1 BEFORE THE STATE OF INDIANA 2 CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION 3 4 5 PUBLIC MEETING OF OCTOBER 21, 2024 6 7 8 9 PROCEEDINGS 10 in the above-captioned matter, before the Indiana 11 Civil Rights Commission, Adrianne L. Slash, 12 Chairperson, taken before me, Lindy L. Meyer, 13 Jr., a Notary Public in and for the State of 14 Indiana, County of Shelby, at the Indiana 15 Government Center North, 100 North Senate Avenue, 16 Room N300, Indianapolis, Indiana, on Monday, October 21, 2024 at 1:07 o'clock p.m. 17 18 19 20 21 ACCURATE REPORTING OF INDIANA, LLC 543 Ponds Pointe Drive 22 Carmel, Indiana 46032 TELEPHONE: (317) 848-0088 23 EMAIL: accuratereportingofindiana@gmail.com

1	APPEARANCES:
2	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
3	Adrianne L. Slash, Chairperson Steven A. Ramos Sue Silberberg Terry Tolliver
4	
5	
6	INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION By David Fleischhacker, Deputy Director Indiana Government Center North 100 North Senate Avenue, Room N300 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 On behalf of the Commission.
7	
8	
9	
10	OTHER COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT:
11	Michael Lostutter Christiana Afuwape Yvette Kirchoff Jordan Teske-Harrison
12	
13	Paris Walton Nicholas Piezonka
14	Nicole Owens
15	James Hodges
16	ALSO PRESENT:
17	Andrea Ciobanu Brett Hession Toni Everton Steven F. Pockrass Kate E. Trinkle Anna Kirkman
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

```
1
                                      1:07 o'clock p.m.
                                      October 21, 2024
 2
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: All right.
                                                  Well,
 4
     I think we have our quorum here, and it's -- what
 5
    time is it? -- 1:07 on October 21st. I'll call
 6
     this meeting of the Indiana Civil Rights
 7
    Commission to order. To begin, we do have our
8
    quorum in person, but our court reporter needs to
9
    get the contact information for the people who
10
     are on the phone; correct?
11
                 THE REPORTER:
                                Yes.
12
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                      Okay.
13
                 MR. LOSTUTTER:
                                 If people would
14
    unmute themselves to announce their names.
15
                (Discussion off the record.)
16
                 MS. AFUWAPE: We have at the
17
    moment --
18
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: And would everybody
19
    go ahead and announce themselves? Christiana
20
    has.
21
                 MS. TESKE-HARRISON: Hello.
                                               This is
22
     Jordan Teske-Harrison, Director of the ICW.
23
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: All right.
```

1 MS. WALTON: Hello. My name is Paris 2 I'm the Public Outreach and Education Walton. 3 Manager. 4 MR. PIEZONKA: This is Nick Piezonka. 5 I am Greg Wilson's Executive Assistant. 6 MS. OWENS: My name is Nicole Owens. 7 I am the Director of the Indiana Native American Indian Affairs Commission. 8 9 MR. HODGES: This is Jim Hodges. 10 an Investigator with the Employment Unit. 11 MR. LOSTUTTER: That is five. 12 MS. AFUWAPE: I am Christiana. 13 MR. LOSTUTTER: All right. 14 And very quickly, please be advised no 15 party will be allowed to speak directly to the Commission during any Commission meetings except 16 17 during a previously scheduled oral argument 18 concerning appeals. The Commissioners will make 19 their initial determination based on the 2.0 complaint, the notice of finding, the appeal, and 21 the final investigative report. You must not 22 address the Commission members except and unless

you are addressed directly by them. If you have

23

any questions about your case, please wait to speak to the Docket Clerk until after the Commission meeting.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. At this time, we'll have the announcement of our agenda.

MR. LOSTUTTER: We will have approval of previous meeting minutes; we will have the ICRC Director's Report; Old Business, consisting of appeal of notice of finding, and oral argument is supposed to take place in that, also dealing with a matter from a previous Commission meeting; New Business, new appeals, notice of findings that will be assigned to all of the Commissioners plus two other motions that were filed in the last week and a half; and then the ALJ decisions will be reviewed, three of which involve oral arguments today; and then we will conclude with the reading into the record of the ALJ decisions that are to be automatically confirmed.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you very much.

We'll begin. Do I have a motion to

approve last month's meeting minutes?

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: So moved.

COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. I'll take the motion of Vice-Chair Ramos and the second, Comm. Tolliver.

At this time, we'll have the ICRC Director's Report.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Thank you, Chair Slash.

We had a couple of major events over the last month, since the last meeting. We had our Annual Days of Service on September 16th and 17th -- 17th and 18th, and we had over 200 volunteers that came out with us over those two days. We did beautification projects on Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, on the Northeast Landing area just north of downtown.

A lot of state agencies were involved in that as well, so it was well received, and we had some good media coverage of it as well, so I think it was a -- it came together really nicely, and then we were able to get a lot of projects

done at Watkins Park and at Charlie Wiggins Park and a couple of areas around that area.

We had the annual -- or the Indiana Civil Rights Awards Dinner in early October. We had approximately 380 attendees for the Dinner. It was well received, great messages. The award recipients were all well deserving and had great stories to share. Overall, it was a great evening at the Indiana Roof Ballroom.

Currently we're working -- starting to work on annual reports for all of our Cultural Commissions and for the Indiana Civil Rights

Commission as well. Last year, in 2023, was the first time that we had an annual report for all of the -- all of us together, and our goal is to have all of those done here right at the end of 2024 or early 2025 so those can get published as well.

And then a couple of weeks ago, we met with a -- Dr. Allon Friedman, President of the Jewish American Affairs Committee of Indiana, and we're -- our External Affairs team is starting to work with them to put together some education and

awareness opportunities, particularly for students who are Jewish, about their rights under the Civil Rights Law when it comes to access to education and different things like that. So, I think that that will be a good partnership to help combat some of the anti-Semitism that is going on in Indiana and throughout the country.

2.0

As far as some ongoing things, we mentioned earlier that we were going to have some transfers from the EEOC. Ultimately we had 170 cases transferred to us from the EEOC in September, so we're already starting to work on those and process those.

We entered into kind of an agreement with HUD, where they're going to be transferring 15 cases to us a month on a pilot program through the end of the year to work and process as well. So, again, great partnerships with our federal partners.

And then right now we're engaged in some fair housing testing, in partnership with the Indiana Housing & Community Development Authority. We have 111 properties throughout the

State of Indiana that we're going to be testing.

I believe we're right in the middle of that

testing right now. It'll, I think, be done by

the end of the month, and then we'll see if

there's any statistical -- or significant

difference in how the testers were treated, to

see if there's the need to pursue any charges in

any of those cases.

As far as some metrics go, our calls are on pace of where they were last year through the end of September, the same with inquiries, all within about a five-percent difference. Our formalized complaints are up significantly, about 21 percent, through the end of September. We had 924 complaints filed with the Commission, compared to 762 at the same time last year.

All of last year we had 980 filed, so that -- if we continue on our trend of -- or our average of around 102 cases filed a month, we're going to maybe surpass 1200 complaints by the end of the year. So, we'll continue to monitor that, but again, that's -- even the 980 was a significant increase even from pre-COVID numbers.

So, we continue to see an upward trend in the number of complaints filed, and that's even -- that's not even including the transfers that are coming to us from the EEOC and from HUD. Those are just the complaints that are filed directly with the office.

2.0

Closures are on pace to be around the same, and then we're down a little bit for funds recovered or obtained through mediation efforts, but we're -- we've -- through the end of September, we have recovered \$525,000, a little over that, through the end of September.

At the end of September, we had 28 open litigation cases, compared to 58 at the same time last year. As you can assume with that, our closures and then the litigations here are significantly up, 51 closes here, compared to 34 at the same time last year.

We do have about ten cases that, on the notice of finding, is currently a cause finding that are under review, so we may see our litigation numbers bump up here a little bit in the next couple of weeks. Of those 28, there are

```
1
     a number that were just settled, so we'll see
 2
    what that does with overall numbers.
 3
            That's what's going on.
 4
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
 5
            Any Commissioners have any questions?
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS:
 6
                                    No.
 7
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Comm. Tolliver
    and I enjoyed ourselves at the Dinner. It was a
8
9
    job well done, and Greg and Louise, and surely it
10
    was an inspiring evening, and it was great to
11
    hear from speakers and awardees.
12
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Absolutely.
13
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: So, thank you.
14
            Okay. I need to go back, because we did
15
    not vote on the minutes.
16
                 MR. LOSTUTTER:
17
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: We got a motion
18
    and a second, but we didn't vote. So, all in
19
     favor in approving the minutes from last month's
20
    meeting?
21
           (Commission members responded, "Aye.")
22
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Any opposed?
23
                       (No response.)
```

1 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. The 2 minutes have been approved. 3 Now we'll go into where we are for this 4 month, and we'll begin our Old Business. 5 case of Christopher Hodgen versus Purdue University Global, Case EDha24040213. 6 7 Do we have both parties present? MR. LOSTUTTER: They are not on-line, 8 9 and I do not see them -- anyone here. 10 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Well, with that being said, I will go ahead at this time, 11 12 without having either party present -- we have 13 not heard from either party today at all? 14 MR. LOSTUTTER: No. 15 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. With that being said, we'll take what we have in the case 16 17 as what we have in the case, and I would 18 recommend that we uphold the Deputy Director's 19 finding of no probable cause. 20 COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved. 21 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Second. 22 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. 23 Motion, Comm. Tolliver, second, Vice-Chair Ramos.

```
1
            And then the next --
 2
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: You have to --
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
 4
    Business -- huh?
 5
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: We have to vote.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Oh, thank you.
 7
     It's a Monday. Please let my Mondays happen.
    promise it's not a day early.
8
9
           All in favor?
10
          (Commission members responded, "Aye.")
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Any opposed?
11
12
                       (No response.)
13
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Very good.
14
    Motion carries.
15
           All right. Next, we have, in our Motions
    and Other Filings, Derrick Young versus Camelot
16
17
    Care Center, Case EMra24040257. The Respondent
18
     is filing a Motion for More Specific and Definite
19
    Statement from the Complainant, and it is filed
20
    with the Commission. This was filed with the
21
    Commission on the 8th. I am granting that. Do I
22
    need a vote on that?
23
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: (Shook head no.)
```

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.

2.0

MR. LOSTUTTER: No.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON SLASH:} \quad \mbox{So, that motion} \\ \mbox{is granted.}$

In New Business, I'll make assignments.

I'll try to go across the table as best as I can.

Kellee Rembert versus Lennar Homes of Indiana,

LLC & Lennar Mortgage, LLC, Case HOra24060455.

That case will be assigned to Comm. Silberberg.

The case of Kevin Thomas versus Affordable Living Property Management, LLC & Crestview at Kokomo, LLC, Case HOra24050384. That case is assigned to Comm. Ramos.

Today Boyce versus Rolls-Royce
Corporation, Case EMha23030289. That case will
be assigned to me.

April Cook versus FSI Valleybrook Property LLC, Yes! Communities LLC, & Valleybrook Mobile Home Park, Case HOha24060496. The case will be assigned to Comm. Tolliver. And for the record, I will grant oral argument on both that have requested.

Okay. Motions and Other Filings that we

```
1
    have under New Business, Gregory L. Wilson, Sr.,
 2
     in his official capacity as Executive Director of
 3
     the Indiana Civil Rights Commission versus
 4
    Heritage Place at Parkview LP and Sterling Group,
 5
     Inc., Case HOno24090805. The Respondent is
 6
     filing a Motion for More Specific and Definite
 7
    Statement from the Complainant. I will grant
    that motion.
8
9
            And then the next case that we have is
10
     ICRC/Darian Day versus Jerry Wenger. Respondent
11
     is filing an objection to the Administrative Law
12
     Judge's Recommended Final Facts, Conclusions of
13
    Law and Order.
14
            Can you remind me what my choices are on
15
    this one?
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: There's nothing
16
17
     for you to do on that one.
18
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
19
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: It's just --
20
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                      I just want to
21
    make sure it's in the record and that I was right
22
     on that.
23
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: The parties now
```

have -- the parties now have 30 days to file their written briefs.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: For your record,

do you need a case number? Okay. I'm having a real day. Okay. HOha23090705. All right. Very well.

Okay. So, this next section, we'll go through Administrative Law Judge's orders disposing of the proceeding -- of proceedings, and we've got a few. I'll let our Deputy Director kind of walk us into this section of --

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: -- our agenda and explain the course here.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Thank you, Chair Slash.

So, in this section, we have the Administrative Law Judge orders based on the law at the time that these matters were originated. The ALJ was a -- was not the ultimate authority for these matters, so these nonfinal orders require review by the ultimate authority. When objections are filed, then the -- this

Commission, as the ultimate authority, has a couple of options based on those objections.

2.0

The ultimate authority, the Commission, can affirm the Administrative Law Judge's order, which would mean that whatever the Administrative Law Judge decided in their opinion and all of the facts, conclusions of law should remain as written.

The Commission can also issue a final order modifying the Administrative Law Judge's order, so that would be changing the result of the decision, changing some of the fact determinations in the decision, whatever the Commission deems necessary to modify in the final order and to that issue.

And then the Commission may also issue an order remanding the matter, with or without instructions, to the Administrative Law Judge for further proceedings.

For the three matters that are before the Commission today that have had objections filed, all of those three matters were decisions based on summary judgment briefings, so in these cases,

1 if the Commission were to follow that third route 2 and remand the matter with or without 3 instructions for further proceedings, those 4 further proceedings would ultimately be 5 evidentiary hearings before the Administrative 6 Law Judge. 7 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. 8 Any Commissioners have any questions 9 before we begin this section of our agenda? 10 (No response.) 11 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Very good. 12 We'll begin with the case of Andria Moss 13 versus Miller Transportation, Case PAha21110432. 14 We have both parties present? 15 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yes. 16 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Very good. 17 So, when we do oral arguments here, the 18 way that we proceed is: We'll have five minutes 19 for the Complainant --20 MR. FLETSCHHACKER: Ten. 21 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: We're at ten? 22 MR. LOSTUTTER: Ten. 23 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Ten.

1 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Great. 2 We'll go -- you have ten minutes to begin, and 3 then you're -- and then we'll have ten minutes 4 for the other side, and then we will have a 5 five-minute rebuttal. 6 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Two. 7 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Oh, okay. See, the changes --8 9 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: They can reserve 10 time from their original --CHAIRPERSON SLASH: You can reserve 11 12 time, but you have two minutes for rebuttal when 13 it's your turn. And we've got the timer over 14 here. 15 MS. CIOBANU: Thank you. I would 16 like to reserve four minutes for rebuttal, 17 please. 18 MR. LOSTUTTER: Okay. 19 MS. CIOBANU: In this case, the 20 initial findings by the ICRC initially was in 21 Complainant's favor, and there was a stipulation 22 that the Complainant was blind. She was blind 23 later in life through a tragic situation.

has a disability, she had a service dog, and she was not permitted to ride the bus. Those were all stipulations.

There were several different witnesses they were neutral witnesses that observed it.

There were people -- so, this was a Greyhound and a Miller -- this was against Miller. Greyhound has settled. So, Miller -- she was not allowed on the bus, but there was a ticket booth agent, a female.

A witness complaint came in. She wrote immediately and said, "I remember this. She was fairly calm, and she was not allowed to get on the bus, and I do recall them saying a pit bull cannot be a service dog."

I would like to refer to you as

Complainant's Exhibit L -- I do have hard copies.

It was admitted in the record. I have hard

copies if you'd like to review it as I talk about

it. May I provide it?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Does anybody want a copy?

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Sure. I already

have it.

MS. CIOBANU: Okay.

And in particular, I want to focus on page two, and the reason why this is important -- may I approach?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Sure.

MS. CIOBANU: The reason why this is important, on page two -- so, this is two pages -- on page two, Complainant took this picture before she knew she was not going to be allowed on the bus. She had an ADA ticket. She is blind. She was going to a very important appointment.

So, on page two, this is why this is really important. She took this picture not knowing she wasn't going to be allowed on the bus. When we asked the bus driver -- and there were two involved, and I'll get to more detail on that later. Both of them said, "This is not the dog." They didn't remember it having a chain, they didn't remember her having a blue bag, and they didn't remember anything else about this. They said the dog was a different color, it

was 150 pounds, not 60 like here.

The woman is also blind. One of the drivers said, "No, she wasn't blind at all." She is a six-foot woman with blond hair and blind. That would be hard to forget. The driver, the actual driver, said, "No, she was a five-foot-three woman, and the dog was running all around, didn't have a leash."

We asked, "Did you -- have you seen this?"

And she said no, this was not -- so, this is the driver, the real driver, and the reason why I say "real driver," I'll get to that in a second. She said, "This was not the dog," and she couldn't even remember the woman.

There was an innocent bystander there who was getting on another bus, and that -- and he also came and testified, complete neutral individual. He didn't know her. He came back two years later and said she was calm, the dog wasn't running around, she wasn't allowed on the bus, the driver said a pit bull cannot be a service dog.

The actual driver that was there was the

female driver, and she said -- she testified, and she said, "Well, I now know that pit bulls can be service dogs. I know that now." There's also no signs anywhere, for Miller or Greyhound or at that bus station, that service dogs are welcome. And she had a service ticket, so she was supposed to get on the bus.

Well, what's important about this is there was another driver, Hammock. Mr. Hammock testified the entire time, though there was a stipulation that the female was the driver, he kept saying, "I was the driver, I was the driver." He repeatedly said that, and you can see how many times he said that on here.

And he said he couldn't remember what she was, she looked like a homeless woman with a cart, which is why that's important, because there's no cart here, a shopping cart. He said it was a shopping cart, she looked like a homeless woman, the dog was running around loose, and here, the dog is not running around.

The Complainant testified she is blind, his -- he wears jingles, this is what she calls

jingles. He has to wear jingles because she is blind, she will trip. So, she has to hear where he's walking around. So -- and then he also testified, "Well, the same woman came back the next day. It was the same woman and another dog, and the dog --" I mean not a different dog, but the same dog that was in question as in the same day "-- and the dog was running around, the dog was loose."

We again asked the investigator, who was for Miller, "Did you ever find out what -- who that dog was and who that woman was?" He stopped working for Miller, and he said, "Well, I stopped the investigation. I was done. I don't really know who that woman was. I don't really know what happened to this other individual."

But Mr. Hammock repeatedly said that he was the driver, and he said this woman came by the next day and the same thing happened and it was an unruly dog and she was disrespectful.

We -- through the record, you'll see that that was impossible. Our client was all of the way down -- she was in -- she couldn't have done it.

She can't drive. She was down by Cincinnati. She did not come back the next day.

2.0

So, that's why it's important what the Complainant states, what -- what the neutral party that was there that just witnessed -- and he gave a voluntary statement to the ICRC. He was interviewed very early on. He said they were calm, the dog was in control. She was not allowed on the bus because it -- because they said a pit bull cannot be a service dog.

So, the only two -- well, there was

three -- were these two drivers who didn't -- the

one says he was the driver, and he had to concede

through the proceedings, after we showed

repeatedly the schedule, and we had to reshow the

schedule and the bus routes, then he conceded and

said, "Well, I couldn't have been the driver."

If he wasn't the driver and he thought he was, and he described some other 150-pound dog, he described another woman, he didn't -- maybe there was another event, potentially, but he was not the driver, and he conceded he wasn't, even though there was a stipulation as to who the

driver was.

The actual driver was questioned about this, and she said, "No, that's not the same dog," and again, she was the one that said, "It's a five-foot-three woman." And the other -
Mr. Hammock said, "And it wasn't a blind woman.

I didn't think it was a blind woman."

So, I don't know what happened with Miller and their drivers on that day. I did ask

Mr. Addy, who was a witness who is not there any longer. He doesn't know what happened with that investigation, or maybe there was some other woman with an incident, or a homeless person with a dog, but it definitely wasn't Andria Moss.

Andria Moss testified, "I couldn't get on. They told me it was a -- they told me that it was because my dog was a pit bull, and a pit bull can't be a service dog."

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.

You've reached your time, if you still want to
reserve your original --

MS. CIOBANU: Yes, I do. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.

1 MR. HESSION: Good afternoon. 2 (Discussion off the record.) 3 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. 4 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Are you -- sorry; 5 you're reserving extra time for rebuttal? 6 MR. HESSION: Three minutes, please. 7 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Okay. 8 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. 9 MR. HESSION: My name is Brett 10 Hession, and along with my colleague, Toni 11 Everton, we represent Miller Transportation in 12 this matter. 13 Before I get into argument, just a brief 14 point of order. Counsel for the Commission 15 mentioned that all of the matters today were 16 after summary judgment. This matter did not have 17 summary judgment. This matter went through a 18 three-day administrative hearing before an ALJ, 19 which kind of takes me into kind of my first 20 argument. 21 This claim has been litigated over the 22 course of over two years now, there have been 23 four witness depositions, there was written

discovery from both sides, and the three-day administrative hearing in this matter. There were 11 witnesses called.

2.0

Ms. Moss' counsel is correct, there were witnesses presented by both sides, as well as kind of disinterested parties as well. There were, I believe, 18 or so written -- or exhibits introduced into evidence. And so, put simply, there's been no stone left unturned when it comes to this claim. This claim has been thoroughly litigated by the parties.

At the conclusion of the evidence, both sides were permitted the opportunity to marshal whatever evidence they saw fit and make their arguments to Judge Triggs, who was the Administrative Law Judge. Both sides were permitted the opportunity to present proposed findings of facts and law to Judge Triggs, and eventually Judge Triggs determined that Miller Transportation -- or that Complainant had failed to prove or failed to raise a prima facie case that Miller Transportation discriminated against Ms. Moss on the basis of her disability.

The findings of fact that the ALJ made was that Ms. Moss is disabled and she was denied service that day, but not due to her disability, but because of Miller Transportation's objectionable passenger policy. So, if I could just kind of briefly take you through the facts as Judge Triggs found them.

On September 11th, 2021, Ms. Moss attempted to board a Miller Transportation bus going from Evansville, Indiana to Louisville, Kentucky. She did have a service animal, the dog, named Stihl. Marion Lockwood was the driver of the bus going from Evansville to Louisville that day, and she testified that when she saw Stihl, Stihl was running around and jumping on people.

Miller Transportation has a policy allowing for service animals, and she testified that pit bulls and dogs had ridden on her bus as service animals on several occasions in the past. She asked Ms. Moss what function the dog served. She did not ask for paperwork. She did not say a pit bull cannot be a service dog.

