Indiana Election Commission Minutes February 25, 2021

Members Present: Paul Okeson, Chairman of the Indiana Election Commission ("Commission"); S. Anthony Long, Vice Chairman of the Commission; Suzannah Wilson Overholt, member; Zachary E. Klutz, member.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Attending: J. Bradley King, Co-Director, Indiana Election Division of the Office of the Secretary of State (Election Division); Angela M. Nussmeyer, Co-Director of the Election Division; Matthew Kochevar, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Valerie Warycha, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division.

Others Attending: Dr. Jay Bagga; Mr. Tim Hallett; Mr. Cory Hinkle; Mr. Jordan Jarnigan; Mr. Mark Manganaro; Mr. Chris Ortiz.

1. Call to Order:

The Chair called the February 25, 2021 meeting of the Commission to order at 1:00 p.m. EST in a virtual Zoom meeting.

2. Transaction of Commission Business:

The Commission proceeded to transact the business set forth in the Transcript of Proceedings for this meeting prepared by Maria W. Collier, RPR, CRR of Stewart Richardson and Associates, which is incorporated by reference into these minutes. The Commission corrects the following scrivener's errors in this document:

Page 11, line 10, replace "MODERATER" with "MODERATOR". Page 11, line 13, replace "MODERATER" with "MODERATOR".

The Commission adjourned its meeting at 2:12 p.m. EST.

Respectfully submitted,

Bradley Kin

J. Bradley King Co-Director

APPROVED:

Paul Okeson, Chairman

Angela M. Nussmeyer

Co-Director

In the Matter Of:

INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION PUBLIC SESSION

Transcript of Proceedings

February 25, 2021



800.869.0873 www.StewartRichardson.com

Reporting Driven by Excellence - Since 1975

INDIANAPOLIS | CARMEL | EVANSVILLE | FORT WAYNE | SOUTH BEND | VALPARAISO

| 1 | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION |
| 3 | PUBLIC SESSION |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Conducted on: February 25, 2021 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Conducted Remotely via Videoconference |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | A Stenographic Record by: |
| 16 | Maria W. Collier, RPR, CRR |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | STEWART RICHARDSON DEPOSITION SERVICES Registered Professional Reporters |
| 25 | (800)869-0873 |

| 1 | APPEARANCES |
|----|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION: |
| 3 | Paul Okeson - Chairman |
| 4 | S. Anthony Long - Vice Chairman |
| 5 | Suzannah Wilson Overholt - Member |
| 6 | Zachary E. Klutz - Member |
| 7 | |
| 8 | INDIANA ELECTION DIVISION STAFF: |
| 9 | Angela M. Nussmeyer - Co-Director |
| 10 | J. Bradley King - Co-Director |
| 11 | Matthew Kochevar - Co-Counsel |
| 12 | Valerie Warycha - Co-Counsel |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| Page | 3 |
|------|---|
|------|---|

| | | | U |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---|
| 1 | INDEX OF AGENDA ITEMS | | |
| 2 | | PAGE | |
| 3 | Call to Order and Determination of Quorum | 4 | |
| 4 | | 4 | |
| 5 | Documentation of Compliance with Open Door Law | 4 | |
| 6 | Approval of August 14, 2020 Commission Minutes | 5 | |
| 7 | | c | |
| 8 | Ratification of Campaign Finance Settlement Agreements | 6 | |
| 9 | Voting System Technical Oversight Program Reports: | | |
| 10 | Election Systems & Software | 15 | |
| 11 | ExpressVote 6.0.4.0 optical scan voting system | ТЭ | |
| 12 | Election Systems & Software | 41 | |
| 13 | Engineering Change Order ECO 1103 for ExpressVote 5.2.4.0 voting system | 77 | |
| 14 | Hart InterCivic Verity Voting 2.5 | 44 | |
| 15 | hybrid optical scan voting system | | |
| 16 | Unisyn OpenElect 2.1 voting system | 51 | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

1 CHAIRMAN OKESON: With that, we'll go ahead and call the Election Commission to order. 2 The 3 date is Thursday, February 25, 2021.

The following members are present: Myself, the chairman; Vice Chairman Anthony Long; Member Suzannah Wilson Overholt; and Member Zach Klutz. Т also recognize the Indiana Election Division staff: Co-Director Brad King; Co-Director Angie Nussmeyer; Co-Counsels Matthew Kochevar and Valerie Warycha. And, of course, our court reporter, Maria, as you can see her on the screen.

I do believe we have some testimony we're going to receive today, and so I want to remind everybody -- I guess we don't have to identify 15 ourselves or do we, Maria, based on how the Zoom is 16 set up?

17 THE REPORTER: I think that I can see all the members and staff folks, so it shouldn't be a 18 19 I will pipe up if I don't see someone and problem. 20 they're speaking.

Okay. Maybe it wouldn't CHAIRMAN OKESON: hurt to identify yourself when you're speaking anyway just so we can track the screen 24 appropriately.

Moving on, we'll get into compliance with the

21

2.2

23

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 Open Door Law. Can the co-directors please confirm that the commission meeting has been properly 2 3 noticed.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, on behalf of myself and Co-Director Nussmeyer, we can confirm that notice of this virtual meeting was given in compliance with the Open Door Law.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

24

25

Okay. Also, before we move CHAIRMAN OKESON: on, I'll remind everybody that, when we get to a place where we have to vote on anything, we'll do a roll call vote. I think we've done that before but just as a reminder.

Next, we'll do approval of the August 14, 2020, commission meeting minutes. I recognize the co-directors to present the meeting minutes.

16 MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, members of the 17 commission, on behalf of Co-Director Angie 18 Nussmeyer and myself, we have presented a copy of 19 the minutes for the August 14, 2020, Indiana Election Commission meeting and recommend them for 21 your approval.

2.2 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I would -- Anthony Long. 23 I move we approve them.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Perfect. Thank you. Is there a second?

| 1 | MR. KLUTZ: Second. |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Zach. |
| 3 | Any discussion? |
| 4 | Hearing none, all those in favor say "aye." |
| 5 | Vice Chairman Long. |
| 6 | MS. OVERHOLT: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. KLUTZ: Aye. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Zach, was that you? |
| 9 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I believe that was |
| 10 | unanimous, Mr. Chairman. Aye for the record. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: The "ayes" have it. Good |
| 12 | enough. |
| 13 | Next, we move on to ratification of campaign |
| 14 | finance settlement agreements. I recognize again |
| 15 | the co-directors to present campaign finance |
| 16 | enforcement settlement agreements to the commission |
| 17 | for ratification. |
| 18 | MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, members of the |
| 19 | commission, the co-directors distributed copies of |
| 20 | the list prepared by campaign finance staff of |
| 21 | committees which have entered into settlement |
| 22 | agreements, which, members will recall, provide for |
| 23 | the payment of the full amount of an enforcement |
| 24 | action for a delinquent report by a committee but |
| 25 | without the admission of any violation or other |

1

liability by that committee.

The list contains the name of one committee which a commission member has requested be voted on separately to prevent a conflict of interest. I'd be happy to answer any further questions.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. And I believe, Commission Member Overholt Wilson, you had raised your hand. Did you have something you wanted to chime in on as it related to that or another matter?

MS. OVERHOLT: Yes. And actually it is Wilson Overholt. My name is wrong on the screen, so...

CHAIRMAN OKESON: I should know that by now. Thank you. Sorry.

MS. OVERHOLT: And I didn't type -- just so you know, I didn't type that in. So I know my name. But, yes, sorry.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Just for the record; right? MS. OVERHOLT: Yeah, just for the record. Oh, look, and it miraculously changed. I like that.

So, yes, the settlement agreement with respect to the Friends of Indiana Mental Health Centers, I have a conflict with respect to that agreement or, I mean, I -- well, I would like to recuse myself from voting on that. So if we could carve that one

| 1 | out, I will vote on the others. |
|----|----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. I'm certainly fine |
| 3 | with that. Do we need to take a motion on that or |
| 4 | can we just adopt it as a matter of course? |
| 5 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: As far as I'm concerned, |
| 6 | we can do it first, and then you can then the |
| 7 | rest of them we can do en masse, would be maybe a |
| 8 | simpler way to do it. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: I mean, Brad, Valerie, |
| 10 | Angela, does anybody have any issues with that? |
| 11 | MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, what the vice |
| 12 | chairman has recommended is perfectly sensible and |
| 13 | appropriate. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Okay. |
| 15 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Nobody's said anything |
| 16 | like that to me for a while. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: So where are we now? Are we |
| 18 | at a place where we need a motion to ratify the |
| 19 | settlement agreements? |
| 20 | MR. KING: No. Mr. Chairman, you have a |
| 21 | motion under this procedure to ratify the |
| 22 | particular settlement agreement that Commissioner |
| 23 | Wilson Overholt will not be participating in the |
| 24 | vote on. |
| 25 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: If somebody's made that |
| | |

| 1 | motion, I'll second it. If nobody's made it, I'll |
|----|----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | make it. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: I think you're making it. |
| 4 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Okay. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Is there a second? |
| 6 | MR. KLUTZ: Second. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any discussion? |
| 8 | Hearing none, all those in favor say "aye." |
| 9 | MR. KLUTZ: Aye. |
| 10 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Aye. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Aye. I think we're good |
| 12 | there. |
| 13 | MS. OVERHOLT: And I abstained since I wanted |
| 14 | to recuse myself anyway, so I'm not voting on that |
| 15 | one. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: I got it. That works. |
| 17 | Are we moving to the administration of oath? |
| 18 | MR. KING: Mr. Chairman? |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. |
| 20 | MR. KING: You have the remainder of the |
| 21 | campaign finance settlement agreements to |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: I do. Okay. |
| 23 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Mr. Chairman, I move we |
| 24 | approve the remainder of them. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: The remainder, yeah. Thank |

| 1 | you. |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Is there a second? |
| 3 | MR. KLUTZ: Second. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any discussion? |
| 5 | Hearing none, all those in favor? |
| 6 | Aye. |
| 7 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Aye. |
| 8 | MS. OVERHOLT: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. KLUTZ: Aye. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: The "ayes" have it, and that |
| 11 | motion is adopted. Thank you, Brad. |
| 12 | For those of you that will be providing |
| 13 | testimony before the commission, please stand or |
| 14 | acknowledge yourself for the administration of the |
| 15 | oath, and I'll recognize Matthew Kochevar to do so. |
| 16 | MR. KOCHEVAR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 17 | All those who plan to testify before the |
| 18 | Indiana Election Commission, please say "I do" |
| 19 | after recitation of the oath. |
| 20 | Do you solemnly swear or affirm the testimony |
| 21 | you are about to give to the Indiana Election |
| 22 | Commission is the truth, the whole truth, and |
| 23 | nothing but the truth? Please say "I do" if you |
| 24 | are unmuted and able to. |
| 25 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: And also identify your |

Γ

| 1 | name, please. |
|----|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | MR. JARNAGIN: Jordan Jarnagin. I do. |
| 3 | DR. BAGGA: Jay Bagga. I do. |
| 4 | MR. HINKLE: Cory Hinkle. I do. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any others? |
| 6 | MR. MANGANARO: Mark Manganaro with ES&S. I |
| 7 | do. |
| 8 | VICE CHAIRMAN OKESON: Is that it? Do we have |
| 9 | any others? I see some other names on the screen. |
| 10 | THE MODERATER: Tim and Chris, please unmute |
| 11 | yourselves. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: I'm sorry? |
| 13 | THE MODERATER: Tim and Chris just need to |
| 14 | unmute themselves. |
| 15 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Yes. I see we've got two |
| 16 | people that have not |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: I see a Tim Hallett and |
| 18 | Chris Ortiz. |
| 19 | MR. ORTIZ: Chris Ortiz. I confirm. |
| 20 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Did you say "I do," |
| 21 | Chris? |
| 22 | MR. ORTIZ: I do. I do. I'm sorry. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: And, Tim, did we hear from |
| 24 | you? |
| 25 | (No response.) |

Γ

| 1 | Can we just reconfirm when and if he gets up |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | to provide testimony, Brad? |
| 3 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Yeah. We recognize I |
| 4 | recognize that he's taken the oath at this point. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Okay. With that, we'll move |
| 6 | on to the next item on the agenda, which is the |
| 7 | Voting System Technical Oversight Program reports. |
| 8 | The |
| 9 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Mr. Chairman? |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes, sir. |
| 11 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: In the interest of some |
| 12 | time here, I had a general statement that I would |
| 13 | like to at least state my position that would apply |
| 14 | to all of them and so I won't have to repeat it on |
| 15 | each one, if I might have the personal privilege to |
| 16 | do that. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Absolutely. Go right ahead, |
| 18 | Vice Chairman Long. |
| 19 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Thank you. And I'll make |
| 20 | it as brief as I can. |
| 21 | I asked to see the VSTOP reports on each one |
| 22 | of these that are before us today. I probably |
| 23 | won't do that again. They're tremendously lengthy |
| 24 | and well beyond my capabilities to understand. |
| 25 | I've asked our staff to brief us on a little |

Γ

1 bit of some of the things that I have concerns about, and I believe that there are a number of 2 3 questions that need to be answered. And I don't want to go into them today, and I'll explain why in 4 5 just a second. But there are questions that concern me that need to be addressed and that we 6 can resolve in the near future, and there needs to 7 be some standardization on, in my opinion, the 8 9 output of the computer when they produce the paper 10 ballot trail that has become so important.

