IMAGINING the possibilities. MAKING THEM HAPPEN.

Indiana Department of Education

Glenda Ritz, NBCT
Indiana Superintendent of Public Instruction

Accountability History

Key Points

- The Indiana Department of Education has calculated Accountability for State and Federal purposes for several decades.
- Complexity has increased with each revision.
- Indiana has transitioned to State and Federal Accountability alignment; one unified model.



IMAGINING the possibilities. MAKING THEM HAPPEN.

Indiana Department of Education

Glenda Ritz, NBCT
Indiana Superintendent of Public Instruction

- **PL 221 School Years 1999 thru 2011**

2010-11 PL 221 Results

Percent passing ISTEP+ and/or ECA (Performance): **87.9%**

Passing percentage improvement (Improvement): **0.5%**

Performance	Improvement				
	A (Exemplary Progress)	B (Commendable Progress)	C (Academic Progress)	D (Academic Watch)	F (Academic Probation)
>= 90%					
>= 80%	>= 1%	< 1%			
>= 70%	>= 3%	>= 2%	>= 1%	< 1%	
>= 60%	>= 4%	>= 3%	>= 2%	< 2%	< 0%
>= 50%	>= 5%	>= 4%	>= 3%	< 3%	< 1%
< 50%		>= 5%	>= 4%	>= 3%	< 3%

Final PL 221 Status (based on performance and improvement).

IMAGINING the possibilities. MAKING THEM HAPPEN.

Indiana Department of Education

Glenda Ritz, NBCT
Indiana Superintendent of Public Instruction

- **PL 221 School Years 1999 thru 2011**

  - Percent passing ISTEP and ECA (Performance)

  - Passing percentage improvement (Improvement)

- **AYP** **School Years 2001 thru 2011**

2010-11 AYP Results
Made 25 out of 29 Categories

Category	English/Language Arts		Mathematics		Other
	Participation	Performance	Participation	Performance	
Overall	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
Amer. Indian	○	○	○	○	
Asian	○	○	○	○	
Black	✓	✗	✓	✓	
Hispanic	✓	✓	✓	✓	
White	✓	✓	✓	✓	
F/R Meals	✓	✗	✓	✓	
LEP	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Special Ed.	✓	✗	✓	✓	

✓ = Made AYP ✗ = Did Not Make AYP ○ = Too Few Students

IMAGINING the possibilities. MAKING THEM HAPPEN.

Indiana Department of Education

Glenda Ritz, NBCT
Indiana Superintendent of Public Instruction

- **AYP School Years 2001 thru 2011**

  - Goal status in following areas:
    - English Language Arts Performance
    - English Language Arts Participation
    - Math Performance
    - Math Participation
    - Other Indicator Performance(Elementary/Middle School: Attendance Rate; High School: Graduation Rate)

  - Safe Harbor for significant improvement

- **A-F School Years 2012 thru 2013**
  - Elementary/Middle School:

Performance		Preliminary Score
% of Students Passing the Math ISTEP, ISTAR & IMAST:	90.5%	4.00 points
Points Scale		
90.0-100% = 4.00 points		
85.0-89.9% = 3.50 points		
80.0-84.9% = 3.00 points		
75.0-79.9% = 2.50 points		
70.0-74.9% = 2.00 points		
65.0-69.9% = 1.50 points		
60.0-64.9% = 1.00 points		
00.0-59.9% = 0.00 points		
Growth		**Bonus/Penalty Points**
Bottom 25% of students with high growth: Bonus target is ≥ 44.9%	26.9%	0.00 points
Top 75% of students with high growth: Bonus target is ≥ 39.2%	36.8%	0.00 points
Overall students with low growth: Penalty threshold is ≥ 42.4%	33%	0.00 points
Participation		
% of lowest performing students participating in Math: Penalty threshold is < 95%	99%	0.00 points
% of remaining students participation in Math: Penalty threshold is < 95%	99.8%	0.00 points
Final		**4.00**