Ms. Moss stated, you know, what function the dog served, and Ms. Lockwood, the driver, said, "Well, this dog is jumping on people, ruling -- or jumping on people and running around, this dog is essentially uncontrolled," and she denied service.

Ms. Moss then objected, she began screaming and yelling at Ms. Lockwood. This was a -- an interaction observed by a person named Teri Corbell, who worked in the bus station, and she testified to that at the hearing.

Ms. Lockwood then retreated back to her bus, where she called her manager, Reginald Addy. Mr. Addy advised Ms. Lockwood to wait, let the situation calm down, and reapproach Ms. Moss. Ms. Lockwood made the decision at that point that if the other passengers on the bus were okay with Ms. Moss and the dog riding, that the dog could ride despite the previous uncontrolled nature of the dog.

When she got off the bus, before she could get that out, Ms. Moss started screaming at Ms. Lockwood, called her an obscene name, which

is in the record. And at that point, because she was screaming and yelling at Ms. Lockwood,
Ms. Lockwood testified that she no longer felt safe giving Ms. Moss a ride and denied her service, not because of the dog, but because of Ms. Moss' own behavior under the objectionable passenger policy.

2.0

Counsel referred to a gentleman named
Wayne Hammock, who was also a Miller
Transportation driver. In the briefing, Ms. -Complainant's counsel indicates that Ms. Hammock
is confused, but in all -- in that briefing as
well, Complainant is relying on a statement from
Ms. Corbell, which she did not -- testifying to
an interaction between Ms. Moss and Ms. Lockwood
that she did not see herself but was relayed by
Mr. Hammock.

Mr. Hammock allegedly saw the interaction and told Ms. Corbell, but the ALJ determined that Ms. Corbell's testimony as to what she saw, not what she heard from another person, but what she saw, was the most credible portion of her testimony, and that portion was Ms. Moss yelling

at Ms. Lockwood before she could get on the bus.

2.0

As I said, this is a claim that's been fairly litigated. If you read through the briefing, I think it's a fair characterization to say that Ms. Moss' sole argument is essentially a weighing of the evidence by the Administrative Law Judge. There's no allegation that there was any issue with the conduct of the proceedings or whether each side had a sufficient time or ability to present evidence.

And so, given the thoroughness of the litigation between the parties and the fact that Ms. Lockwood's testimony about Ms. Moss' conduct was corroborated by both Ms. Corbell, who was a disinterested party, and Ms. Addy, who said -- or Mr. Addy, who said that as he was on the phone with Ms. Lockwood, he heard a woman screaming in the background, so Ms. Lockwood's testimony was corroborated by multiple witnesses.

The Administrative Law Judge's hearing is based on evidence that is substantial and reliable, and that is what is required under the Indiana Civil Rights Law -- or the Indiana

Administrative Procedures Act. Judge Triggs was in the best position to make the findings of fact and conclusions of law in this matter, and this Commission -- respectfully I request that it affirm Administrative Law Judge Triggs' order in full.

Thank you.

MS. CIOBANU: To be clear,

Ms. Taggart, Teri Taggart, and Ms. Corbell are

the same person; she got married afterwards. We

do not agree that she said that she was out of

control. In fact, she said just the opposite.

She was the one that wrote in and said she was

fairly calm, and if we look at her testimony, she

said she did not hear profanity. What she did

hear was after the fact. She heard her say, "I'm

going to sue." That is what she heard. She said

she was calm and not screaming ahead of time.

Then Mr. Hammock signed an affidavit under oath and said, "This dog was around and lunged at myself and lunged at Teri Taggart," or Ms. Corbell. Ms. Corbell then testifies and said, "That's not true. I did not see the dog

lunging at anyone, and it certainly didn't lunge
at me."

2.0

If we look at Mr. Therber's testimony, and that's on page six of our objection, Mr. Therber was there the entire time. He heard the driver say pit bulls are not service dogs and she would not be allowed on the bus. Ms. Therber -Mr. Therber, again, a neutral witness, he testified that Complainant and Stihl -- Stihl was the name of the dog -- were calm and they were denied entry, and Stihl was quiet and always by Complainant's side.

Mr. Therber then testified Stihl was not running or growling at anyone, Mr. Therber testified that there were no loud arguments or profanity, and he was there the entire time. His testimony was he was standing right there. She, after the fact, said, "I'm going to sue," and she has a right to say that, but that was after she was already denied. Mr. Therber doesn't have any reason — he's neutral party. He was interviewed very early on by ICRC. His story never changed; it was the same.

And so, the ALJ did not weigh his credibility or did not say that he was not credible. She also didn't say Ms. Corbell, or Ms. Taggart, was not credible Ms. Corbell said she did not see her running around -- the dog running around or her -- the dog out of control, or the Complainant out of control.

And counsel testified about depositions, written discovery, et cetera. That is all true, but then Mr. Hammock still came, after all of that, and after two years of that, he came in and said, "Well, I was the driver," and he had these interchanges with her that was describing another woman, and saying -- and he didn't concede until halfway through, or maybe towards the end, of his testimony that he in fact wasn't the driver. So, how can we be sure that he's talking about the same person?

And the ALJ did not find that Ms. Corbell was not credible. Her e-mail ahead of time, before there was even an investigation, said, "She was fairly calm, she was denied, and I overheard them say, 'A pit bull can't be a

service animal.'"

And I think it also speaks volumes that

Mr. Hammock, early on, signed an affidavit that

the dog was lunging at him and Teri Taggart,

Ms. Corbell, the same person. I don't want there

to be confusion about that. Ms. Corbell said

that never happened. She would have recalled if

this dog lunged at her.

And again, this is the same dog that then Mr. Hammock testified, "Well, that wasn't the same dog as the day in question," and so did the other driver. Ms. Lockwood said, "Well, it's not the same driver." So, that's why we're saying there's confusion. There was no problems with credibility with Mr. Therber or Ms. Teri Taggart, or Ms. Corbell.

And if we assess that, she was denied because of her blindness, because this dog was a pit bull, because, in her own words, Ms. Lockwood said, "I now know --" and that was during these proceedings" -- I now know that pit bulls can be service dogs," and that's why she was not permitted to be on this bus.

And so, we're asking that you have a finding or reverse or remand so that there can be a situation where Miller is compliant. Greyhound has settled, but we would like to see Miller compliant and have signs up that service dogs are welcome, and this includes having some training that pit bulls can be service dogs.

And recall again, Mr. Hammock said the woman wasn't even blind. I don't know who he's describing, but certainly not the Complainant that -- couldn't have been the one. And our client also denies -- she denies that she was yelling. She did confirm that she said, "I will sue," but that's -- that's permissible.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.

MR. HESSION: Okay. Thank you. afternoon again.

Just to address a few of the points --CHAIRPERSON SLASH: You have additional minutes here.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yeah, he said he wanted three minutes for rebuttal.

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

MR. HESSION: Just to address a few of the points raised by Ms. Moss' counsel, the confusion and conflicts in the evidence that Ms. Moss' counsel points to, these were all presented to the Administrative Law Judge after the hearings or -- there are no new arguments here.

It's the Administrative Law Judge's job to weigh the evidence and issue a nonfinal administrative decision, but it's her job to base her findings based on the evidence in the record, and that is what she did. So, just to address a few of these points.

So, Complainant's counsel indicated that

Ms. Lockwood said she didn't know pit bulls could

be service animals. Well, that is conflicting

with her other testimony in which she said that

she previously had pit bulls ride on her bus as

service animals, so that's one of the conflicting

testimonies that Judge Triggs resolved when she

issued her order.

Ms. Corbell, who indicated that she heard Ms. Lockwood make a statement to Ms. Moss. She

didn't actually hear that. Her testimony was that someone else told her that that statement was made. The only thing that Ms. Corbell saw was Ms. Moss yelling -- I think she used the word "hollering" during the hearing -- yelling at Ms. Lockwood. So, she corroborates the fact that -- Ms. Corbell corroborates the fact that Ms. Moss was yelling at Ms. Lockwood before Ms. Lockwood as able to ultimately offer her a ride on the bus.

Mr. Addy corroborates the testimony because he is on the phone with Ms. Lockwood while she's on the bus, and he hears screaming over on the phone while he is on the phone with her, with Ms. Lockwood.

Mr. Therber offered a statement in the aftermath, as Complainant's counsel mentioned, but in that statement, one of the things he says is Ms. Lockwood did offer Ms. Moss a ride after he got -- she got off the bus.

Ultimately, one of the things that you'll see in the ALJ's unfinal administrative order is that, based on her demeanor and delivery during

the hearing itself, the ALJ decided that Ms. Moss 2 herself was not a credible witness, and that was 3 based on not only her demeanor, but also the 4 conflicting evidence in the case. So, the ALJ 5 actually opined on the credibility of the Complainant herself based on her own testimony. 6 7 All of these conflicts and, you know, different forms of evidence in the case, these 8 9 were all accounted for by the Administrative Law 10 Judge after a three-day hearing in which each 11 side was able to present what they wished, and 12 again, I respectfully request that the Commission 13 fully affirm the Administrative Law Judge's 14 order. 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. 17 That concludes the oral argument. Are 18 there any questions from Commissioners? 19 (No response.) 2.0 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Hearing 21 none, is there a motion? 22 COMM. TOLLIVER: Actually I have a 23 question.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.

COMM. TOLLIVER: What was -- so, if I understand this right, the reason for removal was the objectionable passenger.

MR. HESSION: Correct.

COMM. TOLLIVER: And who or what was the objectionable passenger?

MR. HESSION: So, if you'll look in the briefing itself, I hesitate to use the term, but the name that she called Ms. Lockwood once Ms. Lockwood got back off the bus, once she uttered that, that was the objectionable behavior. She yelled at her and called her an offensive name, and at that point, Ms. Lockwood determined that she wasn't going to be able to ride the bus under the objectionable passenger policy.

MS. CIOBANU: And I'd just remind you, Complainant denies that, and Mr. Therber said he didn't hear any profanity and yelling. So, she conclusively denies that that occurred, that she called her names and was yelling ahead of time.

1 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. 2 MS. EVERTON: May I chime in to add a 3 little bit to that also? 4 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Briefly. 5 MS. EVERTON: Okay. 6 THE REPORTER: Identify yourself, 7 please. 8 MS. EVERTON: Oh, I'm sorry. 9 Toni Everton. I'm an attorney on behalf of 10 Miller Transportation. 11 The objectionable passenger policy is in 12 place because, as you know, these are charter 13 buses, and the driver is on the bus alone with 14 passengers behind her, so Miller leaves it up to 15 the driver to make the determination as to whether or not they feel safe having an 16 17 individual board the bus, because they're the 18 ones who are going to be out on the road handling 19 this large bus with the passengers behind them. 20 So, that's the purpose of the 21 objectionable passenger and the reason why the 22 driver is the one who gets to make that decision, 23 because it's based on what she sees and feels for

```
1
    her safety at that time.
 2
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: So, the question
 3
     that Comm. Tolliver is asking was: Who was the
 4
     objectionable passenger? And you're stating that
 5
     it was --
 6
                 MR. HESSION: Ms. Moss.
 7
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: -- Ms. Moss?
 8
                 MR. HESSION: Yes.
 9
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
10
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Okay. So, she was
11
    not removed from the bus prior to her saying
12
    this?
13
                 MR. HESSION: She was never on the
14
    bus.
15
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Or she was not told
     she could not ride the bus until she made that
16
17
     statement?
18
                 MR. HESSION: No, since she was --
19
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: So, she was not
20
    removed at all?
21
                 MR. HESSION: She was -- I apologize.
22
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Oh, I'm sorry.
23
                 MR. HESSION: So, she -- she, in the
```

first instance, told her -- told Ms. Moss that because of the dog's uncontrolled behavior of running around and jumping on people, that she was going to not allow her to board the bus.

Then Ms. Moss became irate and started yelling.

Then Ms. Lockwood retreated back onto the bus to call her manager to ask -- to say, "This is what's happening. What should I do?"

Her manager said, "Take a few minutes, let

the situation calm down," and she did, and then once she exited the bus, her intent was to offer -- she testified that her intent was to say, "If the other passengers are okay with the dog riding, you can ride as well," but before she could get that out, Ms. Moss then yelled at her and called her the name, and at that point she said, "It's not -- it's not the dog at this point, it's your own behavior, and that's why you can't ride."

COMM. SILBERBERG: I have a couple of questions, too. Why did --

MR. HESSION: Yes.

COMM. SILBERBERG: So, I'm confused

why it would be a vote of passengers as to whether -- I don't know if that's relevant or not, but why that would be a vote, why passengers would get to vote on whether or not they want the dog if it's a service dog. I think that's a federal law, not a vote.

2.0

MR. HESSION: So, I believe

Ms. Lockwood testified that there were not going to be many passengers on that bus, it was not going to be a full bus, and I believe she testified that basically, even though this dog was uncontrolled, and under Miller

Transportation's policies and federal law, she had the right to deny service based on the uncontrolled nature of the animal.

Despite that, within her discretion, she was going to seek the other passengers on the bus -- again, there weren't going to be many -- and basically try to find a way to accommodate this uncontrolled dog, to allow Ms. Moss to ride the bus, and seek out the other passengers to make sure they were okay with this, you know, at that point, uncontrolled dog riding the bus as

```
1
    well, but again, she was not -- she didn't have
 2
     that opportunity.
 3
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Is Ms. Moss from
 4
    Evansville?
 5
                 MS. EVERTON: Yes.
 6
                 MS. CIOBANU: Yes, that's where she
 7
     lives. She was going down to Louisville.
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Do you have any
8
9
    further questions?
10
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: I do not.
11
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: Are we allowed to
12
    know what Greyhound's settlement was?
13
                 MS. EVERTON: I don't -- I think
14
    that's confidential.
15
                MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yeah, it's
16
    confidential, attorneys.
17
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Do you have
18
    questions?
19
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I do not.
20
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
21
            Do any of the Commissioners have a motion?
22
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: I move that we --
23
     I'd move that we remand the case to the ALJ and
```

```
1
     request that she enter a finding in favor of the
 2
    Complainant and against the Respondent.
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a
 4
    second?
 5
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: Second.
 6
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Can we clarify the
 7
    motion?
8
                         (Laughter.)
9
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: So, he is
10
    requesting -- your motion, I believe, is --
11
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: To tend it back, so
12
    remand it to the ALJ --
13
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Uh-huh.
14
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: -- with instruction
15
    that she enter an order finding in favor of the
    Complainant and against the Respondent; reverse
16
17
    the decision.
18
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: So, it would be in
19
    favor of --
20
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: The Complainant.
21
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: -- the
22
    Complainant.
23
                 COMM. TOLLIVER:
                                   The passenger.
```

1 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Yes, the 2 passenger. 3 COMM. SILBERBERG: Second. 4 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. All in 5 favor? 6 (Commission members responded, "Aye.") 7 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Any opposed? VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: No. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. The motion 10 carries. 11 Thank you so much for coming today. 12 The next case, ICRC/Christine Harris 13 versus Dominic Pitzel, Case HOra21040110. Okay. 14 The Administrative Law Judge in this matter, 15 after having considered all of the evidence and 16 testimony presented by both parties, grants 17 Respondent's motion for summary judgment, denies 18 Complainant's motion for summary judgment, and 19 dismisses Complainant's complaint with prejudice. 20 Complainant's attorneys have filed an objection 21 to that decision, and the Respondents have filed 22 a response in opposition to that objection. 23 Oh, you're here to go again?

```
1
                 MS. EVERTON: I'm here again.
2
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: You're going
3
    again; okay.
 4
                 MS. EVERTON: You're hearing a lot
 5
    from me today.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
                                            So, as
 7
    with the last one, you'll have ten minutes, and
    then you'll have two minutes on rebuttal.
8
9
                 MS. KIRCHOFF: Commissioner, Chair, I
10
    would request five minutes in rebuttal, please.
11
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: So, you're
12
    reserving three minutes, to make it five total on
13
    rebuttal?
14
                 MS. KIRCHOFF: Yes. Well, is it --
15
    point of order. Is it --
16
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: You get two.
17
                 MS. KIRCHOFF: Regardless?
18
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yes.
19
                 MS. KIRCHOFF: Okay. Then I would
20
    reserve -- so, that would mean if I reserve four
21
     for rebuttal, it would be a total of six.
22
                MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Six on initial --
23
     yeah.
```

MS. KIRCHOFF: Okay. All right.

Then I would reserve four for rebuttal, please.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.

MS. KIRCHOFF: Thank you. Sorry about that. My name is Yvette Kirchoff. I'm a senior staff attorney for the Indiana Civil Rights Commission. I am here on behalf of Gregory Wilson, in his capacity as the Executive Director of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission and the public interest in the aggrieved person, Ms. Christine Harris' complaint.

As you stated, both sides have filed summary judgment motions and responses in this case. The ALJ issued its nonfinding -- her nonfinding -- nonfinal order granting summary judgment to the Respondent. With all due respect to Judge Triggs, everybody is human, everybody makes mistakes. In this case, our position is she got it wrong.

Once we got the nonfinal order, I filed objections on behalf of the Complainant, and the Respondent duly filed responses to those

objections. At this point, just to review what you can do today, you can either affirm the ALJ's proposed final order, modify it, dissolve it, or remand it.

2.0

In this case, we believe the ALJ, with the best of intentions, got it wrong. We are asking you to reverse this decision, modify it, in other words, to find summary judgment in favor of the Complainant.

The factual summaries -- summary that matters here that led to Ms. Harris, the aggrieved person, filing the complaint with the Civil Rights Commission are as follows: In August of 2020, Niksa Mihaljevic, who, I will from now on state that his name is Niksa, and the Respondent, Mr. Pitzel, executed a lease agreement for Niksa to rent a home from the Respondent.

There is no dispute whatsoever that

Ms. Harris was not on the lease. There is no

dispute whatever that she actually did reside

there. There is no dispute that during the term

of the tenancy Niksa fell behind in his rental

payments. So, there's no dispute here.

2.0

The term that is important here is: In

August of 2020, this lease was entered into.

Niksa fell behind. There were letters exchanged saying, "You're behind." Nothing besides

nonpayment were in the letters. This was also during the COVID moratorium on evictions for nonpayment only.

Flash forward to March 31st of 2021. What happens that day is Ms. Harris, who is Niksa's fiancee, girlfriend, who lived with him at the home, who is African-American, answered the door when somebody knocked. The person who knocked was the Respondent's son. The Respondent's son was returning light bulbs to Niksa that he did not need.

On March 31st of 2021, again, eight months later, on the day that the -- it was determined that there was an African-American woman living in this home, Mr. Pitzel then went to the home, and at that point had a discussion with Niksa. You're going to hear that discussion a little later on. That discussion included racial slurs

and threats.

The ICRC, after a duly investigated complaint, found probable -- probable and reasonable cause that three issues of discriminatory acts could have happened here.

The first one: Harris was evicted because of her race.

Now, you may remember that she is not on the lease. Indiana case law is clear that a person who is not a tenant or not on the lease can be the subject of a discriminatory act. The ALJ agreed with that part of our analysis.

However, the ALJ made a terrible mistake and found that, given the evidence -- and again, you're going to hear some of that in my rebuttal -- no, this was about other things going on, other alleged criminal acts that were going on. From August 2020 to March 2021, not a word of that was said to Niksa, not a word.

Issue two, where we also believe that the ALJ made a mistake, is that he made -- Respondent made discriminatory remarks against Harris. We are going to present evidence in our rebuttal

this is absolutely true. The Judge found that it was not severe or pervasive enough; however,

Indiana case law is clear that the totality of the circumstances must be taken into consideration.

From March 31st through April 1st, once they found out an African-American woman was living in this house, the police were called four times for events taking place at that home. No events had previously taken place, nothing.

After the African-American woman was discovered, all of these issues started to propound and propound. The ALJ got it wrong here, too.

Finally, the ALJ found that there was no hostile living environment. Again, the ALJ got it wrong. It doesn't matter that it was two days of problems. It matters that Christine Harris stated in her affidavit she was afraid of Mr. Pitzel. The events of the next two days terrified her, terrified her to the point where she is now afraid of Mr. Pitzel.

I believe my time is up, and I reserve my six minutes, I think, for rebuttal.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Correct.

MS. KIRCHOFF: Thank you.

MS. EVERTON: Good afternoon. I'm back. My name is Toni Everton. I am an attorney on behalf of Respondent, Dominic Pitzel. I understand I get two minutes. I would like to reserve a total of three minutes, please, for rebuttal. Thank you.

As the ICRC attorney stated, this is a case that was before Administrative Law Judge Triggs on summary judgment filed by -- it's cross-summary judgments filed by both parties.

Mr. Pitzel believes that ALJ Triggs got it correct. She ordered -- when she granted summary judgment in his favor and denied it to the ICRC.

Mr. Pitzel respectfully requests that the Commission affirm ALJ Triggs' order.

The ICRC correctly pointed out some undisputed facts. They did -- Mr. Pitzel and -- I will also call him Niksa, if that's okay -- Mr. Pitzel and Niksa did enter into a lease agreement in August of 2020. It's a standard lease agreement. You should have it in your

documents, and it has some very important provisions. One of them, of course, is that he was expected to pay rent.

2.0

Now, as the ICRC has pointed out, this was during the moratorium, so when Niksa did not pay rent for several months, which is not a disputed fact -- he admits he didn't pay rent for several months -- Mr. Pitzel couldn't do anything about it. A moratorium was in place.

As the ICRC pointed out, Mr. Pitzel did send letters saying, "You know, you're behind on rent, you're \$1200 behind," then the next month, which was January 2021, "You're \$2400 behind."

He kept sending letters.

The last letter was in February of 2021, and the ICRC pointed out that nowhere in that letter did it mention any other lease violations. The reason why is because the lease violations that ultimately led to Niksa's eviction from the home -- or let's say removal from the home -- happened in March of 2021, after that last letter went out. And they happened based on several lease violations that Mr. Pitzel became aware of

within a short period of time, not because of Ms. Harris' race.

2.0

It is true, it is undisputed, that on March 31st, 2021 was when Mr. Pitzel discovered that Ms. Harris was living in the house. But what is also true is that nowhere on the lease was Ms. Pitzel -- oh, sorry -- Ms. Harris listed as an occupant of the house. The lease itself says, under "Occupant," that only Niksa would be living there. So, Ms. -- she is -- was an unauthorized occupant, a violation of the lease.

