



**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For June 2011



## Region 13 June 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
July 2011**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

### June 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	40,304	36.1%	6,007	28.9%
Region 13	1,613	37.69%	175	20.57%
Brown	97	53.6%	3	0.0%
Greene	245	36.3%	32	12.5%
Lawrence	370	42.4%	25	12.0%
Monroe	736	35.6%	95	29.5%
Owen	165	29.1%	20	5.0%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jun 2011	1,613	37.7%	175	20.6%
May 2011	1,683	39.0%	160	26.9%
Apr 2011	1,586	39.8%	145	22.8%
Mar 2011	1,483	39.5%	111	23.4%
Feb 2011	1,340	37.8%	131	19.8%
Jan 2011	1,431	37.3%	135	26.7%
Dec 2010	1,468	39.7%	163	25.8%
Nov 2010	1,547	40.9%	187	23.0%
Oct 2010	1,426	41.7%	220	20.9%
Sep 2010	1,353	40.3%	224	19.2%
Aug 2010	1,326	35.3%	231	23.4%
Jul 2010	1,351	32.3%	245	28.2%
Jun 2010	1,443	28.3%	268	34.3%

### Region 13 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13694	4105	30.0%	9,589	70.0%	3514	36.6%	5050	52.7%	844	8.8%	181	1.9%
Region 13	287	57	19.9%	230	80.1%	106	46.1%	103	44.8%	16	7.0%	5	2.2%
Brown	16	0	0.0%	16	#####	7	43.8%	7	43.8%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%
Greene	32	10	31.3%	22	68.8%	6	27.3%	14	63.6%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%
Lawrence	29	6	20.7%	23	79.3%	11	47.8%	11	47.8%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%
Monroe	185	26	14.1%	159	85.9%	79	49.7%	64	40.3%	13	8.2%	3	1.9%
Owen	25	15	60.0%	10	40.0%	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jun 2011	287	57	19.9%	230	80.1%	106	46.1%	103	44.8%	16	7.0%	5	2.2%
May 2011	297	71	23.9%	226	76.1%	102	45.1%	104	46.0%	15	6.6%	5	2.2%
Apr 2011	322	85	26.4%	237	73.6%	113	47.7%	105	44.3%	16	6.8%	3	1.3%
Mar 2011	321	87	27.1%	234	72.9%	112	47.9%	106	45.3%	15	6.4%	1	0.4%
Feb 2011	342	103	30.1%	239	69.9%	112	46.9%	107	44.8%	18	7.5%	2	0.8%
Jan 2011	351	101	28.8%	250	71.2%	119	47.6%	112	44.8%	17	6.8%	2	0.8%
Dec 2010	356	97	27.2%	259	72.8%	139	53.7%	99	38.2%	17	6.6%	4	1.5%
Nov 2010	362	91	25.1%	271	74.9%	147	54.2%	103	38.0%	18	6.6%	3	1.1%
Oct 2010	380	103	27.1%	277	72.9%	147	53.1%	110	39.7%	17	6.1%	3	1.1%
Sep 2010	396	111	28.0%	285	72.0%	146	51.2%	119	41.8%	17	6.0%	3	1.1%
Aug 2010	408	118	28.9%	290	71.1%	143	49.3%	123	42.4%	19	6.6%	5	1.7%
Jul 2010	436	133	30.5%	303	69.5%	145	47.9%	132	43.6%	20	6.6%	6	2.0%
Jun 2010	479	174	36.3%	305	63.7%	148	48.5%	131	43.0%	20	6.6%	6	2.0%

## Region 13 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

June 2011

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Safely Home Families First by County

For June 2011

### June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	1821	13694	9440	60.8%	50.0%	6,075	39.2%	50.0%
Region 13	87	287	250	66.8%	50.0%	124	33.2%	50.0%
Brown	4	16	11	55.0%	50.0%	9	45.0%	50.0%
Greene	2	32	18	52.9%	50.0%	16	47.1%	50.0%
Lawrence	21	29	38	76.0%	50.0%	12	24.0%	50.0%
Monroe	42	185	147	64.8%	50.0%	80	35.2%	50.0%
Owen	18	25	36	83.7%	50.0%	7	16.3%	50.0%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2011	87	287	250	66.8%	50.0%	124	33.2%	50.0%
May 2011	75	297	248	66.7%	50.0%	124	33.3%	50.0%
April 2011	71	322	269	68.4%	50.0%	124	31.6%	50.0%
March 2011	80	321	279	69.6%	50.0%	122	30.4%	50.0%
February 2011	80	342	295	69.9%	50.0%	127	30.1%	50.0%
January 2011	94	351	314	70.6%	50.0%	131	29.4%	50.0%
December 2010	105	356	341	74.0%	50.0%	120	26.0%	50.0%
November 2010	115	362	353	74.0%	50.0%	124	26.0%	50.0%
October 2010	110	380	360	73.5%	50.0%	130	26.5%	50.0%
September 2010	120	396	377	73.1%	50.0%	139	26.9%	50.0%
August 2010	108	408	369	71.5%	50.0%	147	28.5%	50.0%
July 2010	104	436	382	70.7%	50.0%	158	29.3%	50.0%
June 2010	119	479	441	73.7%	50.0%	157	26.3%	50.0%

