	Page 1	
1	East Chicago Waterway Management District	
2	Board of Directors Regular Public Board Meeting	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	Thursday, January 16, 2025	
9	5:08 p.m.	
10		
11		
12	City of East Chicago, Engineering Annex Building	
13	4444 Railroad Avenue	
14	East Chicago, IN 46312	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Reported by: Vanessa Gonzalez	
21	JOB NO: 7113217	
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	List of Attendees:
3	Fernando M. Trevino, Executive Director
4	John Fekete, Board President
5	Carol Nissen, Tetra Tech
6	Bob Santore, BMO Harris
7	Monica Johnson, CliftonLarsonAllen
8	Vanessa Vargas, CliftonLarsonAllen
9	Vaughn Coolman, Army Corp of Engineers
10	Project Manager
11	Ellen Gregory, Ellen Gregory Law
12	Mark Mathley, First Merchants
13	John Bakota, Board Member
14	Ray Lopez, Board Member
15	Henry Rodriguez, Board Member
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

CliftonLarsonAllen financial report dated December 31, 2024, for the month of December, which was emailed to you earlier today, and hard copies provided at today's board meeting. You have a copy of the 2025 iPad Purchase Options Summary dated January 16, 2025. have a Verizon iPad Equipment Options and Affiliated Costs.

You have Tetra Tech's Monthly Progress Report, Invoice, and Budget Summary for December, and that's dated January 8, 2025. You have a copy of Tetra Tech's 2025 Contract and Scope Of Work Proposal and that's for Board approval consideration. You have a letter from IDEM dated December 9th approving the District's 2025 Trust Budget and an EPA letter dated January 13th approving the District's 2025 Trust Budget.

You have First Merchants Bank statements for the month of December 2024 for accounts ending in 608 and 616. Also included is copies of two user-free deposits, both dated December 11th of 2024. And you have a letter from attorney Ellen Gregory to First Merchants dated December 26, 2024, requesting First Merchants waive financial penalties for early withdrawal of two CDs that we had requested to be transferred into the District's money market accounts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You have a copy of the letter of authorization dated December 26th from board member Ray Lopez to First Merchants requesting a transfer of the two CDs into the District's money market account. And an email from Debbie Milne of First Merchants dated December 30, 2024, confirming the transfer of the two CDs into the money market and also confirming First Merchant's decision to waive penalties.

You have a copy of the BMO Trust
Reports dated December 31, 2024. You have a copy of
the Army Corps Report to The Board dated January 16,
2025, and you have a copy of the Attorney
Ellen Gregory Report to The Board with a revision date
of January 15, 2025.

Go over a couple items. Regarding the action items on today's agenda, we have two traditional action items of The Board, which are the board minutes and the monthly claim docket. And you have five additional items as we do at the beginning of the year. We have the election of officers. We have the appointment of the finance committee, members and chairman of the finance committee as well.

You have approval consideration of the purchase of iPads, approval consideration of a no objection letter for use of the CDF, and approval

consideration of the Tetra Tech contract regarding the Grand Cal River Junction Reaches.

2.5

Regarding the District's finances for funds held at First Merchants, at the last board meeting, The Board approved transferring the District's two CDs, which had low interest rates, into the money market. And we also requested First Merchants to waive the penalties for the early withdrawals. The two CDs have since been closed, funds transferred into the District's money market account, and First Merchant has agreed to waive the penalties. So I want to thank First Merchants and Debbie Milne for waiving those penalties.

For our funds held at BMO, the Board approved transferring funds from the expired CD into a new CD, and that transfer has been completed. We have received letters from EPA and IDEM approving our proposed 2025 trust budget and as mentioned, copies of those two letters are in your board packet.

And at the February board meeting, I plan to have the 2024 year-end cash balance calculations and transfer requests affiliated with funding the 2025 budget.

Regarding the Great Lakes Legacy Act Project, the Lake George Canal East and Middle

Projects, the South Tank Sheet Pile Wall Construction has been completed. However, the design for the groundwater management system affiliated with repairing the wall system is ongoing. BP has submitted the Sheet Pile Wall Post-Construction Report to the project team to review.

