuits & consistent meetings

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?  

See order on Partial Agreed Judgment

What is your Annual Budget? Board members are entitled to per diem IC 13-13-6-3.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receives a salary from the State? I don't think anyone does.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? N/A

Is your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? No, see IC 13-13-6-1

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group
Your reasons why this group should be continued to avoid lawsuits from local environmentalists sec 1C-13-13-6

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ______ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Poor _______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent ______ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at all _______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting has not met for 2½ years.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

bsimmons@idem.in.gov

Your email address

RECEIVED

NOV 28 2005

Indiana Department of Environmental Management - Northwest Regional Office
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:
   A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
   B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.
We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Division of Mental and Addiction Advisory Council

When was this group originated? The DMHA Advisory Council was established by the Indiana General Assembly pursuant to P.L. 2-1992, SEC.15 and amended by P.L. 215-2001, SEC.58 (IC 12-21-4).

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? Yes, January 1, 2008, pursuant to IC 12-8-2-12.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? The Family and Social Services Administration, Division of Mental Health and Addiction

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? NA

What is your Mission? To advise the Division of Mental Health and Addiction regarding the provision of public mental health and addiction services
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1) To provide outcomes data to measure and evaluate mental health and addiction services
2) To assist in the implementation of the Mental Health Transformation Plan
3) To support consumer focused mental health and addiction programs

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) Developed and implemented a mental health disaster preparedness program for Indiana
2) Approved the federal block grant plan for Indiana
3) Conducted an actuarial to identify the prevalence of mental health and addiction service needs in Indiana

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1) To advise the Division and FSSA on the issue of mental health managed care
2) To advise the Division and FSSA on the issue of Localization of State Hospitals
3) To develop the blueprint for the Mental Health Transformation Plan

What is your Annual Budget? $8,184, assuming full attendance at all meetings

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1) $50 honorarium per member per meeting attended. 2) Mileage to attend meetings for members who attend from outside Marion County.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? One. Per IC 12-21-4-3, the Director of the Division of Mental Health and Addiction serves as a member of the Council.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? 1) Eliminate honorarium 2) Reduce monthly meetings to bimonthly or quarterly meetings

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana? Several representatives from statewide organizations serve on the Council.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: The Council would benefit from timely replacements of vacant or inactive positions.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: The Council advises the Division providing practical expertise and perspective from mental health and addiction stakeholders.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent____XX____Very Good____Good____Fair____Poor____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent____XX____Very Good____Good____Fair____Not at All____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings {attached}, plus the date and location of your next meeting: December 1, 2005, Indiana Government Center South

[Signature]

Date

Stephen C. McCaffrey, Chair

smccaffrey@mentalhealthassociation.com

(317) 638-3501 x222

www.mentalhealthassociation.com
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

Bi-State Authority

Lawrenceville-Vincennes Municipal Airport

When was this group originated? June 14, 1964

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

NA

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Senate Bill No. 444 of the 93rd General Assembly March 16, 1963, Creation of Airport Authorities

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Governor of Indiana/Illinois, Appointees City of Lawrenceville, Vincennes City Appointees

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Governor Appointment-One from State of Indiana, One from State of Illinois

City of Vincennes- 3 each Appointed Members

City of Lawrenceville- 3 each Appointed Members

"Annual Report To Each City"

What is your Mission? To develop, operate, and maintain the facilities of the Airport for the Aeronautical Uses
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Airport Terminal Expansion

2. Runway Lengthening

3. Air Cargo Development

Fund request in 2007 for Terminal Expansion Foundation Established to Help Fund Runway and Cargo Expansion

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Examples of Economic Impact of Current Operation and By Airport Improvements and Accessibility

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Runway/Taxiway Weight Bearing Test, Initiate FEMA Flood Studies of Airport, and Area Promotion of Airport 20 year Master Plan

What is your Annual Budget? $839,669.49

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Payroll, Aviation Fuel, New Terminal Development, Insurance

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. 1) Hanson Professional Services, Inc., Illinois Project No: LWV-3322, Overlay Apron Area, Total Proj: $317,600.00, Paid To Engineer for Project, $29,200. Airport Payment per Project $8,840.00/2004 2) AMEREN CIPS, Illinois Project: LWV-3505, Obstrction Removal/Bury Power Lines, Contract Price $35,946.33 Paid 10/19/2005 (continued at bottom of page)

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Insurance Reform in areas of Worker Compensation, Airport and Public Official Liability Current Cost $46,170.00, Wastewater Discharge Permit Current Cost $7,500.00

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Vincennes, IN

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group and Goals of the Airport Authority thus Improving Local Support

(Continued from Above) 3) Hanson Professional Services, Inc., Industrial Park Feasibility Study-FEMA Study, Contract Price $140,000, Airport Share $40,000 of the above amount.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Loss of the Bi-State Authority would mean Loss of Vincennes, Indiana Airport, Vincennes University Flight Department, Developmental Input, and Local Aviation Needs.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: Tuesday, December 13, 2005, at Montana Mike's in Vincennes, Indiana at 6:00 p.m.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: ____________________________

Date: 11/16/05

Email address: midamericanaircenter@directway.com

Daytime Telephone: 618-943-5733

Internet Address or Website: midamericanaircenter.com
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Controlled Substances Advisory Comm.

When was this group originated? ca 1971

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

no

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

no

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? 1) Advise/consult w/ Pharmacy Board re controlled substances; 2) Oversee the process for assigning, denying, suspending or revoking controlled substances registrations (CSR); 3) Make rules as necessary for the efficient and legal prescribing, dispensing and manufacture of controlled substances in Indiana.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Achieve full implementation of the electronic monitoring system for all schedules of controlled substances.
2. Improve the efficiency of our system for processing and approving new and renewal applications for CSRs.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. CSAC promoted the addition of Schedules III, IV, and V to the electronic monitoring system for controlled substances.
2. CSAC promoted the successful campaign to provide sufficient funding for expansion of the electronic monitoring program.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Maintain diligent oversight of the issuance of CSRs; finish implementation of expanded monitoring program.

What is your Annual Budget? NA

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? NA

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 13

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

NA

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? There’s nothing to cut.

Is your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

Increase the per diem, which hasn’t changed in my 20-year tenure on the CSAC.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: 1) **Indiana law mandates the CSAC's existence**; 2) CSAC serves the public interest in Indiana; 3) CSAC provides the essential linkage for effective state and federal oversight of controlled substances.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent [ ] Very Good [ ] Good [ ] Fair [ ] Poor [ ]

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent [ ] Very Good [ ] Good [ ] Fair [ ] Not at All [ ]

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: **January 27, 2006, Indiana Govt Ctr, Conf Room W064**

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: ___________________________

Date: 11/23/05

Your email address: willisl@iupui.edu

Daytime Telephone: 317-274-1562

Internet Address or Website: ___________________________
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

When was this group originated? 1955

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No only State Statute - IC 12-10-2-2, 12-10-23, 12-10-2-3(2), 12-10-7

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

None Known

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Division of Aging (A.K.A. Bureau of Aging + in-Home Services)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission? Encourage discussion and study of the problems of older adults. The Commission assists the Division of Aging in the development of a comprehensive plan to meet the needs of older adults.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? 1) To assist The Indiana Division of Aging with the implementation of the Aging Reform Agenda. 2) Provide the Division with policy guidance and act as Ambassadors for the Division. 3) Review plans & reports and make recommendations to the Division of effects of older Hoosiers to make information more accessible. How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) Provided Division with information regarding older Hoosier needs. 2) Allowed/encouraged vast array of subject matter experts to educate members on latest research trends and provided information back to Division. 3) Visited various agencies serving seniors & discussed issues concerning state access to resources.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1) Implementation of Aging Reform Agenda. 2) Policy guidance & suggestions. 3) Review plans & reports & make recommendations.

What is your Annual Budget? Ø

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Ø

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Ø

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. N/A

-----------------------------------

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? N/A

-----------------------------------

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. Having a new Chair has allowed for greater access to information and a greater pro-active stance from the Commission. The new Aging Reform Agenda has given a roadmap to providing greater services to older Hoosiers.
Your reasons why this group should be continued to assist the division in implementing the aging reform agenda and assist in policy making guidance and increasing visibility in the community at large.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent [ ] Very Good [ ] Good [ ] Fair [ ] Poor [ ]

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent [ ] Very Good [ ] Good [ ] Fair [ ] Not at All [ ]

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: Dec. 7th, 7:00 a.m., Marriott Downtown (you confer aging).

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: [Signature] 11/28/05

Date: [Date]

Your email address: gbonset@infonline.net 317-281-3982

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: SCDC Advisory Committee

When was this group originated? 1974

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? IN Department of Health, represented by the Agency Director

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? To advise on all aspects of the Silvercrest program.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Per statute for Advisory Committees.

Advise on budget formulation and implementation.

Advise on fundraising

Advise on program services

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Advise on all aspects of the program.

What is your Annual Budget? N/A

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? A $50.00 stipend per person per quarterly meeting.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Reduce stipend by 10%.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group Increase communications with Governor's Office (reporting).
Your reasons why this group should be continued. **It is good to have a cross section group of concerned people as a soundboard for input on planning and implementation of program operation.**

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting December 13, 2005 Administration Building Conference Room @10:00 a.m. EST

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair __________________________ Date __________________________

Your email address __________________________ Daytime Telephone __________________________

Internet Address or Website __________________________
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Domestic Violence Prevention and Treatment Council

When was this group originated? July 1, 1980

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

FSSA-Division of Family Resources-Housing & Community Services Section

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Staffing - Housing and Community Services Domestic Violence Specialist, and Section Manager

Reporting - DPR Management

What is your Mission? -SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTATION-
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? (1) Continue to fund, coordinate, monitor, review, and evaluate programs providing domestic violence prevention and treatment programs. (2) Research and identify needed programs, services, and issues affecting services. (3) Develop a uniform reporting procedure for law enforcement agencies.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? Accomplishments: (1) Council activated in room and quickly brought up to date with DVPT funds. (2) Monitoring activities of providers and state staff holding all accountable. (3) Establish Emergency Resource fund.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? (1) Funding for providers (2) Conduct statewide needs assessment (3) Establishing Emergency Resources

What is your Annual Budget? Expenses are minor and are paid from the DVPT fund.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Perdiem and travel for Council members.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 0

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year 0

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Expenses are already minimal.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group The effectiveness of this group will continue to improve as the Council members continue to identify needs and work on domestic violence issues.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: To continue oversight of the
Domestic Violence Prevention and Treatment Fund.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in
the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?
Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your
next meeting.

__________________________
Dottie L. Davis
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

12/12/05
Date

__________________________
Dottie625@comcast.net
Your email address

260-427-1411
Daytime Telephone

N/A
Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Occupational Safety Standards Commission

When was this group originated? 1971

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No. Acts 1971 P.L. 356 Section 1. Also 22-8-1.1-7

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Department of Labor quasi administers this commission.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

The Governor appoints members of this commission.

What is your Mission? To establish standards for occupational safety as required by Federal OSHA.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

This group has not had a quorum since March 2005.

Active meetings are a priority of the Department of Labor for 2006.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

See above.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? See above.

What is your Annual Budget? -0-

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? See above.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? None.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group Department of Labor will be communicating by mail, telephone and e-mail to encourage member participation in 2006.
Your reasons why this group should be continued should be continued. This group is required to exist as part of Federal OSHA funding for Department of Labor. It is also required by state statute.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting March, 2006 (date to be determined) 402 W. Washington St., Rm. W195, Indianapolis, IN

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: 12/21/2005

cnalley@im.gov

Daytime Telephone 317-232-2691
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regard to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelop or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee – Indiana Board of Accountancy

When was this group originated? – March 9, 1915

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal Statue? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which government Agency or Department is responsible for administering the group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? – N/A

What is your Mission? - The mission of the Indiana Board of Accountancy is to carry out the duties and responsibilities as listed in Indiana Code 25-2.1.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? The long-range goals are to continue monitoring the licensure of CPAs, to continue oversight of the CPA examination and its effectiveness, to enhance the enforcement of the law on those who violate the rules and to protect the public’s interest.
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) In 2002 the Board formulated the Substantial Equivalency rules, which became effective on July 30, 2003. This process is an effective, efficient and quick means of obtaining permission to practice in Indiana if the person is not a resident of Indiana and holds an unrestricted, active CPA license in another state. These rules are in effort to help prevent unlicensed practice in Indiana and further protecting the consumer.