### Region 13 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,589	6,833	71.3%
Region 13	230	147	63.9%
Brown	16	14	87.5%
Greene	22	13	59.1%
Lawrence	23	19	82.6%
Monroe	159	95	59.7%
Owen	10	6	60.0%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jun 2011	230	147	63.9%
May 2011	226	144	63.7%
Apr 2011	237	147	62.0%
Mar 2011	234	144	61.5%
Feb 2011	239	156	65.3%
Jan 2011	250	158	63.2%
Dec 2010	259	178	68.7%
Nov 2010	271	190	70.1%
Oct 2010	277	200	72.2%
Sep 2010	285	207	72.6%
Aug 2010	290	212	73.1%
Jul 2010	303	209	69.0%
Jun 2010	305	205	67.2%

## Region 13 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2010 to June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 13	2.3
Brown	1.9
Greene	3.4
Lawrence	2.4
Monroe	2.2
Owen	1.9


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
June 2011	2.3
May 2011	2.3
April 2011	2.3
March 2011	2.3
February 2011	2.4
January 2011	2.4
December 2010	2.3
November 2010	2.4
October 2010	2.4
September 2010	2.3
August 2010	2.4
July 2010	2.3
June 2010	2.3

## Region 13 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2378	1728	72.7%	2.71
Region 13	62	52	83.9%	2.55
Brown	5	3	60.0%	2.40
Greene	4	4	100.0%	2.75
Lawrence	4	4	100.0%	2.25
Monroe	47	39	83.0%	2.55
Owen	2	2	100.0%	3.00


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
June 2011	62	52	83.9%	2.55
May 2011	57	47	82.5%	2.61
April 2011	59	51	86.4%	2.63
March 2011	55	46	83.6%	2.71
February 2011	56	48	85.7%	2.66
January 2011	63	54	85.7%	2.56
December 2010	69	56	81.2%	2.42
November 2010	75	60	80.0%	2.45
October 2010	75	58	77.3%	2.51
September 2010	79	60	75.9%	2.54
August 2010	79	56	70.9%	2.53
July 2010	83	60	72.3%	2.54
June 2010	82	60	73.2%	2.56

## Region 13 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2011

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average**- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median**- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For June 2011

#### June 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,339	45.3%	2,397	25.0%	1,208	12.6%	1,644	17.1%	639.6	414.4
Region 13	112	48.7%	55	23.9%	37	16.1%	26	11.3%	554.0	389.0
Brown	7	43.8%	4	25.0%	3	18.8%	2	12.5%	678.3	408.5
Greene	12	54.5%	4	18.2%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	550.0	306.0
Lawrence	8	34.8%	4	17.4%	8	34.8%	3	13.0%	685.9	681.6
Monroe	80	50.3%	42	26.4%	20	12.6%	17	10.7%	519.2	361.6
Owen	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	613.6	462.5


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
June 2011	112	48.7%	55	23.9%	37	16.1%	26	11.3%	554.0	389.0
May 2011	109	48.2%	57	25.2%	35	15.5%	25	11.1%	574.8	389.5
April 2011	112	47.3%	64	27.0%	34	14.3%	27	11.4%	572.4	384.6
March 2011	111	47.4%	67	28.6%	30	12.8%	26	11.1%	569.3	383.5
February 2011	112	46.9%	71	29.7%	27	11.3%	29	12.1%	592.0	441.6
January 2011	119	47.6%	74	29.6%	28	11.2%	29	11.6%	568.3	410.0
December 2010	128	49.4%	72	27.8%	32	12.4%	27	10.4%	550.7	384.6
November 2010	130	48.0%	75	27.7%	30	11.1%	36	13.3%	570.6	380.4
October 2010	138	49.8%	74	26.7%	32	11.6%	33	11.9%	563.1	366.7
September 2010	149	52.3%	74	26.0%	30	10.5%	32	11.2%	545.9	351.5
August 2010	156	53.8%	72	24.8%	25	8.6%	37	12.8%	544.0	329.0
July 2010	160	52.8%	77	25.4%	27	8.9%	39	12.9%	534.2	324.4
June 2010	158	51.8%	80	26.2%	29	9.5%	38	12.5%	522.4	337.4

#### Region 13 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	563	76	12	64	45	760	647	85.1
Region 13	13	4	0	4	1	22	13	59.1
Brown	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	50.0
Greene	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0
Lawrence	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	100.0
Monroe	5	2	0	0	0	7	4	57.1
Owen	3	2	0	2	0	7	2	28.6