11 We can discuss this further, but I intend to 12 probably accept the recommendation to approve these 13 today based on what I've read so far. Obviously my 14 mind is not made up until I hear the testimony. 15 But my intent would be to not disapprove them 16 because of my questions and concerns because they 17 run until October. So that gives us from now until 18 October to resolve all those issues, and then they 19 all get recertified in October.

And if I'm still -- my term ends and there's a new appointment, whether or not I get reappointed or choose to be reappointed, that decision has not been made, as far as I know. I mean, I guess my wife hasn't told me. But I think if I'm on the commission in October and these questions remain unanswered and we don't have the standardization, it would not be my position to vote to approve them. I don't think there's anything in here that's really terribly controversial. There are a number of things that we can talk about maybe at the end that the legislature probably needs to do.

I'm not going to repeat this on every one, but 7 this applies to every one of the VSTOP 8 9 certifications today. And I appreciate you letting 10 me do this once for all of them so that there won't 11 be any -- I want to be transparent and up front 12 with everybody. There are some concerns that our 13 staff has that I would want satisfactorily resolved 14 before final recertification in October. Thank 15 you.

16 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Okay. Thank you, Vice 17 Chairman. I think that was probably smart to do 18 that on the front end. I appreciate you taking the 19 time to do that.

The commission has received its reports from VSTOP, the Voting System Technical Oversight Program, as I mentioned, with its recommendations regarding certain applications for approval of voting system applications and system engineering change orders. As Vice Chairman Long noted, the reports have been provided to members before
 today's meeting.

I'd now like to recognize representatives of the Ball State University, which administers the technical oversight program, for a presentation regarding these voting system applications for certification and the engineering change orders for approval by the commission.

Before we begin consideration of each specific voting system application or engineering change order, other than Vice Chairman Long, are there any other additional comments or anything to be offered before we move forward?

Hearing none, the commission will consider first the application of the Election Systems & Software for certification of ExpressVote 6.0.4.0 optical scan voting system. There's a representative from ES&S, or any other person who would like to testify regarding this matter?

MR. JARNAGIN: Good afternoon, Commission. I am Jordan Jarnagin, certification specialist with VSTOP. With me, I have Dr. Jay Bagga, co-director for VSTOP, and I believe that Mark Manganaro and Tim Hallett are both from the vendor, ES&S, and they're also on the phone.

| 1 | So the smart |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | MR. MANGANARO: This is Mark |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. Go ahead. |
| 4 | MR. MANGANARO: If I can, this is Mark |
| 5 | Manganaro with ES&S. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Sure. |
| 7 | MR. MANGANARO: I'm the Indiana state |
| 8 | certification manager, and I do have Tim Hallett. |
| 9 | He is the vice president of certification for ES&S. |
| 10 | He had to actually come join me in my office. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: And, again, you both affirm |
| 12 | that you've received the oath. |
| 13 | And, Maria, do you have their names |
| 14 | adequately? |
| 15 | THE REPORTER: Yes. I've got them from the |
| 16 | screen. Thank you. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Okay. Good. Sorry. Go |
| 18 | ahead. |
| 19 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I don't know that |
| 20 | Mr. Hallett acknowledged the oath. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. |
| 22 | MR. HALLETT: Hi. This is Tim Hallett, and I |
| 23 | acknowledge the oath. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. Thank you. Go right |
| 25 | ahead, Mark. |

| 1 | MR. MANGANARO: And if I just could, I'm very |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | new to Zoom. This is my first Zoom call. I |
| 3 | usually use Teams. So I don't know. Can you guys |
| 4 | see us in here? |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: No. You're blank, but we |
| 6 | can hear you fine. |
| 7 | MR. MANGANARO: Okay. Great. And then I just |
| 8 | saw where the button is for the mute. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: If this is your first |
| 10 | introduction to Zoom, you've missed some good stuff |
| 11 | in the past, so consider yourself lucky. |
| 12 | MR. MANGANARO: So I've heard. |
| 13 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Don't be surprised if |
| 14 | somebody comes onboard with us. |
| 15 | MR. MANGANARO: I was just planning on going |
| 16 | on mute, and then Jordan, I'm assuming, would run |
| 17 | through the report, and then we're available for |
| 18 | any clarifying questions. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. That would be fine. |
| 20 | MR. MANGANARO: Thank you. |
| 21 | MR. JARNAGIN: Okay. Thank you. Again, I'm |
| 22 | Jordan Jarnagin, certification specialist for |
| 23 | VSTOP. |
| 24 | To start, the EVS 6.0.4.0 is a new paper-based |
| 25 | end-to-end election management system, or EMS, that |

supports a jurisdiction's needs by creating and maintaining a central election database, formatting and printing of ballots, programming election equipment, and collecting reporting results.

EVS 6.0.4.0. includes many key enhancements, such as new security features, changes to the reports and report module, on-screen adjudication of scanned ballot images -- I will mention this a little bit more below -- and additional multi-language and audio support features. That's not every change, but that's just kind of some of the big ones I'm hitting on.

13 So the voting system was field-tested at Ball 14 State University on August 11, 2020, by the VSTOP 15 During the field-test, ES&S presented a team. 16 feature for the electronic adjudication of scanned 17 ballots through the ElectionWare software. After discussion of the feature with the Election 18 19 Division, it was determined that Indiana law does 20 not authorize the use of that procedure to make 21 determinations regarding the tallying of votes or 2.2 the validity of a ballot. For this certification, 23 the feature must be disabled among the ES&S EVS 24 6.0.4.0. voting systems utilized in Indiana.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

And then Brad and Angie can correct me if I'm

wrong. It should be House Bill 1365. There is pending legislation to authorize the use of adjudication, which, if that is approved, then by the October 1st recertification, we could remove this limitation.

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

2.2

The field-test included verification of all required elements of the Indiana statutes regarding voting systems as well as an ADA compliance evaluation. Mock elections, including IEC-approved test case scenarios for straight-party voting, were conducted on the voting system.

Based on VSTOP's review and evaluation, we find that the EVS 6.0.4.0. voting system meets all requirements of the Indiana Code for use in the state of Indiana.

16 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Jordan. Anything 17 else?

MR. JARNAGIN: No, not at this time from me.
 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any questions or discussion
 among the commission members?

MS. OVERHOLT: I had a question, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN OKESON: Absolutely. Go ahead.

MS. OVERHOLT: Thank you. So -- well, this kind of relates to the statement in the report about the feature that has to be disabled. What I'm wondering is, when there are features that are supposed to be disabled or enabled because of various requirements of Indiana law, is there some sort of mechanism within the system itself to verify that that feature is, in fact, disabled at the time of the election?

And I know I'm asking a compound question, but 7 they all kind of go together. I think related to 8 9 that, if there is any ability for the system to be 10 connected to the Internet or something like that, 11 is there a way to verify or some sort of alert that 12 would be triggered if the system were somehow 13 connected to the Internet? In other words, making 14 sure that things are not going on that shouldn't be 15 going on basically.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Right. It really has disconnected the way it says it has.

MS. OVERHOLT: Yes.

MR. JARNAGIN: Mark, do you mind if I defer this question to you?

21

16

17

18

19

20

MR. MANGANARO: Not a problem.

22 So first off, with the adjudication feature, 23 this is turned on and off by an ElectionWare 24 license. So any customer -- once the system is 25 actually certified in the state, I would put that in my database. And then any customer that would order this specific version of ElectionWare would receive a license file with that feature and functionality turned off.

So the adjudication is actually taking place within a module of ElectionWare called "Results," so anything related to the adjudication would be grayed out and wouldn't be activated.

9 As far as Internet access, this is an EAC-certified system. The hardening procedures don't allow for Internet access, and, additionally, we go into the system bios and actually turn off all external ports. Additionally, the customer could purchase plastic blocks and plugs for any of 15 the RJ45 jacks or any of the other ports on their 16 laptop computer or their PC, whichever one they would want to use. 17

MS. OVERHOLT:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Did that answer your question?

21

2.2

23

18

19

20

I think so. MS. OVERHOLT:

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: His answer raises a question for me, if I might, Mr. Chairman.

24 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Sure, sure. Please, go 25 right ahead.

1

2

3

4

1 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: As I understand, you say you turn it off before you send it to the customer. 2 3 Who has the capacity to turn it back on? 4 MR. MANGANARO: So essentially what we do with 5 any election management PC is, first, we would acquire the PC. We totally wipe that PC. 6 Then what we do is we reinstall the Windows operating 7 system, we install the Windows updates, and then 8 9 all of our proprietary software and the count 10 software. 11 Then what we do is we run the hardening 12 scripts, which turns off any unnecessary software 13 on the system, restricts access to certain users on 14 the system. And then we physically go into the 15 bios and make those physical changes, the turning 16 off of ports, turning off the wireless, Bluetooth 17 if it has it. That's usually done by our election system and software technicians either on-site or 18 19 at our facility in Omaha. 20 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So that can be done 21 on-site.

MR. MANGANARO: That could be done on-site,correct.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: By anyone who had thetechnical sophistication to do that.

1 MR. MANGANARO: Normally our technicians here in Omaha would go out and do it on-site. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: That's not my question. MR. MANGANARO: 4 Okay. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: If a person that was not associated with you had the technical expertise, 6 could they go into the system in the field and 7 change the -- let's make it simple, turn on 8 something that you had turned off or vice versa? 9 10 MR. MANGANARO: I don't think they could. One 11 thing they would have to have, the user name and 12 the passwords for the system administrator. Once the system is locked down, if I wanted to go on 13 14 and, say, put in Internet Explorer, that wouldn't 15 be allowed. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Who is the system 17 administrator? 18

CHAIRMAN OKESON: That was going to be my Is that your person or the county? question.

MR. MANGANARO: So what we essentially do with 21 the -- the system administrator is usually someone 2.2 at the county level in the IT department. And then 23 as part of that hardening process, we can create 24 user -- election user groups.

25

19

20

So, for instance, say I'm in Marion County.

2

The head of my IT department, he would be the system administrator. And as the Clerk of Marion County, I would be what we would call the election administrator. So the only thing I could do is I could log into ElectionWare, and I can do anything in ElectionWare from the front end of the election to the back end of the election.

Additionally, if I have other people in my office working with me, I could assign, say, Tim Hallett, I want you to only be able to go into ElectionWare and actually enter election-specific data.

Additionally, I could have a results user where I could have that user just be able to go into ElectionWare and just be able to go in and download results. So that results user wouldn't be able to do what Tim did, wouldn't be able to do what I did as the election administrator.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: But the election administrator or someone who would be able to learn the log-in name and password could sign in in the county and then make changes to the system from the county for that particular system. Is that what I'm hearing?

MR. MANGANARO: No, no. So the way it's set

1

2

3

up, if I am the election administrator, that is the election administrator within the election software. So anybody on there, I can't go in, log in as the election administrator, and add programs. I have to do that from the system administrator role.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So the --

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

MR. MANGANARO: Yeah. So I think I know where you're going. So the system administrator could log in --

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: What I thought you said earlier was the administrator has the password and they could log in and do things. Now you're saying that, no, they can't. I want absolute --

MR. MANGANARO: So I guess a better way to explain this, the system administrator has rights to make changes.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: And who is the system --MR. MANGANARO: The election --

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Who would that be?

21 MR. MANGANARO: And that's usually somebody at 22 the county level in their IT department.

23 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: You're telling me that 24 there is someone at the county level that has the 25 access possibilities to make changes to the system? MR. MANGANARO: Yes. Because you always have to have -- you always have to have that role in there to be able to -- so when they get an upgrade, they're going to want to go in there, they're going to want to be able to take off the old software and put on the new software.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: And one other thing. You say this is a Windows-based operating system.

MR. MANGANARO: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Now, when I've got -- and 11 it's obvious by my questions how sophisticated I am 12 in this area. But my phone, my iPad, Windows 13 communicates with me all the time directly from 14 Windows saying you need to upgrade, you need to do 15 That tells me that there is an outside this. 16 source into my equipment from Windows, that they're 17 reaching me through the Internet or through some 18 whatever it is, that they can access my computer to 19 talk to me and say you need to do this. How is 20 this system you've got different than that so that 21 there would be no outside contact with the system?

MR. MANGANARO: Yeah. So it's a closed
system. It's not on the network. So it's not like
Windows is going through the Internet pinging
Microsoft and saying, hey, I only have, like,

1 | Service Pack 1 and Service Pack 2 is available.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I think, Suzannah, you asked a great question there. I have some concerns for the October round. With all the conspiracy and all the things that have gone on about election stealing and cheating and all the things that I don't think happened but to resolve these arguments, we're going to have to have, I think, a level of capacity to make changes that is above and beyond some one local person in a county level. And I don't know what the answer is, but I think that's a problem that's going to have to be addressed, in my mind.