March 31st, Mr. Pitzel went to the house, his house, and saw that Niksa had installed what he thought were cameras -- now, it turns out they were lights, but what he thought were cameras -- on the porch of the house, on the -- like the ceiling of the porch around the house.

Mr. -- Niksa did not ask Mr. Pitzel's permission.

It's Mr. Pitzel's house. The lease provisions specifically say no alterations can be made to the house without permission.

Another thing that happened shortly

thereafter -- I should say shortly before that -- is Mr. Pitzel had a friend who notified him of an incident where the friend alleges that Niksa removed a dump truck without his permission from him and parked it on Mr. Pitzel's property.

Mr. Pitzel saw the truck there.

So, Mr. Pitzel goes to this house. He has a tenant. He has a tenant who's not paying rent. Now he finds out he's got somebody else living there. He's put stuff on his house, outside of this house, and he is possibly involving the house in criminal activity.

All of this is going through Mr. Pitzel's mind, not the race of the unoccupied tenant, but just all of these lease violations and all of this stuff that's going on in his house, and he's like, "I've got to get this guy out of here"; right?

And so, on top of that, then, on

April 1st, Mr. Pitzel goes back to the house,

looks in his garage -- it's his garage -- window.

His \$1500 riding lawn mower is missing, and now

he's like, "Now my mower is missing out of my

garage. I didn't take it out. My son didn't take it out." And so, all of this is going on.

And so -- and on top of that, there's one more event that happens, and this is the thing that really was the icing on the cake, so to speak, sent Mr. Pitzel over the top. Mr. Pitzel finds out that Niksa has asked one of his employees -- Mr. Pitzel owns a business -- has asked one of his employees for money for the utilities.

That was the final straw. He's like, "I have a person living in my house, he's got other people living there, he's altering the house, he's stealing my lawn mower." Whether or not this is true, this is what Mr. Pitzel's thinking; right? "And now he's asking my employees for money, getting my employees involved in this."

So, he was angry. He -- and we're not going to deny he was angry. You'll hear that. I believe the ICRC's going to play a recording for you, if she can get it to work, and you'll hear in the recording Mr. Pitzel's angry.

So, when he asked Niksa to leave the

house, it wasn't because he had just learned that a black woman was living there. It was because he learned of all of these things that were going on, all of these lease violations, all within a short period of time.

The ALJ correctly found that that was the situation, specifically when you look at the house -- the race discrimination in the housing. The ICRC's version of it was -- this is really just speculation. They don't have any proof that Mr. Pitzel was basing his decision strictly on Ms. Harris' race.

They're making that speculation, and you -- and under Indiana law, speculation can't defeat summary judgment. So, ALJ Triggs correctly held that they can't defeat that with just their speculation. Their speculation is, "Well, he evicted Mr. -- he evicted Niksa because -- strictly because Mrs. -- Ms. Harris is black."

In reality, the evidence shows -- the undisputed evidence shows Niksa hadn't paid rent for several months, Niksa had put stuff on the

hou -- on Mr. Pitzel's house, the lawn mower was missing. You know, all of these other lease violations are undisputed. So, the ALJ correctly held in favor of Mr. Pitzel on the housing discrimination.

2.0

As far as the discriminatory remarks, you're going to hear them. There's two. We're not denying that Mr. Pitzel made them.

Mr. Pitzel's not denying that he made them.

Mr. Pitzel regrets making them, but he was, as I just said, very angry during this encounter.

And when you look at the comments, you really -- and when you hear them -- you really need to, as the ICRC attorney said, look at everything as a whole. Look at the context they're being made in. This isn't -- the beginning of the conversation starts out with Mr. Pitzel yelling at Niksa about asking his employee for money. It doesn't start out about Ms. Harris. It starts out about, "Why are you asking my guy for money?" So, you have to really look at them as a whole.

The other thing is: These were not --

these comments were not directed at Ms. Harris.

This is a landlord yelling at his tenant about lease violations, including an unauthorized occupant. This is not a landlord saying, "You have to get out because you have a black person living in my house." This is a landlord who's initially angry about a bunch of lease violations and him asking his employee for money.

environment goes, the acts weren't race based.

Ms. Harris -- the ICRC said Ms. Harris was scared because of his acts. Let's talk about his acts.

Let's talk about his conduct. He broke lights down off his own house. He forced his way into his own garage because he didn't see his lawn mower. He wrote a note on an oar. None of that was directly aimed as Ms. Harris, and more importantly, none of that was race based. It was, again, a landlord mad at his tenant, not a landlord mad at Ms. Harris because she was black.

So, thank you all. I'll save the rest for rebuttal.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. That

```
1
     concludes your time.
 2
                 MS. KIRCHOFF: Are we ready to tee up
 3
     the video?
 4
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: Audio.
 5
                 MS. KIRCHOFF: Or audio; I'm sorry.
 6
    Okay.
           Got it.
 7
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Would you like
    the audio at the beginning of your time, or at --
8
9
                 MS. KIRCHOFF: Yes, yes, I think
10
     that's the best way to approach -- I'll start
11
    with the rebuttal at this point, and I'm going to
12
     frame it or bookend it. Let's let the Respondent
13
    speak for himself and then go through some of the
14
    points that opposing counsel brought up during
15
    her argument.
16
            Are we ready?
17
                       (Audio played.)
18
                 MS. KIRCHOFF: That's the end of the
19
     recording.
20
            First, to hit a couple of points,
21
    Commissioners, the reason that the money for the
22
    utilities -- or discussion with the employee for
23
    money -- was because, although Niksa rented this
```

entire home, Mr. Pitzel had moved an employee into the basement, and there was one electric meter, and Mr. -- Niksa testified to that.

That's why the communication between the employee and Niksa took place. That's the first and foremost about that.

Also, in his testimony, Mr. Pitzel, in his deposition, stated that, you know, about halfway through the tenancy -- that would have been four months, August, September, October, November time frame -- halfway through the tenancy he saw a truck in the driveway that he thought was stolen. Did he take any actions then, thinking "criminal activity"? No, he did not.

Nothing in that recording talks about late payment. What happened after Mr. Pitzel found out that an African-American woman was living in the home, he went and took an oar out of the vehicle that Ms. Harris drives, an oar for a kayak, and wrote on the oar, "You need to get out by tomorrow." Remember that he doesn't do paper evictions. He takes it to the next level. So, he did.

Then he went and knocked down outside lights that he said were cameras. They weren't. They were outside lights. That's what Niksa testified to, and Mr. Pitzel could not produce the cameras that he knocked down. So, all of this takes place in the period of 48 hours after learning that an African-American is living in this home.

The recording is very clear. With a blanket apology to the Commissioners for the language I'm going to have to use, Mr. Pitzel himself said those Croatian words, "fucking black whore." "Fucking black whore." Inappropriate, not acceptable in this day and age. Niksa, in his deposition testimony, actually added more to that definition, and that also included a word that I do not ever repeat, but it starts with an "N."

Oh, I'm sorry; I thought somebody said "time."

That's not acceptable. It's not appropriate. I don't care how angry a person is, you do not go there. All of these alleged

criminal activity allegations took place after he found out that Ms. Christine Harris was in the home. Again, we are asking you to modify this order and find summary judgment in favor of the Complainant in the public interest of the aggrieved person.

I see my time's up. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:MS.EVERTON:} \mbox{I have three minutes?}$ Thank you.

So, you heard the recording. You heard some unfortunate words. You heard how angry Mr. Pitzel was. The recording starts out with him saying, "Don't call my guy," and so, this is an angry man. You heard the "f" word. I'm not even going to say it. You heard the "f" word a lot. This is clearly an angry man. And who is he talking to? Is he talking to Ms. Harris? No. He's talking to Niksa. He's not saying any of this to Ms. Harris. He's saying all of this to his tenant, who he is mad at.

We need to look at the comments that were made, the two comments; okay? He called

Ms. Harris a black whore, and then I also -- I'm sorry; I have to repeat that. What's the offensive part of that? It's the "whore" part; right? This isn't a gender case, though, and "whore" is a racially neutral word. The offensive part is "whore," not "black." She is black, but the fact that he called her a whore was the offensive part. We're not condoning what he did. He's not proud of what he did. He was angry, it came out.

Here's another key thing: It came out in a language that Ms. Harris doesn't even understand. He didn't say it to her face. He didn't say it in English. He said it in Croatian. She doesn't understand it. She had to have Niksa interpret it.

And although the ICRC just said that the second phrase, or one of the phrases, somehow ended up with the "N" word in it, that was not ever in the briefing, and if you read the transcript, which the ICRC submitted, it's not in the transcript anywhere. Niksa supposedly translated or somebody at the ICRC translated

this for us. The second words are "black woman." Nowhere in the transcript is the "N" word.

And so -- and again, this is an angry man.

Look at the comments. And if you listen to the recording, at one point Mr. Pitzel even says,

"Bye." You know, I don't know if you caught that, but at one point after he said the first word, he said, "Bye."

And the reason he even thought maybe she was a whore -- and I don't mean to keep pounding on this, I don't want to spend all of my rebuttal on it -- is Mr. Pitzel is a big family man. His dad died in -- on Wednesday of this past week. His ninety-some-year-old dad had been living with him the entire time he was ill. His grandson lives with him. He's a big family man. He knew Niksa was married. He knew Niksa had a son. He thought that Ms. Harris was Niksa's girlfriend, and that added to his concern, not her race, but her conduct and Niksa's conduct.

So, there's a lot more I'd like to say, but I'm running out of time, so Mr. Pitzel just respectfully asks that you affirm the ALJ's

order. 1 2 Thank you. 3 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you. 4 Are there questions from Commissioners? VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: 5 6 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. 7 No questions from you? COMM. TOLLIVER: There was a comment 8 9 about an Albanian. Why was that not part of the 10 summary judgment motion? 11 MS. KIRCHOFF: Because the -- that 12 comment regarding nationality discrimination was 13 made towards Niksa, who's not party or an 14 aggrieved person in this case. In his testimony, 15 Mr. Pitzel stated when -- I asked him, "What does that mean?" And he said, "Well, Albanians are 16 17 Muslim. Croatians are Catholic." So, he's 18 actually making -- and I'm very clear with you on 19 what that national origin discrimination was 20 about. 21 But I must make a point of order. designated evidence, Exhibit H is the deposition 22

of Niksa. He stated numerous times in that

23

```
1
    deposition what that word meant -- those words
 2
    meant, utilizing the "N" word. So, it is part of
 3
    the record in this case. It is in his
 4
    deposition, which is part of our designated
 5
    evidence.
 6
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Okay. That's all of
 7
    my questions.
8
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                     Okay.
9
            Does anyone have a motion?
10
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I -- I motion that
11
    we remand -- or actually, I want to make sure I
12
    have the right options here. Yeah, that we
13
    remand this for modification of summary judgment
14
     in favor of Complainant.
15
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Second?
16
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: Second.
17
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. All in
    favor?
18
19
           (Commission members responded, "Aye.")
20
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Any opposed?
21
                       (No response.)
22
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: We're all here?
23
     Thank you.
```

1 MS. KIRCHOFF: Thank you. 2 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: The next case we 3 have is ICRC/Ann Marie Leichentritt versus 4 Meritus Housing LLC, Yes Communities, and McAvon 5 Lakes Property, LLC, Case HOha23100762. 6 Administrative Law Judge in this matter has 7 issued an order of default against the Complainant and the matter is dismissed. 8 9 objection period in this is closed. 10 Do we need to affirm this? 11 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: (Nodded yes.) 12 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. Thank you. 13 Is there a motion to affirm? 14 COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved. 15 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a 16 second? 17 COMM. SILBERBERG: Second. 18 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: All in favor? 19 (Commission members responded, "Aye.") 2.0 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Any opposed? 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: The next case, 23 Gerald Rowe versus the Health and Hospital

Corporation of Marion County D/B/A Eskenazi
Health, Case PAha22010026. This case was -- the
Administrative Law Judge in this matter has
determined the Complainant's Motion for Partial
Summary Judgment as to liability is denied, that
the Complainant's Motion to Strike is denied, and
that the Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment
is granted, with the Complainant -- with the
complaint of the Complainant being hereby
dismissed with prejudice.

2.0

Complainant's attorneys have filed an objection to the Complainant's objection. The objection period in this matter has closed, with both sides having filed objections to the matter. I believe we have people here for oral argument today.

Thank you so much for your patience in getting to this point in our hearing.

MS. CIOBANU: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Do you need your instructions again?

MS. CIOBANU: No, I'm good, but I would like to reserve four minutes for rebuttal.

```
1
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Four minutes
 2
     total, or --
 3
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER:
                                      Yes.
 4
                 MS. CIOBANU: For minutes for -- yes,
 5
    total.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                      Okay.
 7
                 MS. CIOBANU: Hello again.
                                             My name
8
     is Andrea Ciobanu, on behalf of Complainant
9
    Gerald Rowe.
10
            In this case, this a deaf individual, and
11
    parties do stipulate that he is deaf.
                                             That is a
12
    disability. He went to Eskenazi on -- it was an
13
    emergency matter. He was there for 12 hours.
14
    They used what's called a VRI, or a video remote
15
     interpretation.
            We would concede that normally, under some
16
17
     circumstances, so long as it's working, a VRI can
18
    be an auxiliary aid or an accommodation.
19
    However, and -- here -- and they don't dispute
20
    this -- it wasn't working.
                                 They had an outage,
21
    and it wasn't working. So, he was there for 12
22
    hours, and what they said is they passed notes.
23
            The finding from the ALJ, which we've --
```

we respectfully disagree, is that passing notes is sufficient. Passing notes is not a proper auxiliary aid, especially in such an issue of an emergency room, 12 hours, and an emergency situation. There are emergency interpreters, that's why we have them, and having notes is not a proper accommodation.

2.0

When we're talking about things such as medical issues, legal issues, that's why there are standards in Indiana, that you have to be a qualified interpreter for medical issues or a qualified interpreter for legal issues. If we didn't have those standards, what would be the purpose of an interpreter? We would just simply pass notes back and forth.

There were -- there was -- basically what they tried to submit as well, he testified in his deposition he goes to the gas station and he might write something down. That is not what we're talking about here. We're not talking about going to the gas station and writing down, "Coke," or writing down, "I want to pay my gas bill." We're talking about an emergency room,

that he was there for 12 hours.

2.0

And what's even worse about this case is that Complainant had already settled something with them before with -- through the Disability Commission, and there was already a settlement in place, and his -- and his case or his medical file was earmarked that he absolutely needed an interpreter.

I want to explain a little bit about sign language. I am fluent in sign language, so I get a lot of those types of cases. It's important to understand that sign language is its own language. It has its own idiomatic expressions. It's not a word-for-word translation of English.

So, that would be equivalent to us saying,
"Well, they're Spanish, they're Arabian, they're
Japanese. Let's just write down an English
letter or an English word." It doesn't work that
way. And it's very embarrassing to a deaf
person. Sometimes they might nod their head.
Sometimes they might say they understand because
it's embarrassing to a deaf person.

We also have to understand there's

different levels of education with a deaf individual. In his deposition, he couldn't get through college. It was too hard for him. He couldn't do it. The English was too difficult. He needed ASL. And he went through a school that was ASL.

She touches on that and says, "Well, he graduated from the deaf school." It was in sign language. It's a sign language school. That doesn't mean that he understands very complicated medical notes.

I also want to explain something to you, that this is a protection for the hospital, for the doctors, it's a protection for the client and for the patients. I've had clients before where there were -- there were not interpreters, and there was a terminal illness. It was not conveyed correctly to the deaf individual and the deaf individual died. That turns into a medical malpractice case.

It shouldn't rise to that level. And we notice in their motion for summary judgment, this is what they argue. They have their own

doctors -- and that's what our motion to strike was on -- talk about the standard of care, and that nothing would change and they would have proceeded in the same manner.

2.0

We're not talking about right now a medical malpractice case. We're talking about an ADA compliance case, and it's about what the -- what the client knew or understood. It's not about their own people serving as their own expert witnesses and would they have served him any differently, because the ADA violation is just that, not proper accommodations.

And now this is said in a carte blanche order, pretty much, to say, "Oh, you don't have to use VRI." Well, this order says, "You can just write notes." That is not compliant with ADA, that is not -- that is really very dangerous for very serious things, and we cannot compare this to a situation where he's paying a gas bill.

And it's also unreasonable to say, "I want a VRI" every single time he goes to pay a gas bill. That's not what he's asking here. He's asking here, where they already knew, that it was

on notice, his file was earmarked that he needs to have an interpreter because he doesn't understand. And this is going -- this order will set it up to say, "The hospital says, it's not by ICRC, the judge signed, you can just simply write notes."

In our objection we filed, we sent some -we mentioned a case where that was found and it
was reversed on the federal level. The Federal
Court said, "You can't do this. It's a complex
case. You can't simply say writing notes back
and forth is an auxiliary aid."

What they need to do is get something in place. Yes, they can use their VRI in most circumstances, but it does have to work. And if it doesn't, you have to call emergency services, and you have to call emergency interpreting services. They had 12 hours. They certainly could have done it.

And then the argument here is, "Well, he understood. He understood there would be no change." This is a summary judgment. First of all, he argues that he didn't. He argues that in

his deposition. He said, "I didn't know what was going on. That's why this is messed up." And again, you have to remember, a deaf person might just be nodding their head out of embarrassment. They might say, "Yes," but do we know what they really understand?

The issue here, then, is, "Well, it can't be decided on summary judgment." We believe it should be decided on summary judgment. That's why we did a partial as to -- in Complainant's favor, because they simply didn't provide an auxiliary aid. The VRI was not working, and they concede on that issue. There has to be something in place, because something much worse can happen.

So, that's why we think it should be in his favor as a partial summary judgment, and the reason why it was partial is because we can't determine damages. However, if you all determine there's a factual dispute, it goes to: What did he understand and what he didn't understand.

He didn't understand, and that was his testimony. That was his testimony in his

affidavit, that was his testimony in his deposition, and he said, "This is why it's all messed up. This isn't what I -- this isn't what I said, this isn't what I understood, and that's because I didn't have an interpreter."

And simply -- we cannot say a big hospital like Eskenazi can just simply say, "We're going to write notes back and forth with nurses and with doctors in the emergency room." We understand if it's a short period of time, but there were no efforts at all. Once they knew it wasn't working, there were no efforts at all to get in emergency interpreting services. There needs to be something in place if the VRI fails. They need to get a qualified interpreter.

And we look at, again, Indiana's rules for a qualified interpreter. Why do we have qualifications for medical interpreters? Because there's a level of expertise in interpreting, but not just any type of interpreting. There is medical interpreting and there's legal interpreting, and if they're not properly qualified, then they can't interpret.

And then we're going to put that on the deaf person, who maybe doesn't know English very well, may not be very educated, to understand complex legal or medical terms? We're talking about his medical condition. He could have taken the wrong medication, he could have done anything -- number of reasons wrong.

However, their affidavit, again, as to the medical -- the medical treatment would be different. It's irrelevant as to an ADA law. An ADA law is either they complied or didn't comply. And here, they simply didn't comply. So, we're asking that you reverse the motion for summary judgment and find in Complainant's favor.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: And you're the first time up for today, so you have ten minutes here, and you have two minutes at rebuttal, and you may reserve time if that's what you'd like. You can inform us so we can hold that time back if you'd like it.

MR. POCKRASS: Thank you, Your Honor.

I would like to reserve an additional three

minutes, so it would be five minutes total, please.

2.0

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Okay.

MR. POCKRASS: Thank you.

May it please the Commission, my name is Steve Pockrass. I'm am attorney representing the Respondent, Eskenazi Health.

Eskenazi Health respectfully requests that the Commission affirm the recommended order issued by Judge Triggs in this case. Contrary to the assertions made by Plaintiff's attorney, Judge Triggs properly applied the law and the facts in granting summary judgment as to Eskenazi Health.

Mr. Rowe is deaf. The issue here is whether Eskenazi Health provided appropriate auxiliary aids and services to Mr. Rowe when he was admitted to the Emergency Department shortly after midnight on September 9th, 2021.

Counsel didn't talk about the facts that led to his emergency room visit, which is that he had ingested 20 THC Yummies, and he communicated with the paramedics by notes, he went to the

hospital, and I'll walk through with you both the affidavit testimony of Dr. Reyes, which shows the extensive efforts that she made to ensure that there were effective communications.

2.0

Mr. Rowe's attorney also did not mention the fact that the reason that the VRI system was not working was because there had been a cyber attack on Eskenazi Health's systems, and as a result, they had to take down all Internet-based services.

So, this is a very unique situation. It's not a situation where VRI is constantly not working, where there's some other problems, a very unusual situation, and you'll see the declaration testimony of Jeff Martz walking through exactly what was happening and why they couldn't use those Internet -- any Internet-based services at that point in time.

The undisputed facts show that on numerous occasions, Mr. Rowe in fact does use things other than ASL interpreters, not just for simple things, but all sorts of stuff. He's got his -- an app that he uses.

There have been times at Eskenazi Health when they've offered him ASL interpretation, and he has said no. There was -- once that system went back up, he went in for a colonoscopy. They actually provided him with three ASL interpreters.

2.0

What the law says, and what the Indiana
Civil Rights Law says, is that you look at
reasonable means. Did the entity use reasonable
means in terms of the interpretation? And so,
again, what the law says is that you don't have
to use the preferred method of communication, but
you need to consider it, which the undisputed
evidence shows that they did. But the standard
is whether the use of another auxiliary aid, in
which this case, the exchange of notes between
Dr. Reyes and Mr. Rhodes -- Rowe -- was that an
effective aid?

If you look at the record evidence in this case, there can be no dispute, and Mr. Rowe, you know, essentially what he said was he was -- he doesn't remember half of what happened. He was sleeping most of the time when he was at -- when

he was at the hospital for those 12 hours.

2.0

And there was a communication when Dr. Reyes tried to communicate with him. She say in the -- in his medical record that it did say ASL is required. She went to get the VRI, but when that was not working, it was Mr. Rowe who actually gestured to her to start using notes, and she provided him with a pen and paper, and they communicated with each other with regard to those notes.

If we take a look at the affidavit of

Dr. Reyes, which is Exhibit F, she walks through

all of the efforts that she made to work with

Mr. Rowe, and I think one of the things that

struck me as I was sitting here is that this is a

case and a situation where Eskenazi Health and

Dr. Reyes bent over backward to try to do the

right thing to help Mr. Rowe.