Regarding the Lake George Canal East section, the Corps is managing that part of the project and, of course, working on the dredging designs for the Phase II of the Lake George Canal East. And that is scheduled to happen in 2025.

Also, the Cleveland-Cliffs sep dredging is back on schedule, and that's to be done in 2025. The court has requested a letter from the District that we do not object to material dredged from this project area to be disposed into the local CDF. A copy of this no-objection letter is in your board packet and on today's agenda for approval consideration.

Regarding the Lake George Canal Middle
Cap Project, the Army Corps of Engineers is managing
this project as well. The Cap Project has been
completed, and the eco-construction activities are
periodically ongoing. The Corps is evaluating
corrective measures in a couple areas of the caps that

appear to have oil streams penetrating the cap.

Regarding the Lake George Canal West project, that work is ongoing, and that project is between BP and EPA.

Regarding the Grand Canal River

Junction Reaches Project, Tetra Tech continues to work
on the remedial design. The project team continues to
have biweekly project team meetings to discuss various
action items and activities, including completing the
remedial design, property access, coordination, permit
applications, coordination with the junction marsh,
coordination with the former city dump site, and
community engagements, to name a few.

In 2025, we're expected to finalize the remedial design and then also the Omnibus Project agreement, which it will include the Grand Cal River Junction Marsh and certain areas of the Lake George Canal.

The latest Omnibus project agreement forecast is that we'll be leveraging approximately 175 to 190 million in federal funds to remediate our waterways. Contractor procurement with the remediation construction is expected to begin in 2025 and continue through 2026.

Regarding community outreach for the

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Grand Cal River Junction Reaches, the project team continues to meet periodically to discuss public outreach planning for the Grand Cal River Junction Reaches, remediation, and the Lake George Canal East Dredging Project, which both projects currently has construction activities forecast to begin in 2025.

2.5

Currently, our public outreach meeting is scheduled to be held after our March 20th board meeting at 6:30 in this conference room.

Regarding cost share, I've been working with EPA to have the EPA formally approve updated costs affiliated with the Cleveland-Cliffs Sep Project, which will be used to help finance the Omnibus Project agreement. The request letter was sent to the Board EPA in December.

Carol Nissen of Tetra Tech is on today's call to give an update on the Grand Cal River Project later on today's agenda.

Regarding the Canal Street Bridge underwater obstruction, a reminder that the Corps' contractor, Roen Salvage, removed that obstruction in early November. We're waiting the Corps' assessment of the obstruction as to its source. And Vaughn can let us know if there's an update on this matter during his report.

Regarding the Old Cline Avenue Bridge Project, the demolition assessment of the bridge is ongoing. We continue to meet with various stakeholders, including the Lake County, IDEM, EPA, and NIPSCO.

Major tasks that we've been working on include the relocation of the NIPSCO gas line that runs along the bridge. NIPSCO recently discovered a small leak in the line, which has caused NIPSCO to expedite their relocation schedule. We're also reviewing the funding for the engineering and the demolition of the bridge.

Regarding the Atlantic Richfield

Settlement Agreement between the District, the City of

East Chicago, and Atlantic Richfield, the project team

continues to work on various ancillary action items

affiliated with the settlement agreement.

The Corps' report is in your board packet. Project manager Vaughn Coolman will be providing an update to The Board during that part of the agenda, and attorney Ellen Gregory will give an update on various legal matters.

And that concludes my report.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions for

Mr. Trevino?

	Page 11		
1	Thank you very much.		
2	Next order of business is the election		
3	of officers.		
4	MR. TREVINO: Yes. So currently the		
5	officers are as follows: the president of The Board is		
6	John Fekete. The vice president is Jaylan Robinson.		
7	Secretary, Henry Rodriguez. Treasurer and fiscal		
8	officer is Raymond Lopez, and the investment officer		
9	is John Fekete. If it's the pleasure of The Board to		
10	maintain the same slate, then		
11	MR. BAKOTA: Yeah. I'd like to make a		
12	motion that we keep the same board members by		
13	MR. LOPEZ: I second it.		
14	MR. FEKETE: Okay. Jaylan's not here.		
15	MR. TREVINO: Jaylan's not here, but I		
16	did talk with them, and he was okay with continue to		
17	serve.		
18	MR. FEKETE: Okay. I'll just we can		
19	do it by voice vote?		
20	MR. BAKOTA: Really?		
21	MR. FEKETE: Oh, yeah.		
22	All in favor signify by saying aye.		
23	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.		
24	MR. FEKETE: Any oppositions or		
25	objections?		

