



**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For June 2011



## Region 09 June 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
July 2011**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

### June 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	40,304	36.1%	6,007	28.9%
Region 9	1,622	40.01%	123	17.89%
Boone	232	43.1%	14	14.3%
Hendricks	461	40.8%	21	14.3%
Montgomery	293	33.1%	47	21.3%
Morgan	411	40.4%	28	17.9%
Putnam	225	43.6%	13	15.4%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jun 2011	1,622	40.0%	123	17.9%
May 2011	1,720	41.4%	125	20.8%
Apr 2011	1,555	43.2%	115	19.1%
Mar 2011	1,577	41.9%	112	25.0%
Feb 2011	1,461	40.5%	118	27.1%
Jan 2011	1,599	36.8%	146	34.2%
Dec 2010	1,559	38.4%	196	34.7%
Nov 2010	1,656	38.9%	195	32.8%
Oct 2010	1,612	42.0%	215	32.1%
Sep 2010	1,549	40.0%	197	30.5%
Aug 2010	1,381	37.9%	231	27.7%
Jul 2010	1,354	33.8%	249	22.5%
Jun 2010	1,390	27.8%	266	21.1%

### Region 9 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13694	4105	30.0%	9,589	70.0%	3514	36.6%	5050	52.7%	844	8.8%	181	1.9%
Region 9	258	82	31.8%	176	68.2%	77	43.8%	76	43.2%	20	11.4%	3	1.7%
Boone	43	17	39.5%	26	60.5%	15	57.7%	5	19.2%	4	15.4%	2	7.7%
Hendricks	60	18	30.0%	42	70.0%	18	42.9%	21	50.0%	3	7.1%	0	0.0%
Montgomery	75	26	34.7%	49	65.3%	16	32.7%	26	53.1%	6	12.2%	1	2.0%
Morgan	46	11	23.9%	35	76.1%	17	48.6%	15	42.9%	3	8.6%	0	0.0%
Putnam	34	10	29.4%	24	70.6%	11	45.8%	9	37.5%	4	16.7%	0	0.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jun 2011	258	82	31.8%	176	68.2%	77	43.8%	76	43.2%	20	11.4%	3	1.7%
May 2011	267	78	29.2%	189	70.8%	78	41.3%	88	46.6%	21	11.1%	2	1.1%
Apr 2011	279	83	29.7%	196	70.3%	75	38.3%	95	48.5%	22	11.2%	4	2.0%
Mar 2011	294	85	28.9%	209	71.1%	84	40.2%	98	46.9%	23	11.0%	4	1.9%
Feb 2011	319	106	33.2%	213	66.8%	81	38.0%	105	49.3%	22	10.3%	5	2.3%
Jan 2011	339	113	33.3%	226	66.7%	89	39.4%	112	49.6%	20	8.8%	5	2.2%
Dec 2010	358	123	34.4%	235	65.6%	91	38.7%	119	50.6%	20	8.5%	5	2.1%
Nov 2010	366	106	29.0%	260	71.0%	103	39.6%	130	50.0%	18	6.9%	9	3.5%
Oct 2010	371	107	28.8%	264	71.2%	108	40.9%	126	47.7%	18	6.8%	12	4.5%
Sep 2010	365	112	30.7%	253	69.3%	108	42.7%	115	45.5%	19	7.5%	11	4.3%
Aug 2010	363	104	28.7%	259	71.3%	110	42.5%	119	45.9%	18	6.9%	12	4.6%
Jul 2010	383	130	33.9%	253	66.1%	105	41.5%	119	47.0%	17	6.7%	12	4.7%
Jun 2010	424	152	35.8%	272	64.2%	119	43.8%	125	46.0%	16	5.9%	12	4.4%

## Region 9 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

June 2011

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Safely Home Families First by County

For June 2011

### June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	1821	13694	9440	60.8%	50.0%	6,075	39.2%	50.0%
Region 9	38	258	197	66.6%	50.0%	99	33.4%	50.0%
Boone	0	43	32	74.4%	50.0%	11	25.6%	50.0%
Hendricks	8	60	44	64.7%	50.0%	24	35.3%	50.0%
Montgomery	17	75	59	64.1%	50.0%	33	35.9%	50.0%
Morgan	13	46	41	69.5%	50.0%	18	30.5%	50.0%
Putnam	0	34	21	61.8%	50.0%	13	38.2%	50.0%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2011	38	258	197	66.6%	50.0%	99	33.4%	50.0%
May 2011	45	267	201	64.4%	50.0%	111	35.6%	50.0%
April 2011	50	279	208	63.2%	50.0%	121	36.8%	50.0%
March 2011	46	294	215	63.2%	50.0%	125	36.8%	50.0%
February 2011	40	319	227	63.2%	50.0%	132	36.8%	50.0%
January 2011	53	339	255	65.1%	50.0%	137	34.9%	50.0%
December 2010	48	358	262	64.5%	50.0%	144	35.5%	50.0%
November 2010	38	366	247	61.1%	50.0%	157	38.9%	50.0%
October 2010	38	371	253	61.9%	50.0%	156	38.1%	50.0%
September 2010	46	365	266	64.7%	50.0%	145	35.3%	50.0%
August 2010	53	363	267	64.2%	50.0%	149	35.8%	50.0%
July 2010	57	383	292	66.4%	50.0%	148	33.6%	50.0%
June 2010	67	424	338	68.8%	50.0%	153	31.2%	50.0%