In these other cases that you heard -- I know there's some dispute about how did somebody get harmed? And here, the entire effort was to help. So, Dr. Reyes, in her affidavit, yes, it was a 12-hour visit. She says, "I immediately

noticed that Mr. Rowe did not communicate verbally and attempted to use ASL."

Moreover, Mr. Rowe's medical record from prior visits to Eskenazi Health stated he needed ASL interpretation services. "I promptly brought the VRI unit to Mr. Rowe's patient room to enable live ASL interpretative -- interpretation services through video chat."

She then says, "However, during this time, Eskenazi Health's entire network, including e-mail and Internet access, had been disabled due to a cyber attack. Thus, the VRI unit could not connect to the network, and the ASL service was rendered unavailable due to lack of Internet access. I documented this in Mr. Rowe's medical record for his September 19th -- 9th, 2021 encounter."

Mr. Rowe in fact -- he said he saw that they were trying to use the VRI, and could tell that it wasn't working. He said that he was so dizzy and his eyes were crossed and things like that, he couldn't even say for sure whether a VRI would have been effective for him in that

situation.

2.0

An in-person interpreter -- going to this whole issue of emergency interpreter; okay? It's shortly after midnight when Mr. Rowe gets there. An in-person ASL interpreter from Easterseals Crossroads was not a viable option due to the urgent nature of the visit and the time of day.

"Easterseals Crossroads' in-person ASL interpreters are best suited for scheduled healthcare visits when 24 to 48 hours' notice can be provided. When Mr. Rowe saw that the VRI unit was not working, Mr. Rowe made a hand gesture to me signaling that he wanted to communicate via handwritten notes. I immediately provided Mr. Rowe with pen and paper."

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Time.

MR. POCKRASS: Pardon?

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Time.

MS. POCKRASS: Thank you.

MS. CIOBANU: Commissioners, writing is not a preferred method. It simply is not an auxiliary -- it's not accepted to be an auxiliary aid.

Also, on page six of our objection, the ADA requires if it uses VRI services, then it shall ensure that it's high-quality video images that don't produce lags, choppy, blurry or grainy images, like it specifically delineates it, and I have this citation there. If you're going to use it, that's fine.

They simply didn't even call. There are other emergency services, Luna and so many others. They actually didn't even try to call. And then they talk about, "Well, he had a note." His note said, "Need an interpreter." That's what he wrote down on the piece of paper, "Need interpreter." And it's on his -- it's on his -- in his -- it's Flack, FYI Flack, electronic medical record, indicating the Complainant is deaf and requires American Sign Language interpreting.

So, he can file a contract violation. I mean they have violated his contract, an earlier agreement, but he really shouldn't have to go that far. He was going through the ICRC. Why should any deaf or disabled person go through

this much work just to say, "I need an auxiliary aid. I want you to follow the ADA"? I mean he could continue, but we're really hoping that it will just be over after we get this done, because he could continue to pursue.

Counsel brought out about the reason why he was there, because it's irrelevant, but with the 20 -- the 20 gummies, to actually look at his testimony, the testimony was because there was confusion, he didn't understand. He got confused. He didn't understand they were THC gummies, he just thought they were gummies.

And that's what he testified. It was someone at the gas station, and that's where they got, "Well -- oh, well, you're writing back and forth." And that's what happens. I mean that's what happens when he didn't understand. He said, "I didn't know these were THC gummies. I just thought they were gummies, like a candy," and that's what made him sick.

But again, do we say, "Oh, well, that's a proper accommodation"? I mean it's just not, and that's what happens. And it doesn't matter what

he's there for. The requirement is ADA.

Whether -- for whatever reason, it doesn't
matter.

And the VRI, it doesn't mention why it wasn't working. Well, again, it's irrelevant. If it's not working, we've got to have a backup plan. And that's another reason why the hospital has to have a backup plan, to say -- this could happen a lot. There's a lot of reasons why the VRI -- it's great when it works, but the ADA requires that things work. So, if it doesn't, we've got to have a backup plan. There are some hospitals that have it on staff, there's other emergency services. We don't really care what it is, it just needs to be a plan.

And the fact that they knew it was on record that he needed it, and he went through a lot before to get that agreement through that other agency, because he has a medical excuse, because he really doesn't understand, and he really does need to be able to communicate effectively. But again, this is just the law. I mean it's simply the law. They've got to comply

with that.

And they have not said anything about finances or they couldn't afford it. This is more expensive to do this. It's better to just have a system in place, and then it follows for every deaf, disabled person thereafter. Even for other languages there should be something in place, but again, we have a higher, heightened standard, because we have the ADA requirements.

And there actually was no effort on their part. They didn't even call. That was -- that's the other thing, they didn't even call. And the fact that they don't see that they need to make that call, they need to make that step, is very problematic.

And the fact that they say, "Oh, because he wrote a note, 'Need an interpreter,' oh, he can communicate, and that's how were going to communicate" is equally problematic. Just because he writes out a simple word, "yes," "no," or "need interpreter," he understands those simple words, but not a complex medical diagnosis or what he needs to do, or to follow that.

So, it's as simple as that, and we're just asking that you reverse this or remand it back, and fully consider it.

Thank you.

MR. POCKRASS: The question of whether VRI -- we have over 300 VRI units at Eskenazi Health. It's not as though Eskenazi Health is not trying to make sure that services -- or to prevent services from being provided.

When you look at the case law, which is being oversimplified by Mr. Rowe's counsel, the question is whether you used reasonable means, and the case law says that sometimes written notes are and sometimes they're not, and you've got to look at the entire situation.

The case law also says that if your VRI is -- if you have this long series or history of your VRI not working and cutting out and things like that, then it can be a violation of the ADA. But in this situation, again, when we look at it, Dr. Reyes communicated with Mr. Rowe. He

indicated to her that he understood what was going on.

She put together a plan for him; okay?

It's 12 hours. If you're looking at a situation where you've got somebody who's got a surgery scheduled or something like that that is more complex, you know, like the colonoscopy, where they got him three ASL interpreters, that's the type of situation where notes may not be effective.

But here, you had what was an emergent situation. The guy indicated that he ingested gummies. The reason -- and they're THC gummies. And the reason that I point that out is because he -- in his testimony, he's saying he doesn't remember much of anything that was going on. He would sleep, he'd wake up, he'd giggle, he'd go back to sleep.

And the care plan was to monitor him, make sure that his vitals were okay, and ensure that, you know, there were not any complications or other issues, which there were not. And so, you do have to look at the facts and circumstances,

and one of those facts that you look at is: Is this a planned medical treatment? Is this an emergent situation? They weren't performing any surgery on him, they weren't doing anything like that. They were monitoring him to make sure that those gummies metabolized.

2.0

Dr. Reyes indicated that there was not an option to try to get an in-person interpreter right away, at midnight on that evening, and she used effective methods to communicate with Mr. Rowe.

And so, again, if you look at the Judge's order, she followed the facts closely. The fact that Mr. Rowe's attorney may not like the evidence that was presented because it is fatal to her case does not support a motion to strike.

When we moved for summary judgment, we moved as to both liability and to damages, and so, I would also note that Judge Triggs only ruled on the issue of liability. She found that there was no liability. And accordingly, she didn't have to also address that issue of damages, but we would win on that issue as well.

```
1
            So, with that, I would respectfully
 2
    request that you affirm Judge Triggs' order based
 3
    upon the facts that are in the record, the
 4
    designated evidence, and we appreciate your
 5
    consideration.
 6
            Thank you.
 7
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
            So, that concludes the oral arguments.
8
9
    Are there any questions?
10
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: I have one
11
    technical --
12
                (Discussion off the record.)
13
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: What's the
14
    question?
15
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: I have a family
16
    member who works for Eskenazi Health. Should I
17
    be recusing? I won't ask my questions if I'm not
18
    supposed to be --
19
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: I don't think --
20
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: It doesn't matter?
21
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: No, I don't
22
     think --
23
                 COMM. SILBERBERG:
                                     Okay.
```

```
1
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: It's not a
 2
    personal --
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Do they live at
 4
    your house?
 5
                 COMM. SILBERBERG:
                                    No.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
 7
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: So, I figured -- I
    just didn't want it to be --
8
9
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: I think
10
    disclosing it, and then --
11
                 COMM. SILBERBERG:
                                    Yeah.
12
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: -- if either of
13
    the parties want to --
14
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: Okay. Because I
15
    do have a question, then.
            So, are you -- were you saying that
16
17
    because that something needs 20 -- has to give a
18
    24-to-48-hour notice in order to get the
19
    accommodations that they need to follow ADA -- I
20
    was confused. You mentioned 24 to 48 hours, and
21
    needing enough time, and I'm confused by that.
                MR. POCKRASS: Sure. Let me respond
22
23
     to that. So, Dr. Reyes had to make a decision
```

about what to do once she realized that the VRI wasn't working, and she knew that she needed to address Mr. Rowe's condition right away. If you use Easterseals Crossroads, it usually takes 24 to 48 hours to get that scheduled, and so, you don't have the ability in that type of a situation -- what would -- was she going to wait until the people from Easterseals Crossroads came out? It's not a viable option.

And so, she made the decision, as a medical provider, as she saw that she was communicating back and forth with Mr. Rowe, he understood the treatment plan, that, you know, she knew that she'd be able to get him out of there, and I don't know that she knew it'd be exactly 12 hours, but by the time they could actually get somebody from Easterseals Crossroads, it would be a situation where he likely would have been discharged anyway.

COMM. SILBERBERG: But is that the case for anybody who comes in with a disability, that they can't get the --

MR. POCKRASS: No, no.

2.0

1 COMM. SILBERBERG: -- any of the 2 things that they need --3 MR. POCKRASS: No, no. 4 COMM. SILBERBERG: -- for 24 to 48 5 hours? 6 MR. POCKRASS: Absolutely not. 7 was a very -- it was a unique situation because of the fact that the VRI was not working. 8 9 Normally -- and again, they've got 300-plus of 10 these machines. The Internet is working now. 11 They're able to pull that up right away, make use 12 of it. You know, again, this was a very unusual, 13 unique situation, and they had to do the best 14 that they could in those circumstances. 15 So -- and again, if you look at -- with 16 other option -- or other things where he has come 17 in, they've been able to get him VRI right away, 18 and they get that for everybody right away. 19 know, it was just that one incident where they 2.0 had to take down the Internet and otherwise risk 21 greater issues as a result of the cyber attack. 22 And that's all in the declaration of Jeff Martz 23 that explains the specifics of that unusual

situation.

COMM. SILBERBERG: So, I guess my other question is not for you, but -- so, if we rule based on this, are we setting precedent, then, for any circumstance?

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: You're making a ruling in this particular matter --

COMM. SILBERBERG: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ FLEISCHHACKER: -- based on the fact pattern here, so --

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Yes.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I have a question.

My background isn't technology, and there always has to be a number of processes in cases like this. The good news is this was not a critical life-death situation, but it could have been, and you have to have some means to be able to communicate as best you can with an individual.

And I know the state have -- they have phone numbers that you can call with people, they have a list of names that are out there that you can reach for that, and there has to be a backup system at Eskenazi for just this purpose. So,

```
1
     I'm challenged by what happened in this
 2
    particular case.
 3
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: How often is the VRI
 4
     system used?
 5
                 MR. POCKRASS: Now it's -- I mean
 6
    it's used all of the time, and it's -- it's
 7
    working fine now.
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: What does that mean?
8
9
                 MR. POCKRASS: It -- well, that in
10
     other words -- so, the VRI is a virtual remote
11
     interpretation service, and so, it's an
12
     Internet-based service. And I think in fact you
13
    guys have changed your VRI provider now.
14
                 MS. KIRKMAN: We have, uh-huh.
15
                 MR. POCKRASS: So, there's a new, and
16
     I would say better, provider of VRI services
17
    right now, and so, people are able to, again,
18
    anywhere in the hospital, in the health -- in the
19
    entire health network, they are able -- you know,
20
    if they come in, if they need ASL, they can get
21
    that.
22
            And then in addition, if you need or want
23
     in-person and you can schedule that in advance,
```

you've got that option as well. But certainly, again, this was a very unusual circumstance.

And, you know, again, going to the IT issue, because it was a cyber attack and they had to go through -- I mean their initial -- initially they -- I mean they had to shut down their entire system. And so, the protocol was to get everything internal done first, and then go to the external. And so, now, again, VRI is up, people can use it all of the time, there's no -- there's no time gap in terms of being able to use the VRI.

COMM. TOLLIVER: Is it used daily?

MR. POCKRASS: Pardon me?

COMM. TOLLIVER: Is it used daily?

MS. KIRKMAN: Yes --

MR. POCKRASS: Oh, yeah, yeah.

MS. KIRKMAN: -- hundreds of

thousands of minutes a year, because it is on demand for all kinds of different languages, and ASL. So, it's a -- it's like Face time, if you can picture that, and it's happened to people who speak hundreds of different languages --

```
1
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: And it was down for
 2
    six weeks?
 3
                 MS. KIRKMAN: -- including ASL.
 4
     it was -- it was -- when we did not have an
 5
    Internet connection during that time, there was a
 6
    separate process to use.
 7
                 THE REPORTER: Would you identify
8
    yourself, please?
9
                 MS. KIRKMAN: Anna Kirkman, Eskenazi
10
    Health, Kirkman.
11
                 COMM. SILBERBERG:
                                    So, if the
12
     Internet goes down again, then will this recur,
13
    where there won't be a backup plan?
14
                 MS. KIRKMAN: I --
15
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: Because there's
16
    been -- there have been so many cyber attacks on
17
    hospitals.
18
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: With AI as well.
19
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: Yeah.
20
                 MR. POCKRASS: You know, I -- I mean
21
    I don't know whether you can speak directly to
22
    what the current contingency plan is. I don't
23
     feel like I -- you know, I don't feel like I have
```

personal knowledge, that I can tell you what the current contingency plan is for --

2.0

MS. KIRKMAN: There are additional layers of backup ASL services now, so something that is more immediate than what Easterseals has historically been able to provide. I'd have to look at our policy to give you that turnaround time exactly, but we do have 300 VRI machines on the hospital campus, hundreds of thousands of interpreter service minutes annually. It's a very effective system.

COMM. SILBERBERG: But only if there's Internet.

MS. KIRKMAN: Yes.

MS. CIOBANU: And may I say that further, their argu -- their whole argument was that notes are sufficient, if VRI doesn't work, notes are sufficient.

MR. POCKRASS: That's not what our argument was. Our argument was that in this specific case -- and again, that's what the case law says is you look at the facts to examine whether notes are sufficient. The law says --

the federal regulations say that notes can be a sufficient form of communication, and that it depends on the facts and circumstances, and that while you take into consideration the requested accommodation, that there are points where it doesn't -- there is no per se rule that ASL is required in every case.

2.0

Again, her client at times has turned down ASL while being treated at Eskenazi Health, and in the facts and circumstances of this case, where they needed to monitor his condition, the doctor looked, she saw that it was in his chart. There was no breach of contract, and a breach of contract doesn't constitute discrimination. It's their choice that they filed this as a discrimination case and not as a breach of contract case.

But the fact is that she looked, she saw that the system was not working. He then indicated to her -- he gestured to her that he wanted to use notes. They used notes. Everything that she saw indicated to her that he was understanding what the treatment plan was,

```
1
     and that in fact he -- he -- they followed the
 2
    treatment plan, and, you know, it wasn't -- it
 3
    was a situation where she did exactly the same
 4
    things that she would have done -- and again, he
 5
    couldn't indicate whether he'd even be able to
 6
    view the VRI under -- you know, he didn't
 7
    remember much of anything that was going on.
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you for
8
9
    responding to the question.
10
                 MS. KIRKMAN: May I clarify?
11
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Did you have a
12
    brief addition?
13
                 MS. KIRKMAN: Yes, yes.
                                           So, I did
14
     just clarify that we now have LTE internal
15
     language ASL access as well, which does not --
16
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                      This is --
17
                 MS. KIRKMAN: -- rely on wifi, so
18
    that is an added layer.
19
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: They could have
20
    just tested it.
21
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                    Okay.
22
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS:
                                     I mean the reality
23
     is you do have to test and retest the stuff to
```

make sure it works. And again, we're in a fortunate situation that it was not a critical situation, but you indicated that the person is not capable and has been sleeping, yet you're saying that he's approved the notes, to me, is a conflict.

COMM. TOLLIVER: I guess that's the qualifier you have, and this new --

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: No, I was going to say --

COMM. TOLLIVER: Is this -- what is -- do you agree that that's what the law is? So, is the law that there has to be a reasonable procedure in place, or does it have to be -- the law has to ensure that your client gets the care that he needs at that particular time?

MS. CIOBANU: Well, it's actually both, but the law is very narrow on when notes can be used. It's not for complex legal or medical issues, and that's what the case was that we cited. It's like when you're at a gas station, when you're at those certain places where it's real quick.

And the other issue is: It's not the doctor's understanding, it's his. I mean this is summary judgment. She doesn't know what he understood. His testimony was, "I didn't understand, and that's not what I said," when he was being asked. So --

2.0

MR. POCKRASS: And I -- I mean I -- the case law indicates that you look at all of the factors, you know. And to talk about this being -- and this was an emergent situation. It wasn't necessarily a complex situation. It was one where you're going to watch and make sure that the THC metabolizes and the person's vitals are okay and things like that.

And so, it's not as though -- again, I go to that colonoscopy example, where you're scheduling something that's a more complex medical procedure. She was responding to an issue that was emergent, and it was one where they wouldn't have been able to get an in-person interpreter right away.

And if you look at the case law and the facts and look at things like the Juech case and

some of the others that have been cited, they show that in fact you look at the specific facts, and in this case -- and it -- you do look at whether or not it appears that there are -- the communications are okay.

2.0

And so, when the doctor saw that -- again, that Mr. Rowe -- and that Mr. Rowe appeared to be understanding things -- and again, when I was talking about his testimony, I'm talking about his deposition testimony, where he's telling us now that he can't remember what happened when he was at the hospital, but her testimony shows that in fact everything that she saw indicated that he understood the plan.

And when you look at the case law, it talks about the fact that, you know, if, for example, either -- the doctor maybe starts seeing that the person is having a hard time communicating with you and doesn't appear to be understanding, then that's a situation where you need to make sure that you get an ASL interpreter. She tried, she was unable to do that. She then took the next steps. She went

```
1
     out of her way to try to make sure that he was
 2
     okay, and in fact, she treated him appropriately.
 3
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                    Thank you.
                (Discussion off the record.)
 4
 5
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Do any of the
 6
    Commissioners have a motion?
 7
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Chair Slash,
    would you like me to go on and do your options?
8
9
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Yes, please.
10
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Thank you.
11
            So, this -- this was on cross-motions for
12
     summary judgment; right, both sides?
13
                 MS. CIOBANU: (Nodded head yes.)
14
                 MR. POCKRASS: It was on -- we had
15
    motioned for summary judgment as to both
16
     liability and damages. Ms. Ciobanu --
17
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: But Complainant
18
    also filed --
19
                 MR. POCKRASS: -- also filed a
20
    cross-motion just as --
21
                 MS. TRINKLE: Just to liability.
22
                 MR. POCKRASS: -- to liability.
23
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER:
                                      Sure.
```

All right. So, in a summary judgment setting, you -- both sides are arguing that there's no genuine issue of material facts. In light of all of the evidence, even looking at it in favor of the nonmoving party, that this is how it should be. So, if you think that the ALJ got it right saying that all of the evidence shows in favor of the Respondent, as the ALJ has written, then you would move to affirm the matter.

You can move to modify if you think that the decision should be changed in favor of the Complainant, and there you're saying all of the evidence shows that there's no genuine issue of material facts in favor of Complainant.

Or you can remand the matter to the Administrative Law Judge, saying that there's still things that need to be decided here, this was briefed in summary judgment, and this should go on to further proceedings and go to a hearing, where further things can be decided.

CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.

Hearing that, Commissioners, do you have a motion?

```
1
                (Discussion off the record.)
 2
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS:
                                     I'm comfortable
 3
    with -- I would recommend that we reverse the
 4
    ALJ's decision and remand the summary judgment in
 5
    favor of the Complainant.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                      Okay.
 7
            Is there a second?
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.
8
9
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. All in
10
    favor?
11
          (Commission members responded, "Aye.")
12
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Any opposed?
13
                       (No response.)
14
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                      Okay.
15
                 MR. POCKRASS: Your Honor, can I ask
    a point of clarification, since there was no
16
17
     actual ruling on the damages portion?
18
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: It will then get
19
     set for the evidence hearing with the ALJ.
20
                 MR. POCKRASS: But she didn't -- she
21
    didn't rule as to summary judgment on the
22
     damages. Wouldn't that still be something that
23
     she would have to rule on?
```

1 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Summary judgment 2 proceedings, I would say, are concluded, and now 3 the Commission has said that it is remanded back 4 to the ALJ to rule in favor of the Complainant, 5 and since the damage -- there's been no 6 assessment of damages, that would be re -- that 7 would remain for the ALJ to determine. MR. POCKRASS: Well, is the ruling 8 9 that it's summary judgment in favor of the 10 Complainant, or is it simply that there need to 11 be -- there needs to be additional --12 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: The motion was to 13 reverse and remand in favor of Complainant. 14 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Does that clarify 15 for you? It does. I'm not 16 MR. POCKRASS: 17 happy with it, but --18 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay. We must 19 make sure people are clear before we wrap up. 20 So, thank you, everyone, for coming in. 21 again, thank you for your patience as we have 22 gotten through. 23 We will move to our next case. The next

case, ICRC/Darian Day versus Jerry Wenger,
Case HOha23090705. The ALJ in this matter has
determined that the Respondent in this matter did
indeed violate the Indiana Fair Housing Act and
the Indiana Civil Rights Law regarding the
Complainant on the basis of discriminating
against people with disabilities and regarding
unlawful retaliation in the protected area of
housing.

2.0

The Complainant is awarded compensatory damages of nine thousand seven hundred dollars, emotional damages of twenty-five thousand dollars. The Respondent is ordered to cease and desist from discriminative acts and retaliating against people because of a protected activity. The Respondent in this matter has filed an objection, the Complainant has 30 days to file in response, so no decision on this matter is due at the time.