	Page 12	
1	Well, hearing none, we have the same	
2	cast of characters.	
3	MR. TREVINO: And then, for the	
4	appointment of the finance committee and the	
5	chairperson, last year the committee included	
6	John Fekete, Ray Lopez and Jaylan Robinson, and	
7	John Fekete as chairman.	
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think a motion came.	
9	MR. TREVINO: Ray, Henry made a motion	
10	to	
11	MR. LOPEZ: Yeah. Keep it the same	
12	MR. BAKOTA: I'll second it.	
13	MR. FEKETE: Yeah, I'll make a motion	
14	that we maintain the same group.	
15	All in favor signify by saying aye.	
16	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.	
17	MR. FEKETE: No opposition or	
18	objections?	
19	Motion is approved.	
20	Approval consideration of The Board	
21	meeting notes for the December 19, 2024, board	
22	minutes. Entertain a motion to approve?	
23	MR. BAKOTA: Motion to approve.	
24	MR. FEKETE: I have a second?	
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.	

Page 13 1 MR. FEKETE: I have a second. All in favor signify by saying aye. 2 3 MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye. 4 MR. FEKETE: That motion is approved. 5 County Consultant Report? MS. VARGAS: Good evening. Good 6 7 evening, everyone. Vanessa Vargas with CLA. Can 8 everyone hear me okay? 9 MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Yes. 10 MS. VARGAS: All right. 11 In front of you, you have a copy of the financial statements on a cash basis dated December 12 13 31, 2024. If you turn to page 2 we will begin with 14 the statement of cash receipts and cash disbursements 15 for the 12 months ended December 31, 2024. 16 You've received interest income totaling \$498,373.60. You've collected user fees 17 18 totaling \$428,655.36. You received a trust transfer totaling \$280,283.25. You received a PCA of Tipping 19 20 Fee Agreement net of a City MOU of \$5,676,833.43. 21 This brings your total receipts to \$6,884,145.64. You've had total cash disbursements of 22 23 \$833,340.95. This brings you to an increase in cash of \$6,050,804.69. Your cash balance as of January 1, 24

2024, was \$8,709,684.13. Your cash balance as of

25

Page 14

December 31, 2024, is \$14,760,488.82

If you turn to page 3, we will continue with the schedule of cash account activity for the 12 months ended December 31, 2024.

Your operating account began the year with a cash balance on January 1st of \$1,121,983.80. You collected interest totaling \$42,393.39. You had cash disbursements totaling \$833,278.95. You received a trust transfer in the amount of \$280,283.25, as well as a budget transfer totaling \$281,130.16. Your operating cash balance as of December 31, 2024, was \$892,511.65.

Your user fee account began the year with a cash balance as of January 1st of \$5,224,499.34. You collected interest totaling \$358,064.30. You had cash disbursements along with a budget transfer totaling \$4,031,192.16.

You closed CDs and -- oops, sorry. You redeemed the CDARS for \$506,208.33. You closed CDs totaling \$3,454,908.57. You collected user fees totaling \$428,655.36, as well as had a PCA of Tipping Fee Agreement totaling \$7,926,833.43. This brings your user fee account balance as of December 31st to \$13,867,977.17.

You began the year with a CD with a

Weritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

balance of \$2,363,200.99. You earned interest totaling \$97,915.91. You purchased a CD in the amount of \$1 million and a CDARS in the amount of \$500,000. We redeemed the CDAR for \$506,208.33. And you closed the CDs totaling \$3,454,908.57, bringing your CD balance as of December 31st to zero.

If you turn to page 4, we will continue with the schedule of 2024 available budget as of January 16, 2025.