2) On January 26, 2004 extensive rule changes became effective to facilitate the computerization of the CPA examination. The computerized examination is now offered 6 days a week within 4 different designated windows verses the previous paper and pencil examination scheduled twice a year.

3) On November 11, 2004 the Quality Review rule became effective, which requires all accountancy firms to undergo a quality review of their services before the renewal of their firm permit to practice accountancy. This rule is designed to educate and assist firms in becoming more knowledgeable about possible flaws in the way they conduct business, thus the consumer is given an additional level of protection.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1) This year the Board will conduct its triennial audits of continuing professional education to assure member compliance.

2) This year the Board will complete implementation of the Quality Review rule.

3) The Board will monitor ethics rules and suggest possible changes to better protect the public.

What is your Annual Budget? - An individual budget cannot be determined. IPLA’s budget is 5.8 million.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1) Salaries/Fringe Benefits 2) Contract Services 3) Other services, i.e., postage, court reporters, telephones 4) In-State travel for board members and staff.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? – None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. – N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The Board’s only direct expenditure is travel expenses to attend monthly board meetings and to reduce these meetings would delay the issuance of licenses and consideration of applicants to sit for the CPA examination. It could also affect any enforcement action the Board needs to consider.
Does your representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? – No. Members are from Clinton, South Bend, Anderson, Columbus and two from Indianapolis.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. The Board is reliant upon the appointment of members who are committed to attend all meetings and have a solid understanding of the statute and rules. It would greatly enhance the Board’s effectiveness if it had a budget to hire its own enforcement staff rather than to be required to rely upon the Attorney General’s staff who must cover several boards. Currently Indiana is one of only seven states without this option.

Your reasons why this group should be continued. The Board is essential to the issuance of CPA certificates and licensure, to monitor the CPA examination to strengthen the ethics rules and enforcement to protect the public.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting our stated goals? Excellent X Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. The next Indiana Board of Accountancy meeting will be held on December 16, 2005 at 10:00 a.m. in the Indiana Government Center South, Conference Room 1.

See electronic signature attached
Larry E. Nunn, CPA
Chairman
Indiana Board of Accountancy

Board’s email – pla11@pla.in.gov
My Email – lnunn@nunncpas.com

Your email address

Board’s Website – www.pla.in.gov

Internet Address or Website
The Board is reliant upon the appointment of members who are committed to attend all meetings and have a solid understanding of the statute and rules. It would greatly enhance the Board’s effectiveness if it had a budget to hire its own enforcement staff rather than to be required to rely upon the Attorney General's staff who must cover several boards. Currently Indiana is one of only seven states without this option.

Your reasons why this group should be continued.
The Board is essential to the issuance of CPA certificates and licensure, to monitor the CPA examination and to strengthen the ethics rules and enforcement to protect the public.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting our stated goals? Excellent X Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. The next Indiana Board of Accountancy meeting will be held on December 16 at 10:00 a.m. in the Indiana Government Center South, Conference Room 1.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regard to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelop or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee – Indiana Auctioneer Commission

When was this group originated? – 1977

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal Statute? - No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which government Agency or Department is responsible for administering the group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? – N/A

What is your Mission? - The mission of the Indiana Auctioneer Commission to carry out the duties and responsibilities as listed in Indiana Code 25-6.1.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1) Continue enforcing the auctioneer statute and rules to protect the safety and welfare of the citizens of Indiana.
2) Continue to improve the quality of the auctioneer exam.
3) To start developing rules for distance learning continuing education.
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) The Commission studied and revised the auctioneer examination to ensure that the quality of the exam was being maintained.
2) Increased the licensure period from a two (2) year period to a four (4) year period.
3) Issued Cease and Desist orders to several pork dealers that were conducting auctions in Indiana without an Indiana auction company license. These dealers immediately sought licensure and thus prevented the continued unlicensed practice in Indiana.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1) To finalize the distance learning continuing education rules.
2) To actively seek a consumer member appointment to the Commission; a seat that has been vacant for two (2) years. This position is vital to the Commission in offering a perspective from the consumer standpoint.
3) To ensure the dealers in the Cattlemen Association hold an Indiana auction company license and encourage the use of Indiana auctioneers by this association.

What is your Annual Budget? - An individual budget cannot be determined. IPLA’s budget is 5.8 million.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1) Salaries/Fringe Benefits 2) Contract Services 3) Other services, i.e., postage, court reporters, telephones 4) In-State travel for commission members and staff.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? – None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. – N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The only direct expenditure the Commission has is the travel expense of the board members to and from the meetings. A couple of years ago the Commission reduced their meeting dates by half, currently only meeting 6 times a year. This number may be reduced further if the Commission determines that the reduction will not jeopardize the commission’s mission.

Does your representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? – No

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. Give the Commission more authority over auctioneers that defraud consumers.
Your reasons why this group should be continued. Auctioneers deal with and handle hundreds of thousands of dollars of consumer monies, as well as, merchandise. There must be some oversight and regulation to ensure the licensee is in compliance with the statute and that the consumer has some means of protection.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting our stated goals? Excellent X Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. The next Indiana Auctioneer Commission meeting will be on December 20, 2005 at 9:00 a.m in the Indiana Government Center South, Room W064.

(Mr. Yagle is out of town and not available for signature. The signature page will be forwarded later)

_________________________       _______________________
Jimmie Yagle, Chairman            Date
Indiana Auctioneer Commission

Commission – pla11@pla.in.gov

_________________________
Your email address

Commission – www.pla.in.gov

_________________________
Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board or Committee State Board of Barber Examiners

When was this group originated? In the early 1930’s

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? N/A

What is your Mission? The mission of the State Board of Barber Examiners is to oversee that the licensure laws and regulations as stated in the enclosed state statute are carried out, as well as protecting the consumers health & safety, prevent spreading of disease and the sues of harmful chemicals.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

To safeguard the consumer on safety and health in the barber industry. Oversee that laws and regulations regarding sterilization standards and new products, chemicals that could potentially harm the consumer. To educate licensees and student with updated continuing education requirement. To further pursue and stop unlicensed unethical and harmful practice at the place of occurrence and to follow up on consumer complaints received. We constantly work very hard on enforcing our barber laws encourage and
help to improve continuing education. To make it a smooth transition for a person to become a licensed barber and to continue to work on rule amendments to improve sanitary and education requirements on going improvement on safety and health issued. To improve communication between the board and the licensed barbers on our state.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) We have been working on rule amendments in the past year to improve sanitary and education requirements.
2) We have produced a comprehensive state law examination that includes current statutes and rules.
3) The board had been operating without the fourth member for several years without delay in service to the licensees and consumers. The board was always able to meet the quorum requirement pursuant to the statute even though the board was operating with only three members.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
1) To improve the inspection of barbershops and barber schools by our compliance officers.
2) To improve the level of education that the barber schools provide.
3) To look for ways and means to protect the consumer in health and safety matters and to meet the challenges we face.

What is your Annual Budget? An individual budget cannot be determined for the State Board of Cosmetology Examiners. The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency’s budget is 5.8 million.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Since the budget is for the entire agency, the following are the largest items for the agency.
1) Salaries, fringe benefits and board member per diem
2) Contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, Administrative Law Judges contract payments
3) Other services such as postage, court reporters, telephones
4) In-state travel reimbursement for board members and staff

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Zero

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? We are shorthanded now on field compliance. We are operating now on very thin expenditures. We meet six times a year (bi-monthly). We could look at a fee increase for examinations held. The time difference for those who travel on hundred fifty miles or more could be helped if meetings were held later in the day so that board
members would not have to stay overnight at a hotel. The board has not been attending national seminars for several years to save money.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Our group represents all areas of the state, north central and south. There is no geographical requirement for board member appointment.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. To oversee that all persons working in barbershops are licensed as well as the barbershop.

Your reasons why this group should be continued. To continue to work on preventing the spread of disease, safeguarding the consumer in matters of safety and health and welfare. There is a need for regulations for those engaged in this profession.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Our next meeting is scheduled for December 19, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. in the conference center, room #6, Indiana Government Center South.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Daytime Telephone

Your email address

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regard to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelop or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee - State Boxing Commission

When was this group originated? – 1931

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal Statue? - No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which government Agency or Department is responsible for administering the group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? – N/A

What is your Mission? - The mission of the State Boxing Commission is to carry out the duties and responsibilities as listed in Indiana Code 25-9.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1) To continue to protect and ensure the integrity of the sport.
2) Ensure the quality and increase the number of boxing events.
3) To make Indiana a recognized leader in the sport of Boxing.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?
1) The Commission formulated rules that requires licensees to submit to testing for the use of prohibited drugs, which became effective on October 2, 2004.
2) Legislation passed in July of 2005 which repealed the requirement for promoters to be residents of Indiana. This allows out of state promoters to seek a license in Indiana, thus bringing additional revenue into the State of Indiana.
3) The Commission worked with the Legislative Study Committee to formulate a resolution to band tough man contests, which will further protect the citizens of Indiana.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1) Trying to obtain a heavy weight fight with Indiana native Layman Brewster.
2) Continue to work with the American Boxing Commission (ABC) to formulate federal laws that would create uniform boxing laws for all states.
3) To work with promoters that are currently sponsoring boxing events in Indiana to increase the quality of the boxing events and overall promotion of the sport, thus increasing revenue for the State of Indiana.

What is your Annual Budget? - An individual budget cannot be determined. IPLA’s budget is 5.8 million.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1) Salaries/Fringe Benefits 2) Contract Services 3) Other services, i.e., postage, court reporters, telephones 4) In-State travel for board members and staff.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? – None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. – N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Indiana has the lowest license fees of all the states that regulate the boxing profession. Even though the Commission does not have a specific budget, an increase in the license fees would bring in more money to the State’s general fund.

Does your representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? – No

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. The Commission believes that it is currently working very effectively to ensure that quality boxing events are sponsored in Indiana and will continue to closely review each fight permit to ensure the integrity of the sport is protected.
Your reasons why this group should be continued.

Boxing is clearly a sport that has a tremendous fan base in Indiana. There is a boxing event at least twice a month in Indiana. It is important that the sport has some oversight and regulation to ensure the safety of the contestants and spectators.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting our stated goals? Excellent ☑ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ☑ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. **The next State Boxing Commission meeting will be approximately the week of January 16, 2006.**

Patrick Terrell, Chairman
State Boxing Commission

Commission – pla11@pla.in.gov
My Email – pat@winstonterrell.com

Your email address

Commission – www.pla.in.gov

Internet Address or Website

11/30/05

Date

(317) 917-1953

Daytime Telephone
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board or Committee  State Board of Cosmetology Examiners

When was this group originated? In the early 1960's

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? N/A

What is your Mission? The mission of the State Board of Cosmetology Examiners is to carry out the duties and responsibilities as listed in the enabling statute as well as safeguarding the public from potential hazards and injury from the use of harmful chemicals and the spread of disease.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1) To further safeguard the public by promulgating rules to keep up with the industry regarding sterilization, standards, and new products that could potentially harm the consumer.
2) To further educate licensees with updated continuing education requirements.
3) To stop unlicensed, unethical, and harmful practice at the point of occurrence rather than the current consumer complaint process.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) We have improved the quality of continuing education offered.
2) We have continued to improve the examination process to enable license applicants to become licensed more quickly so they may make a living and support their families.
3) We have been working on major rule amendments in the past year to improve sanitary and education requirements.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1) To increase fees to make electronic examinations possible to enable applicants to become licensed more easily and quickly.
2) Improve the examination content to further safeguard the public.
3) Improve the level of education the cosmetology schools provide.