The next case, ICRC/De'Andre McDade and DeSean Bartlett versus Rafayru Investments, LLC, Case HOra22020034. The Administrative Law Judge in this matter has granted the Complainant's

```
1
    Motion to Dismiss, with prejudice, due to the
 2
    parties having reached a settlement.
 3
     objection period in this has closed.
 4
            Is there a motion to affirm?
 5
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: So moved.
 6
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Is there a
 7
     second?
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: Second.
8
9
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Thank you.
10
            All in favor?
11
           (Commission members responded, "Aye.")
12
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Any opposed?
13
                       (No response.)
14
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
                                             The next
15
    case -- well, in this next section, these are ALJ
    Decisions that are Automatically Confirmed, so
16
17
    we're just reading them in. Julie Evans
18
    Duszynski on behalf of minor child R. B. versus
19
    New Prairie United School Corporation,
20
    EDha24010036.
21
            The next case, ICRC/Ira Gardner versus
22
     SB 231 Holdings, LLC and HomeWorks Property
23
    Management, Case HOra24050341. That concludes
```

```
that section of our agenda.
 2
            Upcoming meeting dates, the next one is
 3
    November 18th. We have a really late
 4
    Thanksgiving this year, so this shouldn't
 5
    really -- I'm sorry; a really early one, so I
 6
    don't think that this one goes into --
 7
                 COMM. SILBERBERG:
                                    No.
8
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: -- any problems
9
    there. Does anyone have any issues with
10
    November 18th?
11
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I -- I do, Chair
12
             I may be -- I'm on a six-hour Time Zone
    Slash.
13
    difference, so I'm not sure I'll be able to --
14
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: So, we'll put you
15
    on the possibilities list, but we'll leave you
16
    for last; how about that?
17
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: That sounds good.
18
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: We'll try to get
19
    another board member. I don't have any issues
20
    with these things.
21
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: I have a trial
22
     scheduled that day.
23
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: You have a trial
```

```
1
     scheduled that day?
 2
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: I do. The important
 3
    thing is: When is your Thanksgiving celebration?
 4
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Yeah, when is
 5
    that, for the office?
 6
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: That's a great
 7
    question. I do not know, but typically it's on a
    Friday, but since we've moved the Commission
8
9
    meetings to --
10
                 COMM. TOLLIVER:
                                  It's on a Friday?
11
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: I was going to
12
     say it would probably actually be appropriate for
13
    us to identify when that date is, when our
14
    November date is, and see if we can get full
15
    attendance from the Commission on that date, if
16
    we can, because it does look like we have two --
17
    we have two conflicts with November 18th, and
18
    having two conflicts would mean that it's an
19
     appropriate time to ask about another day.
20
                 COMM. TOLLIVER:
                                  I think three, and
21
    that would make it Thanksgiving as a possibility;
22
     right?
23
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Well, it's not
```

```
1
     that week.
 2
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: Thanksgiving is a
 3
    week later.
 4
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: No, for their
 5
    Thanksgiving celebration, you probably don't want
 6
    to be there on a Monday.
 7
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: So, if we would
    send a number of dates to be decided via e-mail
8
9
    in the next seven days, is that helpful for you
10
     all to get dates and things out?
11
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yep.
12
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Okay.
                                             Thank you.
13
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: The 22nd works for
14
    me, except for --
15
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: The 22nd?
16
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: -- that's a
17
    Friday.
18
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: That would work for
19
    me, too.
20
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: It works for me
21
    as well.
22
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: I'm not sure if it
23
    will work for me or not. The 15th would.
                                                 That's
```

```
the Friday before, but I may be gone on the 22nd.
 2
                 COMM. TOLLIVER:
                                  The 15th works for
 3
    me.
 4
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: So, we can just
    check the 15th and the 22nd.
 5
 6
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: Okay. And I'll
 7
    know by the time you check it.
8
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Oh, okay.
9
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: I'll finalize what
10
    I have.
11
                 CHAIRPERSON SLASH:
                                    Also, our agenda
12
    has no Elections, Trainings or Other. I don't
13
    see any Announcements. I don't believe we have
14
    anyone here for Public Comments.
15
            Before I adjourn, I would love to thank
    all of the staff for their support today and
16
17
    hanging out with us on-line. We know that many
18
    of you were in the office, but thank you for your
19
    patience and your diligence. We have actually
20
    run over for the first time in my history of
21
    serving on this Commission.
22
                 COMM. SILBERBERG: This was quite a
23
    day.
```

1	CHAIRPERSON SLASH: Yes.				
2	So, with that in mind, it is, what, 3				
3	3:08 I like that number and I will adjourn				
4	today's meeting.				
5					
6	Thereupon, the proceedings of October 21, 2024 were concluded at 3:08 o'clock p.m.				
7					
8					
9					
LO					
L1					
L2					
L3					
L 4					
L 5					
L 6					
L7					
L8					
L9					
20					
21					
22					
23					

CERTIFICATE

I, Lindy L. Meyer, Jr., the undersigned Court Reporter and Notary Public residing in the City of Shelbyville, Shelby County, Indiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me on Monday, October 21, 2024 in this matter and transcribed by me.

Lindy L. Meyer Jr.

Lindy L. Meyer, Jr.,

Notary Public in and

for the State of Indiana.

My Commission expires August 26, 2032.

Commission No. NP0690003

\$	117:15, 118:1, 118:5	100:19, 101:11,	15:11, 16:8, 16:18,	ahead [5] - 3:19,
£4000	231 [1] - 114:22 24 [4] - 87:10, 96:20,	103:6, 105:5, 107:20, 115:13	17:4, 17:5, 17:10, 17:18, 27:18, 28:2,	12:11, 33:18, 35:20, 41:22
\$1200 [1] - 56:12	97:4, 98:4	above-captioned [1] -	28:16, 32:6, 33:1,	Al [1] - 102:18
\$1500 [1] - 58:22	24-to-48-hour [1] -	1:10	33:5, 38:5, 38:8,	aid [8] - 73:18, 74:3,
\$2400 [1] - 56:13 \$525,000 [1] - 10:11	96:18	absolutely [4] - 11:12,	38:10, 39:22, 40:9,	78:12, 79:12, 84:15,
\$525,000 [1] - 10.11	26 [1] - 120:15	54:1, 75:7, 98:6	48:14, 55:10, 71:6,	84:18, 87:23, 89:2
1	28 [2] - 10:13, 10:23	acceptable [2] -	72:3, 113:22	aids [1] - 82:17
	20[2] 10.10, 10.20	65:14, 65:21	admits [1] - 56:7	aimed [1] - 62:17
'Need [1] - 91:17	3	accepted [1] - 87:22	admitted [2] - 20:18,	Albanian [1] - 69:9
	•	access [4] - 8:3,	82:18	Albanians [1] - 69:16
1	3 [1] - 119:2	86:11, 86:15, 105:15	Adrianne [2] - 1:11,	ALJ [33] - 5:16, 5:19,
•	30 [2] - 16:1, 113:17	accommodate [1] -	2:3	16:20, 27:18, 29:1,
100 [2] - 1:15, 2:7	300 [2] - 92:6, 103:8	45:19	advance [1] - 100:23	31:19, 35:1, 35:19,
102 [1] - 9:19	300-plus [1] - 98:9	accommodation [4] -	advised [2] - 4:14,	40:1, 40:4, 46:23,
11 [1] - 28:3	317 [1] - 1:22	73:18, 74:7, 89:22,	30:14	47:12, 50:15, 51:5,
111 [1] - 8:23	31st [5] - 52:9, 52:17,	104:5	Affairs [3] - 4:8, 7:21,	53:12, 53:13, 53:21,
11th [1] - 29:8	54:6, 57:4, 57:13	accommodations [2]	7:22	54:13, 54:14, 54:15,
12 [8] - 73:13, 73:21,	34 [1] - 10:17	- 77:12, 96:19	affidavit [8] - 33:19,	55:13, 55:17, 60:6,
74:4, 75:1, 78:18,	380 [1] - 7:5	accordingly [1] -	36:3, 54:18, 80:1,	60:15, 61:3, 73:23,
85:1, 93:4, 97:16	3:08 [2] - 119:3, 119:6	94:21	81:8, 83:2, 85:11,	110:6, 110:8,
12-hour [1] - 85:23		accounted [1] - 40:9	85:22	111:19, 112:4,
1200 [1] - 9:20	4	ACCURATE [1] - 1:21	affirm [12] - 17:4, 33:5,	112:7, 113:2, 114:15
15 [1] - 8:15	46022 (4) 1:22	accuratereportingofi	40:13, 51:2, 55:17,	ALJ's [4] - 39:22,
150 [1] - 22:1	46032 [1] - 1:22 46204 [1] - 2:8	ndiana@gmail.com	68:23, 71:10, 71:13, 82:9, 95:2, 110:9,	51:2, 68:23, 111:4 allegation [1] - 32:7
150-pound [1] - 25:19	48 [5] - 65:6, 87:10,	[1] - 1:23	114:4	allegations [1] - 66:1
15th [2] - 117:23, 118:2	96:20, 97:5, 98:4	act [3] - 33:1, 53:11,	afford [1] - 91:3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
116.2 15tth [1] - 118:5	90.20, 97.5, 90.4	113:4 actions [1] - 64:13	affordable [1] - 14:10	alleged [2] - 53:17, 65:23
16th [1] - 6:13	5	activity [4] - 58:12,	afraid [2] - 54:18,	allegedly [1] - 31:18
170 [1] - 8:10		64:14, 66:1, 113:15	54:21	alleges [1] - 58:3
17th [2] - 6:14	51 [1] - 10:17	acts [6] - 53:5, 53:17,	African [6] - 52:12,	Allon [1] - 7:20
18 [1] - 28:7	543 [1] - 1:21	62:10, 62:12, 113:14	52:19, 54:7, 54:11,	allow [2] - 44:4, 45:20
18th [4] - 6:14, 115:3,	58 [1] - 10:14	actual [4] - 22:6,	64:17, 65:7	allowed [9] - 4:15,
115:10, 116:17		22:23, 26:2, 111:17	African-American [6]	20:8, 20:13, 21:11,
19th [1] - 86:16	6	ADA [13] - 21:11, 77:7,	- 52:12, 52:19, 54:7,	21:16, 22:20, 25:9,
1:07 [3] - 1:17, 3:1, 3:5		77:11, 77:17, 81:10,	54:11, 64:17, 65:7	34:7, 46:11
1st [2] - 54:6, 58:20	60 [1] - 22:1	81:11, 88:2, 89:2,	aftermath [1] - 39:17	allowing [1] - 29:18
		90:1, 90:10, 91:9,	afternoon [3] - 27:1,	alone [1] - 42:13
2	7	92:21, 96:19	37:18, 55:3	ALSO [1] - 2:16
	762 [1] - 9:16	add [1] - 42:2	afterwards [1] - 33:10	alterations [1] - 57:21
20 [4] - 82:22, 89:8,	7 02 [i] 0.10	added [3] - 65:15,	Afuwape [1] - 2:11	altering [1] - 59:13
96:17	8	68:19, 105:18	AFUWAPE [2] - 3:16,	American [9] - 4:7,
200 [1] - 6:14		addition [2] - 100:22,	4:12	7:21, 52:12, 52:19,
2020 [4] - 51:14, 52:3,	848-0088 [1] - 1:22	105:12	age [1] - 65:14	54:7, 54:11, 64:17,
53:18, 55:22 2021 [10] - 29:8, 52:9,	8th [1] - 13:21	additional [4] - 37:21,	agencies [1] - 6:19 agency [1] - 90:19	65:7, 88:17
52:17, 53:18, 56:13,		81:23, 103:3, 112:11 address [6] - 4:22,	agenda [5] - 5:6,	analysis [1] - 53:12 Andrea [2] - 2:17, 73:8
56:15, 56:21, 57:4,	9	37:19, 38:1, 38:12,	16:13, 18:9, 115:1,	Andria [3] - 18:12,
82:19, 86:16	224 0.45	94:22, 97:3	118:11	26:14, 26:15
2023 [1] - 7:13	924 [1] - 9:15	addressed [1] - 4:23	agent [1] - 20:9	angry [11] - 59:18,
2024 [6] - 1:5, 1:17,	980 [2] - 9:17, 9:22	Addy [6] - 26:10,	aggrieved [4] - 50:11,	59:19, 59:22, 61:11,
3:1, 7:17, 119:6,	9th [2] - 82:19, 86:16	30:13, 30:14, 32:15,	51:12, 66:6, 69:14	62:7, 65:22, 66:12,
120:7	Λ	32:16, 39:11	ago [1] - 7:19	66:15, 66:17, 67:10,
2025 [1] - 7:17	Α	adjourn [2] - 118:15,	agree [2] - 33:11,	68:3
2032 [1] - 120:15	ability [2] - 32:10, 97:6	119:3	106:12	animal [3] - 29:11,
21 [6] - 1:5, 1:17, 3:1,	able [16] - 6:23, 39:9,	Administrative [4] -	agreed [1] - 53:12	36:1, 45:15
9:14, 119:6, 120:7	40:11, 41:15, 90:21,	18:5, 32:20, 40:13,	agreement [6] - 8:14,	animals [4] - 29:18,
21st [1] - 3:5	97:14, 98:11, 98:17,	110:16	51:17, 55:22, 55:23,	29:20, 38:16, 38:19
22nd [4] - 117:13,	99:17, 100:17,	administrative [23] -	88:21, 90:18	Anna [1] - 2:19

anna [1] - 102:9 announce [2] - 3:14, 3.19 announcement [1] -5:6 announcements [1] -118:13 annual [4] - 6:13, 7:3, 7:11, 7:14 annually [1] - 103:10 answered [1] - 52:12 anti [1] - 8:6 anti-semitism [1] - 8:6 anyway [1] - 97:19 apologize [1] - 43:21 **apology** [1] - 65:10 app [1] - 83:23 appeal [2] - 4:20, 5:10 appeals [2] - 4:18, 5:13 appear [1] - 108:19 APPEARANCES [1] appeared [1] - 108:7 applied [1] - 82:12 appointment [1] -21:13 appreciate [1] - 95:4 approach [2] - 21:5, 63:10 appropriate [4] -65:22, 82:16, 116:12. 116:19 appropriately [1] -109:2 approval [1] - 5:7 approve [1] - 6:1 approved [2] - 12:2, 106:5 approving [1] - 11:19 April [3] - 14:17, 54:6, 58:20 Arabian [1] - 75:16 area [3] - 6:18, 7:2, 113:8 areas [1] - 7:2 argu [1] - 103:16 argue [1] - 76:23 argues [2] - 78:23 arguing [1] - 110:2 argument [13] - 4:17, 5:10, 14:21, 27:13, 27:20, 32:5, 40:17, 63:15, 72:15, 78:20, 103:16, 103:20 arguments [6] - 5:18, 18:17, 28:15, 34:15, 38:6. 95:8 **ASL** [21] - 76:5, 76:6, 83:21, 84:2, 84:5,

85:5, 86:2, 86:5, 86:7, 86:13, 87:5, 87:8, 93:8, 100:20, 101:21, 102:3, 103:4, 104:6, 104:9, 105:15, 108:21 assertions [1] - 82:11 assess [1] - 36:17 assessment [1] -112:6 assigned [5] - 5:14, 14:9, 14:13, 14:16, 14:20 assignments [1] -14:5 assistant [1] - 4:5 assume [1] - 10:15 attack [4] - 83:8, 86:12, 98:21, 101:4 attacks [1] - 102:16 attempted [2] - 29:9, 86:2 attendance [1] -116:15 attendees [1] - 7:5 attorney [9] - 42:9, 50:7, 55:4, 55:9, 61:14, 82:6, 82:11, 83:5, 94:14 attorneys [3] - 46:16, 48:20, 72:11 audio [4] - 63:4, 63:5, 63:8, 63:17 August [6] - 51:14, 52:3, 53:18, 55:22, 64:10, 120:15 authority [4] - 16:20, 16:22, 17:1, 17:3 Authority [1] - 8:23 automatically [2] -5:20, 114:16 auxiliary [9] - 73:18, 74:3, 78:12, 79:12, 82:17, 84:15, 87:22, Avenue [2] - 1:15, 2:7 average [1] - 9:19 award [1] - 7:6 awarded [1] - 113:10 awardees [1] - 11:11 awards [1] - 7:4 aware [1] - 56:23 awareness [1] - 8:1 Aye [7] - 11:21, 13:10,

В

48:6, 70:19, 71:19,

111:11, 114:11

background [2] -

32:18, 99:13 backup [6] - 90:6, 90:8, 90:12, 99:22, 102:13. 103:4 backward [1] - 85:17 bag [1] - 21:21 **ballroom** [1] - 7:9 Bartlett [1] - 113:21 base [1] - 38:10 based [20] - 4:19, 16:18, 17:2, 17:22, 32:21, 38:11, 39:23, 40:3, 40:6, 42:23, 45:14, 56:22, 62:10, 62:18, 83:9, 83:17, 95:2, 99:4, 99:9, 100:12 basement [1] - 64:2 basing [1] - 60:11 basis [2] - 28:23, 113:6 beautification [1] -6:16 became [2] - 44:5, 56:23 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:1 began [1] - 30:7 begin [6] - 3:7, 5:23, 12:4, 18:9, 18:12, 19:2 beginning [2] - 61:17, 63:8 behalf [7] - 2:8, 42:9, 50:8, 50:22, 55:5, 73:8, 114:18 behavior [4] - 31:6, 41:13, 44:2, 44:18 behind [8] - 42:14, 42:19, 51:23, 52:4, 52:5, 56:11, 56:12, 56:13 believes [1] - 55:13 bent [1] - 85:17 best [7] - 14:6, 33:2, 51:6, 63:10, 87:9, 98:13, 99:18 better [2] - 91:4, 100:16 between [4] - 31:15, 32:12, 64:4, 84:16 big [3] - 68:12, 68:16, 80:6 **bill** [3] - 74:23, 77:19, 77:22 bit [4] - 10:8, 10:22, 42:3, 75:9 black [10] - 60:2, 60:20, 62:5, 62:20,

65:12, 65:13, 67:1,

67:6, 67:7, 68:1

blanche [1] - 77:13 blanket [1] - 65:10 blind [11] - 19:22, 21:12, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 23:22, 24:2, 26:6, 26:7, 37:9 blindness [1] - 36:18 blond [1] - 22:4 blue [1] - 21:21 blurry [1] - 88:4 board [4] - 29:9, 42:17, 44:4, 115:19 bookend [1] - 63:12 booth [1] - 20:9 **Boyce** [1] - 14:14 breach [3] - 104:13, 104:16 Brett [2] - 2:17, 27:9 brief [2] - 27:13, 105:12 briefed [1] - 110:18 briefing [5] - 31:10, 31:12, 32:4, 41:9, 67:20 briefings [1] - 17:23 briefly [2] - 29:6, 42:4 briefs [1] - 16:2 broke [1] - 62:13 brought [3] - 63:14, 86:5, 89:6 **bulbs** [1] - 52:15 bull [8] - 20:14, 22:21, 25:10, 26:17, 29:23, 35:23, 36:19 bulls [7] - 23:2, 29:19, 34:6, 36:21, 37:7, 38:15, 38:18 bump [1] - 10:22 bunch [1] - 62:7 bus [42] - 20:2, 20:9, 20:14, 21:11, 21:17, 22:16, 22:21, 23:5, 23:7, 25:9, 25:16, 29:9, 29:13, 29:19, 30:10, 30:13, 30:17, 30:21, 32:1, 34:7, 36:23, 38:18, 39:10, 39:13, 39:20, 41:11, 41:16, 42:13, 42:17, 42:19, 43:11, 43:14, 43:16, 44:4, 44:6, 44:11, 45:9, 45:10, 45:18, 45:21, 45:23 buses [1] - 42:13 business [7] - 5:9. 5:13, 12:4, 13:4, 14:5, 15:1, 59:8 **bye** [2] - 68:6, 68:8

bystander [1] - 22:15

C

cake [1] - 59:5 calm [9] - 20:13, 22:19, 25:8, 30:15, 33:14, 33:18, 34:10, 35:22, 44:10 Camelot [1] - 13:16 cameras [4] - 57:15, 57:16, 65:2, 65:5 campus [1] - 103:9 candy [1] - 89:19 cannot [6] - 20:15, 22:21, 25:10, 29:23, 77:18, 80:6 capable [1] - 106:4 capacity [2] - 15:2, 50:9 **captioned** [1] - 1:10 care [6] - 13:17, 65:22, 77:2, 90:14, 93:19, 106:15 Carmel [1] - 1:22 carries [2] - 13:14, 48:10 cart [4] - 23:17, 23:18, 23:19 carte [1] - 77:13 Case [5] - 12:6, 14:15, 48:13, 113:2, 113:22 case [74] - 5:1, 12:5, 12:16, 12:17, 13:17, 14:8, 14:9, 14:10, 14:12, 14:15, 14:19, 15:5, 15:9, 16:4, 18:12, 18:13, 19:19, 28:21, 40:4, 40:8, 46:23, 48:12, 50:15, 50:19, 51:5, 53:9, 54:3, 55:10, 67:4, 69:14, 70:3, 71:2, 71:5, 71:22, 72:2, 73:10, 75:2, 75:6, 76:20, 77:6, 77:7, 78:8, 78:11, 82:10, 84:16, 84:20, 85:16, 92:12, 92:15, 92:18, 94:16, 97:21, 100:2, 103:21, 104:7, 104:10, 104:16, 104:17, 106:20, 107:8, 107:22, 107:23, 108:3, 108:15, 112:23, 113:1, 113:20, 114:15, 114:21, 114:23 cases [10] - 8:11, 8:16, 9:8, 9:19, 10:14,