Your 2024 budget appropriation totaled \$1,306,000. Adding back in accounts payable dated 12/31/2023 in the amount of \$345,897.21, as well as budget amendments netting to \$31,500, brought your 2024 total appropriation to \$1,730,776.86. You had cash disbursements for the 12 months ended December 2024, totaling \$833,340.95.

In front of you, you have a claims docket, which totals \$62,117.48. But of that amount, the amount that is going to be applied to your 2024 budget as 2024 accounts payable totals \$61,067.48. This brings your unused budget for 2024 to \$836,368.43.

If you turn to page 5, we have an additional schedule this time, which is the schedule of 2024 -- or 2025, available budget as of January 16,

Page 16 1 2025. 2 Your approved 2025 budget totaled 3 \$1,718,525. Adding back in your 12/31/2024 payables 4 in the amount of \$61,067.48 brings your 2025 appropriation to \$1,779,592.48. As part of the claims 5 docket, you do have one payable going against a 2025 6 budget totaling \$1050. This brings your unused budget 8 for 2025 to \$1,778,542.48. 9 Are there any questions? 10 Thank you. MR. FEKETE: 11 Yeah. I just wanted to MR. TREVINO: 12 clarify that -- in today's financial report, you'll --13 budget sheet, one is for 2024 and one's for 2025. So 14 if you look at the 2025 budget summary, there's one 15 item in the claim docket area that's against the 2025 16 budget that's on that -- that's in today's claim docket. And that's for the rent, which is for the 17 18 month of February. So \$1050. MR. FEKETE: Thank you, Vanessa. 19 20 MS. VARGAS: Thank you. 21 MR. FEKETE: Next up is the approval 22 consideration for the accounts payable voucher 23 register dated today's date, January 16, 2025.

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Motion to approve.

Entertain a motion to approve?

MR. BAKOTA:

24

2.5

	Page 17	
1	MR. FEKETE: I have a second?	
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.	
3	MR. FEKETE: And a second.	
4	Roll call vote. Jaylan Robinson?	
5	Henry Rodriguez?	
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	
7	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?	
8	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.	
9	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?	
10	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.	
11	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete? I vote yes.	
12	Did we do The Board meeting notes? No?	
13	MR. TREVINO: Yeah.	
14	MR. FEKETE: We did do Board meeting	
15	okay.	
16	Next up is the approval consideration	
17	for the purpose of the iPads.	
18	MR. TREVINO: Yes. You may recall the	
19	Board had asked me to look into replacing our existing	
20	iPads, which are around three years old. I did reach	
21	out to a Verizon representative. He provided some	
22	options and some pricing. I summarized in your packet	
23	what I suggest we purchase, which is similar specs to	
24	what the iPads we purchased last time.	
25	So if The Board would approve, it	

Page 18

would -- you know, I could follow up with the Verizon representative to get that order in. And the purchase of the iPads would go towards -- I got to look for the --

MR. FEKETE: What do you --

MR. TREVINO: I'm looking for the budget line item that -- it would be under other office expenses and supplies that currently has 7500 in it.

And if -- the purchase doesn't include accessories, the keyboard, or the cover that comes with it. It's not clear whether the keyboards that we have now will be compatible with the new iPad. So if they are compatible, we won't, you know, we'll save the cost of the -- purchasing new keyboards.

that scenario, all it would be is just this part?

MR. TREVINO: It's just the iPad

itself. And then, if the keyboards don't work, then

in -- I mean, at the February board meeting, I'll put

a summary together on the accessories that may be

needed for the new iPads.

MR. BAKOTA: Okay. I make the motion,

MR. FEKETE: All right. Entertain a

MR. FEKETE: So all it would be, in

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

then.