14 MS. OVERHOLT: Yeah. I would -- and I 15 guess -- and I understand the issues regarding 16 updating software and someone needs to be able to 17 do that and everything else. So I guess the -- I 18 mean, what I was raising -- I think there's a 19 difference between -- there's softwares that 20 allow -- have built-in audit trail so, when someone 21 goes in and makes the upgrade, it will show -- you 2.2 can print reports or whatever that show who those 23 users who have access are and all that kind of 24 stuff. And so oftentimes that kind of built-in 25 kind of compliance-type stuff can be very helpful

and resolves one issue.

1

But I think what Anthony was alluding to, what 2 3 I was asking about, and I think, again, just something to be looked at, I guess, before October 4 5 for various systems is just are there alerts, you know, if on Election Day someone were to somehow be 6 trying to get into a system even, something that 7 goes off showing that, oh, someone externally who's 8 9 got no business being here is trying to hack into 10 this system basically; and then on the other hand, 11 just the assurances of the way the systems are 12 developed that, yes, they are totally disconnected 13 from the Internet, wireless access, whatever, that therefore they are truly hardened, and so that risk 14 15 is basically reduced to zero.

16 MR. MANGANARO: Yeah. So they are hardened systems, and it's important to note these are not 17 18 integrated into any county's network. These are 19 separate PCs, monitors, keyboards, mice that are 20 not connected up to the Internet at all. I've 21 actually done site support out in Indiana, in 2.2 Elkhart County, and their computer is secured, 23 turned off, totally unplugged, and then on Election 24 Day, at some point, they will set that system up in 25 their county.

1 CHAIRMAN OKESON: I'd like to ask a quick This may be more for Jordan, or, Brad, 2 question. 3 maybe you and Angela can -- is this -- what we're 4 discussing, is this unique to ES&S or does this 5 kind of apply to all the voting systems? Is there Internet access with all the voting systems we use 6 in Indiana and do they also have a similar setup to 7 where you have an IT administrator in the county 8 9 that can access that? Can you speak to that at 10 all?

11 Yes. This is Jordan. MR. JARNAGIN: So most 12 of the voting systems would work the same way. 13 They would all be hardened systems of maybe not 14 Windows necessarily, but they would have an 15 underlying operating system. With that, you would 16 secure the voting machine, disable ports, WiFi, 17 Bluetooth, basically any way for somebody to gain 18 access to the system. So it wouldn't have Internet 19 access. You wouldn't be able to connect to it 20 through, you know, some unknown access point, 21 hopefully. That is, as long as --

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Is there parity between ES&S and MicroVote -- I don't know all the ones that we have in Indiana, but there's some level of parity in what's being discussed here as a potential issue

for the others as well? 1 So these concerns could 2 MR. JARNAGIN: Yes. be utilized across all of the voting system 3 4 vendors, these same exact concerns. So I know I'm 5 willing to discuss them with the commission, with the Election Division. I'm sure the rest of the 6 VSTOP team would love to be involved in coming up 7 8 with a solution to meet everybody's concerns on the 9 issues. 10 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I assume, Mr. Chairman, 11 that this would be uniformly applied -- or it would 12 be equally applicable to all the systems. 13 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: But ES&S gets to get on 15 the bubble first, see. 16 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Getting the party started. 17 Brad or Angela, do you have anything you'd like to offer on this? 18 19 MS. NUSSMEYER: I --20 MR. KING: Mr. Chairman -- go ahead, Angie. 21 MS. NUSSMEYER: I'll defer to you, Brad. Go 2.2 ahead. 23 MR. KING: All right. No. I was just going 24 to say I have nothing really further to add except 25 to say that there is pending legislation that was

referenced earlier that, among other things, contains language explicitly prohibiting voting systems from having Internet connectivity, and so we're likely to have some more specific statutory language when the current legislative session is over.

7 8

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Okay.

MR. MANGANARO: And just to clarify, this 8 9 system is not connected to the Internet in any way. 10 Anything we do, our software is installed either 11 via USB or a CD. I know now Microsoft has kind of 12 went to the Windows model. It doesn't seem like 13 you can buy an install disk anymore. But these are 14 ISO images that we create. We don't go to 15 Microsoft's website and download that from the 16 Internet, and any of the updates are all offline 17 updates.

18 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Mr. Chairman, could I ask19 Jordan a question?

20 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Absolutely. Go right ahead.
21 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Did I understand you to
22 say that these systems, someone goes in and
23 disables Bluetooth and WiFi also?

24 MR. JARNAGIN: Yeah. So if it's a laptop that 25 you would use for reporting -- like, the voting machine itself isn't going to be a typical laptop.
But if it's a laptop or a computer where you would
run reports on at the county level, they would go
through and disable any unnecessary feature. Some
voting machines may have some form of network
connectivity, and then those would be disabled
before anybody gets on the machine to vote.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: What if they got undisabled by that one person in the field that's got the capacity to do that? Then it would be connected to the Internet, would it not?

8

9

10

11

12 It depends. So if the only MR. JARNAGIN: 13 means of connecting to it is through a cable, an 14 ethernet cord, then somebody would have to connect the ethernet cord and then the cord would have to 15 16 go somewhere. If it's in, say, a school and it's 17 in the middle of a gymnasium they're voting, then 18 finding somewhere to connect the voting machine to 19 in the middle of a gym floor while poll workers are 20 walking around would be a little bit obvious, I would think. 21

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I'm not concerned with that situation. I'm concerned if they did it -you said, well, if they connected with a cable. It seems to me that, if they disable these 1 connections, there would be, then, a way to connect 2 to the Internet some way. Is that -- that should 3 be a simple can you connect to the Internet if 4 someone locally enables these functions that are 5 disabled. That's a simple question. Yes, they can 6 or, no, they can't.

7 MR. JARNAGIN: I would give you a yes or a no, 8 but, again, it depends. So if, say, it's a 9 wireless connectivity, they would still have to 10 join a network. So you could enable the ability to 11 connect to a network, but without actually 12 connecting to a network, you couldn't, if that 13 makes sense.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Well, you talk in that 14 15 language, as long as you say yes or no and there 16 are ways that, well, if you could, it depends on 17 getting to a network, these folks are pretty damn 18 sophisticated. I mean, we're not talking about 19 some kid sitting -- of course, that may be. Thev 20 probably are the better ones. But we're talking 21 about people that run computer systems in 22 countries, so I've got to assume they're the most 23 sophisticated people in the world along with our 24 people.

25

So if one of these highly sophisticated

people -- I mean, I'm not the guy telling you. It seems like to me if you enable it and then I take the WiFi and connect it with another computer that is network connected, those two connections then could put the system online with the Internet by that method.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: I'd like to piggyback off of Vice Chairman Long's comments because, if I understood correctly, there's an elections administrator and what I'll call an IT administrator who works for the county. Right? That IT administrator is the person who could, to Vice Chairman Long's point, enable Internet access on the system. It stands to reason that person would also be able, then, to easily join a network. Am I following the custody correctly here? I guess, Jordan, that's a question for you.

MR. JARNAGIN: Yeah. This is Jordan. So, yes, that makes sense. An IT person at a county or whoever has system administration access to a voting machine could connect it -- enable the feature and connect it to the Internet somewhere. The only --

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Via county network; correct? MR. JARNAGIN: Yes. So my only concern would

25

be, if they did it from -- say they worked at a county building and then the voting machine got taken to voting location. That network access wouldn't be at the voting location, just at the county.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: This has got to be resolved before October, in my mind.

MR. MANGANARO: And this is Mark Manganaro with ES&S. I don't know if I can jump in.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Sure. Go ahead.

MR. MANGANARO: But are you guys talking about the ElectionWare software or the tabulators that are out in the field? Somebody mentioned something about at a school. So if you're in a school gymnasium, our kind of basic configuration would be a DS200 precinct tabulator and an ExpressVote. Those machines cannot connect to the Internet.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: They're going to have to -- they're going to have to be resolved. You can give me all the anecdotal opportunities or scenarios where they can't do it, but I want to know it can't be done anywhere, anytime, any way unless something happens that is a satisfactory level of -- I know somebody's got to have the ability to make changes, but we have to have

25

safeguards built in here that one person sitting in the county, one person could be able to cause havoc in an election if they were inclined to do that. And that has to somehow have so many levels that things would have to go through to get it done that it is virtually impossible for that to happen.

MS. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, if I could. And I don't want to lead Mark into some questions here, but I think this might go to Anthony's concerns and maybe the rest of the commission.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah.

MS. NUSSMEYER: And that is, I think when we're talking about at least for the ES&S system, the concern about connectivity is with the one central computer that the election management software resides on, and that is the computer where the county is going to be making and coding their elections and building their ballots and tabulating their results after the election.

And so in that instance, I think what people are saying is that it is possible to connect a computer to the Internet in that situation, except that federal and soon-to-be state law confirms that that system has to be hardened, meaning it cannot touch the Internet. And that's where we're talking 1 about disabling Bluetooth and other things on the one PC, that we're doing that in a way to harden 2 3 the system to create that air gap.

And I think what I'm hearing Mark say -- and, 4 5 Mark, I don't want to lead you into the answer, but I think what I'm hearing you say is that the 6 individual voting system units that are deployed 7 out to the polling places, at least for your 8 system, do not have any type of modem or wireless 9 10 connectivity where somebody could go in and plug it 11 into a wall or tap into a modem within your system. 12 That is, in and of itself, a hardened system 13 because it has no capacity to even touch the 14 Is that what I'm hearing you say? Internet.

15 16 17 MR. MANGANARO: Correct.

Okay. So at least for the MS. NUSSMEYER: ES&S system, the individual units going to your 18 polling places would not be able to be connected to 19 the Internet. But at the local level, at that main 20 centralized computer hub, the IT administrator 21 could enable, if you will, wireless connectivity or 22 network connectivity, based on what I'm hearing you 23 say that your software would allow them to do, but 24 there's an established best practice and federal 25 and soon-to-be state law that has made a point to

1 say that that system has to be air gapped. VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: 2 The bottom line is, 3 there's laws that prevent people from killing people, but you know that people murder people 4 5 every day. And just because there's a law that says that -- you hit the nail on the head, Angie, 6 7 can't be connected to the Internet, and nobody's been able to say it can't be connected to the 8 9 Internet, period. They're saying it can't be 10 connected to the Internet unless. And as long as 11 that "unless" is in there, then we've got a level 12 of security that I think we have to be able to 13 answer. Anybody with conspiracy theory beliefs or 14 whatever it is, factual or not, that we have done 15 everything to see that this happens. I mean, one 16 person --

17 CHAIRMAN OKESON: So to Vice Chairman Long's point, right -- and, Angie, I think you did a good 18 19 job of summarizing it -- so it's sort of left hand, 20 right hand. The machines out in the field, right, 21 they're airtight. You get it back to where the 22 county counts or processes the election, and, to 23 Vice Chairman Long's point, an IT administrator 24 could connect that machine -- could deharden it, 25 right, connect that machine to the Internet and

1 create some level of manipulation potentially. I mean, I don't know how feasible that is, but 2 3 that's -- and, Vice Chairman Long, don't let me put 4 words in your mouth, but to summarize kind of your 5 concern, I think that's what it is. Right? VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: And I think it could be 6 fixed or it could be made so difficult it's, you 7 know, virtually impossible. Nothing is impossible 8 9 in this world. I just think having one person 10 having the access to be able to make some changes 11 at the county level is an unacceptable level of 12 security for my mind. 13 CHAIRMAN OKESON: And so with that summation, 14 Mark, do you have anything else you'd like to say 15 on the matter? 16 MR. MANGANARO: No. 17 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any other comments or 18 questions? 19 Mr. Chairman, if you wouldn't MS. NUSSMEYER: 20 mind indulging me one more question for Mark. 21 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. Co-director --2.2 MS. NUSSMEYER: It was the one question that I 23 saw based on all the reports, and that is, your 24 main computer, your main PC that your software 25 resides on for the EMS, Mark, it mentions a

1 Windows 7 operating system, and I know that Microsoft has stopped supporting that as of January 2 3 last year. I suspect the answer is, because the 4 computer is hardened and air gapped, having an 5 older operating system isn't that big of a deal in terms of running your software, but I did raise 6 that question for my board members just as a 7 8 potential concern and thought you might want to 9 address that.

10 MR. MANGANARO: Yeah. And that is correct. 11 It is a Windows 7 system. We have an agreement 12 with Microsoft to support -- is it January of 13 '23? -- to support Windows 7 until January of 2023. 14 You did bring up a good point. It is an air-gapped system that is hardened. And then our next release 15 16 that we would be bringing to the state, 6.2.0.0, 17 would actually be Windows 10.