10:19, 17:23, 75:11,

104:2

85:19, 99:14 Catholic [1] - 69:17 caught [1] - 68:6 cease [1] - 113:13 ceiling [1] - 57:18 celebration [2] -116:3, 117:5 Center [3] - 1:15, 2:7, certain [1] - 106:22 certainly [4] - 34:1, 37:10, 78:18, 101:1 CERTIFICATE [1] -120:1 certify [1] - 120:5 cetera [1] - 35:9 chain [1] - 21:20 CHAIR [20] - 6:2, 11:6, 12:21, 13:5, 20:23, 46:19, 47:6, 48:8, 69:5, 70:10, 99:12, 102:18, 105:19, 105:22, 111:2, 114:5, 115:11, 115:17, 117:13, 117:16 chair [1] - 6:5 Chair [6] - 6:9, 12:23, 16:15, 49:9, 109:7, 115:11 CHAIRPERSON [121] -3:3, 3:12, 5:5, 5:21, 6:4, 11:4, 11:7, 11:13, 11:17, 11:22, 12:1, 12:10, 12:15, 12:22, 13:3, 13:6, 13:11, 13:13, 14:1, 14:3, 15:18, 15:20, 16:3, 16:13, 18:7, 18:11, 18:16, 18:21, 19:1, 19:7, 19:11, 20:21, 21:6, 26:19, 26:23, 27:3, 27:8, 37:16, 37:20, 40:16, 40:20, 41:1, 42:1, 42:4, 43:2, 43:7, 43:9, 46:8, 46:17, 46:20, 47:3, 47:9, 47:13, 47:20, 48:1, 48:4, 48:7, 48:9, 49:2, 49:6, 50:4, 55:1, 62:23, 63:7, 66:8, 69:3, 69:6, 70:8, 70:15, 70:17, 70:20, 70:22, 71:2, 71:12, 71:15, 71:18, 71:20, 71:22, 72:20, 73:1, 73:6, 81:16, 87:16, 87:18, 95:7, 96:3, 96:6, 99:11,

105:8, 105:11, 105:16, 105:21, 106:9, 109:3, 109:5, 109:9, 110:21, 111:6, 111:9, 111:12, 111:14, 112:14, 112:18, 114:6. 114:9. 114:12, 114:14, 115:8, 115:14, 115:18, 115:23, 116:4, 116:11, 116:23, 117:7, 117:12, 117:20, 118:4, 118:8, 118:11, 119:1 Chairperson [2] -1:12, 2:3 challenged [1] - 100:1 change [2] - 77:3, 78:22 changed [3] - 34:22, 100:13, 110:11 changes [1] - 19:8 changing [2] - 17:11, 17:12 characterization [1] -32:4 charges [1] - 9:7 Charlie [1] - 7:1 chart [1] - 104:12 charter [1] - 42:12 chat [1] - 86:8 check [2] - 118:5, 118:7 child [1] - 114:18 chime [1] - 42:2 choice [1] - 104:15 choices [1] - 15:14 choppy [1] - 88:4 Christiana [3] - 2:11, 3:19, 4:12 Christine [3] - 50:12, 54:17, 66:2 Christopher [1] - 12:5 Cincinnati [1] - 25:1 Ciobanu [3] - 2:17, 73:8, 109:16 CIOBANU [16] - 19:15, 19:19, 21:2, 21:7, 26:22, 33:8, 41:18, 46:6, 72:19, 72:22, 73:4, 73:7, 87:20, 103:15, 106:17, 109:13 circumstance [2] -99:5, 101:2

circumstances [7] -

54:4, 73:17, 78:15,

93:23, 98:14, 104:3,

104:10 citation [1] - 88:6 cited [2] - 106:21, 108:1 City [1] - 120:4 civil [5] - 7:3, 7:12, 8:3, 32:23, 84:8 CIVIL [2] - 1:2, 2:6 Civil [7] - 1:11, 3:6, 15:3, 50:7, 50:10, 51:13, 113:5 claim [4] - 27:21, 28:10, 32:2 clarification [1] -111:16 clarify [4] - 47:6, 105:10, 105:14, 112:14 clear [6] - 33:8, 53:9, 54:3, 65:9, 69:18, 112:19 clearly [1] - 66:17 clerk [1] - 5:2 client [6] - 24:22, 37:12, 76:14, 77:8, 104:8, 106:15 clients [1] - 76:15 closed [3] - 71:9, 72:13. 114:3 closely [1] - 94:13 closes [1] - 10:17 closures [2] - 10:7, 10:16 Coke [1] - 74:22 colleague [1] - 27:10 college [1] - 76:3 colonoscopy [3] -84:4, 93:7, 107:16 color[1] - 21:23 combat [1] - 8:6 comfortable [1] -111:2 coming [3] - 10:4, 48:11, 112:20 **COMM** [67] - 6:3, 12:20, 40:22, 41:2, 41:6, 43:10, 43:15, 43:19, 43:22, 44:20, 44:23, 46:3, 46:10, 46:11, 46:22, 47:5, 47:11, 47:14, 47:18, 47:21, 47:23, 48:3, 69:8, 70:6, 70:16, 71:14, 71:17, 95:10, 95:15, 95:20, 95:23, 96:5, 96:7, 96:11, 96:14, 97:20, 98:1, 98:4, 99:2, 99:8, 100:3, 100:8, 101:13, 101:15,

102:1, 102:11, 102:15, 102:19, 103:12, 106:7, 106:11, 111:8, 114:8, 115:7, 115:21, 116:2, 116:10, 116:20, 117:2. 117:4. 117:15, 117:18, 117:22, 118:2, 118:6, 118:9, 118:22 Comm [7] - 6:6, 11:7, 12:23, 14:9, 14:13, 14:20, 43:3 comment [2] - 69:8, 69:12 comments [6] - 61:12, 62:1, 66:22, 66:23, 68:4, 118:14 commission [24] - 4:8, 4:16, 4:22, 5:3, 5:12, 7:13, 9:15, 13:20, 13:21, 17:1, 17:3, 17:9, 17:14, 17:16, 17:21, 27:14, 33:4, 51:13, 55:17, 75:5, 82:9, 116:8, 116:15, 118:21 COMMISSION [4] -1:2, 2:2, 2:6, 2:10 Commission [20] -1:11, 2:8, 3:7, 4:16, 11:21, 13:10, 15:3, 18:1, 40:12, 48:6, 50:8, 50:10, 70:19, 71:19, 82:5, 111:11, 112:3, 114:11, 120:15, 120:16 Commissioner [1] -49:9 Commissioners [2] -4:18, 46:21 commissioners [10] -5:14, 11:5, 18:8, 40:18, 63:21, 65:10, 69:4, 87:20, 109:6, 110:22 commissions [1] -7:12 committee [1] - 7:21 communicate [8] -85:3, 86:1, 87:13, 90:21, 91:18, 91:19, 94:10, 99:18 communicated [3] -82:22, 85:9, 92:23 communicating [2] -97:12, 108:19 communication [4] -

64:4, 84:12, 85:2,

communications [2] -83:4, 108:5 Communities [2] -14:18, 71:4 Community [1] - 8:22 compare [1] - 77:18 compared [3] - 9:16, 10:14, 10:17 compensatory [1] -113:10 complainant [17] -13:19, 15:7, 19:22, 21:9, 25:4, 34:9, 35:7, 37:10, 40:6, 41:19, 47:20, 50:22, 51:9, 71:8, 110:14, 111:5, 113:17 Complainant [21] -18:19, 23:22, 28:20, 31:13, 47:2, 47:16, 47:22, 66:5, 70:14, 72:8, 72:9, 73:8, 75:3, 88:17, 109:17, 110:12, 112:4, 112:10, 112:13, 113:6, 113:10 Complainant's [10] -20:17, 31:11, 34:12, 48:18, 48:19, 48:20, 72:4, 72:6, 72:11, 79:10 complainant's [6] -19:21, 38:14, 39:17, 72:12, 81:14, 113:23 complaint [7] - 4:20, 20:11, 48:19, 50:12, 51:12, 53:3, 72:9 complaints [5] - 9:13, 9:15, 9:20, 10:2, 10:5 complete [1] - 22:17 complex [7] - 78:10, 81:4, 91:22, 93:7, 106:19, 107:11, 107:17 compliance [1] - 77:7 **compliant** [3] - 37:3, 37:5, 77:16 complicated [1] -76:10 complications [1] -93:21 complied [1] - 81:11 comply [3] - 81:11, 81:12, 90:23 concede [4] - 25:13, 35:14, 73:16, 79:13 conceded [2] - 25:16, 25:22

concern [1] - 68:19 concerning [1] - 4:18 conclude [1] - 5:18 concluded [2] - 112:2, 119:6 concludes [4] - 40:17, 63:1, 95:8, 114:23 conclusion [1] - 28:12 conclusions [3] -15:12, 17:7, 33:3 conclusively [1] -41:21 condition [3] - 81:5, 97:3, 104:11 condoning [1] - 67:8 conduct [5] - 32:8, 32:13, 62:13, 68:20 confidential [2] -46:14, 46:16 confirm [1] - 37:13 confirmed [1] - 5:20 Confirmed [1] -114:16 conflict [1] - 106:6 conflicting [3] - 38:16, 38:19, 40:4 conflicts [4] - 38:3, 40:7, 116:17, 116:18 confused [5] - 31:12, 44:23, 89:11, 96:20, 96:21 confusion [4] - 36:6, 36:14, 38:3, 89:10 connect [1] - 86:13 connection [1] - 102:5 consider [2] - 84:13, 92:3 consideration [3] -54:5, 95:5, 104:4 considered [1] - 48:15 consisting [1] - 5:9 constantly [1] - 83:12 constitute [1] - 104:14 contact [1] - 3:9 context [1] - 61:15 contingency [2] -102:22, 103:2 continue [5] - 9:18, 9:21, 10:1, 89:3, 89:5 contract [5] - 88:19, 88:20, 104:13, 104:14, 104:17 contrary [1] - 82:10 control [4] - 25:8, 33:12, 35:6, 35:7 conversation [1] -61:17 conveyed [1] - 76:18 cook [1] - 14:17

copies [2] - 20:17, 20:19 copy [1] - 20:22 Corbell [16] - 30:10, 31:14, 31:19, 32:14, 33:9, 33:22, 35:3, 35:4, 35:19, 36:5, 36:6, 36:16, 38:22, 39:3, 39:7 Corbell's [1] - 31:20 Corporation [2] -14:15, 114:19 corporation [1] - 72:1 correct [6] - 3:10, 28:4, 41:5, 55:1, 55:14, 120:6 correctly [5] - 55:18, 60:6, 60:16, 61:3, 76:18 corroborated [2] -32:14, 32:19 corroborates [3] -39:6, 39:7, 39:11 counsel [13] - 27:14, 28:4, 31:8, 31:11, 35:8, 38:2, 38:4, 38:14, 39:17, 63:14, 82:20, 89:6, 92:13 country [1] - 8:7 County [3] - 1:14, 72:1, 120:4 couple [7] - 6:11, 7:2, 7:19. 10:23. 17:2. 44:20, 63:20 course [3] - 16:14, 27:22, 56:2 Court [2] - 78:10, 120:3 court [1] - 3:8 coverage [1] - 6:21 COVID [2] - 9:23, 52:7 credibility [3] - 35:2, 36:15, 40:5

credible [5] - 31:22,

35:3, 35:4, 35:20,

Crestview [1] - 14:11

criminal [4] - 53:17,

58:12, 64:13, 66:1

Croatian [2] - 65:12,

Croatians [1] - 69:17

cross [3] - 55:12,

109:11, 109:20

cross-motion [1] -

cross-motions [1] -

critical [2] - 99:15,

40:2

106:2

67:15

109:20

109:11

cross-summary [1] -55:12 crossed [1] - 86:21 crossroads [3] - 97:4, 97:8, 97:18 Crossroads [1] - 87:6 crossroads' [1] - 87:8 cultural [1] - 7:11 current [2] - 102:22, 103:2 cutting [1] - 92:20 cyber [5] - 83:7, 86:12, 98:21, 101:4, 102:16 D d/b/a [1] - 72:1 dad [2] - 68:13, 68:14

40:3 denied [10] - 29:2, denies [5] - 37:12, daily [2] - 101:13, denying [2] - 61:8, 101:15 61:9 damage [1] - 112:5 Department [1] damages [9] - 79:19, 82:18 94:18, 94:23, 109:16, 111:17, 111:22, 112:6, 113:11, 113:12 dangerous [1] - 77:17 depositions [2] date [3] - 116:13, 27:23, 35:8 116:14, 116:15 **Deputy** [3] - 2:6, dates [3] - 115:2, 12:18, 16:10 117:8, 117:10 Derrick [1] - 13:16 **David** [1] - 2:6 days [7] - 6:13, 6:16,

deaf [14] - 73:10, 73:11, 75:19, 75:22, deserving [1] - 7:7 76:1, 76:8, 76:18, designated [3] -76:19, 79:3, 81:2, 82:15, 88:17, 88:23, desist [1] - 113:14 91:6 despite [2] - 30:19, dealing [1] - 5:11 45:16 death [1] - 99:16 detail [1] - 21:18 decided [7] - 17:6, determination [2] -40:1, 79:8, 79:9, 4:19, 42:15 110:17, 110:20, 117:8 17:13 decision [14] - 17:12, 17:13, 30:16, 38:10, 112:7 42:22, 47:17, 48:21,

diagnosis [1] - 91:22

died [2] - 68:13, 76:19

difference [3] - 9:6,

9:12, 115:13

different [9] - 8:4,

20:4, 21:23, 24:6,

97:10, 110:11, 111:4, 113:18 **Decisions** [1] - 114:16 **decisions** [3] - 5:16, 5:19, 17:22 **declaration** [2] -

51:7, 60:11, 96:23,

16:1, 54:16, 54:19,

113:17, 117:9

83:15, 98:22 deems [1] - 17:14 default [1] - 71:7 defeat [2] - 60:15, 60:16 **Definite** [1] - 13:18 definite [1] - 15:6 definitely [1] - 26:14 definition [1] - 65:16 delineates [1] - 88:5 delivery [1] - 39:23 demand [1] - 101:20 demeanor [2] - 39:23, 30:6, 31:4, 34:11, 34:20, 35:22, 36:17, 55:15, 72:5, 72:6 41:19, 41:21, 48:17 deny [2] - 45:14, 59:19 deposition [10] - 64:8, 65:15, 69:22, 70:1, 70:4, 74:18, 76:2, 79:1, 80:2, 108:10 described [2] - 25:19, 25:20 describing [2] - 35:13, 37:10 **DeSean** [1] - 113:21 69:22, 70:4, 95:4 determinations [1] determine [3] - 79:19, determined [6] -28:19, 31:19, 41:15, 52:18, 72:4, 113:3 Development [1] -8:22

40:8, 76:1, 81:10, 101:20, 101:23 differently [1] - 77:11 difficult [1] - 76:4 diligence [1] - 118:19 Dinner [1] - 11:8 dinner [2] - 7:4, 7:5 directed [1] - 62:1 directly [5] - 4:15, 4:23, 10:6, 62:17, 102:21 Director [5] - 2:6, 3:22, 4:7, 15:2, 16:11 director[1] - 50:10 director's [3] - 5:9, 6:8, 12:18 disabilities [1] - 113:7 disability [6] - 20:1, 28:23, 29:3, 73:12, 75:4, 97:21 disabled [4] - 29:2, 86:11, 88:23, 91:6 disagree [1] - 74:1 discharged [1] - 97:19 disclosing [1] - 96:10 discovered [2] -54:11, 57:4 discovery [2] - 28:1, 35:9 discretion [1] - 45:16 discriminated [1] -28:22 discriminating [1] -113.6 discrimination [6] -60:8, 61:5, 69:12, 69:19. 104:14. 104:16 discriminative [1] -113:14 discriminatory [4] -53:5, 53:11, 53:22, 61:6 discussion [9] - 3:15, 27:2, 52:21, 52:22, 52:23, 63:22, 95:12, 109:4, 111:1 disinterested [2] -28:6, 32:15 dismiss [1] - 114:1 dismissed [2] - 71:8, 72:10 dismisses [1] - 48:19 disposing [1] - 16:9 dispute [8] - 51:19, 51:21, 51:22, 52:1,

73:19, 79:20, 84:20,

disputed [1] - 56:6

85:20

disrespectful [1] -24:20 dissolve [1] - 51:3 dizzy [1] - 86:21 docket [1] - 5:2 doctor [3] - 104:12, 108:6, 108:17 doctor's [1] - 107:2 doctors [3] - 76:14, 77:1, 80:9 documented [1] -86:15 documents [1] - 56:1 dog [52] - 20:1, 20:15, 21:20, 21:23, 22:7, 22:13, 22:19, 22:22, 23:20, 23:21, 24:5, 24:6, 24:7, 24:8, 24:12, 24:20, 25:8, 25:10, 25:19, 26:4, 26:14, 26:17, 26:18, 29:12, 29:21, 29:23, 30:2, 30:3, 30:5, 30:18, 30:20, 31:5, 33:20, 33:23, 34:10, 35:5, 35:6, 36:4, 36:8, 36:9, 36:11, 36:18, 44:14, 44:17, 45:5, 45:11, 45:20, 45:23 dog's [1] - 44:2 dogs [7] - 23:3, 23:5, 29:19, 34:6, 36:22, 37:5, 37:7 dollars [2] - 113:11, 113:13 Dominic [2] - 48:13, 55:5 done [11] - 7:1, 7:16, 9:3, 11:9, 24:14, 24:23, 78:19, 81:6, 89:4, 101:8, 105:4 door [1] - 52:12 down [20] - 10:8, 24:23, 25:1, 30:15, 44:10, 46:7, 62:14, 65:1, 65:5, 74:19, 74:21, 74:22, 75:17, 83:9, 88:13, 98:20, 101:6, 102:1, 102:12, 104:8 downtown [1] - 6:18 **Dr** [10] - 7:20, 83:2, 84:17, 85:3, 85:12, 85:17, 85:22, 92:23, 94:7, 96:23 Drive [2] - 1:21, 6:17 drive [1] - 25:1 driver [31] - 21:17, 22:5, 22:6, 22:11,

22:12, 22:21, 22:23, 23:1, 23:9, 23:11, 23:12, 23:13, 24:18, 25:13, 25:17, 25:18, 25:22, 26:1, 26:2, 29:12, 30:2, 31:10, 34:5, 35:12, 35:16, 36:12, 36:13, 42:13, 42:15, 42:22 drivers [3] - 22:3, 25:12, 26:9 drives [1] - 64:19 driveway [1] - 64:12 **due** [7] - 29:3, 50:17, 86:11, 86:14, 87:6, 113:18, 114:1 duly [2] - 50:23, 53:2 dump [1] - 58:4 during [12] - 4:16, 4:17, 36:20, 39:5, 39:23, 51:22, 52:7, 56:5, 61:11, 63:14, 86:9, 102:5 Duszynski [1] -114:18

Ε

e-mail [3] - 35:20, 86:11, 117:8 early [7] - 7:4, 7:17, 13:8, 25:7, 34:22, 36:3, 115:5 earmarked [2] - 75:7, 78:1 Easterseals [6] - 87:5, 87:8, 97:4, 97:8, 97:17, 103:5 EDha24010036 [1] -114:20 EDha24040213 [1] -12.6 educated [1] - 81:3 education [4] - 4:2. 7:23. 8:4. 76:1 **EEOC** [3] - 8:10, 8:11, 10:4 effective [6] - 83:4, 84:18, 86:23, 93:10, 94:10, 103:11 effectively [1] - 90:22 effort [2] - 85:21, 91:10 efforts [5] - 10:9, 80:11, 80:12, 83:3, 85:13 eight [1] - 52:17 either [6] - 12:12, 12:13, 51:2, 81:11, 96:12, 108:17

Elections [1] - 118:12 electric [1] - 64:2 **electronic** [1] - 88:16 **EMAIL** [1] - 1:23 embarrassing [2] -75:19, 75:22 embarrassment [1] -79:4 emergency [14] -73:13, 74:4, 74:5, 74:23, 78:16, 78:17, 80:9, 80:13, 82:18, 82:21, 87:3, 88:9, 90:14 emergent [4] - 93:11. 94:3, 107:10, 107:19 EMha23030289 [1] -14.15 emotional [1] - 113:12 employee [5] - 61:19, 62:8, 63:22, 64:1, 64:4 employees [4] - 59:8, 59:9, 59:16, 59:17 EMra24040257 [1] -13:17

employment [1] - 4:10 enable [1] - 86:6 encounter [2] - 61:11, 86:17 end [11] - 7:16, 8:17, 9:4, 9:11, 9:14, 9:20, 10:10, 10:12, 10:13, 35:15, 63:18 ended [1] - 67:19 engaged [1] - 8:20 English [6] - 67:14, 75:14, 75:17, 75:18, 76:4, 81:2 enjoyed [1] - 11:8 ensure [4] - 83:3, 88:3, 93:20, 106:15 enter [3] - 47:1, 47:15, 55:21 entered [2] - 8:14, 52:3 entire [10] - 23:10, 34:5, 34:16, 64:1, 68:15, 85:21, 86:10, 92:17, 100:19, 101:7 entity [1] - 84:9 entry [1] - 34:11 environment [2] -54:15, 62:10 equally [1] - 91:19 equivalent [1] - 75:15 Eskenazi [18] - 72:1, 73:12, 80:7, 82:7, 82:8, 82:13, 82:16, 83:8, 84:1, 85:16,

86:4, 86:10, 92:7, 95:16, 99:23, 102:9, 104:9 especially [1] - 74:3 essentially [3] - 30:5, 32:5, 84:21 et [1] - 35:9 Evans [1] - 114:17 Evansville [3] - 29:10, 29:13, 46:4 evening [3] - 7:9, 11:10, 94:9 event [2] - 25:21, 59:4 events [4] - 6:11, 54:9, 54:10, 54:19

events [4] - 6:11, 54:9 54:10, 54:19 eventually [1] - 28:19 EVERTON [9] - 42:2, 42:5, 42:8, 46:5, 46:13, 49:1, 49:4, 55:3, 66:9 Everton [4] - 2:18, 27:11, 42:9, 55:4 evicted [3] - 53:6, 60:18

60:18 eviction [1] - 56:19 evictions [2] - 52:7, 64:22 evidence [26] - 28:8.