	Page 19	
1	motion to approve?	
2	MR. TREVINO: Can we also with the	
3	motion, can it also include that delegating, giving me	
4	the authority or John Fekete authority to sign any	
5	purchase agreements or anything that's necessary for	
6	Verizon to execute the order?	
7	MR. BAKOTA: So okay, so I add that	
8	to the motion.	
9	MR. FEKETE: You have the motion. You	
10	made the motion?	
11	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.	
12	MR. FEKETE: I have a second?	
13	MR. LOPEZ: Second.	
14	MR. FEKETE: And a second. Oh, okay.	
15	It's a roll call vote. Jaylan Robinson?	
16	Henry Rodriguez?	
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	
18	MR. FEKETE: John Bakota?	
19	MR. BAKOTA: Yes.	
20	MR. FEKETE: Ray Lopez?	
21	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.	
22	MR. FEKETE: John Fekete? I vote yes.	
23	That motion is approved.	
24	Next item up is the approval	
25	consideration for the no-objection letter for use of	

Page 20

1 the CDF.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 MR. TREVINO: Yes. So

Mr. Vaughn Coolman of the Army Corps of Engineers sent us an email requesting a letter from the District that we do not -- that -- a no objection letter of placing material dredged from the Cleveland-Cliffs Sep Project into the local CDF.

So we were asked by the Corps a few years ago to submit a similar letter. I think it was to place Great Lakes Legacy Act material into the CDF. So the big litmus test for placing material into the local CDF is that it comes from within the city of East Chicago. And Vaughn has mentioned that it does. And so, to be consistent with what we've -- our practice in the past, you know, we're okay with -- because it improves the waterway in East Chicago.

So, Vaughn, I don't know if -- did you want to add anything to that?

MR. COOLMAN: No, I think you covered it. I think they'll be dredging in the larger turning basin. I think that's where they're --

MR. FEKETE: And this dredging will be

23 where?

MR. COOLMAN: In the -- it's by

25 | Cleveland-Cliffs dock areas; right?

	Page 21		
1	MR. TREVINO: Yes.		
2	MR. COOLMAN: It's the		
3	MR. FEKETE: Oh, okay.		
4	MR. COOLMAN: Yeah. Yeah.		
5	MR. FEKETE: So it's the outside the		
6	navigation component?		
7	MR. COOLMAN: I think it'll be inside.		
8	MR. FEKETE: So it's along their docks?		
9	MR. COOLMAN: Yeah. But I think		
10	it's		
11	MR. FEKETE: Yeah. Number 6 dock and		
12	then, you know, Plant 2 dock, I suppose. It's in the		
13	turning basin		
14	MR. BAKOTA: Is that do they is		
15	there a docking area there where they unload taconite,		
16	or is that out of Lake Michigan?		
17	MR. FEKETE: Pardon?		
18	MR. BAKOTA: Where they unload their		
19	taconite from ships? Is that		
20	MR. FEKETE: Yeah?		
21	MR. BAKOTA: Is that within the canal,		
22	or is that off of the lake?		
23	MR. FEKETE: Oh, they basically do it		
24	as the 6 dock, which is in the turning basin.		
25	MR. BAKOTA: Because I just wonder,		

Yeah.

MR. BAKOTA:

25

```
Page 23
 1
                    MR. COOLMAN: Yeah.
                    MR. FEKETE: So that side was cleaned
 2
 3
     out.
           Then --
                    MR. BAKOTA: Do they want to recover
 4
     that, or do they want to put it into CDF?
 5
 6
                    MR. TREVINO: No, they didn't at the
            At that time, they did recover a lot of
     taconite.
 8
 9
                    MR. BAKOTA:
                                  I mean now.
10
                    MR. TREVINO: Yeah. What are they
11
     doing now, Vaughn? They put it in the CDF?
12
                    MR. COOLMAN:
                                   Yeah.
13
                    MR. TREVINO: It's going in the CDF.
14
                    MR. FEKETE: Don't forget that dock
15
     used overhead cranes --
16
                    MR. BAKOTA: Different.
                                              Yeah,
17
     different loading.
                    MR. FEKETE:
18
                                 Yeah.
19
                    MR. BAKOTA: Unloading --
                    MR. FEKETE: The 6 dock uses a self-
2.0
21
     unloader.
22
                    MR. BAKOTA:
                                 Yeah.
23
                    MR. FEKETE: So there's very little --
     there's not a lot of spillage.
24
25
                    MR. BAKOTA: Now.
                                        Yeah.
```

MR. FEKETE: Yeah. You know, with the self-unloaders. It was when you had the overhead cranes, interestingly enough, come to find out on the other side, the ISG side, there was very little left from the overhead cranes.