What is your Annual Budget? An individual budget cannot be determined for the State Board of Cosmetology Examiners. The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency’s budget is 5.8 million.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Since the budget is for the entire agency, the following are the largest items for the agency.

1) Salaries, fringe benefits and board member per diem
2) Contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, Administrative Law Judges contract payments
3) Other services such as postage, court reporters, telephones
4) In-state travel reimbursement for board members and staff

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Zero

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Outsourcing the examinations will save money due to not having to pay proctors per diem, and travel expenses along with room rental for the examination itself. The board will be decreasing the amount of board meetings per year from 11 to 9.
Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? All but the northern portion. There is no geographical requirement for board member appointment.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. Allowing the board and its staff to close salons that are not properly licensed.

Your reasons why this group should be continued. To continue to safeguard the public.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ Very Good ___ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? ___ Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting 11-28-05 16 CS, Room 064

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Daytime Telephone

www.PLAIN.gov

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Optometric Legend Drug Prescription Advisory Committee

When was this group originated? 1991

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Health Professions Bureau

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?


What is your Mission?

Establish and maintain a formulary for Optometry

Approve CE

Set certification guidelines
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

- Manage Formulary
- Approve CE
- Certification

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

- Maintain a stable formulary
- Reduce CE hours to 20

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Same as listed above

What is your Annual Budget? N/A

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? N/A

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? N/A

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: None responsibilities of this committee could be transferred to the Indiana Optometry Board. The profession has utilized pharmaceuticals under this statute since 1991 without reported problems. Recognizing this the job should be able to manage the formulary and other responsibilities.
Your reasons why this group should be continued:  It should not. The job could assume these responsibilities.  

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? 
Excellent / Very Good / Good / Fair / Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent / Very Good / Good / Fair / Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: Feb. 15, 2006 IN. Gov. Center South.

Dated: 1/30/05
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: tomorrow@cm.net.com
Your email address: 260-925-1916
Daytime Telephone: auburncare.net
Internet Address or Website:

I will send the copies of the minutes enclosed.
Name of Commission, Board or Committee: **Indiana Real Estate Appraiser Licensure and Certification Board**

When was this group originated? **1990.**

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal Statute? **This Board is required to meet the guidelines set forth in Title XI (12 USC 3331 sec. 1101 – 12 USC 3351 sec. 1123).**

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? **This Board does not have a sunset date.**

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? **The Indiana Professional Licensing agency is responsible for administering this group.**

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? **n/a.**

What is your mission? **The mission of the Indiana Real Estate Appraiser Licensure and Certification Board is to provide consumer protection to the general public, provide licensure services to real estate professionals, and enforce licensure laws and rules.**

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? **Our long-range goals consist of providing effective consumer protection, complying with the 2008 federal guideline changes, enforcement of licensure laws and regulations, and creating an environment to continue the growth of the real estate industry in Indiana.**

**Our top three goals consist of the following:**

1. **Consumer protection:**
2. **Create rules to allow for growth of the real estate industry:**
3. **Complying and transition to the 2008 Appraiser Qualification Board changes.**

How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years.

1. **The development of an Investigative Fund for increased enforcement of and State compliance with Title XI.**
2. **Increased on-line services. Licensees are now encouraged to complete their licensure renewals on-line.**
3. **Increased number of licensees in this profession.**

What are your three primary goals for this year?

1. **Consumer protection:**
2. Adopt rules to comply with 2008 Appraisal Qualification Board mandated changes.
3. Expand technology services for licensees.

What is your Annual Budget? The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency will respond as an entire agency to this question.

What are the four largest items in your Budget? The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency will respond as an entire agency to this question.

How many of your group receives a salary from the State? Board members do not receive a salary from the State of Indiana. The staff of the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency is the only salaried parties affiliated with this Board.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency will respond as an entire agency to this question.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next two years?

1. IPLA should obtain a copy machine that allows for the scanning and e-mailing of agenda packets. This will allow IPLA to save on shipping and printing costs.

2. The Board will evaluate the number of times they must meet during the course of the year. Reducing the number of meetings will allow the Board to save on the travel expenditures for members.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?

The effectiveness of the group can be improved by creating greater access to government, increasing on-line services, and making the necessary rule changes to remove old and bureaucratic language that hinders effective consumer protection and economic development.

Your reasons why this group should be continued

This group should be continued due to the federal requirements for states to regulate the practice of real-estate appraisals.

Overall, how would you rate the job of your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last two years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent
How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent

The next meeting will be held on January 12, 2006 in the Indiana Government Center South, Room W072.
Name of Commission, Board or Committee: *Indiana Real Estate Commission*

When was this group originated? *1979.*

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal Statute? *This Board was not created by federal statute.*

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? *This Board does not have a sunset date.*

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? *The Indiana Professional Licensing agency is responsible for administering this group.*

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? *n/a.*

What is your mission? *The mission of the Indiana Real Estate Commission is to provide consumer protection to the general public, provide licensure services to real estate professionals, and enforce licensure laws and rules.*

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? *Our long-range goals consist of providing effective consumer protection, enforcement of licensure laws and regulations, and creating an environment to continue the growth the real estate industry in Indiana.*

*Our top three goals consist of the following: 1. Create rules to allow for growth of the real estate industry; 2. Consumer protection; 3. Explore growth in entering into reciprocal licensure agreements with other states.*

How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years.

1. Recent approval of a rule change to allow for distance education. *This rule change will now give licensees the ability to meet education requirements via their home computer, rather than requiring individuals to physically attend a classroom setting.*

2. Increased on-line services. *Licensees are now encouraged to complete their licensure renewals on-line.*

3. Increased number of licensees in this profession.

What are your three primary goals for this year? *1. Consumer protection; 2. Increase the number of reciprocal agreements; 3. Expand technology services for licensees.*
What is your Annual Budget? The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency will respond as an entire agency to this question.

What are the four largest items in your Budget? The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency will respond as an entire agency to this question.

How many of your group receives a salary from the State? Board members do not receive a salary from the State of Indiana. The staff of the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency is the only salaried parties affiliated with this Board.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency will respond as an entire agency to this question.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next two years?

1. IPLA should obtain a copy machine that allows for the scanning and e-mailing of agenda packets. This will allow IPLA to save on shipping and printing costs.

2. The Commission should evaluate the number of times they must meet during the course of the year. Reducing the number of meetings will allow the Commission to save on the travel expenditures for members.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes. Statute requires that each Congressional District be represented.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? The effectiveness of the group can be improved by creating greater access to government, increasing online services, and making the necessary rule changes to remove old and bureaucratic language that hinders effective consumer protection and economic development.
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

When was this group originated?

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission?
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? 

See #8

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

See #9

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 

See #10

What is your Annual Budget? 

See #11

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 

See #12

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 

See #13 + #14

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

See #15

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

See #16

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? 

See #17

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

See #18
Your reasons why this group should be continued: See #19

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent ✓ Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ✓ Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: See attached minutes: December 6, 2005

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

[Signature]

Date

11/22/05

Your email address

grayae_dwcft.com

Daytime Telephone

765-448-8195

Internet Address or Website

www.in.gov/pla1bанде/ls1паб
November 29, 2005

James K. Baker, Chairman
Indiana Government Efficiency Commission
200 W. Washington Street
Statehouse, Room 206
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Appendix A

1. Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Board

2. 1973

3. No

4. No

5. Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

6. N/A

7. Our Board is based within the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA) and uses the mission statement of that agency. The IPLA mission statement is “To provide efficient and effective administrative support services to Indiana's professional licensing boards and commissions in order to facilitate the delivery of competent consumer services by regulated professionals to the citizens of Indiana. To provide an expedient licensing process for regulated professionals by maintaining a climate that fosters the growth of commerce while ensuring the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of our great state.”

If given the opportunity to provide a mission statement it would read as follows:

To effectively fulfill the charge of the legislature by safeguarding the public health, safety and welfare by issuing licenses, conducting meetings, adopting rules, and conducting hearings in order to assure the availability of the highest possible quality speech-language pathology and audiology services to a person with a communication disability in the State of Indiana.

8. Our long range goals are as follows:

   a. Continue our efforts to adequately regulate the profession according to the duties and responsibilities listed with our enabling statute.
b. The continued efficient processing of applications; and

c. Continue to ensure the competency of speech-language pathologist and audiologist by inviting students to regularly scheduled Board meetings and by giving presentations relating to the Board's role as a regulatory body at local universities and professional organizations.

9. Our three most important accomplishments include:

   a. Disciplining practitioners in violation of licensure laws and regulations.
   
   b. Improved efficiency by delegating additional authority to Board staff.
   
   c. Writing rules to align the professional practicing in the State of Indiana with the national standard.

10. Our three primary goals for this year include:

    a. Updating our current rules,
    
    b. Continuing to process applications in a timely and efficient manner; and
    
    c. Informing the public of the rules and regulations governing the profession by giving presentations at local universities and professional organizations involving the Board's role as a regulatory body.

11. The individual budget of the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Board cannot be determined. The budget of IPLA is $5,808,388.00. IPLA will be following up in greater detail regarding the annual budget.

12. The four largest items in the IPLA budget include the following points:

    a. Point 1: $4,307,807.00 which covers salaries, fringe benefits and board member per diem.
    
    b. Point 2: $605,848.00 which covers contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, ALJ contract payments)
    
    c. Point 3: $571,408.00 which covers other services (postage, court reporters, telephones)
d. Point 4: Point 8, $177,700.00 which covers in-state travel reimbursement (board members and staff)

13. There are currently 92 full time employees that receive a salary from the State working within IPLA.

14. Zero

15. N/A

16. Reduce the number of yearly meeting dates.

17. Yes

18. Fill the current board vacancies that exist to allow for better productivity.

19. The Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Board should be continued to allow for consumer protection and the continued regulation of the growing number of speech-language pathologists and audiologists within the State of Indiana.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

When was this group originated?

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission?
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?  

See #8

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?  

See #9

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?  

See #10

What is your Annual Budget?  

See #11

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?  

See #12 + #13

How many of your group receive a salary from the State?  

See #14

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.  

See #15

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?  

See #16

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?  

See #17

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group  

See #18
Your reasons why this group should be continued: See Appendix A.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent [ ] Very Good [ ] Good [ ] Fair [ ] Poor [ ]

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent [ ] Very Good [ ] Good [ ] Fair [ ] Not at All [ ]

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. See attached minutes: January 17, 2006.

Signed by Chair or Vice Chair: [Signature]
Signed by Chair or Vice Chair: [Signature]

Your email address: [Email Address]
Your email address: [Email Address]

Date: 11/21/05
Date: 11/21/05

Daytime Telephone: 765-641-4491
Daytime Telephone: 765-641-4491

Internet Address or Website: [Website URL]
Internet Address or Website: [Website URL]
November 29, 2005

James K. Baker, Chairman
Indiana Government Efficiency Commission
200 W. Washington Street
Statehouse, Room 206
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Appendix A

1. Indiana Athletic Trainers Board

2. 1993

3. No

4. No

5. Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

6. N/A

7. Our Board is based within the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA) and uses the mission statement of that agency. The IPLA mission statement is “To provide efficient and effective administrative support services to Indiana’s professional licensing boards and commissions in order to facilitate the delivery of competent consumer services by regulated professionals to the citizens of Indiana. To provide an expedient licensing process for regulated professionals by maintaining a climate that fosters the growth of commerce while ensuring the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of our great state.”

If given the opportunity to provide a mission statement it would read as follows:

To effectively fulfill the charge of the legislature by issuing licenses, conducting meetings, adopting rules, conducting hearings and keeping accurate records to ensure the citizens within the State of Indiana receive quality and competent athletic training services.