### Region 9 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,589	6,833	71.3%
Region 9	176	105	59.7%
Boone	26	17	65.4%
Hendricks	42	28	66.7%
Montgomery	49	23	46.9%
Morgan	35	19	54.3%
Putnam	24	18	75.0%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jun 2011	176	105	59.7%
May 2011	189	110	58.2%
Apr 2011	196	114	58.2%
Mar 2011	209	125	59.8%
Feb 2011	213	125	58.7%
Jan 2011	226	136	60.2%
Dec 2010	235	140	59.6%
Nov 2010	260	162	62.3%
Oct 2010	264	162	61.4%
Sep 2010	253	157	62.1%
Aug 2010	259	164	63.3%
Jul 2010	253	167	66.0%
Jun 2010	272	188	69.1%

## Region 9 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2010 to June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 9	2.4
Boone	1.9
Hendricks	2.4
Montgomery	2.5
Morgan	2.7
Putnam	2.2


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
June 2011	2.4
May 2011	2.4
April 2011	2.4
March 2011	2.3
February 2011	2.2
January 2011	2.1
December 2010	2.1
November 2010	2.1
October 2010	2.1
September 2010	2.1
August 2010	2.1
July 2010	2.1
June 2010	2.1

## Region 9 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2378	1728	72.7%	2.71
Region 9	45	29	64.4%	2.49
Boone	7	3	42.9%	2.86
Hendricks	10	9	90.0%	2.40
Montgomery	10	7	70.0%	2.60
Morgan	10	5	50.0%	2.30
Putnam	8	5	62.5%	2.38


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
June 2011	45	29	64.4%	2.49
May 2011	51	33	64.7%	2.49
April 2011	53	35	66.0%	2.51
March 2011	57	39	68.4%	2.53
February 2011	55	35	63.6%	2.56
January 2011	58	36	62.1%	2.57
December 2010	58	34	58.6%	2.64
November 2010	68	45	66.2%	2.62
October 2010	71	51	71.8%	2.59
September 2010	68	47	69.1%	2.57
August 2010	68	45	66.2%	2.59
July 2010	65	42	64.6%	2.58
June 2010	73	52	71.2%	2.53

## Region 9 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2011

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average**- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median**- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For June 2011

#### June 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,339	45.3%	2,397	25.0%	1,208	12.6%	1,644	17.1%	639.6	414.4
Region 9	71	40.3%	45	25.6%	30	17.0%	30	17.0%	648.8	463.0
Boone	13	50.0%	10	38.5%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	448.3	388.5
Hendricks	13	31.0%	6	14.3%	11	26.2%	12	28.6%	830.4	871.0
Montgomery	20	40.8%	17	34.7%	2	4.1%	10	20.4%	660.9	597.3
Morgan	14	40.0%	6	17.1%	10	28.6%	5	14.3%	593.6	608.6
Putnam	11	45.8%	6	25.0%	5	20.8%	2	8.3%	603.7	431.0


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
June 2011	71	40.3%	45	25.6%	30	17.0%	30	17.0%	648.8	463.0
May 2011	69	36.5%	61	32.3%	28	14.8%	31	16.4%	643.3	508.3
April 2011	71	36.2%	63	32.1%	36	18.4%	26	13.3%	637.7	532.5
March 2011	89	42.6%	56	26.8%	37	17.7%	27	12.9%	599.3	496.6
February 2011	92	43.2%	58	27.2%	35	16.4%	28	13.1%	586.4	481.4
January 2011	100	44.2%	63	27.9%	34	15.0%	29	12.8%	560.0	453.0
December 2010	107	45.5%	63	26.8%	35	14.9%	30	12.8%	539.1	424.5
November 2010	117	45.0%	79	30.4%	29	11.2%	35	13.5%	526.4	424.0
October 2010	133	50.4%	66	25.0%	31	11.7%	34	12.9%	491.4	361.0
September 2010	119	47.0%	76	30.0%	26	10.3%	32	12.6%	517.3	393.4
August 2010	122	47.1%	75	29.0%	33	12.7%	29	11.2%	515.8	379.4
July 2010	113	44.7%	80	31.6%	27	10.7%	33	13.0%	553.6	422.6
June 2010	124	45.6%	80	29.4%	35	12.9%	33	12.1%	536.1	402.0