MR. BAKOTA: Oh.

MR. FEKETE: Because what they would do is on the night shift, they would go down, and they would --

MR. BAKOTA: Well, now, Cleveland-Cliffs owns their own taconite, so --

MR. FEKETE: Yeah.

MR. BAKOTA: I don't think they're worried about this short supply of taconite, but we're putting a lot -- I don't know if it's a lot, we're putting taconite in there when it's not a normal dredge material or you know. Whatever --

MR. TREVINO: Yeah. But there's other, you know, debris and stuff that's also going into CDF.

MR. BAKOTA: With it.

MR. TREVINO: They're not -- you know, they're not taking it somewhere -- debris other places. It's all going into the CDF. Right, Vaughn?

MR. COOLMAN: Yes.

MR. TREVINO: Yeah.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

MR. FEKETE: You know, when I first hired in, they were just getting into the taconite business and that was in the '60s.

MR. BAKOTA: Yeah.

MR. FEKETE: And you know, I'd go on the docks because I had, you know, the power department you had -- you went everywhere. And there was a lot of raw ore and chunks and stuff like that, particularly in Plant 3. And -- but when the taconite came in, then they told you be very careful 'cause it's like walking on marbles.

MR. BAKOTA: That's good slingshot material.

MR. FEKETE: Yeah. In fact, interestingly enough, not for the record, but a fellow I went to grade school with died. He slipped off the dock.

MR. TREVINO: Wow.

MR. FEKETE: Yeah.

MR. TREVINO: Because of the --

MR. FEKETE: The -- carpenter.

MR. TREVINO: Yeah. So the

recommendation is to approve the no objection letter.

MR. FEKETE: Oh, we need approval on

25 the no-objection letter.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 26 1 MR. TREVINO: Right -- yes. MR. BAKOTA: Yeah. I make a motion. 2 MR. FEKETE: And a second? 3 4 MR. LOPEZ: I'll second it. MR. FEKETE: Okay. All in favor -- all 5 in favor signify by saying aye. 6 7 MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye. 8 MR. FEKETE: Aye. That motion -- no 9 objections? 10 Okay. That motion is approved. 11 Okay. The Great Lakes Legacy Act, Grand Cal River Junction Reaches project updates. 12 13 MR. TREVINO: Okay. Carol, are you on? 14 Yes, I'm here. Hi. MS. NISSEN: 15 The update is Tetra Tech has Yeah. 16 prepared the scope of work and a cost proposal to assist you all for the year of 2025. I've been 17 18 updating the basis of design and some of the design documents. We're still waiting for the geotechnical 19 20 information from the US CPA investigation. And we 21 need that data in order to complete the design. And 22 also, I've been preparing for the public meeting, and 23 I submitted the TESCO [ph] remediation work plan to IDEM for review. And that's about it. 24 2.5 MR. FEKETE: Okay. Any questions?

interest credits to your CD of \$10,257.55. And \$309.29 to the money market account. For total interest earnings in December of \$10,566.84.

2.5

Additionally, the CD referenced earlier matured on December 18th, and as discussed, that CD was renewed for another 18 months at a rate of 4.351 percent while the CD was reduced to an even 3.4 million. So that'll be the new balance as of 12/18 going forward until interest is earned.

The difference from that CD was that moved into the money market account, and that amount moved over was \$352,073.03. And that was moved over into the money market when the CD matured on December 18th. The second page shows the historical data with distributions. And for the year of 2024, total amount of distributions was \$280,283.25. I believe that covers the month of December.

If there's any questions, let me know.

MR. FEKETE: Thank you.

MR. SANTORE: You're welcome.

MR. FEKETE: Okay. Thank you.

Next order of business is the U.S. Army

Corps of Engineers Board Report. Vaughn?

MR. COOLMAN: Vaughn Coolman from the

Army Corps of Engineers. Not too much of an update.

The water treatment will resume once the new contract is awarded. I know they had a meeting earlier today, but I don't know the results of the deadline for the proposals, but it sounded like that we would probably be awarding that contract late at the end of this month or early February, hopefully.