8. Our long range goals are as follows:

a. Continue our efforts to adequately regulate the profession according to the duties and responsibilities listed with our enabling statute.
c. Point 3: $571,408.00 which covers other services (postage, court reporters, telephones)

d. Point 4: Point 8, $177,700.00 which covers in-state travel reimbursement (board members and staff)

13. There are currently 92 full time employees that receive a salary from the State working within IPLA.

14. Zero

15. N/A

16. Reduce the number of yearly meeting dates.

17. Yes

18. Fill the current board vacancies that exist to allow for better productivity.

19. The Indiana Athletic Trainers Board should be continued to allow for the continued regulation of the growing athletic training profession in the State of Indiana.
December 1, 2005

James K. Baker, Chairman
Indiana Government Efficiency Commission
200 W. Washington Street
Statehouse, Room 206
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Appendix A

1. The individual budget of the Indiana Athletic Trainers Board cannot be determined. The budget of IPLA is $5,808,388.00. IPLA will be following up in greater detail regarding the annual budget.

2. The 4 largest items in the IPLA budget include the following points:
   a. Point 1: $4,307,807.00 which covers salaries, fringe benefits and board member per diem.
   b. Point 2: $605,848.00 which covers contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, ALJ contract payments)
   c. Point 3: $571,408.00 which covers other services (postage, court reporters, telephones)
   d. Point 4: Point 8, $177,700.00 which covers in-state travel reimbursement (board members and staff)

3. There are currently 92 full time employees that receive a salary from the State working within IPLA.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

When was this group originated?

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission?
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

See #8

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

See #9

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? See #10

What is your Annual Budget? See #11
What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? See #12

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? See #13, #14

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. See #15

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? See #16

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? #17

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group #18
Your reasons why this group should be continued

See #19

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent ☑ Very Good ______ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent_____ Very Good ☑ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. See attached minutes: January 23, 2006

Roy Stockton
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Stockton@indiana.edu

812-856-8344

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website

WWW.in.gov/pla/bandc/mhec
November 29, 2005

James K. Baker, Chairman
Indiana Government Efficiency Commission
200 W. Washington Street
Statehouse, Room 206
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Appendix A

1. Social Worker, Marriage and Family Therapist, and Mental Health Counselor Board

2. 1997

3. No

4. No

5. Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

6. N/A

7. Our Board is based within the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA) and uses the mission statement of that agency. The IPLA mission statement is “To provide efficient and effective administrative support services to Indiana’s professional licensing boards and commissions in order to facilitate the delivery of competent consumer services by regulated professionals to the citizens of Indiana. To provide an expedient licensing process for regulated professionals by maintaining a climate that fosters the growth of commerce while ensuring the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of our great state.”

If given the opportunity to provide a mission statement it would read as follows:

To effectively fulfill the charge of the legislature by issuing licenses, conducting meetings, adopting rules, and conducting hearings in order to protect the public and ensure that the citizens of Indiana are receiving safe and quality social and mental health services within our state.

8. Our long range goals are as follows:

   a. Continue our efforts to adequately regulate the profession according to the duties and responsibilities listed with our enabling statute.
b. The continued efficient processing of applications; and

c. Continue to ensure the competency of social worker, marriage and family therapists and mental health counselors by inviting students to regularly scheduled Board meetings and by giving presentations relating to the Board’s role as a regulatory body at local universities and professional organizations.

9. Our three most important accomplishments include:

a. Disciplining practitioners in violation of licensure laws and regulations.

b. Improved efficiency by delegating additional authority to Board staff.

c. Taken steps to strengthen ethical practices within the state by updating the current continuing education rules.

10. Our three primary goals for this year include:

a. Updating our current rules,

b. Continuing to process applications in a timely and efficient manner; and

c. Informing the public of the rules and regulations governing the profession by giving presentations at local universities and professional organizations involving the Board’s role as a regulatory body.

11. The individual budget of the Social Worker, Marriage and Family Therapist, and Mental Health Counselor Board cannot be determined. The budget of IPLA is $5,808,388.00.

IPLA will be following up in greater detail regarding the annual budget.

12. The four largest items in the IPLA budget include the following points:

a. Point 1: $4,307,807.00 which covers salaries, fringe benefits and board member per diem.

b. Point 2: $605,848.00 which covers contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, ALJ contract payments)

c. Point 3: $571,408.00 which covers other services (postage, court reporters, telephones)
d. Point 4: Point 8, $177,700.00 which covers in-state travel reimbursement (board members and staff)

13. There are currently 92 full time employees that receive a salary from the State working within IPLA.

14. Zero

15. N/A

16. Reduce the number of yearly meeting dates.

17. Yes

18. Consider the possibility of contracting out services such as application processing and coursework review.

19. The Social Worker, Marriage and Family Therapist, and Mental Health Counselor Board should be continued to allow for consumer protection and the continued regulation of the growing number of social service and mental health professions within the State of Indiana.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Occupational Therapy Comm.

When was this group originated? 1989

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabiling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission?

Primary objective of our agency is to serve the public & health care profession, defining standards for competent practice in Indiana.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

- To protect the health, safety and well-being of public
- To assure qualified professionals are certified in Indiana

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Review and test candidates for certification
2. Protect “Title” of occupational therapist
3. Discipline per statute when needed

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? See above

What is your Annual Budget? N/A

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Our certification fee is too costly

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: We currently meet 4x's yr. If we do no have business we do not meet. Therefore, I think we run pretty efficiently. Recommend no change.
Your reasons why this group should be continued

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent  Very Good  Good  Fair  Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent  Very Good  Good  Fair  Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting available through IPLA or on website: www.pla.in.gov.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the Board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another Board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the Board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:

Indiana State Board of Dentistry.

When was this group originated?

1945

Was this group created by federal legislation: No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation: No

Which government agency or department is responsible for administering this group?

The Indiana State Board of Dentistry is administered by the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency.

What is your mission?

The mission of the Indiana State Board of Dentistry is to protect the consumers of the State of Indiana by regulating the practice of dentistry and dental hygiene in the State. This involves the appropriate interpretation and regulation of the laws pertaining to the practice of dentistry and dental hygiene as found in IC 828 and IC 25-13 and 14 et. seq. The Board establishes educational qualifications for licensure, conducts examinations for licensure, oversees the Code of Professional Conduct regarding the practice of dentistry, establishes various fees pertaining to licensure, conducts board hearings regarding disciplinary actions against dentists and dental hygienists.

Other duties found in ancillary statutes have been incorporated into the Board’s mission.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The Dental Board’s primary long-range goal is to continue to license those individuals who have obtained a dental or dental hygiene degree, who have completed the necessary
clinical licensure examination, and who desire to practice dentistry or dental hygiene in Indiana.

Second, it is the goal of the Board to monitor the practice of those individuals who are currently licensed to practice in the State of Indiana and against whom complaints have been filed. This is generally accomplished by monitoring complaints filed with the Indiana Attorney General’s Office of Consumer Protection.

Third, the Board has drafted a rule to allow them to recognize clinical examinations given by other accredited regional testing boards. Since the Board was created, they had given a clinical examination themselves. By recognizing other accredited testing boards, they will eliminate the expense of administering and staffing their own clinical board.

**How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years?**

The Board continues to handle complaints regarding either the incompetent or the malfeasant practice of dentistry. On numerous occasions, the Board has disciplined dentists whose continued practice has harmed the public. There have been several cases in which a dentist has presented an immediate danger to the public. Hearings were held, evidence was presented, and the Board voted to either revoke the license or place the licensee under strict supervision and probation. Without the presence of the Board, the public would continue to be harmed.

**What are your three primary goals for this year (2006)?**

1. The promulgation of a rule that allows Indiana to recognize other regional testing boards.
2. The promulgation of a rule that defines criteria for an employee of a dentist to perform certain functions in a dental office, such as placing and carving a filling. Indiana is one of a very few states which does not recognize dental assistants in their law.
3. To continue to take action against dentists or dental hygienists who have violated Indiana law regarding the practice of dentistry and dental hygiene. The Office of the Attorney General continues to investigate complaints, especially those of unnecessary treatment and Medicaid fraud.

**What is your annual budget?**

For the Professional Licensing Agency, for FY '05-'06 is $5,808,388.00.

**What are the 4 largest items in your budget?**

For the Professional Licensing Agency in total:
Point 1, $4,307,807.00 which covers salaries, fringe benefits and board member per diem.
Point 3, $605,848.00 which covers contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, ALJ contract payments).
Point 2, $571,408.00 which covers other services (postage, court reporters, telephones).
Point 8, $177,700.00 which covers in-state travel reimbursement (board members and staff).

How many of your group receives a salary from the state?

Board members do not receive a salary.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisers used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

The Board of Dentistry has no expenses in these areas.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next two years?

By not administering a clinical examination and recognizing other regional testing agencies, the Board’s will eliminate the cost of having its own members give the licensure examination at Indiana University School of Dentistry in Indianapolis.

Is your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

The current Board consists of eleven individuals who represent geographic diversity from around the state.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group:

The Board has consistently discussed ways to improve our effectiveness. By delegating the clinical licensure exam to regional testing agencies, the Board’s time can better be served by regulating the practice of dentistry and dental hygiene.

Your reasons why this group should be continued:

The practice of dentistry requires a high level of skill. Without the Board, those who avail themselves of dental health services in Indiana can potentially be irreparably harmed.

The Board of Dentistry must, for the protection of the public, continue in its role.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last two years of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

It is the consensus opinion of the Board members that we have done an excellent job in following our mission and attempting to meet our stated goals.
How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

It is the consensus opinion of the Board members that we have done an excellent job in protecting the citizenry of Indiana who have sought out dental health services.

The minutes of the Board’s last three meetings can be found attached to this document. The Board will next meet on Friday, December 2, 2005 at the Indiana Government Center, Room W064 located at 402 West Washington St. at 9 a.m.

Roger Murphy, DDS
President
Indiana State Board of Dentistry

Date
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Creamery Examining Board, License Division

When was this group originated? 1914

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

NO

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

NO

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Purdue University College of Agriculture

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Purdue University College of Agriculture

What is your Mission? The mission of the Creamery License Division is to ensure fairness and accuracy in the weighing, sampling and testing of milk and cream purchased in Indiana through the implementation of inspection and educational programs.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Continuously improve hauler sampling efficiency
2. Continuously improve hauler training
3. Improve training for out-of-state haulers
4. Keep plant lab abreast of latest testing methods
5. Increase forested value-added production.

Summary:
Ensure that Indiana dairy producers are properly and fairly paid for their milk, and that Indiana processors get the milk components they pay for.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Improved milk hauler sampling efficiency
2. Closer cooperation with Board of Animal Health in training haulers
3. Provided assistance to producers interested in beginning on-farm value added processing.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?


What is your Annual Budget? Approximately $78,000

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? wages and benefits, travel, board per diem, travel, postage

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 0

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?
This is a one person operation, so there is little room for reduction short of replacement with a less experienced, lower paid person.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group:

1. Change appointment of one Board member to representative of Indiana Professional Milk Producers Association
2. Alternate conducting meetings in person with meetings held by teleconference
Your reasons why this group should be continued:

1. Hold quarterly meetings to review operation and status of Creamery License Law
2. Ensure fair and accurate weighing, sampling, and testing of Indiana milk
3. Increase value added dairy products

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: January 20, 2006 in room 3114 Lilly Hall, Purdue University

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: Christopher J. Hall
Date: 11/28/05

Chris.hale@keaggy.com
Your email address: 317-491-5554
Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website:

If additional information is needed, please contact
mike.Bozelle, manager of Creamery license division
(765) 494-8289
mbozelle@purdue.edu
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR ARCHITECTS AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

When was this group originated? I DO NOT KNOW.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

NO

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

INDIANA PROFESSIONAL LICENSING AGENCY (IPLA)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? PROTECT THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE OF THE PUBLIC BY REGULATING THE PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE OF ARCHITECTURE AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE IN THE STATE OF INDIANA.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

- Continue our stringent and thorough review of all licensure applicants.
- Improve the service provided to all applicants and licensees, by providing more timely and better informed responses to inquiries and requests.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? My background is limited as I have served for less than 2 years.