Performance		Preliminary Score
% of Students Passing the E/LA ISTEP, ISTAR & IMAST:	85.1%	3.50 points
Points Scale		
90.0-100% = 4.00 points		
85.0-89.9% = 3.50 points		
80.0-84.9% = 3.00 points		
75.0-79.9% = 2.50 points		
70.0-74.9% = 2.00 points		
65.0-69.9% = 1.50 points		
60.0-64.9% = 1.00 points		
00.0-59.9% = 0.00 points		
Growth		**Bonus/Penalty Points**
Bottom 25% of students with high growth: Bonus target is ≥ 42.5%	25.3%	0.00 points
Top 75% of students with high growth: Bonus target is ≥ 36.2%	30%	0.00 points
Overall students with low growth: Penalty threshold is ≥ 39.8%	38.6%	0.00 points
Participation		
% of lowest performing students participating in Math: Penalty threshold is < 95%	100%	0.00 points
% of remaining students participation in Math: Penalty threshold is < 95%	99.8%	0.00 points
Final		**3.50**

- **A-F School Years 2012 thru 2013**
  - High School:

Performance		Preliminary Score
% of Students Passing the Algebra I ECA and ISTAR:	89.5%	3.50 points
Points Scale		
90.0-100% = 4.00 points		
85.0-89.9% = 3.50 points		
80.0-84.9% = 3.00 points		
75.0-79.9% = 2.50 points		
70.0-74.9% = 2.00 points		
65.0-69.9% = 1.50 points		
60.0-64.9% = 1.00 points		
00.0-59.9% = 0.00 points		
Improvement		***Bonus/Penalty Points***
8th grade to 10th grade Improvement: Bonus target is ≥ 17.1%	-3.6%	-0.50 points
10th grade to 12th grade Improvement: Bonus target is ≥ 62.8%	100.0%	0.50 points
Final		**3.50 points**

Performance		Preliminary Score
% of Students Passing the English 10 ECA and ISTAR:	84.2%	3.00 points
Points Scale		
90.0-100% = 4.00 points		
85.0-89.9% = 3.50 points		
80.0-84.9% = 3.00 points		
75.0-79.9% = 2.50 points		
70.0-74.9% = 2.00 points		
65.0-69.9% = 1.50 points		
60.0-64.9% = 1.00 points		
00.0-59.9% = 0.00 points		
Improvement		***Bonus/Penalty Points***
8th grade to 10th grade Improvement: Bonus target is ≥ 10.3%	-6.4%	-0.50 points
10th grade to 12th grade Improvement: Bonus target is ≥ 59.3%	100.0%	0.50 points
Final		**3.00 points**

Performance		Score
4-Year Graduation Rate:	96.5%	4.00 points
Points Scale		
90.0-100% = 4.00 points		
85.0-89.9% = 3.50 points		
80.0-84.9% = 3.00 points		
75.0-79.9% = 2.50 points		
70.0-74.9% = 2.00 points		
65.0-69.9% = 1.50 points		
60.0-64.9% = 1.00 points		
00.0-59.9% = 0.00 points		
Final		**4.00 points**

Performance		Score
Unduplicated % of graduates who passed an AP or IB Exam, or received at least 3 hours of college credit, or received an Industry Certification:	53.7%	4.00 points
Points Scale		
25.0-100% = 4.00 points		
18.4-24.9% = 3.00 points		
11.7-18.3% = 2.00 points		
5.0-11.6% = 1.50 points		
0.0-4.9% = 0.00 points		
Final		**4.00 points**

IMAGINING the possibilities. MAKING THEM HAPPEN.

Indiana Department of Education

Glenda Ritz, NBCT
Indiana Superintendent of Public Instruction

- **A-F School Years 2012 thru 2013**
  - Elementary/Middle School model primarily including following elements:
    - English Language Arts
      - Performance rate points
      - Student Growth bonus and penalty points
      - Participation rate penalty points
    - Math
      - Performance rate points
      - Student Growth bonus and penalty points
      - Participation rate penalty points

  - High School model primarily including following elements:
    - English Language Arts
      - Performance rate points
      - Student Improvement bonus and penalty points
    - Math
      - Performance rate points
      - Student Improvement bonus and penalty points
    - Graduation
      - Graduation rate points
    - College and Career Readiness
      - College and Career Readiness points