Drainage Board Meeting October 2, 2018

Present: Al Logsdon, Jim Seiler, Tom Brown, Surveyor David Faulkenberg, Elaine Jones, Auditor Autumn Winkler

Meeting was called to order by President Tom Brown at 12:30 P.M.

Minutes

Logsdon made a motion to approve the minutes from September 4^{th} as presented. Seiler seconded the motion, motion was approved.

Bids – Huffman Phase 1

Laswell Excavating - \$18,000.00

\$6.00/ft

\$17.00/ft

Gruber Industries - \$51,000.00

Seiler made a motion to approve the bid from Laswell Excavating for \$18,000.00. Logsdon seconded the motion, motion was approved.

Surveyors Report

Faulkenberg reported that Huffman Phase 2 and 3 are in the process to be bid in November or December. Phase 2 and 3 is approximately 13,000 ft and the hope is to get 2 contractors to work at the same time to expedite the project. Getting those 3 phases complete will get Huffman 75-80% finished to maintenance stage. When these are finished we can hopefully begin Baker Creek soon after.

The Hooppole Phase 3 and Tower Drain projects have not started yet.

Faulkenberg spoke with Mark Summy an Engineer at AEP about lowering the railroad culvert. Summy told Faulkenberg it would cost approximately \$50,000.00 to lower that culvert which they are not willing to spend and he feels it wouldn't fix the problem anyways, because the culvert under Hwy 231 is at a higher elevation than the RR culvert. Faulkenberg stated he believes that is correct that the 231 culvert is higher, but he has not shot it with a transit. Logsdon stated that INDOT would have documentation of the elevation of the bottom of the pipe. Faulkenberg will contact INDOT and report back at the next meeting.

The Baker Creek watershed study is complete with a finding of 239 parcels not being assessed. This reflects 11% of the total parcels in Baker Creek have no assessment. Adding an assessment to these parcels will result in \$1,842.50 additional tax collection each year. Faulkenberg also determined that there are 492 parcels in Baker Creek that state they are overwritten and have no assessment. No documentation has been found as to why these parcels would be overwritten. There was discussion about how to address the overwritten parcels, but will take more time to determine the best way to handle this and what changes to make.

Seiler made a motion to adjourn. Logsdon seconded the motion, motion approved. Meeting was adjourned at 1:01 P.M.

President

am

Attest:

Auteur Winkler