Lake County Redistricting Commission Minutes December 10, 2021

Members Present: Paul Okeson, Chairman of the Lake County Redistricting Commission ("Commission"); Suzannah Wilson Overholt, Vice Chairman of the Commission; Karen Celestino-Horseman, member; Litany A. Pyle, Member.

Members Absent: None.

State Legislative Advisory Members Present: The Honorable Eddie Melton, Indiana State Senator; The Honorable Rick Niemeyer, Indiana State Senator; The Honorable Hal Slager, Indiana State Representative; The Honorable Vernon G. Smith, Indiana State Representative.

State Legislative Advisory Members Absent: None.

Staff Attending: J. Bradley King, Co-Director, Indiana Election Division of the Office of the Secretary of State (Election Division); Angela M. Nussmeyer, Co-Director of the Election Division; Matthew Kochevar, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division; Valerie Warycha, Co-General Counsel of the Election Division.

Others Attending: Mr. Daniel Dernulc; Mr. James Wieser.

1. Call to Order:

The Chair called the December 10, 2021 meeting of the Commission to order at 1:30 p.m. CST in the Lake County Commissioners Court at 2293 North Main Street, Crown Point.

2. Transaction of Commission Business:

The Commission proceeded to transact the business set forth in the Transcript of Proceedings for this meeting prepared by Catherine M. Stefaniak, CSR of Stewart Richardson and Associates, which is incorporated by reference into these minutes.

The following scrivener's errors are corrected:

Page 7, line 16, replace "and co-director" with "co-directors".

Page 8, line 2, replace "decipher" with "describe".

Page 9, line 7, replace "shaped" with "shape".

Page 8, line 19, replace "linked" with "link".

The Commission adjourned its meeting at 2:18 p.m. CST.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Bradley King J. Bradley King Co-Director

Angela M. Nussmeyer Co-Director

APPROVED:

Paul Okeson, Chairman

In the Matter Of:

INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION PUBLIC SESSION

Transcript of Proceedings

December 10, 2021



800.869.0873 | www.StewartRichardson.com

Reporting Driven by Excellence - Since 1975

1	
2	
3	LAKE COUNTY REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
4	PUBLIC SESSION
5	
6	
7	
8	Conducted on: December 10, 2021
9	1:30 p.m.
10	
11	Conducted at: LAKE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS COURT
12	2293 North Main Street Crown Point, Indiana 46307
13	
14	
15	
16	A Stenographic Record By: Catherine M. Stefaniak, CSR NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LAKE
17	STATE OF INDIANA
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	STEWART RICHARDSON & ASSOCIATES Registered Professional Reporters
24	(800) 869-0873
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	INDIANA ELECTION COMMISSION:
4	Paul Okeson - Chairman
5	Suzannah Wilson Overholt - Vice Chairman
6	Litany Pyle - Member
7	Karen Celestino-Horseman - Member
8	INDIANA ELECTION DIVISION STAFF:
9	Angela M. Nussmeyer - Co-Director
LO	J. Bradley King - Co-Director
11	Matthew Kochevar - Co-Counsel
12	Valerie Warycha - Co-Counsel
L3	LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY MEMBERS:
14	Eddie Melton
15	
16	Vernon G. Smith
L7	Rick Niemeyer
18	Hal Slager
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	

CHAIRMAN OKESON: I'm going to go ahead and call the meeting to order of the Lake County Redistricting Commission. The date is Friday, December 10, 2021 roughly at 1:30 central standard time.

2.

2.2

I would like to call and recognize the following members present: Myself, Paul Okeson, Chairman; Vice Chair Suzannah Wilson Overholt; member, Karen Celestino-Horseman; and new member, Litany Pyle.

We also have our legislative advisory members here today. Our newest member, State Senator Rick Niemeyer. He's been designated to replace State Senator Jon Ford as an advisory member to this commission. State Senator Eddie Melton, State Representative Hal Slager and State Representative Vernon Smith. Thank you all for joining us.

We also have the Indiana Election Division Co-Counsel and Co-Directors Brad King and Angie Nussmeyer and Co-Counsels Matthew Kochevar and Valerie Warycha.

And today from Stewart Richardson, we have our court reporter Catherine Stefaniak. So if you're going to do any speaking today, please make sure that you take a moment to say your name and spell

1 it for the purposes of the court reporter to get it 2 on the record. With that, we'll move on to the agenda. Let's 4 confirm documentation in compliance with the Open Door and recognize the co-directors. Mr. King? 5 MR. KING: Mr. Chairman and members of the 6 commission, on behalf of myself and Co-Director 7 Nussmeyer, we certify that legal notice of this 8 9 meeting was given in accordance with Indiana's Open 10 Door Law. 11 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you very much. Ι 12 believe we have approval of the October 29th, 2021 13 minutes. 14 MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, members of the 15 commission, on behalf of myself and Co-Director 16 Nussmeyer, we have reviewed the commission minutes 17 from October 29th and recommend them to you for 18 your approval. Thank you. Is there a 19 CHAIRMAN OKESON: 20 motion to approve the minutes? MS. OVERHOLT: 21 So moved. 2.2 Is there a second? CHAIRMAN OKESON: 23 MS. PYLE: Second. 24 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Having a motion and a 25 second, any discussion?

1 (No response.) 2 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Hearing none. All those in favor signify by saying aye. 4 THE COMMISSION: Aye. CHAIRMAN OKESON: The ayes have it. Adoption of the minutes and approved. 6 At this time, I would like to -- just to offer 7 some opening remarks to our advisory members and 8 introduce yourself if you have anything you would 10 like to add for the record. I will start at the 11 end. Senator? 12 MR. NIEMEYER: This is State Senator Rick 13 Niemeyer for the 6th District, Lake County, Benton 14 and Newton Counties, and that's all I have right 15 now. Thank you. 16 MR. SLAGER: State Representative Hal Slager, 17 Tri-Town area; St. John, Dyer, Schererville, parts 18 of Griffith. And I don't have any other comments 19 at this time. 20 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Do you have anything? 21 MS. PYLE: Nothing. 2.2 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Senator? 23 MR. MELTON: State Senator Eddie Melton for 24 District 3 which includes Gary, Merrillville, 25 Hobart, Crown Point, Lake Station and New Chicago.

MR. SMITH: Representative Vernon Smith, 14th
House District which includes the 6th District of
Gary, Indiana, all of Merrillville's precinct, two
precincts in Hobart and incorporated Calumet
Township. I think that's it -- oh, Schererville -not Schererville. That's my new map. That's
pretty much my district.

2.2

I would like to just go on the record. I won't take as much time as I did at the first meeting to be -- to express my concern about how Lake County is treated by the state that we -- in the case of judges, they don't think we're either honest enough or intelligent enough to select our own judges through the electoral process. And in here, we have a process that's been ongoing where most counties are drawing in their own districts but the state is overseeing ours.

So I just want to go on the record and say how opposed I am to this treatment of Lake County and some other oscillating counties that have been treated differently than the rest of the counties.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Representative.

At this time, I would like to recognize

Co-Counsel Matthew Kochevar to administer the oath
to anyone planning on providing any testimony or

speaking on the record. Please address 1 2 Mr. Kochevar as he administers the oath. MR. KOCHEVAR: All those who plan to testify 4 before the Lake County Redistricting Commission, 5 please rise, raise your right hand and say I do after recitation of the oath. 6 Do you solemnly swear or affirm the testimony 7 you are about to give to the Lake County 8 Redistricting Commission is the truth, the whole 9 10 truth and nothing but the truth. Please say I do. 11 ALL: I do. 12 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Mr. Kochevar. 13 Moving on to election committee reports 14 regarding the filing of these proposed 15 redistricting plans. I will recognize the election 16 and co-director to provide information regarding these six plans -- is that right -- six plans that 17 18 were proposed and submitted to the Election 19 Division by the deadline of noon, November 29th, 20 2021 at senator commission's order 2021-1. 21 Mr. King, if you would proceed to provide 2.2 information, and then I will recognize 23 Ms. Nussmeyer to do the same. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members 24 MR. KING: of the commission, the six plans that were 25

referenced by the chairman are in the binders that are before you. I'm going to decipher them in the order that they were submitted or filed.