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jun 2011	13	4	0	4	1	22	13	59.1
May 2011	31	9	2	1	1	44	35	79.5
Apr 2011	24	2	0	0	2	28	27	96.4
Mar 2011	27	2	2	2	1	34	29	85.3
Feb 2011	24	2	0	4	3	33	30	90.9
Jan 2011	27	2	0	0	0	29	28	96.6
Dec 2010	18	1	0	1	1	21	20	95.2
Nov 2010	20	2	0	0	1	23	21	91.3
Oct 2010	41	5	0	4	0	50	49	98.0
Sep 2010	19	3	0	5	1	28	23	82.1
Aug 2010	29	2	0	4	1	36	33	91.7
Jul 2010	50	0	0	1	3	54	49	90.7
Jun 2010	48	2	2	1	1	54	51	94.4

## Region 13 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For June 2011

#### June 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,191	3,019	32.8%	9,007	98.0%	2,980	32.4%
Region 13	220	79	35.9%	217	98.6%	79	35.9%
Brown	16	3	18.8%	16	100.0%	3	18.8%
Greene	19	2	10.5%	19	100.0%	2	10.5%
Lawrence	23	10	43.5%	23	100.0%	10	43.5%
Monroe	152	58	38.2%	149	98.0%	58	38.2%
Owen	10	6	60.0%	10	100.0%	6	60.0%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jun 2011	220	79	35.9%	217	98.6%	79	35.9%
May 2011	221	81	36.7%	213	96.4%	74	33.5%
Apr 2011	221	87	39.4%	217	98.2%	87	39.4%
Mar 2011	224	72	32.1%	223	99.6%	72	32.1%
Feb 2011	237	65	27.4%	218	92.0%	64	27.0%
Jan 2011	244	79	32.4%	239	98.0%	79	32.4%
Dec 2010	252	89	35.3%	245	97.2%	87	34.5%
Nov 2010	261	90	34.5%	256	98.1%	90	34.5%
Oct 2010	267	99	37.1%	262	98.1%	99	37.1%
Sep 2010	269	100	37.2%	258	95.9%	98	36.4%
Aug 2010	280	95	33.9%	278	99.3%	95	33.9%
Jul 2010	293	100	34.1%	280	95.6%	100	34.1%
Jun 2010	296	100	33.8%	284	95.9%	98	33.1%

#### Region 13 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For June 2011

#### June 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,977	1,200	24.1%
Region 13	104	31	29.8%
Brown	8	0	0.0%
Greene	10	4	40.0%
Lawrence	9	0	0.0%
Monroe	72	22	30.6%
Owen	5	5	100.0%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jun 2011	104	31	29.8%
May 2011	98	30	30.6%
Apr 2011	103	13	12.6%
Mar 2011	105	38	36.2%
Feb 2011	108	26	24.1%
Jan 2011	121	46	38.0%
Dec 2010	125	33	26.4%
Nov 2010	124	25	20.2%
Oct 2010	117	21	17.9%
Sep 2010	142	55	38.7%
Aug 2010	147	38	25.9%
Jul 2010	153	57	37.3%
Jun 2010	162	50	30.9%

#### Region 13 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )					

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

### June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,111	9,445	93.41%	94.60%
Region 13	311	297	95.50%	94.60%
Brown	15	14	93.33%	94.60%
Greene	54	52	96.30%	94.60%
Lawrence	63	60	95.24%	94.60%
Monroe	141	135	95.74%	94.60%
Owen	38	36	94.74%	94.60%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 13 For June 2010 to June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	311	297	95.50%	94.60%
May 2011	346	324	93.64%	94.60%
April 2011	374	349	93.32%	94.60%
March 2011	411	382	92.94%	94.60%
February 2011	439	410	93.39%	94.60%
January 2011	424	397	93.63%	94.60%
December 2010	442	415	93.89%	94.60%
November 2010	464	437	94.18%	94.60%
October 2010	473	441	93.23%	94.60%
September 2010	483	456	94.41%	94.60%
August 2010	498	468	93.98%	94.60%
July 2010	510	464	90.98%	94.60%
June 2010	526	475	90.30%	94.60%

### Region 13 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For June 2011

#### For June 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	20,859	55	99.74%	99.68%
Region 13	579	0	100.00%	99.68%
Brown	35	0	100.00%	99.68%
Greene	98	0	100.00%	99.68%
Lawrence	53	0	100.00%	99.68%
Monroe	329	0	100.00%	99.68%
Owen	64	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 13 for June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	579	0	100.00%	99.68%
May 2011	587	1	99.83%	99.68%
April 2011	602	1	99.83%	99.68%
March 2011	609	2	99.67%	99.68%
February 2011	613	2	99.67%	99.68%
January 2011	629	2	99.68%	99.68%
December 2010	646	2	99.69%	99.68%
November 2010	648	2	99.69%	99.68%
October 2010	652	2	99.69%	99.68%
September 2010	643	2	99.69%	99.68%
August 2010	640	2	99.69%	99.68%
July 2010	647	1	99.85%	99.68%
June 2010	655	1	99.85%	99.68%

#### Region 13 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