18 MS. NUSSMEYER: Thank you, Mark. And thank19 you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Absolutely. Thank you.
Any other comments or questions?
Hearing none, can I get a motion to approve
the application for certification of the ES&S
ExpressVote 6.0.4.0 in accordance with this
recommendation from VSTOP and subject to any

| 1 | restriction or additional requirements in that | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | recommendation for a term expiring on October 1, | | | |
| 3 | 2021, which I hope, Vice Chairman Long, that | | | |
| 4 | provision helps address your concern. | | | |
| 5 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Subject to my comments | | | |
| 6 | earlier and my questions, I believe I think I | | | |
| 7 | made it clear from now till October I'm comfortable | | | |
| 8 | with where we are and we've got time to move. So | | | |
| 9 | with that caveat, I will make that motion. | | | |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Is there a second? | | | |
| 11 | MR. KLUTZ: Second. | | | |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Zach. | | | |
| 13 | Any further discussion? | | | |
| 14 | Hearing none, all those in favor signify by | | | |
| 15 | "aye." | | | |
| 16 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Aye. | | | |
| 17 | MR. KLUTZ: Aye. | | | |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Aye. | | | |
| 19 | MS. OVERHOLT: Aye. | | | |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: It's unanimous. The motion | | | |
| 21 | is adopted. | | | |
| 22 | Next the commission will consider the | | | |
| 23 | application of ES&S for approval of engineering | | | |
| 24 | change order 1103 for its ExpressVote 5.2.4.0 | | | |
| 25 | voting system. We have representatives here from | | | |

1 ES&S. Would you like to testify on the matter, or anyone from VSTOP? 2 3 MR. JARNAGIN: Jordan Jarnagin will. 4 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Go ahead. So the ECO 1103 is a de minimis 5 MR. JARNAGIN: 6 It is for the ExpressVote V2.1. ECO. The ExpressVote V2.1 is utilized by the EVS 5.2.4.0 7 voting system as well as the aforementioned 8 9 EVS 6.0.4.0 voting system. In your recommendation, 10 I had written that it was pending on your vote 11 whether or not it would apply to the 6.0.4.0, but 12 the 5.2.4.0 voting system is currently already 13 certified for use in Indiana. 14 ECO 1103 updates the CMOS battery to extend 15 time between maintenance events. Members of the 16 VSTOP team have reviewed the ECO supporting 17 documents as well as the VSTL report. VSTOP finds 18 that the ECO complies with the requirements for 19 de minimis changes to hardware components, and 20 VSTOP recommends approval of ECO 1103 for the

21 | EVS 5.2.4.0 and the EVS 6.0.4.0 voting systems.

22 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Jordan.
23 Any questions, discussion from the commission?
24 Hearing none, is there a motion to adopt
25 VSTOP's recommendation for the approval of the

| 1 | engineering change order 1103 as described in the |
|----|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | report as modifications to the ES&S ExpressVote |
| 3 | 5.2.4.0 voting system, with this approval being |
| 4 | effective immediately? |
| 5 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: So moved. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you. |
| 7 | Is there a second? |
| 8 | MR. KLUTZ: Second. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Zach. |
| 10 | Any discussion? |
| 11 | MR. KING: Mr. Chairman? |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. |
| 13 | MR. KING: Excuse me. VSTOP, in its |
| 14 | presentation, indicated that this engineering |
| 15 | change order was recommended for also the 6.0.4.0 |
| 16 | system based on the commission's vote to certify. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. |
| 18 | MR. KING: And so just to clarify the extent |
| 19 | of the motion may be helpful. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: So you want to reissue a |
| 21 | motion? Is that what you're asking, Brad? |
| 22 | MR. KING: No. Mr. Chairman, I'm just saying |
| 23 | if the commission members wish to amend their |
| 24 | motion to incorporate the voting system they just |
| 25 | voted to certify, that will clarify the scope of |
| | |

1 the engineering change order. 2 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: What's the recommendation 3 of VSTOP? 4 CHAIRMAN OKESON: That we do so. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I intended to adopt the VSTOP recommendation in my motion. 6 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. I just didn't -- in 7 my reading of a request to offer a motion, to 8 9 Brad's point, I didn't call out the fact that it 10 also applied to 6.0.4.0 that we had just voted on 11 previous, I think was your point. Right, Brad? 12 MR. KING: Yes, Mr. Chairman, that's correct. 13 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Going to have to tighten 14 up there, Mr. Chairman. 15 CHAIRMAN OKESON: I'm loose in the turns. 16 It's just getting rough. 17 I'll take that as an amendment to the motion, Vice Chairman. 18 19 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Second? 21 MR. KLUTZ: Second. 2.2 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any further discussion? 23 Hearing none, all those in favor signify by 24 "aye." 25 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Aye.

1 MR. KLUTZ: Aye. 2 MS. OVERHOLT: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN OKESON: The motion is adopted. 4 Moving on, the commission will now consider 5 the application of the Hart InterCivic for certification of its Verity Voting 2.5 hybrid 6 7 optical scan voting system. Do we have any representatives from Hart InterCivic or anyone from 8 9 VSTOP that wants to comment on this? 10 MR. JARNAGIN: Jordan Jarnagin. 11 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Go ahead. 12 MR. JARNAGIN: The Hart InterCivic Verity 13 Voting 2.5 voting system is an upgrade to Verity 14 Voting 2.3, which is currently certified for use in 15 the state of Indiana. Hart InterCivic originally 16 applied to have Verity Voting 2.4 certified, but 17 while we were reviewing the documentation, they had postponed the certification of that to wait for 18 19 Verity Voting 2.5. 20 Verity Voting 2.5 contains a number of 21 software component and hardware changes, which 2.2 include upgrading from Windows 7 to Windows 10, the 23 addition of Verity Duo Go and Verity Duo Standalone 24 devices. And then the Verity Voting 2.5 also 25 includes all of the software changes from Verity

Voting 2.4, which is why they wanted to delay the certification and go with 2.5 due to the hardware and Windows software upgrades that it included.

1

2

3

4 The 2.4 software changes dealt with primarily 5 security enhancements and many improved usability 6 features. As with Verity Voting 2.3, the Verity Voting Touch Writer Duo device included in Verity 7 Voting 2.5 is a series of up to 12 ballot marking 8 devices connected together via a closed network 9 10 daisy chain. VSTOP maintains its position from the 11 precedent set with the currently certified Indiana 12 Verity 2.3 that the network is closed and poses no 13 additional vulnerabilities or threat without having 14 direct physical access to the hardware.

The Verity Voting Duo Go and Verity Standalone devices are new to Verity 2.5. They do not require connecting to another Verity device over that daisy chain network, and then the Verity Duo Go is actually intended to be used for curbside voting. It's a mobile option.

Verity Voting 2.5 was field-tested at Ball
State University on December 21, 2020, by the VSTOP
team. The field-test included verification of all
required elements of Indiana statutes regarding
voting systems as well as ADA compliance

1 evaluation. Mock elections, including IEC-approved 2 test case scenarios for straight-party voting, were 3 conducted on the voting system. For this 4 certification, the electronic adjudication feature 5 must be disabled among the Verity Voting 2.5 voting 6 systems utilized in Indiana.

And based on VSTOP's review and evaluation, we find that the Hart InterCivic Verity Voting 2.5 voting system meets all of the requirements of the Indiana Code for use in the state of Indiana.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Jordan.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

Any questions or comments from any commission members?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: May I, Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN OKESON: Please, go ahead.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: This will be a simple question. We had a series of questions regarding the ES&S system. Would the same questions receive similar answers if it applied to the Hart Civic Verity Voting system?

21 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Jordan, would you like to 22 respond to that?

23 MR. JARNAGIN: Yes. Regarding the24 connectivity to the Internet?

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Primarily, yes.

| 1 | MR. JARNAGIN: Okay. So the Verity Voting, | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | they do have a network access, but everything | | | | |
| 3 | should be hardened, as we'd mentioned before. The | | | | |
| 4 | devices do connect to one another. Dr. Bagga may | | | | |
| 5 | be able to explain a little bit more about this due | | | | |
| 6 | to Verity 2.3 being tested before I had joined | | | | |
| 7 | VSTOP, and Cory, vendor from Hart InterCivic on the | | | | |
| 8 | phone, might be able to help answer some of these | | | | |
| 9 | questions a little better as well. | | | | |
| 10 | DR. BAGGA: Thank you, Jordan. This is Jay | | | | |
| 11 | Bagga. If I may. | | | | |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. | | | | |
| 13 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I thought maybe we just | | | | |
| 14 | brought his picture in today. How are you, Doctor? | | | | |
| 15 | DR. BAGGA: I'm pretty good, Commissioner | | | | |
| 16 | Long. How are you, sir? | | | | |
| 17 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I'm doing fine. We've | | | | |
| 18 | been doing this a while, haven't we? | | | | |
| 19 | DR. BAGGA: We have, yes. We have been doing | | | | |
| 20 | this a while. | | | | |
| 21 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I look forward to seeing | | | | |
| 22 | you at the meetings. | | | | |
| 23 | DR. BAGGA: I certainly am looking forward to | | | | |
| 24 | it, yes. Of course, we are all under COVID | | | | |
| 25 | conditions, so I miss seeing people in person. I | | | | |

Γ

certainly do. But you're looking good on the
 screen, Commissioner Long.

So, yes. So I would echo everything that Jordan said, and the questions are similar for all voting systems. The daisy chain network connection is a closed network connection where the ballot marking devices are connected. This discussion came up in the last certification for the currently certified system, and we have maintained that position. Nothing has changed since then, since the commission certified it last, for that particular configuration of daisy chain.

But the other questions about Internet connectivity are similar, Commissioner Long, to the ES&S system, and the same questions will have similar answers about hardening. And I'll defer to Cory, who is also present here, to add anything to this. He's the Hart representative.

Cory.

20 MR. HINKLE: Thanks. Hi, this is Cory Hinkle. 21 Yes. So just to comment on the Internet 22 connectivity, the short answer is our system, both 23 the devices at the polling locations and the 24 central county-level locations, cannot connect to 25 the Internet.

1 And just to give a little background explanation on that, so we use a system that's 2 3 built from the ground up that only includes 4 components that are necessary in the operating 5 system. So we actually don't include any elements that -- in our operating system image, we don't 6 include any elements that allow Internet 7 connectivity. And that started from the trusted 8 9 build stage for creating our operating system 10 images.

11 So, yeah, Internet connectivity is not 12 capable. We don't have to actually disable any Bluetooth or WiFi on our systems because they 13 14 aren't enabled on the operating system image. So 15 it's not something that anyone at the county level 16 would even need to worry about because it's not 17 possible to make any of those changes based on our 18 operating system image that we have installed on 19 our machines.

20 CHAIRMAN OKESON: So whether it be the machine 21 out in the field or any central counting machine, 22 as Co-Director Nussmeyer referred to with ES&S, 23 what I hear you saying is, regardless or 24 irrespective of whether there's an IT administrator 25 or not, they cannot log in and connect anything to

| 1 | the Internet. Is that what you're saying? | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | MR. HINKLE: That is correct, yeah. And all | | | |
| 3 | of our systems operate in kiosk mode, so it's an | | | |
| 4 | air-gapped hardened system that cannot connect to | | | |
| 5 | the Internet. | | | |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Very good. | | | |
| 7 | Any other questions or comments? | | | |
| 8 | Hearing none, is there a motion to approve the | | | |
| 9 | application for certification of the Hart | | | |
| 10 | InterCivic Verity Voting 2.5 hybrid optical scan | | | |
| 11 | system in accordance with the recommendation of | | | |
| 12 | VSTOP subject to any restriction or additional | | | |
| 13 | requirement in that recommendation for a term | | | |
| 14 | expiring October 1, 2021? | | | |
| 15 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: As before, for the same | | | |
| 16 | reasons, I make that motion. | | | |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you. | | | |
| 18 | Second? | | | |
| 19 | MR. KLUTZ: Second. | | | |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Zach. | | | |
| 21 | Any further discussion? | | | |
| 22 | Hearing none, those in favor signify by saying | | | |
| 23 | "aye." | | | |
| 24 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Aye. | | | |
| 25 | MS. OVERHOLT: Aye. | | | |
| | | | | |

1 MR. KLUTZ: Aye. 2 Aye. The "ayes" have it. CHAIRMAN OKESON: 3 Motion is adopted. 4 Finally, the commission will now consider the 5 application for the Unisyn OpenElect 2.1 optical scan voting system certification. Is there a 6 representative from Unisyn or anyone from VSTOP 7 that would like to comment on this? 8 9 MR. JARNAGIN: Jordan Jarnagin from VSTOP. 10 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. Go right ahead, 11 Jordan. 12 OpenElect 2.1 is a paper-based MR. JARNAGIN: 13 ballot optical scan voting system which is a 14 modification to OpenElect 2.08 voting system, which 15 is currently certified for use in Indiana. 16 OpenElect 2.1 contains numerous updates to various components and software to include several 17 18 security enhancements; usability improvements to 19 Ballot Layout Manager, Election Manager, 20 FreedomVote Tablet, and other components; and then 21 updated and newly added hardware components, such 2.2 as an uninterruptible power supply and updated power supplies for various components. 23

After our initial review, Unisyn also 24 submitted five ECOs to VSTOP. The ECOs involve 25

1 software modifications. So there's ECO 17110, which increases the accuracy for write-in report 2 3 function; 17111, which is related to the voter 4 registration input interface on the OVO tabulator; 17112, which changes calculations so that ballots print the correct length; 17113, which updates a 7 database query; 17114, which removes a disable command in order to prevent it from interfering 9 from a ballot that may be in process.