28:12, 28:14, 32:6, 32:10, 32:21, 38:3, 38:9, 38:11, 40:4, 40:8, 48:15, 53:14, 53:23, 60:21, 60:22, 69:22, 70:5, 84:14, 84:19, 94:15, 95:4, 110:4, 110:7, 110:13, 111:19 evidentiary [1] - 18:5 exactly [4] - 83:16,

exactly [4] - 83:16, 97:16, 103:8, 105:3 examine [1] - 103:22 example [2] - 107:16, 108:17

except [3] - 4:16, 4:22, 117:14

exchange [1] - 84:16 exchanged [1] - 52:4 excuse [1] - 90:19 executed [1] - 51:16 Executive [1] - 15:2 executive [2] - 4:5, 50:9

Exhibit [3] - 20:17, 69:22, 85:12 exhibits [1] - 28:7 exited [1] - 44:11 expected [1] - 56:3

expensive [1] - 91:4 expert [1] - 77:10 expertise [1] - 80:19 expires [1] - 120:15
explain [3] - 16:14,
75:9, 76:12
explains [1] - 98:23
expressions [1] 75:13
extensive [1] - 83:3
external [2] - 7:22,
101:9
extra [1] - 27:5
eyes [1] - 86:21

F

Face [1] - 101:21 face [1] - 67:13 facie [1] - 28:21 fact [28] - 17:12, 29:1, 32:12, 33:2, 33:12, 33:16, 34:18, 35:16, 39:6, 39:7, 56:7, 67:7, 83:6, 83:20, 86:18, 90:16, 91:13, 91:16, 94:13, 98:8, 99:10, 100:12, 104:18, 105:1, 108:2, 108:13, 108:16, 109:2 factors [1] - 107:9 facts [19] - 15:12, 17:7, 28:18, 29:6, 55:19, 82:13, 82:20, 83:19, 93:23, 94:1, 94:13, 95:3, 103:22, 104:3, 104:10, 107:23, 108:2, 110:3, 110:14 factual [2] - 51:10, 79:20 failed [2] - 28:20, 28:21 fails [1] - 80:14 fair [2] - 8:21, 32:4 Fair [1] - 113:4 fairly [4] - 20:13, 32:3, 33:14, 35:22 family [3] - 68:12, 68:16, 95:15 far [5] - 8:8, 9:9, 61:6, 62:9, 88:22 fatal [1] - 94:15 favor [27] - 11:19, 13:9, 19:21, 47:1, 47:15, 47:19, 48:5, 51:8, 55:15, 61:4, 66:4, 70:14, 70:18, 71:18, 79:11, 79:17, 81:14, 110:5, 110:8, 110:11, 110:14, 111:5, 111:10,

112:4, 112:9, 112:13, 114:10 February [1] - 56:15 federal [6] - 8:18, 45:6, 45:13, 78:9, 104.1 fell [2] - 51:23, 52:4 felt [1] - 31:3 female [3] - 20:10, 23:1, 23:11 few [5] - 16:10, 37:19, 38:1, 38:13, 44:9 fiancee [1] - 52:11 figured [1] - 96:7 file [5] - 16:1, 75:7, 78:1, 88:19, 113:17 filed [24] - 5:15, 9:15, 9:17, 9:19, 10:2, 10:5, 13:19, 13:20, 16:23, 17:21, 48:20, 48:21, 50:13, 50:21, 50:23, 55:11, 55:12, 72:11, 72:14, 78:7, 104:15, 109:18, 109:19, 113:16 filing [4] - 13:18, 15:6, 15:11, 51:12 filings [2] - 13:16, 14:23 final [6] - 4:21, 15:12, 17:9, 17:14, 51:3, 59:11 finalize [1] - 118:9 finally [1] - 54:14 finances [1] - 91:3 findings [6] - 5:13, 19:20, 28:18, 29:1, 33:2, 38:11 fine [2] - 88:7, 100:7 first [11] - 7:14, 27:19, 44:1, 53:6, 63:20, 64:5, 68:7, 78:22, 81:17, 101:8, 118:20 fit [1] - 28:14 five [10] - 4:11, 9:12, 18:18, 19:5, 22:7, 26:5, 49:10, 49:12, 82.1 113.12 five-foot-three [2] -22:7, 26:5 five-minute [1] - 19:5 five-percent [1] - 9:12 Flack [2] - 88:15 flash [1] - 52:9 **FLEISCHHACKER** [42] - 6:9, 11:12, 13:23, 15:16, 15:19, 15:23, 16:12, 16:15, 18:15, 18:20, 18:23,

19:6, 19:9, 27:4,

27:7, 37:22, 46:15, 49:11, 49:16, 49:18, 49:22, 50:3, 71:11, 73:3, 82:3, 95:13, 95:19, 95:21, 96:1, 96:9, 96:12, 99:6, 99:9, 109:7, 109:10, 109:17. 109:23. 111:18, 112:1, 112:12, 116:6, 117:11 Fleischhacker [1] -2.6 fluent [1] - 75:10 focus [1] - 21:3 follow [4] - 18:1, 89:2, 91:23, 96:19 followed [2] - 94:13, follows [2] - 51:13, 91.5 foot [3] - 22:4, 22:7, 26:5 forced [1] - 62:14 foregoing [1] - 120:5 foremost [1] - 64:6 forget [1] - 22:5 form [1] - 104:2 formalized [1] - 9:13 forms [1] - 40:8 forth [5] - 74:15. 78:12. 80:8. 89:16. 97:12 fortunate [1] - 106:2 forward [1] - 52:9 four [8] - 19:16, 27:23, 49:20, 50:2, 54:8, 64:9, 72:23, 73:1 frame [2] - 63:12, 64:11 Friday [4] - 116:8, 116:10, 117:17, 118:1 Friedman [1] - 7:20 friend [2] - 58:2, 58:3 FSI [1] - 14:17 fucking [2] - 65:12, 65:13 full [3] - 33:6, 45:10, 116:14 fully [2] - 40:13, 92:3 function [2] - 29:21, 30.1

G

gap [1] - 101:11 garage [4] - 58:21,

funds [1] - 10:8

FYI [1] - 88:15

59:1, 62:15 Gardner [1] - 114:21 gas [7] - 74:18, 74:21, 74:22, 77:19, 77:21, 89:14, 106:21 gender [1] - 67:4 gentleman [1] - 31:8 genuine [2] - 110:3, 110:13 Gerald [2] - 71:23, 73:9 gesture [1] - 87:12 gestured [2] - 85:7, 104:20 giggle [1] - 93:17 girlfriend [2] - 52:11, 68:18 given [2] - 32:11, 53:14 Global [1] - 12:6 goal [1] - 7:15 Government [2] -1:15, 2:7 graduated [1] - 76:8 grainy [1] - 88:4 grandson [1] - 68:15 grant [2] - 14:21, 15:7 granted [4] - 14:4, 55:14, 72:8, 113:23 granting [3] - 13:21, 50:16, 82:13 grants [1] - 48:16 great [8] - 7:6, 7:7, 7:8, 8:18, 11:10, 19:1, 90:10, 116:6 greater [1] - 98:21 Greg [2] - 4:5, 11:9 Gregory [2] - 15:1, 50.9 Greyhound [4] - 20:6, 20:7, 23:4, 37:3 Greyhound's [1] -46:12 Group [1] - 15:4 **growling** [1] - 34:14 guess [2] - 99:2, 106:7 gummies [8] - 89:8, 89:12, 89:18, 89:19, 93:13, 94:6 guy [4] - 58:17, 61:21, 66:14, 93:12 guys [1] - 100:13

Н

hair [1] - 22:4 half [2] - 5:16, 84:22 halfway [3] - 35:15, 64:8, 64:11 Hammock [13] - 23:9,

24:17, 26:6, 31:9, 31:11, 31:17, 31:18, 33:19, 35:10, 36:3, 36:10, 37:8 hand [1] - 87:12 handling [1] - 42:18 handwritten [1] -87:14 hanging [1] - 118:17 happy [1] - 112:17 hard [5] - 20:17, 20:18, 22:5, 76:3, 108:18 harmed [1] - 85:21 Harris [23] - 48:12, 51:11, 51:20, 52:10, 53:6, 53:22, 54:17, 57:5, 57:7, 60:19, 61:20, 62:1, 62:11, 62:17, 62:20, 64:19, 66:2, 66:18, 66:20, 67:1, 67:12, 68:18 Harris' [3] - 50:12, 57:2, 60:12 Harrison [2] - 2:12, 3:22 HARRISON [1] - 3:21 head [4] - 13:23, 75:20, 79:4, 109:13 Health [6] - 71:23, 82:14, 84:1, 85:16, 95:16, 102:10 health [10] - 72:2. 82:7, 82:8, 82:16, 86:4, 92:7, 92:8, 100:18, 100:19, 104:9 health's [1] - 83:8 Health's [1] - 86:10 healthcare [1] - 87:10 hear [12] - 11:11, 24:2, 33:15, 33:16, 39:1, 41:20, 52:22, 53:15, 59:19, 59:21, 61:7, 61:13 heard [13] - 12:13, 31:21, 32:17, 33:16, 33:17, 34:5, 38:22, 66:11, 66:12, 66:15, 66:16, 85:19 hearing [13] - 27:18, 28:2, 30:11, 32:20,

39:5, 40:1, 40:10,

40:20, 49:4, 72:18,

110:19, 110:22,

hearings [2] - 18:5,

heightened [1] - 91:8

hears [1] - 39:13

111:19

38:6

held [2] - 60:16, 61:4 hello [3] - 3:21, 4:1, 73:7 help [3] - 8:6, 85:18, 85.22 helpful [1] - 117:9 hereby [2] - 72:9, 120:5 heritage [1] - 15:4 herself [3] - 31:16, 40:2, 40:6 hesitate [1] - 41:9 **HESSION** [15] - 27:1, 27:6, 27:9, 37:17, 38:1, 41:5, 41:8, 43:6, 43:8, 43:13, 43:18, 43:21, 43:23, 44:22, 45:7 Hession [2] - 2:17, 27:10 high [1] - 88:3 high-quality [1] - 88:3 higher [1] - 91:8 himself [2] - 63:13, 65:12 historically [1] - 103:6 history [2] - 92:19, 118:20 hit [1] - 63:20 Hodgen [1] - 12:5 **HODGES** [1] - 4:9 Hodges [2] - 2:14, 4:9 HOha23090705 [2] -16:5, 113:2 HOha23100762 [1] -71:5 HOha24060496 [1] -14:19 hold [1] - 81:20 Holdings [1] - 114:22 hollering [1] - 39:5 home [12] - 14:19, 51:17, 52:12, 52:20, 54:9, 56:20, 64:1, 64:18, 65:8, 66:3 homeless [3] - 23:16, 23:20, 26:13 homes [1] - 14:7 HomeWorks [1] -114.22 HOno24090805 [1] -15:5 Honor [2] - 81:22, 111:15 hoping [1] - 89:3 HOra21040110 [1] -HOra22020034 [1] -113:22

HOra24050341 [1] -

114:23 HOra24050384 [1] -14:12 HOra24060455 [1] -14:8 hospital [10] - 71:23, 76:13, 78:4, 80:6, 83:1, 85:1, 90:7, 100:18, 103:9, 108:12 hospitals [2] - 90:13, 102:17 hostile [2] - 54:15, 62:9 hou [1] - 61:1 hour [1] - 115:12 hours [12] - 65:6, 73:13, 73:22, 74:4, 75:1, 78:18, 85:1, 93:4, 96:20, 97:5, 97:16, 98:5 hours' [1] - 87:10 house [23] - 54:8, 57:5, 57:8, 57:13, 57:14, 57:17, 57:18, 57:20, 57:22, 58:7, 58:10, 58:11, 58:12, 58:16, 58:20, 59:12, 59:13, 60:1, 60:8, 61:1, 62:6, 62:14, 96:4 housing [5] - 8:21, 60:8, 61:4, 113:4, 113:9 Housing [2] - 8:22, 71:4 HUD [2] - 8:15, 10:4 human [1] - 50:18 hundred [1] - 113:11 hundreds [3] - 101:18, 101:23, 103:9

1

icing [1] - 59:5
ICRC [19] - 5:9, 6:7,
19:20, 25:6, 34:22,
53:2, 55:9, 55:15,
55:18, 56:4, 56:10,
56:16, 61:14, 62:11,
67:17, 67:21, 67:23,
78:5, 88:22
ICRC's [2] - 59:20,
60:9
ICRC/Ann [1] - 71:3
ICRC/Christine [1] 48:12
ICRC/Darian [2] 15:10, 113:1

ICRC/De'Andre [1] -

identify [3] - 42:6, 102:7, 116:13 idiomatic [1] - 75:13 ill [1] - 68:15 illness [1] - 76:17 images [2] - 88:3, 88:5 immediate [1] - 103:5 immediately [3] -20:12. 85:23. 87:14 important [11] - 21:4, 21:8, 21:12, 21:15, 23:8, 23:17, 25:3, 52:2, 56:1, 75:11, 116:2 **importantly** [1] - 62:18 impossible [1] - 24:22 in-person [6] - 87:2, 87:5, 87:8, 94:8, 100:23, 107:20 inappropriate [1] -65:13 Inc [1] - 15:5 incident [3] - 26:13, 58:3. 98:19 included [2] - 52:23, 65:16 includes [1] - 37:6 including [4] - 10:3, 62:3, 86:10, 102:3 increase [1] - 9:23 indeed [1] - 113:4 Indian [1] - 4:8 **INDIANA** [3] - 1:1, 1:21, 2:6 Indiana [32] - 1:10, 1:14, 1:16, 1:22, 2:7, 2:8, 3:6, 4:7, 7:3, 7:9, 7:12, 7:21, 8:7, 8:22, 9:1, 14:7, 15:3, 29:10, 32:23, 50:7, 50:10, 53:9, 54:3, 60:14, 74:10, 84:7, 113:4, 113:5, 120:4, 120:13 Indiana's [1] - 80:16 Indianapolis [2] -1:16, 2:8 indicate [1] - 105:5 indicated [9] - 38:14, 38:22, 93:1, 93:12, 94:7, 104:20, 104:22, 106:3, 108:13 indicates [2] - 31:11, 107:8

indicating [1] - 88:16

individual [8] - 22:18,

88:18

113:20

ICW [1] - 3:22

ICRC/ira [1] - 114:21

24:16, 42:17, 73:10, 76:2, 76:18, 76:19, 99.18 inform [1] - 81:20 **information** [1] - 3:9 ingested [2] - 82:22, 93.12 initial [4] - 4:19, 19:20, 49:22, 101:5 innocent [1] - 22:15 inquiries [1] - 9:11 inspiring [1] - 11:10 installed [1] - 57:14 instance [1] - 44:1 **instruction** [1] - 47:14 instructions [3] -17:18, 18:3, 72:21 intent [2] - 44:11, 44:12 intentions [1] - 51:6 interaction [3] - 30:9, 31:15, 31:18 interchanges [1] -35:13 interest [2] - 50:11, 66.5 internal [2] - 101:8, 105:14 internet [3] - 83:9, 98:10, 98:20 Internet [8] - 83:17, 86:11, 86:14, 100:12, 102:5, 102:12, 103:13 internet-based [1] -83.9 Internet-based [2] -83:17, 100:12 interpret [2] - 67:16. 80:23 interpretation [6] -73:15, 84:2, 84:10, 86:5, 86:7, 100:11 interpretative [1] -86:7 interpreter [19] -74:11, 74:12, 74:14, 75:8, 78:2, 80:5, 80:15, 80:17, 87:2, 87:3, 87:5, 88:12, 88:14, 91:17, 91:21, 94:8, 103:10, 107:21, 108:22 interpreters [7] - 74:5, 76:16, 80:18, 83:21, 84:6, 87:9, 93:8 interpreting [7] -78:17, 80:13, 80:19, 80:20, 80:21, 80:22,

interviewed [2] - 25:7, 34:21 introduced [1] - 28:8 investigated [1] - 53:2 investigation [3] -24:14, 26:12, 35:21 investigative [1] -4.21 investigator [1] -24:10 Investigator [1] - 4:10 investments [1] -113:21 involve [1] - 5:17 involved [3] - 6:19, 21:18, 59:17 involving [1] - 58:11 irate [1] - 44:5 irrelevant [3] - 81:10, 89:7, 90:5 issue [19] - 17:9, 17:15, 17:16, 32:8, 38:9, 53:20, 74:3, 79:7, 79:13, 82:15, 87:3, 94:20, 94:22, 94:23, 101:4, 107:1, 107:19, 110:3, 110:13 issued [4] - 38:21, 50:15, 71:7, 82:10 issues [11] - 53:4, 54:12, 74:9, 74:11, 74:12, 93:22, 98:21, 106:20, 115:9, 115:19 IT [1] - 101:3 it'd [1] - 97:15 it'll [1] - 9:3

J

itself [3] - 40:1, 41:9,

57:8

James [1] - 2:14 January [1] - 56:13 Japanese [1] - 75:17 Jeff [2] - 83:15, 98:22 Jerry [2] - 15:10, 113.1 Jewish [2] - 7:21, 8:2 **Jim** [1] - 4:9 jingles [3] - 23:23, 24.1 job [3] - 11:9, 38:8, 38:10 Jordan [2] - 2:12, 3:22 **Jr** [4] - 1:13, 6:17, 120:2, 120:11 Judge [2] - 29:7, 82:12

judge [26] - 16:18, 17:6, 17:18, 18:6, 28:15, 28:16, 28:18, 28:19, 32:7, 33:1, 33:5, 38:5, 38:20, 40:10, 48:14, 50:18, 54:1, 55:10, 71:6, 72:3, 78:5, 82:10, 94:19, 95:2, 110:16, 113:22 Judge's [4] - 15:12, 16:8, 17:4, 32:20 judge's [4] - 17:10, 38:8, 40:13, 94:12 judgment [33] - 17:23, 27:16, 27:17, 48:17, 48:18, 50:14, 50:17, 51:8, 55:11, 55:15, 60:15, 66:4, 69:10, 70:13, 72:5, 72:7, 76:22, 78:22, 79:8, 79:9, 79:17, 81:14, 82:13, 94:17, 107:3, 109:12, 109:15, 110:1, 110:18, 111:4, 111:21, 112:1, 112:9 judgments [1] - 55:12 Juech [1] - 107:23 Julie [1] - 114:17 jumping [4] - 29:15, 30:3, 30:4, 44:3

Κ

Kate [1] - 2:19

kayak [1] - 64:20 keep [1] - 68:10 Kellee [1] - 14:7 Kentucky [1] - 29:11 kept [2] - 23:12, 56:14 Kevin [1] - 14:10 key [1] - 67:11 kind [6] - 8:14, 16:11, 27:19, 28:6, 29:6 kinds [1] - 101:20 King [1] - 6:17 Kirchoff [2] - 2:12, 50:6 KIRCHOFF [13] - 49:9, 49:14, 49:17, 49:19, 50:1, 50:5, 55:2, 63:2, 63:5, 63:9, 63:18, 69:11, 71:1 KIRKMAN [11] -100:14, 101:16, 101:18, 102:3, 102:9, 102:14, 103:3, 103:14, 105:10, 105:13,

105:17
Kirkman [2] - 2:19, 102:9
knocked [4] - 52:13, 65:1, 65:5
knowing [1] - 21:16
knowledge [1] - 103:1
Kokomo [1] - 14:12

L

lack [1] - 86:14 lags [1] - 88:4 Lakes [1] - 71:5 landing [1] - 6:17 landlord [5] - 62:2, 62:4, 62:6, 62:19, 62:20 language [9] - 65:11, 67:12, 75:10, 75:12, 75:13, 76:9, 105:15 Language [1] - 88:18 languages [3] - 91:7, 101:20, 101:23 large [1] - 42:19 last [15] - 5:16, 6:1, 6:12, 7:13, 9:10, 9:16, 9:17, 10:15, 10:18, 11:19, 49:7, 56:15, 56:21, 115:16 late [2] - 64:15, 115:3 Laughter [1] - 47:8 law [55] - 8:3, 15:11. 15:13, 16:8, 16:18, 17:4, 17:6, 17:7, 17:10, 17:18, 18:6, 28:16, 28:18, 32:7, 32:20, 32:23, 33:3, 33:5, 38:5, 38:8, 40:9, 40:13, 45:6, 45:13, 48:14, 53:9, 54:3, 55:10, 60:14, 71:6, 72:3, 81:10, 81:11, 82:12, 84:7, 84:8, 84:11, 90:22, 90:23, 92:12, 92:15, 92:18, 103:22, 103:23, 106:12, 106:13, 106:15, 106:18, 107:8, 107:22, 108:15, 110:16, 113:5, 113:22 lawn [4] - 58:22, 59:14, 61:1, 62:15 layer [1] - 105:18 layers [1] - 103:4 learned [2] - 60:1, 60:3 learning [1] - 65:7

lease [19] - 51:16, 51:20, 52:3, 53:9, 53:10, 55:21, 55:23, 56:17, 56:18, 56:23, 57:6, 57:8, 57:11, 57:20, 58:15, 60:4, 61:2, 62:3, 62:7 leash [1] - 22:8 leave [2] - 59:23, 115:15 leaves [1] - 42:14 led [3] - 51:11, 56:19, 82:21 left [1] - 28:9 legal [5] - 74:9, 74:12, 80:21, 81:4, 106:19 Leichentritt [1] - 71:3 Lennar [2] - 14:7, 14:8 letter [4] - 56:15, 56:17, 56:21, 75:18 letters [4] - 52:4, 52:6, 56:11, 56:14 level [4] - 64:22, 76:21, 78:9, 80:19 levels [1] - 76:1 liability [7] - 72:5, 94:18, 94:20, 94:21, 109:16, 109:21, 109:22 life [2] - 19:23, 99:16 life-death [1] - 99:16 light [2] - 52:15, 110:4 lights [4] - 57:16, 62:13, 65:2, 65:3 likely [1] - 97:19 Lindy [3] - 1:12, 120:2, 120:11 line [2] - 12:8, 118:17 list [2] - 99:21, 115:15 listed [1] - 57:7 listen [1] - 68:4 litigated [3] - 27:21, 28:11, 32:3 **litigation** [3] - 10:14, 10:22, 32:12 **litigations** [1] - 10:16 live [2] - 86:7, 96:3 lived [1] - 52:11 lives [2] - 46:7, 68:16 living [14] - 14:11, 52:19, 54:8, 54:15, 57:5, 57:10, 58:9, 59:12, 59:13, 60:2, 62:6, 64:17, 65:7, 68:14 LLC [11] - 1:21, 14:8, 14:11, 14:12, 14:18, 71:4, 71:5, 113:21, 114.22

lockwood [6] - 30:8,

30:14, 30:16, 30:23, 39:15, 39:19 Lockwood [21] -29:12. 30:2. 30:12. 31:2. 31:3. 31:15. 32:1, 32:17, 36:12, 36:19, 38:15, 38:23, 39:6, 39:8, 39:9, 39:12, 41:10, 41:11, 41:14, 44:6, 45:8 Lockwood's [2] -32:13, 32:18 look [31] - 33:14, 34:3, 41:8, 60:7, 61:12, 61:14, 61:15, 61:22, 66:22, 68:4, 80:16, 84:8, 84:19, 85:11, 89:8, 92:12, 92:17, 92:22, 93:23, 94:1, 94:12, 98:15, 103:7, 103:22, 107:8, 107:22, 107:23, 108:2, 108:3, 108:15, 116:16 looked [4] - 23:16, 23:19, 104:12, 104:18 looking [2] - 93:4, 110:4 looks [1] - 58:21 loose [2] - 23:20, 24:9 Lostutter [1] - 2:11 LOSTUTTER [14] -3:13, 3:18, 3:23, 4:11, 4:13, 5:7, 11:16, 12:8, 12:14, 13:2, 14:2, 18:22, 19:18, 63:4 loud [1] - 34:15 Louise [1] - 11:9 Louisville [3] - 29:10, 29:13, 46:7 love [1] - 118:15 **LP**[1] - 15:4 LTE [1] - 105:14 Luna [1] - 88:9 lunge [1] - 34:1 lunged [3] - 33:20, 33:21, 36:8 lunging [2] - 34:1, 36:4 Luther [1] - 6:17