2.2

The first, plan number 1 was filed on

November 24th at 3:24 p.m. by Mr. James Wieser

regarding the proposed Lake County Commissioner

Districts. Plans 2 and 3 were both submitted on

November 29th at 9:41 a.m. by Mr. Christopher

Harris regarding the County Commissioner Districts

and County Council Districts respectively proposed

for -- proposed under plan 1 and plan -- sorry -
plan 2 and plan 3.

Plan 4 was filed on November 29th, 2021 at 11:19 a.m. by Mr. Dan Dernulc and concerns the Commissioner and Council Districts referenced in that plan. Plan 5 was also filed by Mr. Dernulc at 11:19 a.m. on November 29th. And this clarifies for the County Council. The previous one was for County Commissioner.

And then finally, plan 6 was submitted at 11:56 by Mr. James Wieser on behalf of the Lake County Democratic Central Committee concerning Council Districts. And I will defer to Ms. Nussmeyer to add additional information.

MS. NUSSMEYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and

members of the commission. Thank you, Co-Director 1 2 King. 3 Just very quickly, our staff put together maps 4 of the proposals that are over here to my left of 5 the commissioner's courtroom today. Those maps are based on the best we could do using the maps 6 provided to us and using the official county shaped 7 file along with the 2020 census data as well. 8 9 that would give you a better indication perhaps 10 than what might be in your binder if you wanted to 11 see up close where those district lines may be. 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN OKESON: And I believe all these 14 plans are posted to the website. What's the name 15 of the website? Is it the Lake County 16 Redistricting? MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, members of the 17 18 commission, the Indiana Election Commission has a 19 web page that they linked to the Lake County 20 Redistricting Commission, and each of the plans 21 have been published on that Lake County 2.2 Redistricting Commission web page. 23 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Brad. 24 Moving on, I will adopt some procedures for 25 today's public hearing. I move the commission to

adopt the following proceedings -- or excuse me -the following procedures for receiving and
considering public comment during this hearing.

These are modeled after the procedures that were
used by this commission in conducting its public
hearing last November 18, 2011 when it received
public comments as part of its last redistricting
under state statute.

2.2

We will receive presentations and public comments regarding plans in the order in which the plans were submitted, as Mr. King just noted, and then open up the meeting for comments from any person present regarding the content of the final redistricting order being adopted at a later meeting by this same commission.

Each presenter and any person commenting on a specific plan will limit their remarks to five minutes with time spent responding to questions from the commission not being counted against that five-minute period of time. Please remember not to speak until recognized by myself and address any remarks to the chairman, not other persons in the room. Do not speak while others are speaking so we can make sure we get a clear record of all the comments that are being made here today. And as I

1 said earlier, please begin by identifying yourself 2 and spelling your name out loud for the court 3 reporter so that they can get that on the record 4 accurately. Any written comments or other exhibits received will be entered into the record at this 6 meeting. If you are submitting material that the 7 commission has not previously received, please 8 9 provide a copy to Ms. Warycha sitting right there 10 to be sure it's included for the purposes of the 11 record. 12 Is there a second to those procedures? 13 MS. OVERHOLT: So moved -- or seconded. 14 Sorry. 15 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Any discussion? Is 16 everybody good with that? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Hearing none. All those in 19 favor signify by saying aye. 20 ALL: Aye. 21 The ayes have it. CHAIRMAN OKESON: The 2.2 motion is adopted. With that, we'll proceed. 23 So we're going in order. County commission 24 plan 1 filed by Mr. James Wieser. Is that a 25 correct pronunciation? Is Mr. Wieser present?

MR. WIESER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the commission. If you don't mind, the Republican chairman, Mr. Dernulc and I would like to present together if we could, and especially as it relates to the commissioners, and I will confer more to Dan than myself from our perspective. Is this the one that we talked about?

MR. DERNULC: Yes, yes, yes.

2.2

MR. WIESER: This commissioner's map has been reviewed by myself and members of the Democratic party and also in discussion with the Democratic County Commissioners, and we are in agreement with the plan as it's been presented -- or I know it's presented on behalf of me. But we've had -- we've had counsel with Dan on several occasions. We all met. And I think we join in with the Republican party in agreement with this commissioner's map as we believe it to be one that most accurately reflects the statutory and includes the statutory requirements, and especially protecting the communities of interest.

So Dan, I will let you speak.

MR. DERNULC: Thank you, chairman and members of this commission and advisory panel. Last name is spelled D-as-in-David-e-r-n-u-l-c, first name

1 Dan or Danny. 2 Chairman Wieser and I have met several times 3 to talk about the maps. And they're actually the maps that were given specifically for the 4 5 commissioner's maps, just commissioner's. We would come to an agreement. I've also talked to some of 6 7 the commissioners, including Commissioner Tippy, and they're in agreement with them. And I think 8 9 these are -- these are good maps. 10 If you looked at the maps, they're extremely 11 close. And I -- I think this would be good for the 12 commissioners and our following. 13 MS. OVERHOLT: I was -- can I ask a clarifying 14 question? 15 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Sure. 16 MS. OVERHOLT: So I understand, because you 17 both submitted -- I just want to make sure. We've qot a -- so there's a plan 4. Are you talking 18 19 about plan -- you're both talking about plan 1 that 20 you agree? 21 MR. DERNULC: I --2.2 CHAIRMAN OKESON: You're not sure what number 23 it is? MR. DERNULC: Yeah, that is correct. That is 24 25 correct.

```
1
         MR. WIESER: I'm not sure what number, quite
 2
     frankly. 1 was submitted on behalf of the -- I
 3
     think -- can we look at these?
 4
         MS. NUSSMEYER: (Moving maps).
         THE REPORTER: I can't hear.
         CHAIRMAN OKESON:
                           Sure. Go ahead now.
 6
         MS. NUSSMEYER: It's this area where there's a
 7
     little disagreement at least in the way that it was
 8
 9
     described in the narratives. So this is plan 1,
10
     and that is plan 4 (indicating).
         MR. DERNULC: Yeah, yeah.
11
12
         MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: And plan 4 is
13
     Mr. Dernulc's, and plan --
14
         MR. DERNULC. Yes. Thank you.
15
         (A discussion was held off the record.)
16
         MR. DERNULC: This is the one (indicating).
17
         MS. OVERHOLT: 4.
                            Okay. Well, I think they
18
     just said -- so it looks like what's just been
19
     indicated -- let the record reflect -- sorry --
20
     that it's plan 4. What we're referring to is plan
21
     4. And it's the one that you two are discussing
2.2
     and agree on?
23
         MR. DERNULC: Yes.
                             There's an incidental
24
     incremental change, but I mean, not with it. We're
25
     okay with the plan -- plan 4, your plan,
```

1 Mr. Chairman. 2 MS. OVERHOLT: All right. So does that mean we shouldn't -- we don't need to discuss 4 commissioner plan 1? MR. WIESER: That's correct. From our perspective, there's no need to discuss it. 6 MS. OVERHOLT: All right. Thank you. 7 MR. WIESER: You're welcome. 8 9 CHAIRMAN OKESON: So plan 1 was submitted. 10 plan 1 being considered by either of you? 11 MR. WIESER: No. 12 MR. DERNULC: No. 13 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Would you like to 14 withdraw plan 1? 15 MR. WIESER: I would. I will like to withdraw 16 it. 17 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Is there any public comment? 18 Does anyone care about plan 1? 19 MS. OVERHOLT: No. 20 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Does anyone care? Just 21 kidding. Okay. So for the record, you both, 2.2 Mr. Dernulc and Mr. Wieser, are agreeing to plan 4. 23 MR. DERNULC: 24 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Okay. 25 MR. DERNULC: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: And we have two other plans 1 2 that have been -- three other plans that have been 3 submitted. What does that do with plan 6? 4 (A discussion was held off the record.) CHAIRMAN OKESON: All right. Is Mr. Harris here, Christopher Harris for plans 2 and 3? 6 Mr. Chairman? 7 MR. KING: CHAIRMAN OKESON: 8 Yes. 9 MR. KING: If I may state, I received a 10 communication from Mr. Harris a couple of days ago 11 indicating that he had a funeral that might require 12 him to be absent from this meeting. 13 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Okay. And Mr. Dernulc, plan 14 5, would you like to comment on plan 5? 15 MR. DERNULC: Mr. Wieser, I don't -- no, I 16 don't think so. 17 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Okay. 18 MR. DERNULC: No. 19 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Mr. Wieser, that means plan 20 6 -- would you like to comment on or contemplate 21 plan 6? 2.2 MR. DERNULC: Sorry. 23 If I may for the audience here, MR. KOCHEVAR: 24 plan number 5 is in reference to a county council Number 5 was submitted by Mr. Dernulc here. 25 plan.