10 OpenElect 2.1 was field-tested at Ball State 11 University on August 13, 2020, by the VSTOP team. 12 The field-test included verification of all 13 required elements of the Indiana statutes regarding 14 voting systems as well as an ADA compliance 15 evaluation. Mock elections, including IEC-approved 16 test case scenarios for straight-party voting, were 17 conducted on the voting system. For this certification, the electronic adjudication feature 18 19 must be disabled among the OpenElect 2.1 voting 20 systems utilized in Indiana.

Based on VSTOP's review and evaluation, we find that Unisyn OpenElect 2.1 voting system meets all the requirements of the Indiana Code for use in the state of Indiana.

25

21

2.2

23

24

5

6

8

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Jordan.

| 1 | Any discussion or questions from the | | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | commission? | | | |
| 3 | MS. OVERHOLT: I notice that this system, that | | | |
| 4 | there's a that this is a Windows 7 system. I | | | |
| 5 | know we talked about the issue of Windows 7 versus | | | |
| 6 | Windows 10. What are the plans for upgrading to | | | |
| 7 | Windows 10? | | | |
| 8 | MR. ORTIZ: This is Chris Ortiz, director of | | | |
| 9 | certification for Unisyn. We use actually a Linux | | | |
| 10 | system. I'm not sure what you're referring to. | | | |
| 11 | MS. OVERHOLT: Oh, okay. Sorry. | | | |
| 12 | MR. ORTIZ: There's no Windows in our system | | | |
| 13 | at all. | | | |
| 14 | MS. OVERHOLT: Okay. Never mind, then. | | | |
| 15 | MR. ORTIZ: Thank you. | | | |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any other questions? | | | |
| 17 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Same questions I asked, I | | | |
| 18 | guess, to Jordan, the same questions regarding | | | |
| 19 | disabling and those things that we talked about | | | |
| 20 | earlier, would they be likewise applicable to the | | | |
| 21 | Unisyn system operation? I realize this is a | | | |
| 22 | paper-ballot-based system where, as I understand | | | |
| 23 | it, there is going to be a hard copy paper ballot | | | |
| 24 | created, so the trail is not as important. But as | | | |
| 25 | far as tabulating and counting the ballots, is | | | |

Γ

1 there a system administrator locally that can 2 affect changes?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. ORTIZ: This is Chris Ortiz again. Similar to what Hart and ES&S said, the system is not connected to the Internet. The system actually did go through the Idaho test lab as part of the DHS. The system went through a very extensive penetration and vulnerability test, and a lot of that stuff is documented.

But, again, in order to alleviate some of your concerns, Commissioner Long, whatever the board decides to do as far as October with vulnerability testing, penetration testing, we're willing to do whatever it takes to ease some of those concerns.

VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: My question is not the testing side of it, but is it physically -- if the same questions were asked as we did with the ES&S, there is a -- is it physically possible for a person at the county level to connect this thing in some form or fashion to the Internet?

MR. ORTIZ: No, there's no way possible. There's no -- in the voting devices at the precinct level, there is no modem capability, whether WiFi or network capability in the precinct. And then --VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: I'm not talking about

1 precinct. I asked if a county tabulating -- where 2 they come into the county, to the central 3 tabulating system, that's the one I'm concerned. Ι 4 can understand the ones out in the field, in the 5 precincts. But at the county where the final vote tally is generated, is that possible? 6 7 MR. ORTIZ: The system is a hardened Linux system, and all of that functionality -- similar to 8 9 Hart, all that functionality is turned off on 10 installation, so same thing. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: You've answered my 12 question. 13 MR. ORTIZ: Okav. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: When you said "turned 15 off," that was the key words. I'm with you. 16 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any other comments or 17 questions? 18 Hearing none, is there a motion to approve the 19 application for certification of the Unisyn 20 OpenElect 2.1 optical scan voting system in 21 accordance with the recommendation of VSTOP subject 2.2 to any restriction or additional requirement in 23 that recommendation for a term expiring October 1, 24 2021? VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: With the caveat as 25

| 1 | before, I make that motion. | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you. | | | |
| 3 | Second? | | | |
| 4 | MR. KLUTZ: Second. | | | |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thanks. | | | |
| 6 | Any discussion? | | | |
| 7 | Hearing none, all those in favor signify by | | | |
| 8 | saying "aye." | | | |
| 9 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Aye. | | | |
| 10 | MR. KLUTZ: Aye. | | | |
| 11 | MS. OVERHOLT: Aye. | | | |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Aye. The "ayes" have it, | | | |
| 13 | and the motion is adopted. | | | |
| 14 | MR. ORTIZ: Thank you. | | | |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: And I believe that that | | | |
| 16 | brings us to conclusion. Correct, Brad? | | | |
| 17 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Could I at the | | | |
| 18 | conclusion at the outset, I said I was going to | | | |
| 19 | bring something up at the end that relates to all | | | |
| 20 | of these systems that I didn't think we needed to | | | |
| 21 | discuss as we went through them. | | | |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Sure. Go right ahead. | | | |
| 23 | VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: This is going to be | | | |
| 24 | really short and, I hope, sweet. | | | |
| 25 | We've had certification in compliance with | | | |

Indiana law. It's my belief now that, after some level of study and being educated, that there are areas that are not necessarily covered by Indiana law that cause me some concern.

5 And I think one of the problems -- we've done this paper trail thing, and we've entered into a 6 level of sophistication in the computer world that 7 I think we have to stay legislatively abreast of 8 9 it. I think that's the real purpose of the 10 division, and I think that's the purpose of the 11 commission, to help advise the legislature that 12 here are some areas that we're having to make 13 decisions on that you've not opined on, and if you 14 don't opine on it and give us direction, we've got 15 to either ignore them or we've got to come up with 16 our own solutions.

17 For example, this paper trail deal, which is 18 really a big deal. I mean, this has been a 19 long-fought battle and a worthwhile battle, I 20 think. When they print out from the system the 21 ballot card, when the computer -- and I don't know 2.2 the vernacular, but when the computer, in a recount 23 situation or a verification situation, prints out 24 the ballot card, I believe there should be a 25 standardized form of that printout that is

applicable statewide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

I asked to see some -- in discussions of this, I asked to see some copies of the various ones that are printed out. And I'm not being critical of the folks that run these systems. I'm a believer. I've done this a long time, and I think our vendors are really reputable, first-class operations, and they do their jobs, and I'm proud that they choose to work in Indiana.

10 But I think that we should tell them -- and 11 there is no standard on the ballot printout, so 12 they've each developed their own format. I think 13 that the division should advise the legislature do 14 you wish to address this; if not, our commission 15 may have to address it, because we think -- I think 16 it's important in recounts that we have a 17 standardized system that anybody, a layperson can 18 pick up a printed ballot that's been generated and 19 understand and see how that individual voted, I 20 mean, clearly without interpretation. The ones I 21 got, I couldn't do that, and I know I'd have to ask 2.2 somebody interpret this for me.

I think there are other areas that the legislature has maybe not kept pace with the technology. I think that the commission should direct the division or request the division to provide technical updated information to the legislature so they can have the opportunity.

4 We've made decisions in areas -- and I'm not saying they're bad decisions -- that the legislature has not given us real direction on, and I think that there should be a more sophisticated 7 legislative -- these are decisions that I think the legislature needs to make. I don't necessarily agree with a lot of their decisions, but the law says they're the ones that make the decisions. 12 It's not us. Our job is to enforce, and we're 13 asking them for -- I would be asking them give us 14 some direction in how you want the form of the ballot printout, things that have advanced beyond where the legislation and the laws have changed.

17 I haven't followed what's out there as closely as I should at this time, but I think that we need 18 to get something uniform statewide in that area. 19 20 There may well be other areas. And all the 21 questions that I think have to be answered, most of 2.2 them can be answered. These things need to be 23 taken care of, and hopefully then we've got an 24 easier job in October.

25

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

15

16

But I think we need to enhance our

1 communication with the legislature, advise them that we're having to make decisions, you need to 2 3 weigh in on it if you wish to weigh in. If you don't weigh in, just like in the law, the appellate 4 level courts make decisions, and six or eight years 5 later they'll come back and say, well, we did this, 6 the legislature hasn't seen fit to change it, they 7 must be satisfied with it, so we're okay. And I 8 9 think that's where we are on this technology. 10 But that's my two cents, and thank you all 11 very much for allowing me to say it. 12 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Mr. Vice 13 Chairman. 14 Any other closing comments? 15 Hearing none, the commission has finished its 16 business for the day. Is there a motion for the Indiana Election Commission to adjourn? 17 18 MR. KLUTZ: So moved. 19 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you. 20 All those in favor signify by "aye." 21 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Ave. 2.2 MS. OVERHOLT: Aye. 23 CHAIRMAN OKESON: That's it. Thank you very 24 much. Enjoy the rest of your week and weekend. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN LONG: Take care.

| 1 | MS. OVERHOLT: Thank you. | | | |
|----|------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | MR. JARNAGIN: Thank you. | | | |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Good to see you all. | | | |
| 4 | MR. MANGANARO: Thank you. Stay safe and | | | |
| 5 | healthy. | | | |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. Stay well. Thanks. | | | |
| 7 | (The Indiana Election Commission Public | | | |
| 8 | Session was adjourned at 2:12 p.m.) | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |

| 1 | STATE OF INDIANA | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | COUNTY OF HAMILTON | | |
| 3 | I, Maria W. Collier, a Notary Public in and | | |
| 4 | for said county and state, do hereby certify that the | | |
| 5 | foregoing public session was taken at the time and | | |
| 6 | place heretofore mentioned between 1:01 p.m. and | | |
| 7 | 2:12 p.m.; | | |
| 8 | That said public session was taken down in | | |
| 9 | stenograph notes and afterwards reduced to typewriting | | |
| 10 | under my direction; and that the typewritten | | |
| 11 | transcript is a true record of the public session. | | |
| 12 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my | | |
| 13 | hand and affixed my notarial seal this 8th day of | | |
| 14 | March, 2021. | | |
| 15 | meia W. Cani | | |
| 16 | Maria W. Collier | | |
| 17 | NOTARY PUBLIC SEAL STATE OF INDIANA Commission No. NP0693933 | | |
| 18 | My Commission expires: December 5, 2024 | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | Job No. 159603 | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

Index: 1..applicable

administrator 23:12,17,21 24:2,

| 1 | 40:24 42:9,11,21 43:15 44:10 6.2.0.0 40:16 | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1 07:4 44:0 54:44 50:00 | 0.2.0.0 40.10 | |
| 1 27:1 41:2 51:14 56:23 | 7 | |
| 10 40:17 45:22 54:6,7 | 7 40:4 44 40 45:00 54:4 5 | |
| 11 18:14 | 7 40:1,11,13 45:22 54:4,5 | |
| 1103 41:24 42:5,14,20 43:1 12 46:8 | Α | |
| 13 53:11 | | |
| 1365 19:1 | ability 20:9 33:10 35:25 | |
| | absolute 25:14 | |
| 14 5:13,19 | Absolutely 12:17 19:22 31:20 40:20 | |
| 17110 53:1 17111 53:3 | abstained 9:13 | |
| 17111 53:5 | accept 13:12 | |
| 17112 53:5 17113 53:6 | access 21:9,11 22:13 25:25 | |
| 17113 53:0 | 26:18 27:23 28:13 29:6,9,18,19 | |
| 151 19:4 | 20 34:13,20 35:3 39:10 46:14 48:2 | |
| 151 19.4 | accordance 40:24 51:11 56:21 | |
| 2 | accuracy 53:2 | |
| 0.07.4 | acknowledge 10:14 16:23 | |
| 2 27:1 | acknowledged 16:20 | |
| 2.08 52:14 | acquire 22:6 | |
| 2.1 52:5,12,16 53:10,19,22 56:20 | action 6:24 | |
| 2.3 45:14 46:6,12 48:6 | activated 21:8 | |
| 2.4 45:16 46:1,4 | ADA 19:8 46:25 53:14 | |
| 2.5 45:6,13,19,20,24 46:2,8,16,21 47:5,8 51:10 | add 25:4 30:24 49:17 | |
| 2020 5:14,19 18:14 46:22 53:11 | added 52:21 | |
| 2021 4:3 41:3 51:14 56:24 | addition 45:23 | |
| 2023 40:13 | additional 15:12 18:9 41:1 46: | |
| 21 46:22 | 51:12 56:22 | |
| 23 40:13 | additionally 21:11,13 24:8,13 | |
| 25 4:3 | address 40:9 41:4 | |
| | addressed 13:6 27:13 | |
| 5 | adequately 16:14 | |
| 5.2.4.0 41:24 42:7,12,21 43:3 | adjudication 18:7,16 19:3 20:2 21:5,7 47:4 53:18 | |
| 6 | administers 15:4 | |
| | administration 9:17 10:14 | |