- Implemented continuing education requirements for all licensees.
- Strengthened our relationships and collaboration with our related national organizations and test giving partners - CLARB and NCARB.
- Continued our thorough and fair review of all applicants and hearing of several disciplinary cases.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

- Institute a continuing education review process.
- Deal with personnel changes affecting the board (new members, changing staff, and now (yet to be named) legal counsel.
- Refine and improve our board meeting practices.

What is your Annual Budget? I do not know. I have not been provided with that information.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? ?

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? All board members receive $50 per meeting plus travel expenses. IPLA staff - 9

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

I do not know.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

- Not being informed of our board’s and staff expenditures, I can not offer suggestions. Perhaps of equal or greater importance is how to increase revenue from license fees, testing fees, disciplinary fines, etc.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group:

- Our IPLA staff is stretched thin between their responsibilities with other boards and therefore do not provide our board or licensees and applicants with the quality of service they should. Their effectiveness can be improved.
- Provide stronger, better, and more timely enforcement of our licensure regulations. Our attorney general’s staff is understaffed and unable to effectively serve us and the public. Without strong enforcement, our licensure laws carry little weight.
- More thoughtful consideration by the governor’s office when deciding not to reappoint board members. Three members (including the sitting chair), all with years of valuable experience and prominent national positions were simultaneously replaced recently. The transitional period required to indoctrinate several new members (all old).
Your reasons why this group should be continued:

LICENSURE MEANS THAT ONLY COMPETENT PROFESSIONALS ARE PRACTICING ARCHITECTURE AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, BOTH PROFESSIONS THAT CAN GREATLY IMPACT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE. THIS BOARD IS NECESSARY TO MANAGE AND REGULATE THE PRACTICE OF THESE PROFESSIONS.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting—January 11, 2000, State Office Building.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: David Gordon, Chair

Date: 11/29/05

Your email address: dgordon@holomanlandscape.com

Daytime Telephone: 317/849-3120

Internet Address or Website:
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the Board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another Board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the Board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee:
Indiana State Psychology Board

When was this group originated?
1969

Was this group created by federal legislation: No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation: No

Which government agency or department is responsible for administering this group?
The Indiana State Psychology Board is administered by the Indiana Public Licensing Agency.

What is your mission?
The mission of the Indiana State Psychology Board is to regulate the practice of psychology in the State of Indiana. This involves the appropriate interpretation and regulation of the laws pertaining to the practice of psychology as found in IC 868 and IC 25-33-1 et. seq. The Psychology Board establishes educational qualifications for licensure as a psychologist, conduct examinations for psychology licensure, establishes levels of competency with regard to the practice of psychology, oversees the Code of Professional Conduct regarding the practice of psychology, establishes various fees pertaining to licensure, regulates the independent practice of psychology through the endorsement of Health Service Providers in Psychology, conducts board hearings regarding disciplinary actions against psychologists, and monitors the continuing education requirements for psychologists in the State of Indiana.

Other duties found in ancillary statutes have been incorporated into the Board’s mission.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?
The Psychology Board's primary long-range goal is to continue to license those individuals who have obtained a doctoral degree in psychology, who have completed the necessary pre-doctoral and postdoctoral training in an organized health care setting, and who desire to practice psychology either under the supervision of a Health Service Provider in Psychology or who will also be endorsed as a Health Service Provider in Psychology.

Second, it is the goal of the Board to monitor the practice of those individuals who are currently licensed to practice in the State of Indiana and against whom complaints have been filed. This is generally accomplished by monitoring complaints filed with the Indiana Attorney General’s Office of Consumer Protection.

Third, [and currently one of the most important goals for the board] is the establishment of the Restricted Test List. The RTL is a compilation of those psychological tests which, by virtue of their design or complexity, create a danger to the public when improperly administered and interpreted by those individuals who have not completed a doctoral degree in psychology and who are not licensed by the Board. The Psychology Board has been attempting since the 1990s to develop such a list so as to protect the consumers of psychological services in the State of Indiana from the incompetent administration and interpretation of those tests on the list. For various and sundry reasons, the task mandated by P.L. 184-1997 has yet to be completed. In addition to the primary and secondary goals noted above, the establishment of the RTL remains as one of the Board’s primary goals.

How would you describe to an outsider your three most important accomplishments in the last three years?

The Psychology Board continues to handle complaints regarding either the incompetent or the malfeasant practice of psychology. On numerous occasions, the Board has disciplined psychologists whose continued practice has harmed the public. There have been several cases in which a psychologist has presented an immediate danger to the public. Hearings were held, evidence was presented, and the Board voted to either revoke the license or place the licensee under strict supervision and probation. Without the presence of the Board, the public would continue to be harmed.

What are your three primary goals for this year (2006)?

1. The establishment of the Restricted Test List
2. The development of statutory changes resulting in the temporary licensure of psychologists who are currently licensed in other jurisdiction and who seek to practice, on a temporary basis, in the State of Indiana.
3. The development of statutory changes which will result in making licensure more attainable by reciprocity for those individuals currently licensed in another jurisdiction.

What is your annual budget?
For the Professional Licensing Agency, for FY '05-'06 is $5,808,388.00.

What are the 4 largest items in your budget?

For the Professional Licensing Agency in total:
Point 1, $4,307,807.00 which covers salaries, fringe benefits and board member per diem.
Point 3, $605,848.00 which covers contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, ALJ contract payments).
Point 2, $571,408.00 which covers other services (postage, court reporters, telephones)
Point 8, $177,700.00 which covers in-state travel reimbursement (board members and staff)

How many of your group receives a salary from the state?

Board members do not receive a salary.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisers used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

The Psychology Board has no expenses in these areas.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next two years?

The Board's primary expenditures are the $50 per diem allowances provided to the sitting board members. No suggestions for reducing this expenditure are foreseeable. Teleconferencing had been considered; however, due to the Open Door Act, conducting meetings via teleconferencing would not allow for a public discussion of the board's deliberations.

Is your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

The current Board consists of individuals who represent geographic diversity from around the state, from Ft. Wayne to Evansville. The vice chairperson is a member of a minority group with other diverse elements represented on the board.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group:

The Board has consistently discussed ways to improve our effectiveness. We are attempting to reduce the amount of work expended in researching the educational and licensure backgrounds of individuals who apply for licensure either for the first time or who are transferring their licensure from another state. The Board is considering using data compiled by the American Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards and the National Register of Health Service Providers in Psychology. Their databases will, in the future, be incorporated into our licensure process.
Your reasons why this group should be continued:

The practice of psychology requires a high level of skill, most generally attainable by a doctorate in psychology with appropriate pre-doctoral and postdoctoral training for independent practice. The independent practice of psychology absolutely requires the continued monitoring of its licensees, the establishment of continuing educational programs and their monitoring, and the mechanism by which grievances against psychologists can be handled. Without the Board, those who avail themselves of psychological services in Indiana can potentially be irreparably harmed.

There are cases in other states, specifically Florida, where the psychology board had been sunned for a period of time. This permitted individuals with inadequate training and nefarious intentions to begin practicing psychology in that state. Individuals were harmed when that occurred.

The psychology board must, for the protection of the public, continue in its role.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last two years of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

It is the consensus opinion of the Board members that we have done an excellent job in following our mission and attempting to meet our stated goals. Considerable work, however, remains in developing the restricted test list. Subsequent to our charge in 1997 to develop such a list, this goal remains.

We have done an excellent job in monitoring the licensure of psychologists in Indiana. That includes handling cases brought before us in a timely fashion, and issuing impartial decisions regarding the potential for rehabilitation and/or censure in each case. Additionally, we are developing mechanisms to make it easier for psychologists licensed in other jurisdictions to move to our state. We are acutely aware of the commonly observed “Brain Drain” and are attempting to keep only the best trained psychologists in the state. Finally, we are attempting to attract top notch psychologists to the state.

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

It is the consensus opinion of the Board members that we have done an excellent job in protecting the citizenry of Indiana who have sought out psychological services.

The minutes of the Board’s last three meetings can be found attached to this document. The Psychology Board will next meet on Friday, December 16, 2005 at the Indiana Government Center, Room W064 located at 402 West Washington St. at 9 a.m.
Stephen Ross, PsyD, HSPP
Chairperson
Indiana State Psychology Board

Dr.stephenross@comcast.net
E-mail address

(260) 436-4100
Daytime Telephone
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee?

Indiana Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners

When was this group originated?

Early 1900’s or possibly late 1800’s.

Was this group created by Federal legislation: If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation: If so, when is that date.

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission?

The mission of the Indiana Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners is to carry out the duties and responsibilities as listed in the enabling statute for the Board.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Make recommendations in order to update the practice act.

2. Insuring the competency of veterinarians who are trained outside of the United States.
3. Coordination with other licensing boards and their interaction with the State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners. Such as with the Chiropractic Board and Physical Therapy Committee

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. The success of the continuing education requirement for veterinarians and veterinary technicians.
2. Our success in protecting the public as related to practitioners who abuse controlled substance and/or alcohol.
3. Our efficiency in working with the Office of the Attorney General Office.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1. Implementation of new rules regarding impaired practitioners.
2. The promulgation of administrative rules to update the rules regarding veterinary technicians working under the supervision of veterinarians.
3. Working closer with the Board of Animal Health.

What is your Annual Budget?

An individual board budget cannot be determined. The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency's budget is 5.8 million.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

Point 1, $4,307,807.00 which covers salaries, fringe benefits and board member per diem.

Point 2, $571,408.00 which covers other services (postage, court reporters, telephones)

Point 3, $605,848.00 which covers contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, ALJ contract payments)

Point 8, 177,700.00 which covers in-state travel reimbursement (board members and staff)

How many of your group, receive a salary from the State?

None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisor used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

The Board currently has contracts with the following organizations in order to approve to take or administer the national examinations which are required for the issuance of a veterinary license or veterinary technician registration.

The National Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners
This contract is for veterinarians to be approved to take the North American Veterinary Licensure Examination (NAVLE).
The American Association of Veterinary State Boards
This contract is for veterinary technicians to be administered the Veterinary Technician National Examination (VTNE) by the Board.

There are no fees paid for these contracts. Fees are paid by the applicant for the examination.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum go 10% during the next 2 years?

We have substantially cut our expenses at this time, by meeting on alternate months for the past 2 years and will continue to do so in the future.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?

Better communication with all of the practitioners and educating the public. To demonstrate how we are serving the public and by having a healthy companion and food animal population.

Your reasons why this group should be continued?

Indispensable, with circa 200 practitioners, the statutes of Indiana governing animal welfare and the public good could not be enforced.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board, Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your state goals?

Excellent _X_ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana?

Excellent _X_ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.


Next meeting date is set for January 25, 2006 beginning at 9:00 a.m. In Room W064 of the Indiana Government Center South, 402 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana.
Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Katdrp comcast.net

Your email address

Internet Address or Website

Date

11-30-05

Office
1-219-763-3311

Daytime Telephone
1-219-508-0266

Cell
1-219-929-1966
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Respiratory Care Committee

When was this group originated? 1989

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? To administer the Respiratory Care Act which established licensing of Respiratory care practitioners.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Administer the licensing of RCPs
2. Complete a review of current rules
3. Encourage compliance with rules

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Administer the compliance of RCPs with CE credits
2. Improving understanding of rules among RCPs

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. Administer licensing of RCPs; 2. Conduct discipline hearings for RCPs; 3. Ensure contract compliance.