1 MR. DERNULC: I was getting confused. 2. thought it was still commission maps that we were 3 talking about. I would like to -- I would like to 4 comment on the map that I presented. CHAIRMAN OKESON: On plan 5? MR. DERNULC: 6 Yes. Please. 7 CHAIRMAN OKESON: MR. DERNULC: Members of the council, 8 9 D-e-r-n-u-l-c, first name is Danny. 10 So the deviation should be 10 percent. 11 what we've done is we've been able to get the total 12 range of the deviation from the highest to the lowest as it relates to our Council Districts by --13 14 to 3.9 percent. We're well within the range of the 15 10 percent. 16 Some of the items that we've kept in 17 consideration, we kept all incumbents in their 18 current districts. The districts were drawn 19 focusing on keeping the townships and communities 20 together in a single district. And again, as I 21 mentioned, deviations were -- that were from -- due 2.2 to the incumbent addresses in population and 23 equality. 24 One thing that we did take -- again, you'll --I had looked at this as not rocket science. 25

were looking at it, there was a change in 1 2 population that shifted a little more south. 3 it was a little bit of a challenge, but we were able to, again, keep all the Council Districts with 4 5 their incumbents. Me being on the council, there is an interest a bit for that, you know. I'm just 6 saying that as a little levity into this 7 conversation. 8 9 But again, it's something that, again, our --10 our incumbents, if they would like to run, they 11 could. 12 Any comment on plan 5 from CHAIRMAN OKESON: 13 the public, any general comments? 14 (No response.) 15 MS. OVERHOLT: So this is just -- and I'm 16 going to give credit where credit is due. Staff 17 identified this issue. I have not looked at that. 18 But I just wanted to clarify that plan 5 has the 19 Gary precinct 2-21, which apparently -- apparently, 20 there isn't one. It looks like it's probably a 21 typo, and it should be Gary 2-11. I don't know if 2.2 you have any reason to --23 MR. DERNULC: No. That was just an example. 24 MS. OVERHOLT: I just thought I would clarify 25 that.

MR. DERNULC: I did not know that until just 1 2 now. 3 MS. OVERHOLT: Okay. And actually, that was -- with plan 4 that we discussed a minute ago, 4 5 it's the same issue. It's just a reference, but a typographical error. Okay. 6 7 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I have a question. CHAIRMAN OKESON: Sure. 8 9 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I have a question for 10 either our director or attorney. Refresh my 11 memory, is incumbency a factor taken into account 12 when drawing these? 13 MR. KOCHEVAR: Commissioners and members of 14 the commission, according to 36-2-3-4 which 15 establishes the legal requirements for how the 16 redistricting of a county council is supposed to be 17 undertaken, including all of its standards, there is no legal standard for consideration of 18 19 incumbency. The law doesn't actually speak to any 20 of that at all. It is silent on that matter. 21 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Do you concur with that, 2.2 Valerie? 23 MS. WARYCHA: Yes. 24 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you. Anything else on 25 plan 5? Any other questions for Mr. Dernulc?

1 MR. MELTON: Just to clarify the question. 2 I kind of got a little confused as well from the 3 first round. 4 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. MR. MELTON: So the commissioners -- now, there's an agreement between two bodies. 6 And now we're shifting into the Council Districts? Right? 7 That's correct. MR. DERNULC: 8 9 MR. MELTON: And for point of clarity of 10 process, we're just going to hear discussion, and 11 then the staff will then figure out the alignment? 12 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. Good question. We 13 won't vote on any of this today. 14 MR. MELTON: Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN OKESON: There will be nothing final. 16 It's just a matter of getting these plans on the 17 record and finding out where there's consensus 18 or --19 MR. MELTON: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN OKESON: -- on those proposed plans. 21 Yes. Good point. Thank you, sir. Anyone else? 2.2 MS. OVERHOLT: We were chatting about this a 23 little bit -- oh, never mind. Sorry. No, I don't 24 have any other questions. Sorry. 25 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Anyone else?

1 MR. DERNULC: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and 2. members of the commission. 3 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Oh, wait. I have 4 another question. CHAIRMAN OKESON: Sure. It's past the deadline. 6 7 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. When I look at plan 5 and plan 6, I mean -- well, wait a minute. 8 9 CHAIRMAN OKESON: We haven't gotten to plan 6 10 yet. 11 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Oh, okay. All right. 12 So plan 5 and plan 6 here. Is this -- I'm looking 13 at these. These are the two plans before us, 14 correct? 15 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. And Mr. Wieser 16 submitted plan 6, I believe. And we have not 17 gotten to that one. 18 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Right. What is this 19 plan? 20 MR. KOCHEVAR: Yes. Commissioner, this is 21 plan number 3 that was submitted by Mr. Harris. As 2.2 indicated, he is not present today. 23 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. So it does --24 okay. So I'm looking at the discrepancies here, 25 and you're maintaining that the reason --

1 CHAIRMAN OKESON: The discrepancies between? 2 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: The two maps. CHAIRMAN OKESON: 5 and 6? 4 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: 5 and 6. So 6 -- or 5 5, Mr. Dernulc. So map 5 is -- you kept the -- you kept it down to 3.5 percent, you said? 6 7 MR. DERNULC: 3.9. MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: 3.9 percent. 8 9 MR. DERNULC: Yeah. 10 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Does that account for 11 the differences? I mean, if you had to compare the 12 two maps --13 MR. DERNULC: And I apologize, ma'am. As it relates to the map number 6, I don't -- I'll let 14 15 Chairman Wieser speak on that one. 16 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Oh, you haven't 17 looked at the plans to compare them? MR. DERNULC: No, not really. I have not. 18 19 mean -- but our deviation -- just so you know, the 20 highest would be -- I mean, the highest deviation 21 on the high end was District 5, which was at 2 2.2 percent, and the lowest was at -- and that was plus 23 2 percent. And the lowest was at negative 1.9, 24 which is District 4. 25 MS. NUSSMEYER: I have two copies of the maps.

1 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Valerie -- Co-Director 2 Nussmeyer, that's an overlay of plan 5 and plan 6 3 which has not been presented by Mr. Wieser yet. 4 MS. NUSSMEYER: (Tendering). CHAIRMAN OKESON: So Mr. Wieser, I would invite you -- Chairman Wieser, I would invite you 6 to introduce plan 6. 7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman and MR. WIESER: 8 9 members of the commission. Maybe I should -- my 10 name is often misspelled. My last name is spelled 11 W-i-e-s-e-r. Thank you. 12 Let me -- I'll try to hone in on the 13 differences more so than discrepancy. 14 apologize. It's just differences that we had. 15 First of all, I just -- I did want to let everyone 16 know that although I'm the chairman of the party 17 and chairman of the central committee, I am also 18 here on behalf of the county council. We've worked 19 with -- I've worked with the members of the county 20 council, specifically with the president of the 21 county council Ted Bilski and had the authority to 2.2 make that representation, so I wanted to make that 23 clear --24 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you.