4,18,20 25:1,2,4,5,9,12,16 29:8 34:10,11,12 37:20 38:23 50:24 55:1 admission 6:25 adopt 8:4 42:24 44:5 adopted 10:11 41:21 45:3 52:3 affect 55:2 affirm 10:20 16:11 aforementioned 42:8 afternoon 15:20 agenda 12:6 agreement 7:21,23 8:22 40:11 agreements 6:14,16,22 8:19 9:21 **ahead** 4:1 12:17 16:3,18,25 19:22 21:25 30:20,22 31:20 35:10 42:4 45:11 47:15 52:10 air 37:3 38:1 40:4 air-gapped 40:14 51:4 airtight 38:21 alert 20:11 alerts 28:5 alleviate 55:10 allowed 23:15 alluding 28:2 amend 43:23 amendment 44:17 **amount** 6:23 anecdotal 35:20 Angela 8:10 29:3 30:17 **Angie** 4:8 5:17 18:25 30:20 38:6, 18 answers 47:19 49:16 **Anthony** 4:5 5:22 28:2 Anthony's 36:9 anymore 31:13 anytime 35:22 **applicable** 30:12 54:20

Index: application..changed

application 15:10,15 40:23 41:23 45:5 51:9 52:5 56:19 applications 14:23,24 15:6 applied 30:11 44:10 45:16 47:19 applies 14:8 apply 12:13 29:5 42:11 appointment 13:21 appropriately 4:24 approval 5:13,21 14:23 15:8 41:23 42:20,25 43:3 approve 5:23 9:24 13:12 14:2 40:22 51:8 56:18 approved 19:3 area 26:12 arguments 27:8 assign 24:9 assume 30:10 33:22 assuming 17:16 assurances 28:11 audio 18:10 audit 27:20 August 5:13,19 18:14 53:11 authorize 18:20 19:2 **aye** 6:4,6,7,10 9:8,9,10,11 10:6,7, 8,9 41:15,16,17,18,19 44:24,25 45:1,2 51:23,24,25 52:1,2 ayes 6:11 10:10 52:2 В

back 22:3 24:7 38:21

background 50:1

Bagga 11:3 15:22 48:4,10,11,15, 19,23

Ball 15:4 18:13 46:21 53:10

ballot 13:10 18:8,22 46:8 49:6 52:13,19 53:9 54:23

ballots 18:3,17 36:18 53:5 54:25

based 4:15 13:13 19:12 37:22 39:23 43:16 47:7 50:17 53:21

basic 35:15 basically 20:15 28:10,15 29:17 **battery** 42:14 begin 15:9 **behalf** 5:4,17 **beliefs** 38:13 **big** 18:12 40:5 **Bill** 19:1 **bios** 21:12 22:15 bit 13:1 18:9 32:20 48:5 **blank** 17:5 blocks 21:14 Bluetooth 22:16 29:17 31:23 37:1 50:13 **board** 40:7 55:11 **bottom** 38:2 **Brad** 4:8 8:9 10:11 12:2 18:25 29:2 30:17,21 43:21 44:11 Brad's 44:9 **bring** 40:14 bringing 40:16 brought 48:14 **bubble** 30:15 **build** 50:9 building 35:2 36:18 **built** 36:1 50:3 **built-in** 27:20.24 business 28:9 **button** 17:8 **buy** 31:13

С

cable 32:13,24 calculations 53:5 call 4:2 5:11 17:2 24:3 34:10 44:9 called 21:6 campaign 6:13,15,20 9:21 capabilities 12:24 capability 55:23,24 **capable** 50:12 capacity 22:3 27:9 32:10 37:13 carve 7:25 case 19:10 47:2 53:16 caveat 41:9 56:25 **CD** 31:11 Centers 7:22 central 18:2 36:15 49:24 50:21 56:2 centralized 37:20 certification 15:7,16,21 16:8,9 17:22 18:22 40:23 45:6.18 46:2 47:4 49:8 51:9 52:6 53:18 54:9 56:19 certifications 14:9 certified 20:25 42:13 45:14,16 46:11 49:9,11 52:15 certify 43:16,25 **chain** 46:10,18 49:5,12 chairman 4:1,5,21 5:4,8,16,22, 24 6:2,5,8,9,10,11,18 7:6,13,18 8:2,5,9,11,12,14,15,17,20,25 9:3, 4,5,7,10,11,16,18,19,22,23,25

10:4,7,10,16,25 11:5,8,12,15,17,

14:16,17,25 15:11 16:3,6,11,17,

19,21,24 17:5,9,13,19 19:16,19,

18,20,23 26:7,10 27:2 29:1,22

30:10,13,14,16,20 31:7,18,20,21 32:8,22 33:14 34:7,8,13,24 35:6,

10,18 36:7,11 38:2,17,23 39:3,6,

13,17,19,21 40:19,20 41:3,5,10, 12,16,18,20 42:4,22 43:5,6,9,11,

12,17,20,22 44:2,4,5,7,12,13,14,

14,15,16,21,25 48:12,13,17,21

50:20 51:6,15,17,20,24 52:2,10

53:25 54:16,17 55:15,25 56:11,

change 14:25 15:7,10 18:11 23:8

14,16,25

41:24 43:1,15 44:1

changed 7:20 49:10

15,18,19,20,22,25 45:3,11 47:11,

21,22 20:16 21:19,22,23,24 22:1, 20,24 23:3,5,16,18 24:19 25:7,11,

20,23 12:3,5,9,10,11,17,18,19

Index: cheating..depends

cheating 27:6 chime 7:9

choose 13:22

Chris 11:10,13,18,19,21 54:8 55:3

Civic 47:19

clarify 31:8 43:18,25

clarifying 17:18

clear 41:7

Clerk 24:2

closed 26:22 46:9,12 49:6

CMOS 42:14

Co-counsels 4:9

co-director 4:8 5:5,17 15:22 39:21 50:22

co-directors 5:1,15 6:15,19

Code 19:14 47:10 53:23

coding 36:17

collecting 18:4

comfortable 41:7

command 53:8

comment 45:9 49:21 52:8

comments 15:12 34:8 39:17 40:21 41:5 47:12 51:7 56:16

commission 4:2 5:2,14,17,20 6:16,19 7:3,7 10:13,18,22 13:25 14:20 15:8,14,20 19:20 30:5 36:10 41:22 42:23 43:23 45:4 47:12 49:11 52:4 54:2

commission's 43:16

Commissioner 8:22 48:15 49:2, 14 55:11

committee 6:24 7:1,2

committees 6:21

communicates 26:13

compliance 4:25 5:7 19:8 46:25 53:14

compliance-type 27:25

complies 42:18

component 45:21

components 42:19 50:4 52:17, 20,21,23

compound 20:7

computer 13:9 21:16 26:18 28:22 32:2 33:21 34:3 36:15,16, 22 37:20 39:24 40:4

concern 13:6 34:25 36:14 39:5 40:8 41:4

concerned 8:5 32:22,23 56:3

concerns 13:1,16 14:12 27:3 30:2,4,8 36:9 55:11,14

conditions 48:25

conducted 19:11 47:3 53:17

configuration 35:15 49:12

confirm 5:1,5 11:19

confirms 36:23

conflict 7:4,23

connect 29:19 32:14,18 33:1,3, 11 34:3,21,22 35:17 36:21 38:24, 25 48:4 49:24 50:25 51:4 55:19

connected 20:10,13 28:20 31:9 32:11,24 34:4 37:18 38:7,8,10 46:9 49:7 55:5

connecting 32:13 33:12 46:17

connection 49:5,6

connections 33:1 34:4

connectivity 31:3 32:6 33:9 36:14 37:10,21,22 47:24 49:14,22 50:8,11

consideration 15:9

conspiracy 27:4 38:13

contact 26:21

controversial 14:4

copies 6:19

CODV 5:18 54:23

cord 32:14,15

correct 18:25 22:23 34:24 37:15 40:10 44:12 51:2 53:6

correctly 34:9,16

Cory 11:4 48:7 49:17,19,20 **count** 22:9

counting 50:21 54:25

countries 33:22

counts 38:22

county 23:19,22,25 24:3,22,23 25:22,24 27:10 28:22,25 29:8 32:3 34:11,19,24 35:2,5 36:2,17 38:22 39:11 50:15 55:19 56:1,2,5

county's 28:18

county-level 49:24

court 4:10

COVID 48:24

create 23:23 31:14 37:3 39:1

created 54:24

creating 18:1 50:9

curbside 46:19

current 31:5

custody 34:16

customer 20:24 21:1,13 22:2

D

daisy 46:10,17 49:5,12 damn 33:17 data 24:12 database 18:2 21:1 53:7 date 4:3 day 28:6,24 38:5 de 42:5,19 deal 40:5 **dealt** 46:4 December 46:22 **decides** 55:12 decision 13:22 defer 20:19 30:21 49:16 deharden 38:24 delay 46:1 delinguent 6:24 department 23:22 24:1 25:22 depends 32:12 33:8,16

Index: deployed..feature

equipment 18:4 26:16

deployed 37:7 determinations 18:21 determined 18:19 developed 28:12 device 46:7,17 devices 45:24 46:9,16 48:4 49:7, 23 55:22 **DHS** 55:7 difference 27:19 difficult 39:7 direct 46:14 directly 26:13 director 54:8 disable 29:16 32:4,25 50:12 53:7 disabled 18:23 19:25 20:2,5 32:6 33:5 47:5 53:19 disables 31:23 disabling 37:1 54:19 disapprove 13:15 disconnected 20:17 28:12 discuss 13:11 30:5 discussed 29:25 discussing 29:4 discussion 6:3 9:7 10:4 18:18 19:19 41:13 42:23 43:10 44:22 49:7 51:21 54:1 disk 31:13 distributed 6:19 Division 4:7 18:19 30:6 **Doctor** 48:14 documentation 45:17 documented 55:9 documents 42:17 **Door** 5:1,7 download 24:16 31:15 DS200 35:16 due 46:2 48:5 **Duo** 45:23 46:7,15,18

Е EAC-CERTIFIED 21:10 earlier 25:12 31:1 41:6 54:20 ease 55:14 easily 34:15 echo 49:3 ECO 42:5,6,14,16,18,20 53:1 **ECOS** 52:25 effective 43:4 election 4:2,7 5:20 10:18,21 15:15 17:25 18:2,3,18 20:6 22:5, 17 23:24 24:3,6,7,18,19 25:1,2,4, 19 27:5 28:6,23 30:6 36:3,15,19 38:22 52:19 election-specific 24:11 elections 19:9 34:9 36:18 47:1 53:15 Electionware 18:17 20:23 21:2, 6 24:5.6.11.15 35:12 electronic 18:16 47:4 53:18 elements 19:7 46:24 50:5,7 53:13 **Elkhart** 28:22 EMS 17:25 39:25 en 8:7 enable 33:10 34:2,13,21 37:21 enabled 20:2 50:14 enables 33:4 end 14:6,18 24:6,7 end-to-end 17:25 ends 13:20 enforcement 6:16.23 engineering 14:24 15:7,10 41:23 43:1,14 44:1 enhancements 18:5 46:5 52:18 enter 24:11 entered 6:21 equally 30:12

ES&S 11:6 15:18.24 16:5.9 18:15,23 29:4,22 30:14 35:9 36:13 37:17 40:23 41:23 42:1 43:2 47:18 49:15 50:22 55:4,17 essentially 22:4 23:20 established 37:24 ethernet 32:14.15 evaluation 19:9,12 47:1,7 53:15, 21 events 42:15 everybody's 30:8 **EVS** 17:24 18:5,23 19:13 42:7,9, 21 exact 30:4 **Excuse** 43:13 expertise 23:6 expiring 41:2 51:14 56:23 explain 13:4 25:16 48:5 explanation 50:2 explicitly 31:2 Explorer 23:14 **Expressvote** 15:16 35:16 40:24 41:24 42:6.7 43:2 extend 42:14 extensive 55:7 extent 43:18 external 21:13 externally 28:8 F facility 22:19 fact 20:5 44:9 factual 38:14 fashion 55:20

favor 6:4 9:8 10:5 41:14 44:23 51:22

feasible 39:2

feature 18:16,18,23 19:25 20:5, 22 21:3 32:4 34:22 47:4 53:18

Index: features..indulging

| features 18:6,10 20:1 46:6 |
|----------------------------------------|
| February 4:3 |
| federal 36:23 37:24 |
| field 23:7 32:9 35:13 38:20 50:21 56:4 |
| field-test 18:15 19:6 46:23 53:12 |
| field-tested 18:13 46:21 53:10 |
| file 21:3 |
| final 14:14 56:5 |
| Finally 52:4 |
| finance 6:14,15,20 9:21 |
| find 19:13 47:8 53:22 |
| finding 32:18 |
| finds 42:17 |
| fine 8:2 17:6,19 48:17 |
| fixed 39:7 |
| floor 32:19 |
| folks 4:18 33:17 |
| form 32:5 55:20 |
| formatting 18:2 |
| forward 15:13 48:21,23 |
| Freedomvote 52:20 |
| Friends 7:22 |
| front 14:11,18 24:6 |
| full 6:23 |
| function 53:3 |
| functionality 21:4 56:8,9 |
| functions 33:4 |
| future 13:7 |
| G |
| gain 29:17 |

gain 29:17 gap 37:3 gapped 38:1 40:4 general 12:12 generated 56:6 give 10:21 33:7 35:20 50:1 good 6:11 9:11 15:20 16:17
17:10 38:18 40:14 48:15 49:1
51:6
grayed 21:8
great 17:7 27:3
ground 50:3
groups 23:24
guess 4:14 13:23 25:15 27:15,17
28:4 34:17 54:18
guy 34:1
guys 17:3 35:11
gym 32:19
gymnasium 32:17 35:15