What is your Annual Budget? N/A

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 1

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contact, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Committee has been very efficient with expenses. Don’t see any way to cut.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? Committee is very mature and doing very effectively now.
Your reasons why this group should be continued are very specific, which needs to continue to be regulated to protect the public from incompetent and unscrupulous practitioners.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: 1/27/06, Rm 066, 265.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: 11/29/05

Your email address: Thank_you@vinu.edu

Daytime Telephone: 812-888-4451

Internet Address or Website:
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Public Works Certification Board

When was this group originated? before 1985

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No, but is established by IC 4-13.6-4

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Public Works Division/Department of Administration

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission? Our mission is to prequalify contractors and designers to enable them to bid and complete Public Works Building Construction projects.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Our goal is always to serve the public in the most efficient manner possible.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

N/A

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? N/A

What is your Annual Budget? 0

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? N/A

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? No compensation for board activities

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year N/A

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? N/A

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? N/A

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group N/A
Your reasons why this group should be continued N/A

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting December 1, 2005 at 1:30 PM in Public Works Division Room W467

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair
tcoulter@idoa.in.gov

Your email address
www.state.in.us/idoa/pwd

Internet Address or Website

Date
11-17-05

Daytime Telephone
(317) 232-3001
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: See Appendix A - #1

When was this group originated? #2

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? See #3

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? See #4

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? See #5

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? See #6

What is your Mission? See #7

...
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? 

See #8

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

See #9

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? See #10

What is your Annual Budget? See #11

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? See #12

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? See #13 + #14

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. See #15

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? See #16

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? See #17

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group See #18
Your reasons why this group should be continued: See #19

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent ✓ Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Poor ___

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ✓ Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Not at All ___

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: See attached minutes; February 10, 2006

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: 

Date: 11/30/05

Your email address: sailsluz@aol.com

Daytime Telephone: 219-836-1021

www.in.gov/pla/banda/bpm

Internet Address or Website:
November 29, 2005

James K. Baker, Chairman
Indiana Government Efficiency Commission
200 W. Washington Street
Statehouse, Room 206
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Appendix A

1. Board of Podiatric Medicine

2. 1993

3. No

4. No

5. Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

6. N/A

7. Our Board is based within the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA) and uses the mission statement of that agency. The IPLA mission statement is “To provide efficient and effective administrative support services to Indiana’s professional licensing boards and commissions in order to facilitate the delivery of competent consumer services by regulated professionals to the citizens of Indiana. To provide an expedient licensing process for regulated professionals by maintaining a climate that fosters the growth of commerce while ensuring the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of our great state.”

If given the opportunity to provide a mission statement it would read as follows:

To effectively fulfill the charge of the legislature by issuing licenses, conducting meetings, adopting rules, and conducting hearings in order to ensure the citizens of Indiana receive quality and competent podiatric services in our state.

8. Our long range goals are as follows:

   a. Continue our efforts to adequately regulate the profession according to the duties and responsibilities listed with our enabling statute.

   b. The continued efficient processing of applications.
9. Our three most important accomplishments include:
   a. Carrying out the duties and responsibilities listed in our enabling statute.
   b. Disciplining practitioners in violation of licensure laws and regulations.
   c. Designating a Board Reviewer to allow for applicants to receive their licenses in a timelier manner.

10. Our 3 primary goals for this year include:
    a. Continuing to carry out the duties and responsibilities as listed in our enabling statute.
    b. Updating our current rules,
    c. Continuing to process applications in a timely and efficient manner; and

11. The individual budget of the Board of Podiatric Medicine cannot be determined. The budget of IPLA is $5,808,388.00. IPLA will be following up in greater detail regarding the annual budget.

12. The 4 largest items in the IPLA budget include the following points:
    a. Point 1: $4,307,807.00 which covers salaries, fringe benefits and board member per diem.
    b. Point 2: $605,848.00 which covers contract services (IOT, Access Indiana, ALJ contract payments)
    c. Point 3: $571,408.00 which covers other services (postage, court reporters, telephones)
    d. Point 4: Point 8, $177,700.00 which covers in-state travel reimbursement (board members and staff)

13. There are currently 92 full time employees that receive a salary from the State working within IPLA.

14. Zero

15. N/A
16. Reduce the number of yearly meeting dates.

17. Yes

18. Fill the current board vacancies that exist to allow for better productivity.

19. The Board of Podiatric Medicine should be continued to allow for consumer protection and the continued regulation of the growing number of Podiatrists within the State of Indiana.
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regard to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelop or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee – Private Detectives Licensing Board

When was this group originated? – 1989

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal Staute? - No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which government Agency or Department is responsible for administering the group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? – N/A

What is your Mission? - The mission of the Private Detectives Licensing Board is to carry out the duties and responsibilities as listed in Indiana Code 25-30.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The long-range goal is improved efficiency and effectiveness in the performance of duties prescribed by code. The top three goals would be: 1) Seek improvements that could be developed under administrative code without lengthy delays and additional costs; 2) Support legislative changes recommended by the IPLA that would strengthen the codes and streamline the agency’s operations; and 3) maintain consistency and fairness in all deliberations.
How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? 1) **We reduced the number of regularly scheduled meetings by 50% with an equal regular cost savings:** 2) **We incorporated teleconference meetings for special deliberations with no additional per diem costs for the IPLA:** and 3) **We streamlined the scheduling of administrative hearings whenever possible, reducing additional time and cost demands on staff, legal counsel and administrative law judge.**

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? **The same as in previous years:** 1) **execute our duties with consistency and fairness in all deliberations:** 2) **seek enhancements in the performance of our duties via changes in the administrative codes:** and 3) **support the IPLA’s recommendations for legislative changes.**

What is your Annual Budget? - **An individual budget cannot be determined. IPLA’s budget is 5.8 million.**

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1) **Salaries/Fringe Benefits** 2) **Contract Services** 3) **Other services: i.e., postage, court reporters, telephones** 4) **In-State travel for board members and staff.**

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? – **None**

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. – **N/A**

Your suggestions for reducing your group’
's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? **The only expenditure the Board has is the travel expense of the board members to and from the meetings. A couple of years ago the Board reduced their meeting dates by half, currently only meeting 6 times a year. This number may be reduced further if the Board determines that the reduction will not jeopardize the board’s mission.**

Does your representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? – **No**

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. 1) **We recommend all due speed in filling the vacancies on the board:** and 2) **we recommend the staff continue to avoid any unnecessary delays in the administration of duties.**

Your reasons why this group should be continued. **To protect the public and the state from unscrupulous and unqualified operators.**

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting our stated goals? Excellent **X** Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____
How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X
Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. The next Private Detectives Licensing Board meeting will be January 19, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. in the Indiana Government Center South, Conference Room 6.

_Don Johnson, Chairman
Private Detectives Licensing Board

dcjcli@insightbb.com
Your email address

(812) 334-8857
Daytime Telephone

The Board: www.in.gov/pla/bandc/detective
My web site: www.traceinvestigations.com

Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Home Inspectors License Board

When was this group originated? July 1, 2004

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?


What is your Mission?

To develop rules required by the Home Inspector Law.
To approve applications for individuals applying to be Home Inspectors.
To license course providers, CE course providers, To apply disciplinary procedures, or licensees when required.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Complete theards Funding Period
2. Ti Review Rules as needed
3. To Provide Consumer Protection

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

We developed all our rules, issued the license for
The First Time

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
Reduce meetings to Quarterly
Review Rules

What is your Annual Budget? See the IPLA for this info

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? do above

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? No

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Reduce number of meetings

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

Begin to use email to communicate to Licenses
Your reasons why this group should be continued: To insure that Licenses and serving the interests of Indiana Consumers.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good ______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: January 2006

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: Deyn T. Meyner

Your email address: danny@inspectinc.com

Internet Address or Website:

Date: November 23, 2005
Daytime Telephone: 317-881-7327
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

COMMITTEE OF HEARING AID DEALER EXAMINERS

When was this group originated?

1968 - HEARING AID DEALERS ADVISORY BOARD
1980 - COMMITTEE OF HEARING AID DEALERS EXAMINERS

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

NO

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

NO

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

MEDICAL LICENSE BOARD - PROFESSIONAL LICENSING AGENCY

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

MEDICAL LICENSING BOARD

What is your Mission?

TO DETERMINE IF STUDENT HEARING AID DEALERS HAVE SUFFICIENT SKILL AND KNOWLEDGE TO TEST RESIDUAL HEARING, AND TO FIT AND DISPENSE HEARING AIDS.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

**BETTER SUPERVISION OF STUDENT HEARING DEALERS BY THEIR SPONSORS,**

**MAKE STUDENTS AWARE OF INFORMATION AVAILABLE, TO REDUCE NUMBER OF FAILURES**

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? **PERSONAL APPEARANCE BY STUDENTS WHO ARE HAVING DIFFICULTY PASSING EXAMS AND WHO HAVE POSITIVE RESPONSES TO APPLICATIONS QUESTIONS. IMPROVE PRACTICAL EXAMS. PLACING ALL APPLICATIONS ON LINE.**

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? **IMPROVE HEARING AID TROUBLE-SHOOTING EXAM MORE EFFICIENCY ON DAY OF TESTING.**

What is your Annual Budget? **REFER TO MEDICAL LICENSING BOARD AND INDIANA PROFESSIONAL LICENSING AGENCY.**

What are the 4 largest items in your budget? **REFER TO INDIANA PROFESSIONAL LICENSING AGENCY.**

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? **NONE.**

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year **WITH INTERNATIONAL HEARING SOCIETY FOR WRITTEN TEST, WHICH IS SELF SUPPORTING FINANCIALLY.**

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? **REDUCE PAPER WORK FOR INDIANA PROFESSIONAL LICENSING AGENCY.**

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? **YES.**

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group **BETTER COMMUNICATION BETWEEN INDIANA PROFESSIONAL LICENSING AGENCY AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS.**
Your reasons why this group should be continued _______ TO CONTINUE STRIVING TO _______

 PROVIDE COMPETENT PERSONS SERVING THE HEARING IMPAIRED _______

 CITIZENS OF INDIANA WHILE IMPROVING THEIR LIFE STYLE _______

 Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

 Excellent ______ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Poor _______

 How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at All _______

 Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. WE MEET AND TEST QUARTERLY—NEXT DATE JAN. 11, 2006

 STATE OFFICE BLDG.

 ALLEN REESE, CHAIRMAN

 Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

 NOV. 29, 2005

 Date

 arhac@hoosierlink.net

 Your email address

 574-936-8878

 Daytime Telephone

 Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: State Board of Pharmacy

When was this group originated? Over 100 years ago

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No, State

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

Government agency - IPLA

What is your Mission? To protect the public health and safety through regulation of the practice of pharmacy and distribution of drugs and devices.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. The safe passage of drugs and devices through the distribution system.
2. Assuring the competency of all pharmacy professionals.
3. Minimize if not eliminate counterfeit drugs from Indiana's pharmacies.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. New wholesale drug distribution laws.
2. Regulation of pharmacy technicians.
3. Expansion of the State's prescription monitoring program.

What are your 5 primary goals for this year?

1. Continuation of refining the wholesale drug distribution.
2. Collaboration between state laws and public policy.
4. Addressing issues to assure access to publications.

What is your Annual Budget? $7,000

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 7 members receive $50,000.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? 

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?

1. More appropriate travel budget so that issues can be recognized preemptively discussed prior to rather than reacted upon.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: the licensing & regulation of the practice of pharmacy & the drug distribution system to ensure the public's health and safety.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent □ Very Good □ Good □ Fair □ Poor □

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent □ Very Good □ Good □ Fair □ Not at All □

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting:

Indiana Government Center South Room W064

December 12th, 2005

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: Don Smith

Date: 11/16/05

Internet Address or Website: www.in.gov/pla

Daytime Telephone: 317-274-7398

Your email address: Didall@clarian.org
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: State Board Of Funeral And Cemetery Service

When was this group originated? The combined board was formed by P.L. 48-1991, Sec. 31.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal Statute? No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency is responsible for administering this group.

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? Not Applicable.