MR. DERNULC: The Democratic county council

25

members.

MR. WIESER:

virtually identical.

2.2

I'll try to, if I can, hone in on what I think the difference is. The difference in the plans -- if you take a careful look at the plan and you look at the districts that comprise what a lot of us refer to as the northern part of the county probably from say Ridge Road or maybe even U.S. 30

and Ridge Road north are pretty consistent with a

couple of very, very minor deviations.

Yeah. Dan said it was fine, too.

They're

And although we did not -- I didn't think of it, nor did we think it to be a statutory criteria or rules criteria, we didn't take into account incumbency. We didn't address that issue directly. But where the -- the differences occur essentially in two areas, and that is in the 4th Council District where Dan is currently the councilman. And that district and in the 6th District where -- over on the east -- one is on the far west side of the county, mid county and a little bit south. The

other is on the far east side of the county, middle

And in looking at the numbers and the population and trying to take everything into

of the county and a little bit south.

consideration -- and we did. I think our deviation is almost identical in terms of -- in terms of the numbers. I think our largest district and smallest district, there's a difference of I think about roughly, approximately 2,000 voters. But the rest are all really close, and they're all close to the median of 71,243 voters.

But when we look at District 4, the reason -there were a couple of reasons why our map differs
in respect to the map that Dan has submitted. And
so you know, our map -- we prepared our map after I
had I think probably at least two initial meetings
with Dan over what they were going to propose as it
relates to the county council.

And in looking at that, we wanted, one, to as best we could follow a certain guideline, and so we chose on the south side of the 4th County Council District to follow the senate district line of the newly created senate district, and we tried to be consistent with that. And that's what caused a little bit of difference between their map and ours, maybe to the extent -- and I don't remember, Dan. 5 or 6 precincts or something like that.

And then on the east side, there was a proposal and a thought, at least, in Dan's plan to

incorporate Winfield Township into the -- into the 6th District. And it was our opinion that that -- that wasn't a -- we didn't feel that that fit with the concept of bringing a community of interest together.

2.

2.2

Winfield Township, although admittedly is growing, significantly growing, it's been part of the 7th District as long as I can remember.

Unfortunately, I was a county councilman many years ago, but as long as I can remember. But we felt it was more appropriate that the 6th District would extend over a little bit more to the west and pick up more of Crown Point where the current incumbent and current district already had some precincts, and it fit — it was our opinion and belief that it fit better and made more sense in terms of meeting the statutory requirements, and particularly in terms of a community of interest. And that was the reason we did that.

I might add, finally, that -- and that's where
I see the differences. And you may have picked
some others out. I certainly will try to address
that. Dan and I have spoken. It's our goal to sit
down in the next several days and see if those
differences can be either --

1 MR. DERNULC: Resolved.

2 MR. WIESER: Resolved, yeah. Thank you. See? 3 That's why he --

4 MR. DERNULC: It's why I'm here. Sorry

Mr. President.

2.2

MR. WIESER: That's why he's here. To be resolved. And we hope to accomplish that. It's just that, you know, Dan gets much more time of vacation than I do, so we haven't been able to get together this past week.

MR. DERNULC: Three days.

MR. WIESER: But we're going to try to -we're really going to try to work on that. And we
even had a very preliminary discussion here before
the meeting started and had at least an idea of
what we think our frame of that would be. So
that's where our plan differs.

And one final thing I would like to point out, in District 4, we use -- we tried to use some figures in putting together our plan that reflected voters. And so as best we could, we took the governors' races and we took the congressional race, and we used that to try and determine the population or the numbers of democrat voters and the numbers of republican voters in a given

1 district that we were trying to create and trying to draw the boundaries to. And the reason we felt 2 so strongly about District 4 is when we got done with our numbers, the current District 4 would 4 5 reflect a difference of 47 percent and 48 percent. It's about as close as we can come. And we thought 7 that really fairly reflected the viewpoint that this was a competitive district and a district that 8 met all the statutory criteria. So that was the 10 reason for which we had that change made there. 11 And I would be happy, to the extent I can, to 12 try to answer any questions anyone may have. 13 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman? 14 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. 15 MR. SMITH: I know you mentioned Winfield, but 16 you didn't explain why District 7, it goes up into 17 St. John Township. Why the difference here? 18 (Indicating). 19 MR. WIESER: Well, in 4th -- in the 4th 20 District, it's always been -- or it has been 21 St. John Township. 2.2 MR. DERNULC: It has. 23 MR. WIESER: What we did -- the difference 24 between -- I think the basic difference between our 25 presentation and Dan's is that we pretty much cut

1 it off at the township line. We kept that portion 2. of the township of St. John in that 4th District. But the balance of it -- even though the town is 4 St. John is in -- part of it is Hanover Township, so we drew the line there. That's how that 6 happened, Vernon. MR. SMITH: Okay. 7 MR. DERNULC: Members of the council, if it's 8 9 okay. 10 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. 11 MR. DERNULC: Again, you have my word that Jim 12 and I will work over the next week and try to have 13 a -- I can't promise anything, but we'll try to get 14 that. And then you can decide on how you want to 15 proceed. We are trying to work together. I think 16 we've done quite well. 17 MR. WIESER: Absolutely. 18 CHAIRMAN OKESON: For the record, I would say 19 that's pretty apparent based on both of your 20 comments and demeanor today, which -- it's not for 21 me to say, but I applaud that. That's refreshing 2.2 to see. 23 MR. DERNULC: Thank you. 24 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Also, I think it's

great that you're working together, and I strongly

25

encourage it. Otherwise, we have to. 1 2 MR. DERNULC: That's correct. MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: And I don't think 4 either one of you would be happy with that. MR. WIESER: Right. MR. DERNULC: Yeah. 6 7 CHAIRMAN OKESON: MR. NIEMEYER: You talked about Winfield being 8 9 historically in the 7th District. Okay. So was 10 Center Township. As far as I ever know, Center 11 Township has been part of that district, also. So 12 drawing that distinction that -- you know, that 13 that other area has been part of that, so is other areas in that 7th District. Center Township is one 14 15 of them. 16 I know Crown Point was divided because of the 17 Ross Township line being in 93rd Avenue and being down -- but I wanted to make that comment. 18 19 you say Winfield was historic, so are some other 20 areas you have in that 7th District, going to the 4th District have been in the 7th District, also. 21 2.2 MR. WIESER: Would it be appropriate to 23 respond to Senator? 24 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah. 25 MR. WIESER: Senator, you're correct. I don't

1 disagree with that. You're correct. But in our 2. opinion, the reason Crown Point made more sense to 3 us is because that portion of Crown Point on the 4 north end from 93rd to 97th is Ross Township, 5 admittedly. But it's been part of the 6th District. So to put several more precincts in 6 Crown Point in that district, for us, made more --7 we believe made more sense than to take the 8 9 Winfield portion. That's the only reason we did 10 that. But you're correct. I can't argue your point. 11 12 I guess my other question, Jim, MR. NIEMEYER: 13 would be that the Town of Merrillville butts up to 14 Winfield, I believe, the jurisdiction. 101st is 15 Town of Merrillville, which it's in that 16 district -- Council District now. And so is Hobart

Township. So you have that whole corridor that

butts up to the Porter County line being in

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And so saying it makes common sense to put Winfield into the 7th and putting -- there's argument both ways on that, I believe, and so I just wanted that -- my point to be made that there's argument both ways. Because Winfield really -- points of interest, really ties in really

Councilman Bilski's district now, which is the 6th.