н

hack 28:9 Hallett 11:17 15:24 16:8,20,22 24:10 hand 7:8 28:10 38:19,20 happen 36:6 happened 27:7 happy 7:5 hard 54:23 harden 37:2 hardened 28:14,16 29:13 36:24 37:12 40:4,15 48:3 51:4 56:7 hardening 21:10 22:11 23:23 49:16 hardware 42:19 45:21 46:2,14 52:21 Hart 45:5,8,12,15 47:8,19 48:7 49:18 51:9 55:4 56:9 havoc 36:2 head 24:1 38:6 Health 7:22 hear 11:23 13:14 17:6 50:23 heard 17:12

hearing 6:4 9:8 10:5 15:14 24:24 37:4,6,14,22 40:22 41:14 42:24 44:23 51:8,22 56:18

| helpful 27:25 43:19 |
|------------------------|
| helps 41:4 |
| hey 26:25 |
| highly 33:25 |
| Hinkle 11:4 49:20 51:2 |
| hit 38:6 |
| hitting 18:12 |
| hope 41:3 |
| House 19:1 |
| hub 37:20 |
| hurt 4:22 |
| hybrid 45:6 51:10 |
| |

Т

Idaho 55:6 identify 4:14,22 10:25 **IEC-APPROVED** 19:9 47:1 53:15 **image** 50:6,14,18 images 18:8 31:14 50:10 immediately 43:4 important 13:10 28:17 54:24 **impossible** 36:6 39:8 improved 46:5 improvements 52:18 inclined 36:3 include 45:22 50:5,7 52:17 **included** 19:6 46:3,7,23 53:12 includes 18:5 45:25 50:3 including 19:9 47:1 53:15 incorporate 43:24 increases 53:2 Indiana 4:7 5:19 7:22 10:18,21 16:7 18:19,24 19:7,14,15 20:3 28:21 29:7,24 42:13 45:15 46:11, 24 47:6,10 52:15 53:13,20,23,24 individual 37:7,17 indulging 39:20

Index: initial..machine

initial 52:24

input 53:4

install 22:8 31:13 installation 56:10

installed 31:10 50:18

instance 23:25 36:20

integrated 28:18

intend 13:11

intended 44:5 46:19

intent 13:15

Intercivic 45:5,8,12,15 47:8 48:7 51:10

interest 7:4 12:11

interface 53:4

interfering 53:8

Internet 20:10,13 21:9,11 23:14 26:17,24 28:13,20 29:6,18 31:3,9, 16 32:11 33:2,3 34:5,13,22 35:17 36:22,25 37:14,19 38:7,9,10,25 47:24 49:13,21,25 50:7,11 51:1,5 55:5,20

introduction 17:10

involve 52:25

involved 30:7

ipad 26:12

irrespective 50:24

ISO 31:14

issue 28:1 29:25 54:5

issues 8:10 13:18 27:15 30:9

item 12:6

jacks 21:15

January 40:2,12,13

Jarnagin 11:2 15:20,21 17:21,22 19:18 20:19 29:11 30:2 31:24 32:12 33:7 34:18,25 42:3,5 45:10, 12 47:23 48:1 52:9,12

J

Jay 11:3 15:22 48:10

job 38:19

join 16:10 33:10 34:15

joined 48:6

Jordan 11:2 15:21 17:16,22 19:16 29:2,11 31:19 34:17,18 42:3,22 45:10 47:11,21 48:10 49:4 52:9,11 53:25 54:18

jump 35:9

jurisdiction's 18:1

Κ

key 18:5 56:15

keyboards 28:19

kid 33:19

killing 38:3

kind 18:11 19:24 20:8 27:23,24, 25 29:5 31:11 35:15 39:4

King 4:8 5:4,16 6:18 8:11,20 9:18,20 30:20,23 43:11,13,18,22 44:12

kiosk 51:3

Klutz 4:6 6:1,7 9:6,9 10:3,9 41:11,17 43:8 44:21 45:1 51:19 52:1

Kochevar 4:9 10:15,16

L

lab 55:6

language 31:2,5 33:15 laptop 21:16 31:24 32:1,2 law 5:1,7 18:19 20:3 36:23 37:25 38:5 laws 38:3 Layout 52:19 lead 36:8 37:5 learn 24:20 left 38:19 legislation 19:2 30:25 legislative 31:5 legislature 14:6 length 53:6

lengthy 12:23

letting 14:9

level 23:22 25:22,24 27:9,10 29:24 32:3 35:24 37:19 38:11 39:1,11 50:15 55:19,23

levels 36:4

liability 7:1

license 20:24 21:3

likewise 54:20

limitation 19:5

Linux 54:9 56:7

list 6:20 7:2

local 27:10 37:19

locally 33:4 55:1

location 35:3,4

locations 49:23,24

locked 23:13

log 24:5 25:3,10,13 50:25

log-in 24:21

long 4:5 5:22 6:5,9 8:5,15,25 9:4, 10,23 10:7,25 11:15,20 12:3,9,11, 18,19 14:25 15:11 16:19 17:13 21:22 22:1,20,24 23:3,5,16 24:19 25:7,11,18,20,23 26:7,10 27:2 29:21 30:10,14 31:18,21 32:8,22 33:14,15 35:6,18 38:2,10 39:3,6 41:3,5,16 43:5 44:2,5,13,19,25 47:14,16,25 48:13,16,17,21 49:2, 14 51:15,24 54:17 55:11,15,25 56:11,14,25

Long's 34:8,13 38:17,23

looked 28:4

loose 44:15

lot 55:8

love 30:7

lucky 17:11

Μ

machine 29:16 32:1,7,18 34:21 35:2 38:24,25 50:20,21

Index: machines..OKESON

machines 32:5 35:17 38:20 50:19 made 8:25 9:1 13:14,23 37:25 39:7 41:7 main 37:19 39:24 maintained 49:9 maintaining 18:2 maintains 46:10 maintenance 42:15 make 9:2 12:19 18:20 22:15 23:8 24:22 25:17,25 27:9 35:25 39:10 41:9 50:17 51:16 makes 27:21 33:13 34:19 making 9:3 20:13 36:17 management 17:25 22:5 36:15 manager 16:8 52:19 Manganaro 11:6 15:23 16:2,4,5, 7 17:1,7,12,15,20 20:21 22:4,22 23:1,4,10,20 24:25 25:8,15,19,21 26:1,9,22 28:16 31:8 35:8,11 37:15 39:16 40:10 manipulation 39:1 Maria 4:10,15 16:13 Marion 23:25 24:2 Mark 11:6 15:23 16:2,4,25 20:19 35:8 36:8 37:4,5 39:14,20,25 40:18 marking 46:8 49:7 **masse** 8:7 matter 7:10 8:4 15:19 39:15 42:1 Matthew 4:9 10:15 meaning 36:24 means 32:13 mechanism 20:4 meet 30:8 meeting 5:2,6,14,15,20 15:2 **meetings** 48:22 meets 19:13 47:9 53:22 member 4:5.6 7:3.7 members 4:4,18 5:16 6:18,22 15:1 19:20 40:7 42:15 43:23

47:13 Mental 7:22 mention 18:8 mentioned 14:22 35:13 48:3 mentions 39:25 method 34:6 mice 28:19 Microsoft 26:25 31:11 40:2,12 Microsoft's 31:15 Microvote 29:23 middle 32:17,19 mind 13:14 20:19 27:13 35:7 39:12,20 54:14 **minimis** 42:5.19 **minutes** 5:14,15,19 miraculously 7:20 miss 48:25 **missed** 17:10 mobile 46:20 **Mock** 19:9 47:1 53:15 mode 51:3 model 31:12 modem 37:9,11 55:23 **MODERATER** 11:10,13 modification 52:14 modifications 43:2 53:1 module 18:7 21:6 monitors 28:19 motion 8:3,18,21 9:1 10:11 40:22 41:9,20 42:24 43:19,21,24 44:6,8, 17 45:3 51:8,16 52:3 56:18 mouth 39:4 move 5:8,23 6:13 9:23 12:5 15:13 41:8 **moved** 43:5 moving 4:25 9:17 45:4 multi-language 18:10 murder 38:4

mute 17:8.16 Ν nail 38:6 names 11:9 16:13 necessarily 29:14 network 26:23 28:18 32:5 33:10, 11,12,17 34:4,15,24 35:3 37:22 46:9,12,18 48:2 49:5,6 55:24 newly 52:21 nobody's 8:15 9:1 38:7 note 28:17 noted 14:25 notice 5:6 54:3 noticed 5:3 number 13:2 14:5 45:20 numerous 52:16 **Nussmeyer** 4:8 5:5,18 30:19,21 36:7,12 37:16 39:19,22 40:18 50:22 0 oath 9:17 10:15,19 12:4 16:12,20, 23 obvious 26:11 32:20 **October** 13:17,18,19,25 14:14 19:4 27:4 28:4 35:7 41:2,7 51:14 55:12 56:23 offer 30:18 44:8 offered 15:12 office 16:10 24:9

offline 31:16

oftentimes 27:24

OKESON 4:1,21 5:8,24 6:2,8,11 7:6,13,18 8:2,9,14,17 9:3,5,7,11, 16,19,22,25 10:4,10 11:5,8,12,17, 23 12:5,10,17 14:16 16:3,6,11,17, 21,24 17:5,9,19 19:16,19,22 20:16 21:19,24 23:18 29:1,22 30:13,16 31:7,20 34:7,24 35:10 36:11 38:17 39:13,17,21 40:20 41:10,12,18,20 42:4,22 43:6,9,12,

Index: older..properly

parity 29:22,24 poll 32:19 17,20 44:4,7,15,20,22 45:3,11 47:11,15,21 48:12 50:20 51:6,17, part 23:23 55:6 polling 37:8,18 49:23 20 52:2,10 53:25 54:16 56:16 participating 8:23 ports 21:13,15 22:16 29:16 **older** 40:5 party 30:16 poses 46:12 Omaha 22:19 23:2 position 12:13 14:2 46:10 49:10 password 24:21 25:12 on-screen 18:7 passwords 23:12 possibilities 25:25 on-site 22:18,21,22 23:2 postponed 45:18 past 17:11 onboard 17:14 potential 29:25 40:8 payment 6:23 online 34:5 **PC** 21:16 22:5,6 37:2 39:24 potentially 39:1 **Open** 5:1,7 PCS 28:19 power 52:22,23 **Openelect** 52:5,12,14,16 53:10, 19,22 56:20 pending 19:2 30:25 42:10 practice 37:24 operate 51:3 precedent 46:11 penetration 55:8,13 operating 22:7 26:8 29:15 40:1.5 **people** 11:16 24:8 33:21,23,24 precinct 35:16 55:22,24 56:1 50:4,6,9,14,18 34:1 36:20 38:3,4 48:25 precincts 56:5 operation 54:21 Perfect 5:24 prepared 6:20 opinion 13:8 perfectly 8:12 present 4:4 5:15 6:15 49:17 opportunities 35:20 period 38:9 presentation 15:5 43:14 optical 15:17 45:7 51:10 52:5,13 person 15:18 23:5,19 27:10 32:9 presented 5:18 18:15 56:20 34:12,14,19 36:1,2 38:16 39:9 48:25 55:19 president 16:9 option 46:20 personal 12:15 pretty 33:17 48:15 order 4:2 15:11 21:2 41:24 43:1, 15 44:1 53:8 55:10 phone 15:25 26:12 48:8 prevent 7:4 38:3 53:8 orders 14:25 15:7 physical 22:15 46:14 previous 44:11 originally 45:15 physically 22:14 55:16,18 primarily 46:4 47:25 **Ortiz** 11:18,19,22 54:8,12,15 **picture** 48:14 print 27:22 53:6 55:3,21 56:7,13 piggyback 34:7 printing 18:3 **output** 13:9 pinging 26:24 privilege 12:15 **Overholt** 4:6 6:6 7:7,11,12,15,19 **pipe** 4:19 problem 4:19 20:21 27:12 8:23 9:13 10:8 19:21,23 20:18 21:18,21 27:14 41:19 45:2 51:25 place 5:10 8:18 21:5 procedure 8:21 18:20 54:3,11,14 places 37:8,18 procedures 21:10 oversight 12:7 14:21 15:5 **plan** 10:17 process 23:23 53:9 **OVO** 53:4 planning 17:15 processes 38:22 plans 54:6 produce 13:9 Ρ plastic 21:14 program 12:7 14:22 15:5 Pack 27:1 plug 37:10 programming 18:3 paper 13:9 54:23 plugs 21:14 programs 25:4 paper-ballot-based 54:22 point 12:4 28:24 29:20 34:13 prohibiting 31:2 paper-based 17:24 52:12 37:25 38:18,23 40:14 44:9,11 properly 5:2