What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1) To deter unlawful and unethical practices in the funeral industry in the State of Indiana.
2) To further safeguard the public by promulgating rules to keep the State of Indiana in compliance with the Federal Trade Commission “Funeral Rule”.
3) To further safeguard the public by promulgating rules to keep the State of Indiana in compliance with Federal OSHA requirements pertaining to the funeral Industry.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1) We have improved the quality and accessibility of continuing education courses.
2) We have accelerated disciplinary hearings and imposed sanctions for practitioners who violate federal and state statutes.
3) We have been working on rule amendments to enhance the ability of the consumer to uniquely identify funeral merchandise and goods.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

1) To rewrite the State funeral director exam to reflect updates in federal and state law.
2) To re-examine Mortuary Science programs in Indiana Colleges to ensure accreditation.
3) To make certain sellers of prepaid contracts are contributing required amounts to the Preneed Consumer Protection Fund I.C 30-2-13-27.

What is your Annual Budget? An individual budget cannot be determined for the State Board Of Funeral And Cemetery Service. The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency's budget is $5.8 million.
What are the 4 largest items in your budget? Since the budget is for the entire agency, the following are the largest items for the agency:

1) Salaries, fringe benefits, and board members per diem.
3) Other services such as postage, court reporters, telephones, etc.
4) In-state travel reimbursement for board members and staff.

How many of your group receive a salary from the state? None.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The meetings were recently changed from monthly to bi-monthly effectively reducing the number of meetings in half. Board members are reimbursed on a per diem basis. Also two separate boards, the State Funeral Board and the State Cemetery Board were combined to form one board.

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes, there are members from Northern, Central, and Southern Indiana although there is no geographical requirement for board member appointment.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. Allowing the board to continue to promulgate rules for the funeral industry.

Your reasons why this group should be continued. To continue to safeguard the public interest in the funeral industry in the State of Indiana.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals? Excellent X Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Poor ___

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good ___
Good ___ Fair ___ Not at All ___

How would you rate your Commission's performance? X Exceeds Expectations ___ Meets Expectations ___ Does Not Meet Expectations ___

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Our next meeting will be on Thursday, December 1, 2005 at 11:00am at the Indiana Government Center, Indianapolis, IN.

Board Chairman

[Signature]

Date

11/23/05

Daytime Telephone

(219) 949-6325

Website: www.PLAIN.gov
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Board of Registration for Professional Engineers

When was this group originated? Approximately 1935

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

Unknown

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission? To license engineers as Professional Engineers - to administer the provisions of the law.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?  

Since we are a licensing agency we do not set any goal(s) other than to administer the provisions of the law.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

That we adequately and fairly to the best of our ability administered the provision of the law.

________________________________________________________________________

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? To continue to administer the provision of the law.

________________________________________________________________________

What is your Annual Budget? Unknown

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Unknown

________________________________________________________________________

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None

________________________________________________________________________

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None

________________________________________________________________________

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? N/A

________________________________________________________________________

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

________________________________________________________________________

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group None

________________________________________________________________________
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Engineers must be licensed to protect the public's health, safety and wellfare. Therefore, this board needs to be continued so engineers will continue to be licensed.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent  X  Very Good  ____  Good  ____  Fair  ____  Poor  ____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent  X  Very Good  ____  Good  ____  Fair  ____  Not at All  ____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: December 15, 2005 - Room 121GCS

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: William J. Fehribach, Chair
Email Address: wfehrbach@af-eng.com

Daytime Telephone: (317) 202-0664

Date: 11/28/05

Your email address

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Grain Buyers and Warehouse Licensing Agency

When was this group originated? Legislation passed 1972 effective 1973

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana State Department of Agriculture

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?


What is your Mission? Implement IC 26-3-7, which provides for the licensing and regulatory oversight of grain elevators in Indiana.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Minimize losses resulting from the failure of grain elevators.
2. Achieve #1 at lowest cost to state.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Minimal losses at elevators while operating with smaller staff than comparable grain-producing states.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Maintain quality of oversight program while meeting budget constraints. Budget precludes new initiatives.

What is your Annual Budget? Agency budget is not separated from department budget.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Salaries, fringes, travel, office occupancy.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 10 (all of agency)

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. Flat-rate annual contracts for Business Technologies Corp ($1,560/yr) and Miller & Assoc ($2,362.50/yr) for agency-specific software program support. No other outside advisors or consultants are used. Have normal copy machine maint contract, etc.

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The only possible category for achieving that level of reduction is salary & fringe. Would require eliminating 1 of 6 field auditors.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Geographically, yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group? Expanding depth of audit process. That would require additional resources to implement.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: Benefits agriculture by ensuring that agricultural producers get paid for their products. Producers often do not have access to sufficient information to make that determination independently.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent XX Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Poor _______

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent XX Very Good _______ Good _______ Fair _______ Not at All _______

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. There is no governing body, so no scheduled meetings or minutes.

Signed by Director: 11/14/05

Email address: K Klumme@isda.in.gov

Daytime Telephone: 232-1357

Internet Address or Website: www.in.gov/sosufa
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Environmental Health Specialists

When was this group originated? 1963

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute? No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? Indiana Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? N/A

What is your Mission? To protect the lives and health of the people in Indiana by certifying the education, training and work practices of our public health department and environmental agency professionals by administering a nationally accredited registration examination program.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

**CONTINUE ADMINISTERING AND MONITORING A PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION PROGRAM TO THOSE PRACTICING IN PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH FIELDS.**

**REDUCE EXPENDITURES WHERE AND WHEN APPROPRIATE.**

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. **SUCCESSFULLY REGISTER PROFESSIONAL PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PRACTITIONERS.**

2. **REDUCED NUMBER OF BOARD MEETINGS & TRAVEL EXPENSES.**

3. **REDUCED BUDGET.**

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

**ADMINISTER REGISTRATION PROGRAM UTILIZING QUALIFIED AGENCIES AND INSTITUTION AT MULTIPLE SITES AROUND THE STATE AT A LOWER COST TO THE STATE.**

What is your Annual Budget? **See Appendix A - #1.**

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? **See Appendix A - #2.**

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? **NONE / See #3.**

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

**NONE.**

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

**CONTINUE WITH NEW PROJECT INITIATED THIS FALL TO ADMINISTER REGISTRATION EXAM USING QUALIFIED ASSOCIATION.**

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? **YES.**

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group **USE TELECONFERENCING FOR MEETINGS (E-MAIL) AND OFFER THE REGISTRATION EXAM AT MULTIPLE SITES HOSTED BY QUALIFIED AGENCIES.**
Your reasons why this group should be continued:

**IT IS THE ONLY REGISTRATION PROGRAM IN THE STATE PROTECTING THE HEALTH AND LIVES OF OUR CITIZENS THRU A PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION PROGRAM FOR ALL OF OUR PUBLIC HEALTH ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONS.**

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent  _____ Very Good_____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent  _____ Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Please see attached minutes, February 22, 2006 - IPLA

---

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date

Your email address

Internet Address or Website
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Optometry Board

When was this group originated?

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

The Indiana Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission? To carry out the duties and responsibilities as listed in the enabling statute for the Board.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

First, to understand contract law laws to be consistent
with federal law.
Second, as the profession evolves so do we.
Third, to work the offices closed.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

[ ] Define Continuing Education Requirements
[ ] Monitor professional opportunities that will improve
by alcohol and other drugs.
[ ] Define what is an opponent.
[ ] Talk to the top about opponent being required to have 4
their anchors.  [ ] Monitor legislative contacts.  [ ] Enforce
An individual board cannot be the only board.

What is your Annual Budget? The Indiana Professional
licensing agency budget is $5.8 million.

What are the 4 largest items in your budget?

Point 1, 4, 201, 800.00 which covers salaries, fringe benefits & bd member per diem; Point 2, $91, 400 which
covers other salaries; Point 3, $40, 000, 000 which covers contract services;
Point 4, $100, 000 which covers in-state travel reimbursements.

How many of your board receive a salary from the State?

[ ] None.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each
contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

[ ] The Board does not consult with anyone at this
time.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2
years? Our board does not spend much money. We want
government payers, we don't travel and most members come
to our meeting the same day.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana?

[ ] Yes.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group?

[ ] More outreach to key areas. We need to be more aggressive in enforcing
opportunity laws and eliminate old laws.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: 

To regulate the订定

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent  Very Good  Good  Fair  Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent  Very Good  Good  Fair  Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: 

Date: 11/30/05

Email address: bedgrlnks@jan. Net  219-836-7800

Internet Address or Website:
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regard to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelop or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee – Manufactured Home Installer Licensing Board

When was this group originated? – 2002

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

While this group was not ‘created’ by Federal legislation, it was established to prevent Federal intervention into the operation of the manufactured housing industry here in Indiana. The National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5401 et seq.) was enacted in 1974 which gave the Department of Housing and Urban Development the authority to regulate the design, construction, and inspection of manufactured homes (the term “mobile” home was used until 1983). The authority of H. U. D. was expanded in the Manufactured Housing Improvement Act of 2000 [2000 Housing and Community Development Act (P.L. 106-569)] to allow HUD to, “...implement the installation program established under subparagraph (A) in each State that does not have an installation program established by State law that meets the requirements of paragraph (3).” This provision is found in Sec. 605(c)(2)(B) of the Manufactured Housing Improvement Act of 2000. The Indiana Manufactured Home Installer Licensing Board was established in Indiana law to prevent HUD from taking over control of the on site installation of manufactured homes here in Indiana.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date? No

Which government Agency or Department is responsible for administering the group?
Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report? – N/A

What is your Mission? - The mission of the Manufactured Home Installer Licensing Board is to carry out the duties and responsibilities as listed in Indiana Code 25-23.7.

What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

The long range goal of the Board is to improve the professionalism and quality of workmanship the consumers of Indiana receive when purchasing a manufactured home and having that home installed within our state.

a. Goal #1: The training of the people who install manufactured homes in Indiana in both the laws that apply to this function and safety concerns for themselves and the consumer's home they are installing.
b. Goal #2: Provide the consumers of Indiana with safe, affordable and high quality in the installation of their manufactured homes.
c. Goal #3: To provide a dispute resolution source for consumers in Indiana that have problems with the manner in which their manufactured home was installed.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

The Manufactured Home Installer Licensing Board has only been in existence since April of 2004. Our accomplishments:

a. Developed rules for the implementation of the Indiana Installer Licensing program.
b. Reviewed and approved an educational program for the licensure of installers wishing to obtain a license to perform installation of homes.
c. Begun the review, approval and licensure of individuals to perform the installation of manufactured homes within Indiana.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

Our three goals for the upcoming year:

a. To review and approve those individuals who apply for licensure as an installer of manufactured homes.
b. To serve the consumers of Indiana as a dispute resolution source through the enforcement of the licensing rules and statutes.
c. To raise the professional standards and therefore give the consumers of manufactured housing a higher quality and safer home.

What is your Annual Budget? - An individual budget cannot be determined. IPLA's budget is 5.8 million.
What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? 1) Salaries/Fringe Benefits 2) Contract Services 3) Other services, i.e., postage, court reporters, telephones 4) In-State travel for board members and staff.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? – None

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year.

The only consultants/contractors/advisors that have assisted the Manufacture Home Installer Licensing Board this year were volunteers and are as follows:

   a. James K. Keller, PHC – Indiana Manufactured Housing Association
   b. David Pletcher – Pletcher Insurance

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? The only direct expenditure the Board has is the travel expenses of the board members to attend meetings. In the past the group was only meeting as necessary, but has recently decided to meet monthly. The Board may consider reducing the number of meeting dates, if it does not jeopardize the mission of the Board.

Does your representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? – No

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. To continue encouraging 100% member attendance at scheduled board meetings so that priorities may be handled effectively.

Your reasons why this group should be continued.