1 well with the district. We have Ross Township, 2. which is Town of Merrillville. I get. I believe 3 Merrillville comes clear up to 101st Avenue, which 4 is Winfield. Well, Winfield starts at the township and that's Town of Winfield the rest of the way. 5 So that's my comment. 6 7 Because you're kind of dividing the 7th District up and going the middle and take that 8 9 Center Township area instead of coming down, which 10 would make more sense to me coming down to 11 Winfield. So that's just my comment. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Thank you, Senator. Anyone 13 else? Questions or comments on plan 6? 14 MR. DERNULC: Mr. President? 15 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. 16 MR. DERNULC: This is, again, just advisory right now. We will take action on the 17th? 17 18 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah, I believe that's when. 19 MR. KING: Correct. 20 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Correct. 21 MR. DERNULC: At 1:30 eastern? 2.2 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yes. It will be in 23 Indianapolis. 24 MR. DERNULC: Yeah. Not here. We love you 25 guys up here.

```
1
         CHAIRMAN OKESON: We're splitting the
 2
     difference.
         MR. DERNULC: Understood.
 4
         CHAIRMAN OKESON: Would anybody in the public
 5
     like to comment on plan 6? Any other comments or
     questions?
 6
         (No response.)
 7
 8
         MR. WIESER: Thank you very much.
 9
         MR. DERNULC: Thank you, Mr. President and
10
     members of the commission.
11
         CHAIRMAN OKESON: Is there anyone who would
12
     like to make any comments for the record generally
13
     at this point from the public?
14
         (No response.)
15
         CHAIRMAN OKESON: Going once. Going twice.
16
     Okay. We'll wrap up.
17
         I will afford any other comments or closing
18
     remarks from our panel. I will start at the other
19
     end.
20
         MR. SMITH: Nothing.
21
         MR. MELTON:
                      Nothing.
2.2
         MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: (Nodding).
23
         MS. OVERHOLT: Well, I quess I would just say
24
     that I think it's great when the parties are
25
     working this out. And to kind of -- going back on
```

1 what Representative Smith was saying, you know, I 2. lived in Marion County for -- I hate to admit how 3 long it's been now, but it's actually been 21 years. I didn't think I lived in the state that 4 5 long. But Marion County is often treated differently, too, so I understand. And I'm -- so I 6 would like the folks who actually live here and 7 have a dog in this fight, as they say -- or I don't 8 9 want to call it a fight. Those who have a vested 10 interest in the discussion to be the ones who 11 handle this out, so I think it's great that we're 12 hopefully headed in that direction. 13 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Yeah, I would echo those 14 I would say in a two-party system, it's, 15 even increasingly in today's world, not often we 16 see collaboration and an effort to reach consensus 17 that is -- at least by the time you arrive at this 18 meeting, you've come across pretty well. So thank 19 you for that. 20 MR. DERNULC: I appreciate that. 21 CHAIRMAN OKESON: That helps in a lot of ways. 22 We appreciate your efforts. 23 MR. DERNULC: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Anyone? 25 MS. PYLE: (Nodding).

MR. SLAGER: (Nodding).

2.2

MR. NIEMEYER: The shift in population right in the center of the county basically where the shift happened. I think they worked very well trying to put something together with that shift and how it had to be addressed. I think we're close to -- you know, the Commissioner Districts are there. The Council District maybe have to be looked at a little bit with that compromise.

But I appreciate the two people -- county chairmen meeting and the two parties coming together, not just the chairman, but the parties themselves. Because I know Jim has had meetings with his party and Dan has, too. And they worked really hard the last two or three weeks after that initial meeting they had down state to try to put something together. And they probably had help, too, which is really good. So that's it.

CHAIRMAN OKESON: Nice to see civil competition. With that, I will bring the hearing portion of the agenda to a close.

And as Mr. Dernulc noted and for the record, our next meeting on this matter will be held

December 17, 2021 at 1:30 eastern time down at the Statehouse. Right now, it's set for Statehouse