And then water treatment will resume once all their submittals are approved and everything like that. I'm not sure exactly how long that process takes after the contract is awarded.

The Phase II Dike Rays is still ongoing. There's some back and forth between the contractor and the Corp on completing everything. And that should be wrapped up as soon as all of those negotiations are wrapped up, which is outside of my area.

And dredging operations, same as before with Roen Salvage and the sediment sampling.

And there's a small update on the oil boom coordination. We do expect the transition to be later this month or early February. They're almost finished with that transition. And it is the same contractor that is currently operating the booms and maintaining them. It'll just be under our supervision rather than -- I think it's BP right now, but I'm not

100 percent sure who it is. I think it's BP, not sure.

2.

And then the parkway, still inspecting it every month and cleaning and removing debris. And the obstruction removal, we don't have an update on the report because I'm not sure that it's 100 percent finalized. I've seen the roughly final report, and it's mainly the observations between the obstruction that was removed from the canal and the existing structure of the Old Canal Street Bridge there, the abutments.

And the report did point out several similarities in the structures, such as rebar orientation and certain, like, scoring on the concrete that looked very -- that appears to be very similar if not identical to the remaining -- some of the remaining concrete structure at the abutments. But we don't have a final determination.

And I'm not sure exactly whether there's going to be an exact -- a final determination. I don't think we're trying to blame anybody or anything like that. Just mainly observations for future reference, I think.

MR. BAKOTA: What was the total cost to bring the debris up that? Was there ever a estimate

```
Page 31
1
     on -- that?
                    MR. COOLMAN: There is. I'm not sure.
 2
 3
     I don't know if I'm supposed to tell you or not. I
 4
     will make sure I can disclose that, and I'll send --
     I'll send it to -- I know it. Like, I got it right
 5
     here, but --
6
7
                    MR. BAKOTA:
                                 All right.
8
                    MR. COOLMAN:
                                  It was cheap. They gave
9
     us a good deal. They were already out there.
10
                    MR. BAKOTA: Oh, yeah?
11
                    MR. COOLMAN:
                                  Yeah.
12
                    MR. LOPEZ: Did you find John's old
     bike over there?
13
14
                    MR. BAKOTA: Oh, yes. It's also at the
15
     debris --
16
                    MR. TREVINO: That's what happened to
17
     it.
18
                    MR. LOPEZ: He rides it everywhere.
19
                    MR. BAKOTA: And when you say you clean
20
     up on the --
21
                    MR. TREVINO: You're going to get a
     bill --
22
23
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah -- charge
24
     you for storage at the debris pad.
2.5
                    MR. LOPEZ: We know the parkway's clean
```

Page 32

1 | because John watches it.

MR. TREVINO: Vaughn, there was some questions about the CDF and the groundwater monitoring that's being -- I know it was done in the past. I'm assuming it's ongoing. That groundwater monitoring inside the CDF versus outside the CDF to make sure there's a inward gradient, groundwater gradient coming into the facility.

MR. COOLMAN: As far as I know, that is still ongoing and operational and effective --

MR. TREVINO: Okay. Good.

MR. FEKETE: Well, the other question is that for there are water discharges from the CDF because you have an NDS [ph] permit. And how frequently is that water tested to make sure you're in compliance with --

MR. COOLMAN: I don't know for sure, but I do know -- I used to work at the Department of Environmental Protection in Pennsylvania and where we would issue some NTEE [ph]. And -- yes. I tried to call it NPEDS [ph] because that's easier. But that's not the --

MR. TREVINO: -- actual acronyms. Wow. MR. COOLMAN: It's -- yeah. And there

are testing requirements for those permits --

Page 33

1 MR. BAKOTA: Yeah. Whether you know --MR. COOLMAN: -- that are explicit for 2 3 once -- depending on how much you're discharging, when 4 you're discharging, it could be as much as once per day. I will check to see if we have those logs and 5 provide them to The Board. 6 7 MR. FEKETE: Well, I know when I was working, we had 16 outfalls. We used to have daily 8 9 monitoring reports. 10 MR. COOLMAN: Exactly. 11 MR. FEKETE: DMRs. 12 MR. COOLMAN: Okay. 13 MR. FEKETE: And those DMRs had to be 14 actually submitted on a monthly basis, and I had to 15 sign every one of them. I tried --16 MR. COOLMAN: Yeah, I seen --17 I tried to get a blanket MR. FEKETE: 18 signature to sign once, and they says, no, you got to 19 sign everyone. 20 MR. LOPEZ: But you have an --21 MR. FEKETE: Finally, I got somebody 22 else to be a class D operator, class 4 -- class D 23 operator for --24 MR. BAKOTA: They have a water 2.5 treatment too. They treat that water prior to