The continued education and licensure of the installers of manufactured homes and the resolution of the consumer's problems with their manufactured homes. This is a new program but similar programs in Michigan and Kentucky have, over the past five years, significantly reduced the number and severity of consumer problems with the installation of manufactured housing.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting our stated goals? Excellent X Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good _____ Good _____ Fair _____ Not at All _____

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. The next Manufactured Home Installer Licensing Board meeting is scheduled for December 9, 2005 at 9:00 a.m in the Indiana Government Center South, Room W072.
(See attached sheet)

Floyd "Tom" Wall, Chairman
Manufactured Home Installer Licensing Board

Board – pla11@pla.in.gov
My email – tom@wallsmh.com

Your email address

Internet Address or Website

11/30/2005
Date

(260) 356-6970
Daytime Telephone
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Thoroughbred Breed Dev. Adv. Committee

When was this group originated? 1995

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Horse Racing Commission

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

What is your Mission? to register thoroughbred racehorses for the Indiana bred program and to provide incentives and awards to three important elements of the Indiana thoroughbred industry: the owner, the breeder and the stallion owner. The intent of these incentives and awards is to promote investment of capital into the Indiana economy and maximize the positive impact to the State's economy.
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

To improve the breed, have more mares and stallions standing in the state and improve the quality of the horses so they may compete in open company.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Being able to continue with purse supplements, awards and out-of-state breeder awards. Having more horses win races.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?

Stakes and awards.

What is your Annual Budget? $3,201,350

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Purses, purses, stakes purses.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? 0

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year:

Harley Greene $14,812.04

Field inspector for mares and stallions

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? None

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group: Continue to promote the program, to get more mares to breed and improve the breed with better stock.
Your reasons why this group should be continued to continue to promote the breed and give incentives to breed and stand thoroughbreds in Indiana.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Fair
Poor

How well do you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent
Very Good
Good
Fair
Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: date of next meeting November 30, 2005 1:00 p.m. IHRC conference room. No minutes are taken.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair
James Carnichael

Date
11/28/05

Your email address
jobrown@ihrc.in.gov

Daytime Telephone
(317) 233-0187

Internet Address or Website
www.in.gov/ihrc
GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

QUESTIONNAIRE

The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.
B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: Indiana Plumbing Commission

When was this group originated? I do not know origination date. I think it started in 1973.

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No.

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

I do not know.

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

N/A

What is your Mission? To administer and supervise professional licensing and competency standards for individuals who install and maintain drinking water, sanitary waste and storm-water piping systems and related appliances through the practice or business of plumbing.

1
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

Educate local building officers of current state license and statute and their obligations to the laws and statutes.

Revise the application process forms. Revise testing procedures to be more applicant friendly.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

Established a better working relationship with Federal Bureau of Apprenticeship, initiated a process for eligible plumbing business to become compliant.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? Possible outsourcing testing, make applications easier to fill out, change actual test.

What is your Annual Budget? No knowledge.

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? No knowledge.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year None.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Outsourcing the license test, streamline application processes, allow for informal dispute hearings w/out official court stenographers, reduce or eliminate the $50 per meeting per-diem.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group Hire more paid enforcement staff for State. There are only two now.

Allow IPLA staff to sign off on non-disputable applications.
Your reasons why this group should be continued Competency in the installation and maintenance of drinking water and waste water systems is vital to the public health and welfare. Incorrect plumbing practices can result in property damage and more importantly can adversely affect the health of the occupants or an entire community.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?
Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent X Very Good Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting. Next meeting will be January 25, 2006.

Signed by Chair or Vice Chair 11-28-05
Date

UALU210BM@AIRBAUD.NET 219-942-7224
Your email address Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee

Indiana State Board of Nursing

When was this group originated? First nurse license - 1905 First IC - 1949

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

No

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

No

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group?

Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

See IC 25-23-1-7 for the duties of the Board

What is your Mission?


What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

1. Prescribe & approve curricula for all nursing programs in the state.
2. Monitor all programs via annual reports or surveys for compliance to all codes & rules.
3. Examine &/or renew all qualified applicants for nursing.
4. Prosecute all nurses violating code or nurse practice act.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years?

1. Brought the nurse monitoring program back to Indiana.
2. Increased number of quality nursing programs in state.
3. Updated Education Rules & streamlined Reporting Process for School Annual Reports.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year?
1. Be sure out of state nursing programs coming into the state are quality programs.
2. Do more survey visits.
3. Have nurses become more involved in Board activity via our new magazine.

What is your Annual Budget?

See IPCA Submission

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget?

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? Board members get a per diem

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year

[Blank]

Your suggestions for reducing your group’s expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years?

Not sure. I think all we spend as a Board is the per diem & a court Reporter.

Does your group represent all areas of the State of Indiana?

Yes

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group

Encouraging the AG’s office to develop more settlement agreements with “routine” violations of nursing codes rather than having a hearing with everyone. We are working on this one as I write!
Your reasons why this group should be continued

- To maintain quality nursing education programs & to maintain a quality workforce that is safe to practice nursing.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ✔️ Very Good Good Fair Poor

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ✔️ Very Good ✔️ Good Fair Not at All

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting.

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date:

Email address

Daytime Telephone

Internet Address or Website
The Indiana General Assembly has passed legislation creating a Government Efficiency Commission and charged the Commission to review and make recommendations to the Governor concerning each board or commission in regards to the following:

A) Whether the board or commission should be continued, reorganized, or combined with another board, commission, or state agency.

B) Whether the board or commission should be terminated or allowed to expire.

We appreciate you taking the time to fill out the following questionnaire. Please feel free to attach any additional materials to the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed envelope or to James K. Baker, Co-Chair of the Commission, on or before November 30, 2005.

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee: **The Indiana State Lottery Commission**

When was this group originated? **1988**

Was this group created by Federal legislation? If so, what is the citation of the Federal statute?

**No. Created by State Statute**

Does this group have a sunset date established by the enabling legislation? If so, when is that date?

**No.**

Which Government Agency or Department is responsible for administering this group? **The Governor**

If your group is not administered by a Government Agency or Department, who is responsible for staffing your group and to whom does your group report?

**The Lottery functions as a quasi agency, run by an executive director, and is overseen by a five-member commission.**

What is your Mission? **To assist the E.D. in operating the lottery as effectively & efficiently as possible, all while offering fair and entertaining games to the public.**
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals? The November Commission meeting was the first time there were five commissions - the last commissioner finally being appointed 1/1/05. Specific goals will be established over the next three months.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last three years? 

N/A - Entirely new commission.

What are your 3 primary goals for this year? 1. To be fully acquainted with the lottery; the industry. 2. Continue to assist the E.D. in finding ways to reduce expenses; increase profits. 3. Reduce legal expenses.

What is your Annual Budget? $772,000,000. ($189,000,000 returned to State FY '04

What are the 4 largest items in your Budget? Prize payment, retailer commissions, vendor commissions, advertising, ticket/game production.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? None.

Please list all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year. See Green Bar Report attached.

Your suggestions for reducing your group's expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years? Cut more positions; close full-scale regional offices; continue to cut vendor commissions.

Does your group representative of all areas of the State of Indiana? Yes. 4,100 retail locations statewide.

Your recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group. To establish subcommittees that utilize the professional expertise of our five-member commission.
Your reasons why this group should be continued: THE AGENCY DOES NOT RELY ON TAX DOLLARS TO OPERATE AND GENERATES SUBSTANTIAL INCOME FOR THE STATE.

Overall, how would you rate the job your Commission, Board or Committee has accomplished in the last 2 years in terms of following your mission and meeting your stated goals?

Excellent ______ Very Good _______ Good ______ Fair ______ Poor ______ N/A - NEW COMMISSION

How well would you say your group has served the citizens of Indiana? Excellent ______ Very Good _______ Good ______ Fair ______ Not at All ______ N/A - NEW COMMISSIONERS

Please attach copies of the minutes of your last three meetings, plus the date and location of your next meeting: DEC. 15, 2005 1 PM LOTTERY HQ

Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair: Signed by Chair or Vice-Chair

Date: 11.21.05

Your email address: JNORTHENOR@KCONLINE.COM

Daytime Telephone: 574.858.2257

Internet Address or Website: 

———
Government Efficiency Commission

Questionnaire

Name of Commission, Board, or Committee – Indiana State Board of Registration for Land Surveyors

When was this group originated? 1962 as a joint Engineers/Surveyors Board; 1991 as a separate Land Surveyors Board

Was this group created by Federal Legislation? – No

Does this group have a sunset date? – No

Which Government Agency Responsible for administering this group? – Indiana Professional Licensing Agency (IPLA)

If not administered who is responsible? – N.A

What is your Mission? – To protect the life, health and property of the citizens of the state of Indiana by licensing land surveyors, adopting standards for the practice of land surveying in Indiana, and by enforcing the licensing statutes of the state of Indiana and the administrative rules of the board. (ref. IC 25-21.5-2-14, IC 25-21.5-4-1 and IC 25-21.5-4-3).
What are your long-range goals? How would you summarize your top three goals?

(1) To continuously review the administrative rules of the board for relevance to the protection of the life, health and property of the citizens of the state of Indiana
(2) To enforce the statutes and administrative rules in order to protect the life, health and property of the citizens of the state of Indiana
(3) To continually evaluate the effectiveness of the land surveyors licensing exam in order to maintain the minimum level of competency for the practice of land surveying in the state of Indiana.

How would you describe to an outsider your 3 most important accomplishments in the last 3 years?

(1) The modernization of the statutes and administrative rules in order to reflect technological, legal, governmental and societal changes that affect the practice of land surveying in Indiana
(2) The diligent enforcement of the statutes and administrative rules in order to protect the life, health and property of the citizens of the state of Indiana
(3) Increased visibility and accessibility of the board to the public and licensees

What are your 3 Primary Goals for 2006 –

(1) Work with the Attorney General’s Office of Consumer Complaints to attempt to clear the backlog of consumer complaints against land surveyors
(2) Continue to enforce the administrative rules in order to protect the life, health and property of the citizens of the state of Indiana
(3) Improve the effectiveness of the licensing examination in assessing applicants for competency

What is your Annual Budget? - This information is not known by the undersigned. Refer to the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency
What are the 4 largest items in budget? – This information is not known by the undersigned. Refer to the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency.

How many of your group receive a salary from the State? – None of the commissioners. IPLA does see their report.

List all consultants/contractors/advisors used during the past 24 months, the amount of each contract, and the amount paid to each during the past fiscal year? Budget is not known refer to IPLA report. Contractors that I know we use: Access IN, For administration of exams: NCEES and ELSES.

Suggestions to reduce expenditures by a minimum of 10% during the next 2 years – We constantly review our procedures, policies, practices and agendas to maximize meeting efficiencies and minimize the use of government assets.

Represent the entire state? – Yes.

Recommendations for improving the effectiveness of your group –

(1) Limit the number of staff changes within the Indiana Professional Licensing Agency. Numerous and continual changes in staff have a dramatic impact on the board’s ability to efficiency address and process consumer complaints.

(2) Provide a dedicated investigator to investigate consumer complaints against land surveyors. The lack of an investigator dramatically slows the process of dealing with complaints.

These two items have resulted in gross delays and significant inefficiencies in responding to complaints on the part of the agency, the board and the attorney general’s office.

Why should the board be continued - The private ownership of real estate is a keystone of a democratic, capitalistic society. The effective testing and licensure of land surveyors, the promulgation of adequate statutes and administrative rules to regulate land surveying, and the effective enforcement of those statutes and regulations is necessary to assure that the rights of property owners are protected. The
Indiana State Board of Registration of Land Surveyors has that role in the state of Indiana.

Our job the 4 last 2 years? - Excellent

Served the citizens of Indiana — Excellent

Copies of last 3 minutes — See attached

Next meeting will be held Friday, December 9th at 8:30 am
Conference Center Room 12, 302 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, IN

Signed by Chairman/ Christine Burton Arnold, 11/29/05
(317)841-8100 Work
(317)590-8100 Cell

csbarnold@referralcenter.net