1 room 404. And we will adopt orders to establish 2. any kind of Council and Commissioner Districts. 3 Presumably it will be tighter consensus. 4 MS. WARYCHA: Sorry to interrupt. It's 5 actually going to be in room 233. CHAIRMAN OKESON: 233. 6 MR. DERNULC: If it's okay, would you be 7 willing to send out an email? Mr. Dernulc. I know 8 9 you have my email. Just to make sure. I don't 10 want you to be in room 233, and I'm in room 404. 11 MS. WARYCHA: Valerie Warycha, W-a-r-y-c-h-a. 12 It's posted on our website. We post it. Τf you go to in.gov/sos and look at election 13 14 commission, all of our notices are posted there 15 with the time and the location. 16 MR. DERNULC: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN OKESON: With that, the Lake County 18 Redistricting Commission has finished its business 19 for today, and I will entertain a motion to 20 adjourn. MS. OVERHOLT: 21 So moved. 2.2 CHAIRMAN OKESON: Second? 23 MS. PYLE: Second. 24 CHAIRMAN OKESON: All those in favor. 25 ALL: Aye.

```
CHAIRMAN OKESON: So moved. Thank you very
 1
 2
     much.
 3
         (The Lake County Redistricting Commission
     Public Session was adjourned at 2:18 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF INDIANA
2	COUNTY OF LAKE
3	
4	I, Catherine M. Stefaniak, CSR, a Notary
5	Public in and for said county and state, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing public session was taken at
7	the time and place heretofore mentioned between 1:30
8	p.m. and 2:18 p.m.;
9	That said public session was taken down in
10	stenograph notes and afterwards reduced to typewriting
11	under my direction; and that the typewritten
12	transcript is a true record of the public session;
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
14	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 30th day of
15	December, 2021.
16	
17	Catherina M. SA Gamata. Catherina M. Stefaniak. NOTARY PUBLIC SEAL. STATE OF NOMA.
18	Commission to M0000033 My Commission Espires Apr. 23, 2025
19	Mr. Commission Englishes
20	My Commission Expires: April 23rd, 2025
21	Job No. 167126
22	00D NO. 107120
23	
24	
25	

accurately 11:4 12:18 1 action 32:17 add 5:10 8:24 26:20 **1** 8:4,11 11:24 13:19 14:2,9 15:4, **4** 8:13 13:18 14:10,12,17,20,21,25 9,10,14,18 15:22,23 19:4 22:24 25:8 27:19 additional 8:24 28:3,4 **1.9** 22:23 address 7:1 10:21 24:15 26:22 **404** 36:1.10 **10** 3:4 17:10.15 addressed 35:6 **47** 28:5 **101st** 31:14 32:3 addresses 17:22 **48** 28:5 **11:19** 8:14,17 adjourn 36:20 4th 24:17 25:17 28:19 29:2 30:21 **11:56** 8:21 adjourned 37:4 **14th** 6:1 administer 6:24 5 **17** 35:24 administers 7:2 **5** 8:16 16:14,24,25 17:5 18:12,18 **17th** 32:17 admit 34:2 19:25 21:8,12 22:3,4,5,21 23:2 **18** 10:6 25:23 admittedly 26:6 31:5 **1:30** 3:4 32:21 35:24 adopt 9:24 10:1 36:1 6 adopted 10:14 11:22 2 Adoption 5:5 **6** 8:20 16:3,20,21 21:8,9,12,16 22:3,4,14 23:2,7 25:23 32:13 33:5 **2** 8:7,12 16:6 22:21,23 advisory 3:11,14 5:8 12:24 32:16 **6th** 5:13 6:2 24:19 26:2,11 31:5, **2,000** 25:5 affirm 7:7 **2-11** 18:21 **afford** 33:17 **2-21** 18:19 7 agenda 4:3 35:21 2011 10:6 agree 13:20 14:22 **7** 28:16 **2020** 9:8 agreeing 15:22 **71,243** 25:7 **2021** 3:4 4:12 7:20 8:13 35:24 agreement 12:12,17 13:6,8 20:6 **7th** 26:8 30:9,14,20,21 31:21 32:7 **2021-1** 7:20 **ahead** 3:1 14:6 **21** 34:3 alignment 20:11 9 **233** 36:5,6,10 **Angie** 3:19 93rd 30:17 31:4 24th 8:5 apologize 22:13 23:14 97th 31:4 **29th** 4:12,17 7:19 8:8,13,17 apparent 29:19 **9:41** 8:8 **2:18** 37:4 apparently 18:19 applaud 29:21 Α 3 **approval** 4:12,18 **a.m.** 8:8,14,17 **3** 5:24 8:7,12 16:6 21:21 approve 4:20 **absent** 16:12 **3.5** 22:6 approved 5:6 Absolutely 29:17 approximately 25:5 **3.9** 17:14 22:7,8 accomplish 27:7 **30** 24:8 area 5:17 14:7 30:13 32:9 accordance 4:9 **36-2-3-4** 19:14 areas 24:17 30:14.20 account 19:11 22:10 24:14 **3:24** 8:5 **argue** 31:11

Co-counsels 3:20 argument 31:22,24 care 15:18.20 **arrive** 34:17 careful 24:5 co-director 4:7.15 7:16 9:1 23:1 attorney 19:10 **case** 6:12 co-directors 3:19 4:5 audience 16:23 Catherine 3:23 collaboration 34:16 authority 23:21 caused 25:20 comment 10:3 15:17 16:14.20 17:4 18:12 30:18 32:6,11 33:5 **Avenue** 30:17 32:3 Celestino-horseman 3:9 14:12 15:13 19:7,9 21:3,7,11,18,23 commenting 10:16 **ave** 5:3,4 11:19,20 36:25 22:2,4,8,10,16 29:24 30:3 33:22 comments 5:18 10:7.10.12.25 ayes 5:5 11:21 census 9:8 11:5 18:13 29:20 32:13 33:5.12. 17 34:14 center 30:10,14 32:9 35:3 В commission 3:3,15 4:7,15,16 central 3:4 8:22 23:17 5:4 7:4,9,25 9:1,18,20,22,25 10:5, **back** 33:25 15,19 11:8,23 12:2,24 17:2 19:14 certify 4:8 21:2 23:9 33:10 36:14,18 37:3 balance 29:3 Chair 3:8 commission's 7:20 **based** 9:6 29:19 chairman 3:1,8 4:6,11,14,19,22, 24 5:2,5,20,22 6:22 7:12,24 8:1, **commissioner** 8:6,9,15,19 13:7 **basic** 28:24 15:4 21:20 35:7 36:2 25 9:13,17,23 10:22 11:15,18,21 basically 35:3 12:1,3,23 13:2,15,22 14:6 15:1,9, **commissioner's** 9:5 12:9,17 17,20,24 16:1,5,7,8,13,17,19 begin 11:1 13:5 17:5,7 18:12 19:8,21,24 20:4,12, **behalf** 4:7.15 8:21 12:14 14:2 **commissioners** 12:5,12 13:7,12 15,20,25 21:1,5,9,15 22:1,3,15 23:18 19:13 20:5 23:1,5,6,8,16,17,24 28:13,14 29:10,18 30:7,24 32:12,15,18,20, **belief** 26:15 committee 7:13 8:22 23:17 22 33:1,4,11,15 34:13,21,24 Benton 5:13 35:12,19 36:6,17,22,24 37:1 **common** 31:20 Bilski 23:21 chairmen 35:11 communication 16:10 Bilski's 31:19 challenge 18:3 communities 12:21 17:19 binder 9:10 **change** 14:24 18:1 28:10 **community** 26:4,18 binders 8:1 chatting 20:22 compare 22:11,17 **bit** 18:3,6 20:23 24:21,23 25:21 Chicago 5:25 competition 35:20 26:12 35:9 chose 25:17 competitive 28:8 bodies 20:6 Christopher 8:8 16:6 compliance 4:4 boundaries 28:2 civil 35:19 comprise 24:6 **Brad** 3:19 9:23 clarifies 8:17 compromise 35:9 bring 35:20 clarify 18:18,24 20:1 concept 26:4 bringing 26:4 clarifying 13:13 concern 6:10 business 36:18 clarity 20:9 concerns 8:14 **butts** 31:13.18 clear 10:24 23:23 32:3 concur 19:21 **close** 9:11 13:11 25:6 28:6 35:7, conducting 10:5 C 21 confer 12:5 **closing** 33:17 call 3:2.6 34:9 confirm 4:4 Co-counsel 3:19 6:24 Calumet 6:4 confused 17:1 20:2

Ε

efforts 34:22

36:13