Page 34 1 discharge; right? MR. COOLMAN: But we're not treating it 2 3 at the moment. So I'm not sure if discharging it --4 MR. BAKOTA: Oh, I thought we had a 5 water treatment there prior to discharging? 6 MR. COOLMAN: We did. And that's what 7 the new contract award is for, for a new --8 MR. BAKOTA: Oh, so you're not treating it now? 9 10 MR. COOLMAN: Right. Which I don't 11 know if that means that we're not just discharging. This is something I'll have to follow up with 12 engineering and Mike Wynn [ph] probably knows a little 13 14 bit more. And --15 And if the discharge is MR. TREVINO: 16 affiliated with dredging activities for just the standard operation and maintenance of the CDF or both. 17 18 MR. COOLMAN: Okay. 19 You know what I mean? MR. TREVINO: 20 MR. COOLMAN: Yeah. 21 MR. TREVINO: Yeah. You can follow up 22 on it. 23 MR. FEKETE: Well, if you're not putting dredge material in there, you're obviously not 24 25 using water.

	Page 35
1	MR. TREVINO: But you have standing
2	water.
3	MR. FEKETE: Yeah.
4	MR. TREVINO: And you go to maintain
5	certain levels.
6	MR. FEKETE: Yeah, you got to
7	MR. TREVINO: I think it's
8	constantly
9	MR. FEKETE: Rainwater's coming
10	MR. TREVINO: Rainwater. Yeah.
11	MR. BAKOTA: Yeah. There's always some
12	been kind of a discharge, so
13	MR. FEKETE: Yeah.
14	MR. BAKOTA: But if they follow the
15	permit requirements
16	MR. TREVINO: Right.
17	MR. BAKOTA: I'm sure they do.
18	MR. FEKETE: Okay. It's moving along
19	nicely.
20	The Attorney Ellen Gregory Report?
21	MS. GREGORY: Yes. Ellen Gregory with
22	Ellen Gregory Law.
23	Primarily in terms of the legal matters
24	for the past month has been the Great Lakes Legacy
25	Act, PA, and statement of work negotiations. We're

expecting a new draft to be circulated to the group tomorrow. We're hoping to get the PA and the SOW finalized by March in order for the Grand Cal River Junction Reaches Project to be able to move forward this year.

We also reviewed the Tetra Tech contract, which is an annual contract. You just approved that earlier today for the calendar year 2025. And just this morning, we received the CliftonLarsonAllen contract for calendar year 2025, which we'll be reviewing, and will be on the agenda for next month's meeting.

And that's all I have.

MR. FEKETE: Any questions? Well, thank you very much.

Any other new business to come before The Board? Any public comments?

Next board meeting is February 20, 2025, at 5:00 p.m.

I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

MR. BAKOTA: Motion to adjourn.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.

MR. FEKETE: I have a second?

MR. TREVINO: Henry seconded.

MR. FEKETE: Henry --

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.0

		Page 37
1	MR.	RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I second.
2	MR.	FEKETE: All in favor, signify by
3	saying aye.	
4	MUL	TIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
5	MR.	FEKETE: This meeting is adjourned.
6	Tha	nk you very much for your attendance
7	and participation.	
8	( wh	ereupon, the meeting concluded at
9	5:5	4 p.m.)
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## CERTIFICATE

I, VANESSA GONZALEZ, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

VANESSA GONZALEZ
Notary Public in and for the
State of Indiana

2.5

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, SIOBHAN MACKEY, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

2.4

2.5

18 Machy

SIOBHAN MACKEY