#### Region 9 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	563	76	12	64	45	760	647	85.1
Region 9	18	2	1	4	1	26	23	88.5
Boone	8	0	1	0	0	9	9	100.0
Hendricks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Montgomery	3	0	0	0	1	4	3	75.0
Morgan	7	2	0	4	0	13	11	84.6
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jun 2011	18	2	1	4	1	26	23	88.5
May 2011	8	5	0	0	0	13	9	69.2
Apr 2011	13	2	0	0	1	16	14	87.5
Mar 2011	26	2	0	1	0	29	27	93.1
Feb 2011	34	3	0	1	2	40	35	87.5
Jan 2011	16	5	0	1	0	22	18	81.8
Dec 2010	16	12	0	1	1	30	21	70.0
Nov 2010	13	3	0	1	0	17	17	100.0
Oct 2010	10	4	2	0	1	17	13	76.5
Sep 2010	20	6	0	0	1	27	24	88.9
Aug 2010	24	9	0	0	0	33	29	87.9
Jul 2010	39	10	0	0	0	49	39	79.6
Jun 2010	16	1	0	0	2	19	14	73.7

## Region 9 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2011

### June 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,191	3,019	32.8%	9,007	98.0%	2,980	32.4%
Region 9	175	71	40.6%	171	97.7%	71	40.6%
Boone	25	7	28.0%	24	96.0%	7	28.0%
Hendricks	41	25	61.0%	41	100.0%	25	61.0%
Montgomery	49	11	22.4%	49	100.0%	11	22.4%
Morgan	33	16	48.5%	33	100.0%	16	48.5%
Putnam	27	12	44.4%	24	88.9%	12	44.4%


### Statewide Child Contact Rate



### Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jun 2011	175	71	40.6%	171	97.7%	71	40.6%
May 2011	188	75	39.9%	178	94.7%	75	39.9%
Apr 2011	195	85	43.6%	189	96.9%	83	42.6%
Mar 2011	205	81	39.5%	201	98.0%	81	39.5%
Feb 2011	213	73	34.3%	208	97.7%	73	34.3%
Jan 2011	223	74	33.2%	217	97.3%	72	32.3%
Dec 2010	232	69	29.7%	227	97.8%	68	29.3%
Nov 2010	252	80	31.7%	235	93.3%	80	31.7%
Oct 2010	250	95	38.0%	241	96.4%	94	37.6%
Sep 2010	247	80	32.4%	233	94.3%	80	32.4%
Aug 2010	247	95	38.5%	242	98.0%	95	38.5%
Jul 2010	250	83	33.2%	242	96.8%	83	33.2%
Jun 2010	265	82	30.9%	257	97.0%	81	30.6%

### Region 9 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

For June 2011

### June 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,977	1,200	24.1%
Region 9	66	43	65.2%
Boone	11	10	90.9%
Hendricks	12	8	66.7%
Montgomery	19	10	52.6%
Morgan	13	4	30.8%
Putnam	11	11	100.0%

### Statewide Visitation Rate



### Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jun 2011	66	43	65.2%
May 2011	79	52	65.8%
Apr 2011	79	52	65.8%
Mar 2011	93	65	69.9%
Feb 2011	94	39	41.5%
Jan 2011	104	40	38.5%
Dec 2010	114	33	28.9%
Nov 2010	126	41	32.5%
Oct 2010	127	51	40.2%
Sep 2010	130	29	22.3%
Aug 2010	132	47	35.6%
Jul 2010	122	36	29.5%
Jun 2010	132	33	25.0%

### Region 9 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )					

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

### June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,111	9,445	93.41%	94.60%
Region 9	327	300	91.74%	94.60%
Boone	59	49	83.05%	94.60%
Hendricks	70	64	91.43%	94.60%
Montgomery	103	95	92.23%	94.60%
Morgan	54	53	98.15%	94.60%
Putnam	41	39	95.12%	94.60%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 9 For June 2010 to June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	327	300	91.74%	94.60%
May 2011	373	343	91.96%	94.60%
April 2011	393	364	92.62%	94.60%
March 2011	410	374	91.22%	94.60%
February 2011	384	357	92.97%	94.60%
January 2011	394	365	92.64%	94.60%
December 2010	424	398	93.87%	94.60%
November 2010	417	387	92.81%	94.60%
October 2010	377	347	92.04%	94.60%
September 2010	358	334	93.30%	94.60%
August 2010	381	355	93.18%	94.60%
July 2010	431	401	93.04%	94.60%
June 2010	414	388	93.72%	94.60%

### Region 9 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For June 2011

#### For June 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	20,859	55	99.74%	99.68%
Region 9	519	3	99.42%	99.68%
Boone	73	3	95.89%	99.68%
Hendricks	96	0	100.00%	99.68%
Montgomery	166	0	100.00%	99.68%
Morgan	104	0	100.00%	99.68%
Putnam	80	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 9 for June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	519	3	99.42%	99.68%
May 2011	533	3	99.44%	99.68%
April 2011	537	3	99.44%	99.68%
March 2011	575	3	99.48%	99.68%
February 2011	592	4	99.32%	99.68%
January 2011	600	5	99.17%	99.68%
December 2010	611	5	99.18%	99.68%
November 2010	614	3	99.51%	99.68%
October 2010	612	2	99.67%	99.68%
September 2010	613	2	99.67%	99.68%
August 2010	612	3	99.51%	99.68%
July 2010	621	4	99.36%	99.68%
June 2010	629	4	99.36%	99.68%

#### Region 9 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