election 3:18 7:13,15,18 9:18

congressional 27:22 12:25 disagreement 14:8 **D-E-R-N-U-L-C** 17:9 consensus 20:17 34:16 36:3 discrepancies 21:24 22:1 consideration 17:17 19:18 25:1 **Dan** 8:14 12:6,15,22 13:1 24:2,18 discrepancy 23:13 25:10,13,23 26:23 27:8 35:14 considered 15:10 discuss 15:3,6 **Dan's** 25:25 28:25 consistent 24:9 25:20 discussed 19:4 **Danny** 13:1 17:9 contemplate 16:20 discussing 14:21 **data** 9:8 content 10:13 discussion 4:25 11:15 12:11 **date** 3:3 14:15 16:4 20:10 27:14 34:10 conversation 18:8 distinction 30:12 days 16:10 26:24 27:11 **copies** 22:25 deadline 7:19 21:6 district 5:13,24 6:2,7 9:11 17:20 copy 11:9 22:21,24 24:18,19 25:3,4,8,18,19 **December** 3:4 35:24 26:2,8,11,14 27:19 28:1,3,4,8,16, **correct** 11:25 13:24,25 15:5 20:8 decide 29:14 20 29:2 30:9,11,14,20,21 31:6,7, 21:14 30:2,25 31:1,11 32:19,20 16,19 32:1,8 35:8 corridor 31:17 decipher 8:2 **districts** 6:16 8:7,9,10,15,23 council 8:10,15,18,23 16:24 **defer** 8:23 17:13.18 18:4 20:7 24:6 35:7 36:2 17:8,13 18:4,5 19:16 20:7 23:18, demeanor 29:20 divided 30:16 20,21,25 24:17 25:14,17 29:8 31:16 35:8 36:2 democrat 27:24 dividing 32:7 councilman 24:18 26:9 31:19 **Democratic** 8:22 12:10,11 23:25 **Division** 3:18 7:19 **counsel** 12:15 **Dernulc** 8:14,16 12:3,8,23 13:21, documentation 4:4 24 14:11,14,16,23 15:12,22,23,25 counted 10:19 dog 34:8 16:13,15,18,22,25 17:1,6,8 18:23 counties 5:14 6:16.20.21 19:1,25 20:8 21:1 22:5,7,9,13,18 **Door** 4:5,10 23:25 27:1,4,11 28:22 29:8,11,23 **county** 3:2 5:13 6:11,19 7:4,8 draw 28:2 30:2,6 32:14,16,21,24 33:3,9 8:6,9,10,18,19,22 9:7,15,19,21 34:20,23 35:22 36:7,8,16 11:23 12:12 16:24 19:16 23:18, drawing 6:16 19:12 30:12 **Dernulc's** 14:13 19,21,25 24:7,21,22,23 25:14,17 **drawn** 17:18 26:9 31:18 34:2,5 35:3,10 36:17 designated 3:13 37:3 drew 29:5 determine 27:23 couple 16:10 24:10 25:9 due 17:21 18:16 deviation 17:10,12 22:19,20 court 3:23 4:1 11:2 **Dyer** 5:17 25:1 courtroom 9:5 deviations 17:21 24:10 create 28:1 difference 24:4 25:4,21 28:5,17, created 25:19 23.24 33:2 earlier 11:1 **credit** 18:16 differences 22:11 23:13,14 east 24:20.22 25:24 24:16 26:21,25 criteria 24:13,14 28:9 eastern 32:21 35:24 differently 6:21 34:6 Crown 5:25 26:13 30:16 31:2.3.7 echo 34:13 differs 25:9 27:17 current 17:18 26:13,14 28:4 Eddie 3:15 5:23 direction 34:12 cut 28:25 **effort** 34:16 directly 24:15

director 19:10

disagree 31:1

D-AS-IN-DAVID-E-R-N-U-L-C

D

Index: electoral..indicating

electoral 6:14 headed 34:12 fit 26:3.15.16 **email** 36:8,9 five-minute 10:20 hear 14:5 20:10 encourage 30:1 focusing 17:19 **hearing** 5:2 9:25 10:3,6 11:18 35:20 **end** 5:11 22:21 31:4 33:19 **folks** 34:7 held 14:15 16:4 35:23 entered 11:6 **follow** 25:16.18 helps 34:21 entertain 36:19 **Ford** 3:14 high 22:21 equality 17:23 frame 27:16 highest 17:12 22:20 **error** 19:6 frankly 14:2 historic 30:19 essentially 24:16 Friday 3:3 historically 30:9 establish 36:1 funeral 16:11 Hobart 5:25 6:4 31:16 establishes 19:15 G hone 23:12 24:3 excuse 10:1 honest 6:13 exhibits 11:5 **Gary** 5:24 6:3 18:19,21 hope 27:7 explain 28:16 general 18:13 House 6:2 express 6:10 generally 33:12 **extend** 26:12 **give** 7:8 9:9 18:16 ı extent 25:22 28:11 **goal** 26:23 idea 27:15 extremely 13:10 **good** 11:16 13:9,11 20:12,21 35:18 identical 24:11 25:2 F governors' 27:22 identified 18:17 great 29:25 33:24 34:11 identifying 11:1 **factor** 19:11 Griffith 5:18 in.gov/sos 36:13 **fairly** 28:7 incidental 14:23 growing 26:7 favor 5:3 11:19 36:24 included 11:10 **guess** 31:12 33:23 feel 26:3 guideline 25:16 **includes** 5:24 6:2 12:19 felt 26:10 28:2 including 13:7 19:17 guys 32:25 fight 34:8,9 incorporate 26:1 **figure** 20:11 Н incorporated 6:4 **figures** 27:20 increasingly 34:15 **Hal** 3:16 5:16 file 9:8 incremental 14:24 **hand** 7:5 filed 8:3,4,13,16 11:24 incumbency 19:11,19 24:15 **handle** 34:11 filing 7:14 incumbent 17:22 26:13 Hanover 29:4 final 10:13 20:15 27:18 incumbents 17:17 18:5,10 happened 29:6 35:4 finally 8:20 26:20 Indiana 3:18 6:3 9:18 happy 28:11 30:4 finding 20:17 Indiana's 4:9 **hard** 35:15 fine 24:2 **Indianapolis** 32:23 Harris 8:9 16:5,6,10 21:21 finished 36:18 indicating 14:10,16 16:11 28:18 hate 34:2

Index: indication..north

indication 9:9 legal 4:8 19:15,18 **Melton** 3:15 5:23 20:1,5,9,14,19 33:21 information 7:16.22 8:24 legislative 3:11 member 3:9,12,14 initial 25:12 35:16 levity 18:7 **members** 3:7,11 4:6,14 5:8 7:24 intelligent 6:13 **limit** 10:17 9:1,17 12:2,10,23 17:8 19:13 21:2 interest 12:21 18:6 26:4,18 31:25 lines 9:11 23:9,19 24:1 29:8 33:10 34:10 **memory** 19:11 linked 9:19 interrupt 36:4 mentioned 17:21 28:15 **Litany** 3:10 **introduce** 5:9 23:7 live 34:7 Merrillville 5:24 31:13.15 32:2.3 invite 23:6 lived 34:2.4 Merrillville's 6:3 issue 18:17 19:5 24:15 location 36:15 met 12:16 13:2 **items** 17:16 long 26:8,10 34:3,5 met all 28:9 mid 24:21 looked 13:10 17:25 18:17 22:17 J 35:9 middle 24:22 32:8 lot 24:6 34:21 James 8:5.21 11:24 mind 12:2 20:23 **loud** 11:2 Jim 29:11 31:12 35:13 minor 24:10 love 32:24 **John** 5:17 28:17,21 29:2,4 minute 19:4 21:8 lowest 17:13 22:22,23 ioin 12:16 minutes 4:13,16,20 5:6 10:18 joining 3:17 misspelled 23:10 M Jon 3:14 modeled 10:4 judges 6:12,14 made 10:25 26:16 28:10 31:2,7,8, moment 3:25 jurisdiction 31:14 motion 4:20,24 11:22 36:19 maintaining 21:25 move 4:3 9:25 K make 3:24 10:24 13:17 23:22 moved 4:21 11:13 36:21 37:1 30:18 32:10 33:12 36:9 Karen 3:9 moving 7:13 9:24 14:4 **makes** 31:20 **keeping** 17:19 map 6:6 12:9,17 17:4 22:5,14 Ν 25:9,10,11,21 kidding 15:21 **maps** 9:3,5,6 13:3,4,5,9,10 14:4 kind 20:2 32:7 33:25 36:2 narratives 14:9 17:2 22:2,12,25 **King** 3:19 4:5,6,14 7:21,24 9:2,17 negative 22:23 **Marion** 34:2,5 10:11 16:7,9 32:19 newest 3:12 material 11:7 Kochevar 3:20 6:24 7:2,3,12 newly 25:19 16:23 19:13 21:20 matter 19:20 20:16 35:23 Newton 5:14 Matthew 3:20 6:24 L Nice 35:19 means 16:19 Niemeyer 3:13 5:12,13 30:8 **Lake** 3:2 5:13,25 6:11,19 7:4,8 median 25:7 31:12 35:2 8:6,21 9:15,19,21 36:17 37:3 meeting 3:2 4:9 6:10 10:12,15 Nodding 33:22 34:25 35:1 largest 25:3 11:7 16:12 26:16 27:15 34:18 35:11.16.23 noon 7:19 law 4:10 19:19 meetings 25:12 35:13 north 24:9 31:4 **left** 9:4