proprietary 22:9

provide 6:22 12:2

provided 15:1

providing 10:12

provision 41:4

purchase 21:14

put 20:25 23:14 26:6 34:5 39:3

Q

query 53:7

question 19:21 20:7,20 21:20,23 23:3,19 27:3 29:2 31:19 33:5 34:17 39:20,22 40:7 47:17 55:15 56:12

questions 7:5 13:3,5,16,25 17:18 19:19 26:11 36:8 39:18 40:21 41:6 42:23 47:12,17,18 48:9 49:4,13,15 51:7 54:1,16,17, 18 55:17 56:17

quick 29:1

R raise 40:6 raised 7:7 raises 21:22 raising 27:18 ratification 6:13,17 ratify 8:18,21 reaching 26:17 read 13:13 reading 44:8 realize 54:21 reappointed 13:21,22 reason 34:14 reasons 51:16 **recall** 6:22 receive 4:13 21:3 47:18 received 14:20 16:12 recertification 14:14 19:4 recertified 13:19

recitation 10:19

recognize 4:7 5:14 6:14 10:15 12:3,4 15:3

recommend 5:20

recommendation 13:12 40:25 41:2 42:9,25 44:2,6 51:11,13 56:21,23

recommendations 14:22 **recommended** 8:12 43:15 recommends 42:20 reconfirm 12:1 record 6:10 7:18.19 recuse 7:24 9:14 **reduced** 28:15 referenced 31:1 referred 50:22 referring 54:10 registration 53:4 reinstall 22:7 **reissue** 43:20 related 7:9 20:8 21:7 53:3 relates 19:24 **release** 40:15 remain 13:25 remainder 9:20.24.25 **remind** 4:13 5:9 reminder 5:12 **remove** 19:4 removes 53:7 repeat 12:14 14:7 report 6:24 17:17 18:7 19:24 42:17 43:2 53:2 reporter 4:10,17 16:15

reporting 18:4 31:25

reports 12:7,21 14:20 15:1 18:7 27:22 32:3 39:23

representative 15:18 49:18 52:7

representatives 15:3 41:25 45:8 request 44:8 requested 7:3 require 46:16

required 19:7 46:24 53:13

requirement 51:13 56:22

requirements 19:14 20:3 41:1 42:18 47:9 53:23

resides 36:16 39:25 resolve 13:7,18 27:7

resolved 14:13 35:7,19

resolves 28:1 respect 7:21,23

respond 47:22

response 11:25

rest 8:7 30:6 36:10

restricts 22:13

restriction 41:1 51:12 56:22

results 18:4 21:6 24:13,16 36:19

review 19:12 47:7 52:24 53:21

reviewed 42:16

reviewing 45:17

risk 28:14

rights 25:16

RJ45 21:15 role 25:6 26:2 roll 5:11

rough 44:16 round 27:4 run 13:17 17:16 22:11 32:3 33:21

running 40:6

S

safeguards 36:1 satisfactorily 14:13 satisfactory 35:23

Index: proprietary..satisfactory

Index: scan..tally

scan 15:17 45:7 51:10 52:6,13 56:20 **scanned** 18:8,16 scenarios 19:10 35:21 47:2 53:16 school 32:16 35:14 **scope** 43:25 screen 4:11,23 7:12 11:9 16:16 49:2 **scripts** 22:12 **secure** 29:16 **secured** 28:22 **security** 18:6 38:12 39:12 46:5 52:18 send 22:2 sense 33:13 34:19 separate 28:19 separately 7:4 series 46:8 47:17 Service 27:1 session 31:5 set 4:16 24:25 28:24 46:11 settlement 6:14,16,21 7:21 8:19, 22 9:21 **setup** 29:7 short 49:22 **show** 27:21.22 showing 28:8 side 55:16 sign 24:21 signify 41:14 44:23 51:22 similar 29:7 47:19 49:4,14,16 55:4 56:8 simple 23:8 33:3,5 47:16 simpler 8:8 **sir** 12:10 48:16 site 28:21 sitting 33:19 36:1 situation 32:23 36:22

software 15:16 18:17 22:9,10,12, 18 25:3 26:5,6 27:16 31:10 35:12 36:16 37:23 39:24 40:6 45:21,25 46:3,4 52:17 53:1 softwares 27:19 solemnly 10:20 solution 30:8 somebody's 8:25 35:24 soon-to-be 36:23 37:25 sophisticated 26:11 33:18,23, 25 sophistication 22:25 sort 20:4,11 38:19 **source** 26:16 **speak** 29:9 **speaking** 4:20,22 specialist 15:21 17:22 specific 15:9 21:2 31:4 staff 4:7,18 6:20 12:25 14:13 stage 50:9 **stand** 10:13 **Standalone** 45:23 46:15 standardization 13:8 14:1 stands 34:14 start 17:24 started 30:16 50:8 state 12:13 15:4 16:7 18:14 19:15 20:25 36:23 37:25 40:16 45:15 46:22 47:10 53:10,24 statement 12:12 19:24 statutes 19:7 46:24 53:13 statutory 31:4 stealing 27:6 stopped 40:2 straight-party 19:10 47:2 53:16 stuff 17:10 27:24,25 55:9 subject 40:25 41:5 51:12 56:21 submitted 52:25

smart 14:17 16:1

summarize 39:4 summarizing 38:19 summation 39:13 supplies 52:23 supply 52:22 support 18:10 28:21 40:12,13 supporting 40:2 42:16 supports 18:1 supposed 20:2 surprised 17:13 suspect 40:3 Suzannah 4:6 27:2 swear 10:20 **system** 12:7 14:21,24 15:6,10,17 17:25 18:13 19:11,13 20:4,9,12, 24 21:10,12 22:8,13,14,18 23:7, 12,13,16,21 24:2,22,23 25:5,9,16, 18,25 26:8,20,21,23 28:7,10,24 29:15,18 30:3 31:9 34:5,14,20 36:13,24 37:3,7,9,11,12,17 38:1 40:1,5,11,15 41:25 42:8,9,12 43:3,16,24 45:7,13 47:3,9,18,20 49:9,15,22 50:2,5,6,9,14,18 51:4, 11 52:6,13,14 53:17,22 54:3,4,10, 12,21,22 55:1,4,5,7 56:3,7,8,20 systems 15:15 18:24 19:8 28:5, 11,17 29:5,6,12,13 30:12 31:3,22 33:21 42:21 46:25 47:6 49:5 50:13 51:3 53:14,20 Т **Tablet** 52:20

tabulating 36:18 54:25 56:1,3 tabulator 35:16 53:4 tabulators 35:12 takes 55:14 taking 14:18 21:5 talk 14:5 26:19 33:14 talked 54:5,19 talking 33:18,20 35:11 36:13,25 55:25 tally 56:6

Index: tallying..virtual

tallying 18:21 tap 37:11 team 18:15 30:7 42:16 46:23 53:11 **Teams** 17:3 technical 12:7 14:21 15:5 22:25 23:6 technicians 22:18 23:1 telling 25:23 34:1 tells 26:15 term 13:20 41:2 51:13 56:23 terms 40:6 terribly 14:4 test 19:10 47:2 53:16 55:6.8 tested 48:6 testify 10:17 15:19 42:1 testimony 4:12 10:13,20 12:2 13:14 testing 55:13,16 theory 38:13 thing 23:11 24:4 26:7 55:19 56:10 things 13:1 14:5 20:14 25:13 27:5,6 31:1 36:5 37:1 54:19 thought 25:11 40:8 48:13 threat 46:13 Thursday 4:3 tighten 44:13 till 41:7 **Tim** 11:10,13,17,23 15:24 16:8,22 24:9,17 time 12:12 14:19 19:18 20:6 26:13 41:8 42:15 today 4:13 12:22 13:4,13 14:9 48:14 today's 15:2 told 13:24 totally 22:6 28:12,23 touch 36:25 37:13 46:7

track 4:23 trail 13:10 27:20 54:24 transparent 14:11 tremendously 12:23 triggered 20:12 trusted 50:8 truth 10:22,23 turn 21:12 22:2,3 23:8 turned 20:23 21:4 23:9 28:23 56:9,14 turning 22:15,16 turns 22:12 44:15 type 7:15,16 37:9 typical 32:1

U

unacceptable 39:11 unanimous 6:10 41:20 unanswered 14:1 underlying 29:15 understand 12:24 22:1 27:15 31:21 54:22 56:4 understood 34:9 undisabled 32:9 uniformly 30:11 uninterruptible 52:22 **unique** 29:4 Unisyn 52:5,7,24 53:22 54:9,21 56:19 units 37:7,17 **University** 15:4 18:14 46:22 53:11 **unknown** 29:20 **unmute** 11:10,14 **unmuted** 10:24 unnecessary 22:12 32:4 unplugged 28:23 updated 52:21,22

updates 22:8 31:16,17 42:14 52:16 53:6 updating 27:16 upgrade 26:3,14 27:21 45:13 upgrades 46:3 upgrading 45:22 54:6 usability 46:5 52:18 USB 31:11 user 23:11,24 24:13,14,16 users 22:13 27:23 utilized 18:24 30:3 42:7 47:6 53:20

V

V2.1 42:7 V2.1. 42:6 Valerie 4:9 8:9 validity 18:22 vendor 15:24 48:7 vendors 30:4 verification 19:6 46:23 53:12 verify 20:5,11 **Verity** 45:6,12,13,16,19,20,23,24, 25 46:6,7,12,15,16,17,18,21 47:5, 8.20 48:1.6 51:10 versa 23:9 version 21:2 versus 54:5 **vice** 4:5 5:22 6:5,9 8:5,11,15,25 9:4,10,23 10:7,25 11:8,15,20 12:3,9,11,18,19 14:16,25 15:11 16:9,19 17:13 21:22 22:1,20,24 23:3,5,9,16 24:19 25:7,11,18,20, 23 26:7,10 27:2 30:10,14 31:18, 21 32:8,22 33:14 34:8,13 35:6,18 38:2,17,23 39:3,6 41:3,5,16 43:5 44:2,5,13,18,19,25 47:14,16,25 48:13,17,21 51:15,24 54:17 55:15,25 56:11,14,25 violation 6:25

virtual 5:6

| | | Index: virtua |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|
| virtually 36:6 39:8 | wireless 22:16 28:13 33:9 37:9, | |
| vote 5:10,11 8:1,24 14:2 32:7 42:10 43:16 56:5 | 21 wondering 20:1 | |
| voted 7:3 43:25 44:10 | words 20:13 39:4 56:15 | |
| voter 53:3 | work 29:12 | |
| votes 18:21 | worked 35:1 | |
| voting 7:25 9:14 12:7 14:21,24 15:6,10,17 18:13,24 19:8,10,11, 13 29:5,6,12,16 30:3 31:2,25 32:5,17,18 34:21 35:2,3,4 37:7 | workers 32:19 | |
| | working 24:9 | |
| | works 9:16 34:11 | |
| 41:25 42:8,9,12,21 43:3,24 45:6, | world 33:23 39:9 | |
| 7,13,14,16,19,20,24 46:1,6,7,8, 15,19,21,25 47:2,3,5,8,9,20 48:1 | worry 50:16 | |
| 49:5 51:10 52:6,13,14 53:14,16, 17,19,22 55:22 56:20 | write-in 53:2 | |
| VSTL 42:17 | Writer 46:7 | |
| VSTOP 12:21 14:8,21 15:22,23 | written 42:10 | |
| 17:23 18:14 30:7 40:25 42:2,16, 17,20 43:13 44:3,6 45:9 46:10,22 | wrong 7:12 19:1 | |
| 48:7 51:12 52:7,9,25 53:11 56:21 | Y | |
| VSTOP's 19:12 42:25 47:7 53:21 | | |
| vulnerabilities 46:13 | year 40:3 | |
| vulnerability 55:8,12 | Z | |
| W | Zach 4:6 6:2,8 41:12 43:9 51:20 | |
| wait 45:18 | Zoom 4:15 17:2,10 | |
| walking 32:20 | | |
| wall 37:11 | | |
| wanted 7:8 9:13 23:13 46:1 | | |
| Warycha 4:9 | | |
| ways 33:16 | | |
| website 31:15 | | |
| whichever 21:16 | | |
| wife 13:24 | | |
| Wifi 29:16 31:23 34:3 50:13 55:23 | | |
| Wilson 4:6 7:7,11 8:23 | | |
| Windows 22:7,8 26:12,14,16,24 29:14 31:12 40:1,11,13,17 45:22 | | |
| 46:3 54:4,5,6,7,12 | | |
| 46:3 54:4,5,6,7,12 Windows-based 26:8 | | |