northern 24:7 precincts 6:4 25:23 26:14 31:6 Ρ noted 10:11 35:22 preliminary 27:14 notice 4:8 prepared 25:11 p.m. 8:5 37:4 notices 36:14 present 3:7 10:13 11:25 12:4 panel 12:24 33:18 21:22 November 7:19 8:5,8,13,17 10:6 part 10:7 24:7 26:7 29:4 30:11,13 presentation 28:25 31:5 **number** 8:4 13:22 14:1 16:24,25 21:21 22:14 presentations 10:9 parties 33:24 35:11,12 **numbers** 24:24 25:3 27:24,25 presented 12:13,14 17:4 23:3 **parts** 5:17 presenter 10:16 party 12:11,17 23:16 35:14 **Nussmeyer** 3:20 4:8,16 7:23 president 23:20 27:5 32:14 33:9 past 21:5 27:10 8:24,25 14:4,7 22:25 23:2,4 pretty 6:7 24:9 28:25 29:19 34:18 **Paul** 3:7 0 previous 8:18 **people** 35:10 previously 11:8 percent 17:10,14,15 22:6,8,22,23 oath 6:24 7:2,6 28:5 procedures 9:24 10:2,4 11:12 occasions 12:15 period 10:20 proceed 7:21 11:22 29:15 occur 24:16 person 10:13,16 proceedings 10:1 October 4:12.17 persons 10:22 process 6:14,15 20:10 offer 5:7 perspective 12:6 15:6 promise 29:13 official 9:7 **pick** 26:12 pronunciation 11:25 Okeson 3:1,7 4:11,19,22,24 5:2, **picked** 26:21 proposal 25:25 5,20,22 6:22 7:12 9:13,23 11:15, 18,21 13:15,22 14:6 15:9,17,20, plan 7:3 8:4,11,12,13,16,20 10:17 proposals 9:4 24 16:1,5,8,13,17,19 17:5,7 18:12 11:24 12:13 13:18,19 14:9,10,12, propose 25:13 19:8,21,24 20:4,12,15,20,25 21:5, 13,20,25 15:4,9,10,14,18,22 16:3, 9,15 22:1,3 23:1,5,24 28:14 13,14,19,21,24,25 17:5 18:12,18 **proposed** 7:14,18 8:6,10,11 29:10,18 30:7,24 32:12,15,18,20, 19:4,25 21:8,9,12,16,19,21 23:2,7 20:20 22 33:1,4,11,15 34:13,21,24 24:5 25:25 27:17,20 32:13 33:5 35:19 36:6,17,22,24 37:1 protecting 12:20 planning 6:25 provide 7:16,21 11:9 ongoing 6:15 **plans** 7:15,17,25 8:7 9:14,20 provided 9:7 open 4:4,9 10:12 10:10,11 16:1,2,6 20:16,20 21:13 22:17 24:4 opening 5:8 providing 6:25 **point** 5:25 20:9,21 26:13 27:18 opinion 26:2,15 31:2 **public** 9:25 10:3,5,7,9 15:17 30:16 31:2,3,7,11,23 33:13 18:13 33:4,13 37:4 opposed 6:19 **points** 31:25 published 9:21 order 3:2 7:20 8:3 10:10,14 11:23 **population** 17:22 18:2 24:25 purposes 4:1 11:10 orders 36:1 27:24 35:2 put 9:3 31:6,20 35:5,16 oscillating 6:20 **Porter** 31:18 putting 27:20 31:21 portion 29:1 31:3,9 35:21 Overholt 3:8 4:21 11:13 13:13,16 Pyle 3:10 4:23 5:21 34:25 36:23 14:17 15:2,7,19 18:15,24 19:3 post 36:12 20:22 33:23 36:21 posted 9:14 36:12,14 overlay 23:2

precinct 6:3 18:19

overseeing 6:17

Q

question 13:14 19:7,9 20:1,12

send 36:8 21:4 31:12 replace 3:13 questions 10:18 19:25 20:24 reporter 3:23 4:1 11:3 14:5 **sense** 26:16 31:2,8,20 32:10 28:12 32:13 33:6 reports 7:13 Session 37:4 quickly 9:3 representation 23:22 set 35:25 **Representative** 3:16 5:16 6:1, shaped 9:7 R 22 34:1 **shift** 35:2,4,5 republican 12:3,16 27:25 race 27:23 shifted 18:2 require 16:11 races 27:22 shifting 20:7 requirements 12:20 19:15 26:17 raise 7:5 **side** 24:20,22 25:17,24 resolved 27:1,2,7 range 17:12,14 significantly 26:7 respect 25:10 reach 34:16 signify 5:3 11:19 respond 30:23 reason 18:22 21:25 25:8 26:19 silent 19:20 28:2,10 31:2,9 responding 10:18 **single** 17:20 reasons 25:9 response 5:1 11:17 18:14 33:7, sir 20:21 receive 10:9 sit 26:23 rest 6:21 25:5 32:5 received 10:6 11:6,8 16:9 sitting 11:9 reviewed 4:16 12:10 receiving 10:2 Slager 3:16 5:16 35:1 Richardson 3:22 recitation 7:6 smallest 25:3 Rick 3:12 5:12 recognize 3:6 4:5 6:23 7:15,22 **Smith** 3:17 6:1 28:13,15 29:7 **Ridge** 24:8,9 recognized 10:21 33:20 34:1 **rise** 7:5 recommend 4:17 solemnly 7:7 Road 24:8,9 record 4:2 5:10 6:8,18 7:1 10:24 **south** 18:2 24:21,23 25:17 11:3,6,11 14:15,19 15:21 16:4 rocket 17:25 speak 10:21,23 12:22 19:19 20:17 29:18 33:12 35:22 room 10:23 36:1,5,10 22:15 redistricting 3:3 7:4,9,15 9:16, speaking 3:24 7:1 10:23 Ross 30:17 31:4 32:1 20,22 10:7,14 19:16 36:18 37:3 roughly 3:4 25:5 specific 10:17 refer 24:7 round 20:3 specifically 13:4 23:20 reference 16:24 19:5 rules 24:14 **spell** 3:25 referenced 8:1,15 run 18:10 spelled 12:25 23:10 referring 14:20 spelling 11:2 reflect 14:19 28:5 S **spent** 10:18 reflected 27:20 28:7 splitting 33:1 reflects 12:19 Schererville 5:17 6:5.6 Refresh 19:10 **spoken** 26:23 science 17:25 **St** 5:17 28:17,21 29:2,4 refreshing 29:21 seconded 11:13 staff 9:3 18:16 20:11 relates 12:5 17:13 22:14 25:14 select 6:13 standard 3:4 19:18 remarks 5:8 10:17,22 33:18 **senate** 25:18.19 standards 19:17 remember 10:20 25:22 26:8,10 **senator** 3:12,14,15 5:11,12,22,23

7:20 30:23,25 32:12

start 5:10 33:18 **today** 3:12,22,24 9:5 10:25 20:13 wait 21:3.8 21:22 29:20 36:19 started 27:15 wanted 9:10 18:18 23:22 25:15 **today's** 9:25 34:15 30:18 31:23 starts 32:4 total 17:11 Warycha 3:21 11:9 19:23 36:4, **state** 3:12,13,15,16 5:12,16,23 11 6:11,17 10:8 16:9 34:4 35:16 town 29:3 31:13,15 32:2,5 ways 31:22,24 34:21 Statehouse 35:25 township 6:5 26:1,6 28:17,21 29:1,2,4 30:10,11,14,17 31:4,17 **web** 9:19,22 Station 5:25 32:1,4,9 website 9:14.15 36:12 statute 10:8 townships 17:19 week 27:10 29:12 statutory 12:19 24:13 26:17 28:9 treated 6:11,21 34:5 weeks 35:15 Stefaniak 3:23 treatment 6:19 west 24:20 26:12 Stewart 3:22 Tri-town 5:17 Wieser 8:5,21 11:24,25 12:1,9 strongly 28:3 29:25 truth 7:9,10 13:2 14:1 15:5,8,11,15,22 16:15, **submitted** 7:18 8:3,7,20 10:11 19 21:15 22:15 23:3,5,6,8 24:2 two-party 34:14 13:17 14:2 15:9 16:3,25 21:16,21 27:2,6,12 28:19,23 29:17 30:5,22, 25:10 typo 18:21 25 33:8 Wilson 3:8 submitting 11:7 typographical 19:6 Winfield 26:1,6 28:15 30:8,19 supposed 19:16 U 31:9,14,21,24 32:4,5,11 Suzannah 3:8 withdraw 15:14,15 swear 7:7 **U.S.** 24:8 word 29:11 **system** 34:14 understand 13:16 34:6 work 27:13 29:12,15 Understood 33:3 Т worked 23:18,19 35:4,14 undertaken 19:17 working 29:25 33:25 talk 13:3 world 34:15 V talked 12:7 13:6 30:8 wrap 33:16 talking 13:18,19 17:3 vacation 27:9 written 11:5 **Ted** 23:21 Valerie 3:21 19:22 23:1 36:11 Tendering 23:4 Vernon 3:17 6:1 29:6 Υ terms 25:2 26:16,18 vested 34:9 years 26:9 34:4 testify 7:3 Vice 3:8 testimony 6:25 7:7 viewpoint 28:7 thing 17:24 27:18 virtually 24:11

vote 20:13

voters 25:5.7 27:21.24.25

W-A-R-Y-C-H-A 36:11

W-I-E-S-E-R 23:11

W

thought 17:2 18:24 25:25 28:6

time 3:5 5:7,19 6:9,23 10:18,20 27:8 34:17 35:24 36:15

ties 31:25

tighter 36:3

times 13:2

Tippy 13:7