M

machines [2] - 98:10, 103:8 mad [3] - 62:19, 62:20, 66:21 mail [3] - 35:20, 86:11,

117:8 major [1] - 6:11 malpractice [2] -76:20, 77:6 $\pmb{man}\ [5]\ \textbf{-}\ 66\text{:}15,\ 66\text{:}17,$ 68:3, 68:12, 68:16 management [1] -114:23 Management [1] -14:11 manager [4] - 4:3, 30:13, 44:7, 44:9 manner [1] - 77:4 March [7] - 52:9. 52:17, 53:18, 54:6, 56:21, 57:4, 57:13 Marie [1] - 71:3 Marion [2] - 29:12, 72:1 married [2] - 33:10, 68:17 marshal [1] - 28:13 Martin [1] - 6:16 Martz [2] - 83:15, 98:22 material [2] - 110:3, 110:14 matter [29] - 1:10, 5:12, 17:17, 18:2, 27:12, 27:16, 27:17, 28:2, 33:3, 48:14, 54:16, 71:6, 71:8, 72:3, 72:13, 72:14, 73:13, 89:23, 90:3, 95:20, 99:7, 110:9, 110:15, 113:2, 113:3, 113:16, 113:18, 113:23, 120:7 matters [7] - 16:19, 16:21, 17:20, 17:22, 27:15, 51:11, 54:17 McAvon [1] - 71:4 McDade [1] - 113:20 mean [20] - 17:5, 24:6, 49:20, 68:10, 69:16, 76:10, 88:20, 89:2, 89:16, 89:22, 90:23, 100:5, 100:8, 101:5, 101:6. 102:20. 105:22. 107:2. 107:7, 116:18 means [4] - 84:9, 84:10, 92:14, 99:17 meant [2] - 70:1, 70:2 media [1] - 6:21 mediation [1] - 10:9 medical [22] - 74:9, 74:11, 75:6, 76:11,

76:19, 77:6, 80:18,

80:21, 81:4, 81:5, 81:9, 85:4, 86:3, 86:15, 88:16, 90:19, 91:22, 94:2, 97:11, 106:20, 107:18 medication [1] - 81:6 meeting [9] - 3:6, 5:3, 5:8, 5:12, 6:1, 6:12, 11:20, 115:2, 119:4 MEETING [1] - 1:5 meetings [2] - 4:16, 116:9 member [2] - 95:16, 115:19 **MEMBERS** [1] - 2:2 members [8] - 4:22, 11:21, 13:10, 48:6, 70:19, 71:19, 111:11, 114:11 mention [3] - 56:17, 83:5, 90:4 mentioned [5] - 8:9, 27:15, 39:17, 78:8, 96:20 Meritus [1] - 71:4 messages [1] - 7:6 messed [2] - 79:2, 80:3 met [1] - 7:19 metabolized [1] - 94:6 metabolizes [1] -107:13 meter [1] - 64:3 method [2] - 84:12, 87:21 **methods** [1] - 94:10 metrics [1] - 9:9 Meyer [3] - 1:12, 120:2, 120:11 Michael [1] - 2:11 middle [1] - 9:2 midnight [3] - 82:19, 87:4, 94:9 might [5] - 74:19, 75:20, 75:21, 79:3, 79:5 Mihaljevic [1] - 51:14 miller [1] - 20:7 Miller [19] - 18:13, 20:7, 20:8, 23:4, 24:11, 24:13, 26:8, 27:11, 28:19, 28:22, 29:4, 29:9, 29:17, 31:9, 37:3, 37:4, 42:10, 42:14, 45:12 mind [2] - 58:14, 119:2 minor [1] - 114:18 minute [1] - 19:5 minutes [31] - 5:8, 6:1,

11:15, 11:19, 12:2, 18:18, 19:2, 19:3, 19:12, 19:16, 27:6, 37:21, 37:23, 44:9, 49:7, 49:8, 49:10, 49:12, 54:23, 55:6, 55:7, 66:9, 72:23, 73:1. 73:4. 81:17. 81:18, 82:1, 101:19, 103:10 missing [3] - 58:22, 58:23, 61:2 mistake [2] - 53:13, 53:21 mistakes [1] - 50:19 mobile [1] - 14:18 modification [1] -70:13 modify [5] - 17:14, 51:3, 51:7, 66:3, 110:10 modifying [1] - 17:10 moment [1] - 3:17 Monday [4] - 1:16, 13:7, 117:6, 120:7 Mondays [1] - 13:7 money [7] - 59:9, 59:17, 61:19, 61:21, 62:8, 63:21, 63:23 monitor [3] - 9:21, 93:19, 104:11 monitoring [1] - 94:5 month [6] - 6:12, 8:16. 9:4, 9:19, 12:4, 56:12 month's [2] - 6:1, 11:19 months [5] - 52:17, 56:6, 56:8, 60:23, 64:10 moratorium [3] - 52:7, 56:5, 56:9 moreover [1] - 86:3 mortgage [1] - 14:8 moss [19] - 28:23, 29:8, 30:1, 30:7, 30:15, 30:18, 31:4, 31:15, 31:23, 39:4, 39:8, 39:19, 40:1, 43:6, 43:7, 44:1, 44:5, 44:15, 45:20 Moss [8] - 18:12, 26:14, 26:15, 29:2, 29:21, 30:22, 38:23, 46:3 moss' [3] - 32:5, 32:13, 38:4 Moss' [3] - 28:4, 31:6, 38:2 most [3] - 31:22,

15:6. 15:8. 40:21. 46:21, 47:7, 47:10, 48:9, 48:17, 48:18, 69:10, 70:9, 70:10, 71:13, 72:4, 72:6, 72:7, 76:22, 77:1, 81:13, 94:16, 109:6, 109:20, 110:23, 112:12, 114:1, 114:4 motioned [1] - 109:15 motions [5] - 5:15, 13:15, 14:23, 50:14, 109:11 move [5] - 46:22, 46:23, 110:9, 110:10, 112:23 moved [8] - 6:2, 12:20, 64:1, 71:14, 94:17, 94:18, 114:5, 116.8 mower [5] - 58:22, 58:23. 59:14. 61:1. 62:16 MR [96] - 3:13, 3:18, 3:23, 4:4, 4:9, 4:11, 4:13, 5:7, 6:9, 11:12, 11:16, 12:8, 12:14, 13:2, 13:23, 14:2, 15:16, 15:19, 15:23, 16:12, 16:15, 18:15, 18:20, 18:22, 18:23, 19:6, 19:9, 19:18, 27:1, 27:4, 27:6, 27:7, 27:9, 37:17, 37:22, 38:1, 41:5, 41:8, 43:6, 43:8, 43:13, 43:18, 43:21, 43:23, 44:22, 45:7, 46:15, 49:11, 49:16, 49:18, 49:22, 50:3, 63:4, 71:11, 73:3, 81:22, 82:3, 82:4, 87:17, 92:5, 95:13, 95:19, 95:21, 96:1, 96:9, 96:12, 96:22, 97:23, 98:3, 98:6, 99:6, 99:9, 100:5, 100:9, 100:15, 101:14, 101:17, 102:20, 103:19, 107:7, 109:7, 109:10, 109:14, 109:17, 109:19, 109:22, 109:23, 111:15, 111:18, 111:20, 112:1,

78:14, 84:23

motion [33] - 5:23,

6:5, 11:17, 12:23,

13:14, 13:18, 14:3,

112:8, 112:12, 112:16, 116:6, 117:11 MS [56] - 3:16, 3:21, 4:1. 4:6. 4:12. 19:15. 19:19. 21:2. 21:7. 26:22, 33:8, 41:18, 42:2, 42:5, 42:8, 46:5, 46:6, 46:13, 49:1, 49:4, 49:9, 49:14, 49:17, 49:19, 50:1, 50:5, 55:2, 55:3, 63:2, 63:5, 63:9, 63:18, 66:9, 69:11, 71:1, 72:19, 72:22, 73:4, 73:7, 87:19, 87:20, 100:14, 101:16, 101:18, 102:3, 102:9, 102:14, 103:3, 103:14, 103:15, 105:10, 105:13, 105:17, 106:17, 109:13, 109:21 multiple [1] - 32:19 Muslim [1] - 69:17 must [4] - 4:21, 54:4, 69:21, 112:18

Ν

N300 [2] - 1:16, 2:7 name [13] - 4:1, 4:6, 27:9, 30:23, 34:10, 41:10, 41:14, 44:16, 50:6, 51:15, 55:4, 73:7, 82:5 named [3] - 29:12, 30:9, 31:8 names [3] - 3:14, 41:22, 99:21 narrow [1] - 106:18 national [1] - 69:19 nationality [1] - 69:12 Native [1] - 4:7 nature [3] - 30:19, 45:15, 87:7 necessarily [1] -107:11 necessary [1] - 17:14 need [26] - 9:7, 11:14, 13:22, 16:4, 52:16, 61:14, 64:20, 66:22, 71:10, 72:20, 78:13, 80:15, 84:13, 88:13, 89:1, 90:21, 91:13, 91:14, 91:21, 96:19, 98:2. 100:20. 100:22, 108:21,

110:17, 112:10 Need [1] - 88:12 needed [6] - 75:7, 76:5, 86:4, 90:17, 97:2, 104:11 needing [1] - 96:21 needs [8] - 3:8, 78:1, 80:14, 90:15, 91:23, 96:17, 106:16, 112:11 network [3] - 86:10, 86:13, 100:19 neutral [6] - 20:5, 22:17, 25:4, 34:8, 34:21, 67:5 never [3] - 34:22, 36:7, 43:13 new [7] - 5:13, 14:5, 15:1, 38:6, 100:15, 106.8 New [1] - 114:19 news [1] - 99:15 next [23] - 10:23, 13:1, 13:15, 15:9, 16:7, 24:5, 24:19, 25:2, 48:12, 54:19, 56:12, 64:22, 71:2, 71:22, 108:23, 112:23, 113:20, 114:14, 114:15, 114:21, 115:2, 117:9 nicely [1] - 6:22 Nicholas [1] - 2:13 nick [1] - 4:4 Nicole [2] - 2:14, 4:6 Niksa [33] - 51:14, 51:15, 51:17, 51:23, 52:4, 52:15, 52:21, 53:19, 55:20, 55:21, 56:5, 57:9, 57:14, 57:19, 58:3, 59:7, 59:23, 60:18, 60:22, 60:23, 61:18, 63:23, 64:3, 64:5, 65:3, 65:14, 66:19, 67:16, 67:22, 68:17, 69:13, 69:23

Niksa's [4] - 52:10,

nine [1] - 113:11

ninety [1] - 68:14

old [1] - 68:14

none [3] - 40:21,

62:16, 62:18

nonfinding [2] -

50:15, 50:16

ninety-some-year-

nonfinal [4] - 16:21,

38:9, 50:16, 50:21

nonmoving [1] - 110:5

56:19, 68:18, 68:20

nonpayment [2] -52:6, 52:8 normally [2] - 73:16, 98.9 North [4] - 1:15, 2:7, 2.7 north [1] - 6:18 Northeast [1] - 6:17 Notary [3] - 1:13, 120:3, 120:12 note [5] - 62:16, 88:11, 88:12, 91:17, 94:19 notes [25] - 73:22. 74:1, 74:2, 74:6, 74:15, 76:11, 77:16, 78:6, 78:11, 80:8, 82:23, 84:16, 85:7, 85:10, 87:14, 92:16, 93:9, 103:17, 103:18, 103:23, 104:1, 104:21, 106:5, 106:18 nothing [5] - 15:16, 52:5, 54:10, 64:15, 77:3 notice [8] - 4:20, 5:10, 5:13, 10:20, 76:22, 78:1, 87:10, 96:18 noticed [1] - 86:1 notified [1] - 58:2 November [5] - 64:10, 115:3, 115:10, 116:14, 116:17 nowhere [3] - 56:16, 57:6, 68:2 NP0690003 [1] -120:16 number [7] - 10:2, 11:1, 16:4, 81:7, 99:14, 117:8, 119:3 numbers [4] - 9:23, 10:22, 11:2, 99:20 numerous [2] - 69:23, 83:19 nurses [1] - 80:8

0

o'clock [3] - 1:17, 3:1, 119:6 oar [4] - 62:16, 64:18, 64:19, 64:20 oath [1] - 33:20 objected [1] - 30:7 objection [12] - 15:11, 34:4, 48:20, 48:22, 71:9, 72:12, 72:13, 78:7, 88:1, 113:17, 114:3

objectionable [9] -29:5, 31:6, 41:4, 41:7, 41:12, 41:16, 42:11, 42:21, 43:4 objections [6] - 16:23, 17:2, 17:21, 50:22, 51:1, 72:14 obscene [1] - 30:23 observed [2] - 20:5, 30:9 **obtained** [1] - 10:9 occasions [2] - 29:20, occupant [4] - 57:8, 57:9, 57:11, 62:4 occurred [1] - 41:21 OCTOBER [1] - 1:5 October [7] - 1:17, 3:1, 3:5, 7:4, 64:10, 119:6, 120:7 **OF** [3] - 1:1, 1:5, 1:21 offensive [4] - 41:14, 67:3, 67:6, 67:8 offer [3] - 39:9, 39:19, 44:12 offered [2] - 39:16, 84.2 office [3] - 10:6, 116:5, 118:18 official [1] - 15:2 often [1] - 100:3 old [4] - 5:9, 12:4, 13:3, 68:14 on-line [2] - 12:8, 118:17 once [9] - 41:10, 41:11, 44:11, 50:21, 54:6, 80:11, 84:3, 97:1, 112:20 one [30] - 15:15, 15:17, 22:2, 25:13, 26:4, 33:13, 37:11, 38:19, 39:18, 39:21, 42:22, 49:7, 53:6, 56:2, 59:3, 59:7, 59:9, 64:2, 67:18, 68:5, 68:7, 85:14, 94:1, 95:10, 98:19, 107:12, 107:19, 115:2, 115:5, 115:6 ones [1] - 42:18 ongoing [1] - 8:8 open [1] - 10:13 opined [1] - 40:5 opinion [1] - 17:6 opportunities [1] - 8:1 opportunity [3] -28:13. 28:17. 46:2 opposed [7] - 11:22, 13:11, 48:7, 70:20,

71:20, 111:12, 114.12 opposing [1] - 63:14 opposite [1] - 33:12 opposition [1] - 48:22 option [5] - 87:6, 94:8, 97:9, 98:16, 101:1 options [3] - 17:2, 70:12, 109:8 oral [8] - 4:17, 5:10, 5:17, 14:21, 18:17, 40:17, 72:15, 95:8 order [29] - 3:7, 15:13, 17:4, 17:10, 17:11, 17:15, 17:17, 27:14, 33:5, 38:21, 39:22, 40:14, 47:15, 49:15, 50:16, 50:21, 51:3, 55:17, 66:4, 69:1, 69:21, 71:7, 77:14, 77:15, 78:3, 82:9, 94:13, 95:2, 96:18 ordered [2] - 55:14, 113:13 orders [3] - 16:8, 16:18, 16:21 origin [1] - 69:19 original [2] - 19:10, 26:21 originated [1] - 16:19 OTHER [1] - 2:10 otherwise [1] - 98:20 ourselves [1] - 11:8 outage [1] - 73:20 outreach [1] - 4:2 outside [3] - 58:10, 65:1, 65:3 overall [2] - 7:8, 11:2 overheard [1] - 35:23 oversimplified [1] -92:13 Owens [2] - 2:14, 4:6 **OWENS** [1] - 4:6 own [11] - 31:6, 36:19, 40:6, 44:18, 62:14, 62:15, 75:12, 75:13, 76:23, 77:9 owns [1] - 59:8

Р

p.m [3] - 1:17, 3:1, 119:6 pace [2] - 9:10, 10:7 page [6] - 21:3, 21:8, 21:9, 21:14, 34:4, 88:1 pages [1] - 21:9 PAha21110432 [1] -18:13

PAha22010026 [1] -72:2 paid [1] - 60:22 paper [4] - 64:21, 85:8, 87:15, 88:13 paperwork [1] - 29:22 paramedics [1] -82:23 pardon [2] - 87:17, 101:14 Paris [2] - 2:13, 4:1 park [2] - 7:1, 14:19 Park [1] - 7:1 parked [1] - 58:5 Parkview [1] - 15:4 part [9] - 53:12, 67:3, 67:6, 67:8, 69:9, 70:2, 70:4, 91:11 partial [4] - 72:4, 79:10, 79:17, 79:18 particular [4] - 21:3, 99:7, 100:2, 106:16 particularly [1] - 8:1 parties [12] - 12:7, 15:23, 16:1, 18:14, 28:6, 28:11, 32:12, 48:16, 55:12, 73:11, 96:13, 114:2 partners [1] - 8:19 partnership [2] - 8:5, 8:21 partnerships [1] -8.18 party [8] - 4:15, 12:12, 12:13, 25:5, 32:15, 34:21, 69:13, 110:5 pass [1] - 74:15 passed [1] - 73:22 passenger [10] - 29:5, 31:7, 41:4, 41:7, 41:16, 42:11, 42:21, 43:4, 47:23, 48:2 passengers [9] -30:17, 42:14, 42:19, 44:13, 45:1, 45:3, 45:9, 45:17, 45:21 passing [2] - 74:1, 74:2 past [2] - 29:20, 68:13 patience [3] - 72:17, 112:21, 118:19 patient [1] - 86:6 patients [1] - 76:15 pattern [1] - 99:10 pay [5] - 56:3, 56:5, 56:7, 74:22, 77:21 paying [2] - 58:8, 77:19 payment [1] - 64:16

payments [1] - 52:1

pen [2] - 85:8, 87:15 people [18] - 3:9, 3:13, 20:6, 29:16, 30:3, 30:4, 44:3, 59:13, 72:15, 77:9, 97:8, 99:20, 100:17, 101:10, 101:22, 112:19, 113:7, 113:15 per [1] - 104:6 percent [2] - 9:12, 9:14 performing [1] - 94:3 period [7] - 57:1, 60:5, 65:6, 71:9, 72:13, 80:10, 114:3 permissible [1] -37:14 permission [3] -57:19, 57:22, 58:4 permitted [4] - 20:2, 28:13, 28:17, 36:23 person [30] - 3:8, 26:13, 30:9, 31:21, 33:10, 35:18, 36:5, 50:11, 51:12, 52:13, 53:10, 59:12, 62:5, 65:22, 66:6, 69:14, 75:20, 75:22, 79:3, 81:2, 87:2, 87:5, 87:8, 88:23, 91:6, 94:8, 100:23, 106:3, 107:20, 108:18 person's [1] - 107:13 personal [2] - 96:2, 103:1 pervasive [1] - 54:2 phone [6] - 3:10, 32:16, 39:12, 39:14, 99.20 phrase [1] - 67:18 **phrases** [1] - 67:18 picture [3] - 21:10, 21:15, 101:22 piece [1] - 88:13 **PIEZONKA** [1] - 4:4 Piezonka [2] - 2:13, pilot [1] - 8:16 pit [15] - 20:14, 22:21, 23:2, 25:10, 26:17, 29:19, 29:23, 34:6, 35:23, 36:19, 36:21, 37:7, 38:15, 38:18 Pitzel [38] - 48:13, 51:16, 52:20, 54:19, 54:21, 55:5, 55:13, 55:16, 55:19, 55:21, 56:8, 56:10, 56:23,

57:4, 57:7, 57:13,

58:2, 58:6, 58:7, 58:20, 59:6, 59:8, 60:11, 61:4, 61:8, 61:10, 61:18, 64:1, 64:7, 64:16, 65:4, 65:11, 66:13, 68:5, 68:12, 68:22, 69:15 Pitzel's [8] - 57:19, 57:20, 58:5, 58:13, 59:15, 59:22, 61:1, 61:9 place [16] - 5:11, 15:4, 42:12, 54:9, 54:10, 56:9, 64:5, 65:6, 66:1, 75:6, 78:14, 79:14, 80:14, 91:5, 91:8, 106:14 places [1] - 106:22 plaintiff's [1] - 82:11 plan [13] - 90:7, 90:8, 90:12, 90:15, 93:3, 93:19, 97:13, 102:13, 102:22, 103:2, 104:23, 105:2, 108:14 planned [1] - 94:2 play [1] - 59:20 played [1] - 63:17 **plus** [1] - 5:15 Pockrass [2] - 2:18, 82:6 POCKRASS [24] -81:22, 82:4, 87:17, 87:19, 92:5, 96:22, 97:23, 98:3, 98:6, 100:5, 100:9, 100:15, 101:14, 101:17, 102:20, 103:19, 107:7, 109:14, 109:19, 109:22, 111:15, 111:20, 112:8, 112:16 point [19] - 27:14, 30:16. 31:1. 41:14. 44:16, 44:18, 45:23, 49:15, 51:1, 52:21, 54:20, 63:11, 68:5, 68:7, 69:21, 72:18, 83:18, 93:14, 111:16 Pointe [1] - 1:21 pointed [4] - 55:18, 56:4, 56:10, 56:16 points [7] - 37:19, 38:2, 38:4, 38:13, 63:14, 63:20, 104:5 police [1] - 54:8 policies [1] - 45:13 policy [6] - 29:5, 29:17, 31:7, 41:17,

42:11, 103:7 Ponds [1] - 1:21 porch [2] - 57:17, 57:18 portion [3] - 31:22, 31:23, 111:17 position [2] - 33:2, 50:19 possibilities [1] -115:15 possibility [1] -116.21 possibly [1] - 58:11 potentially [1] - 25:21 pounding [1] - 68:10 pounds [1] - 22:1 **Prairie** [1] - 114:19 pre [1] - 9:23 pre-COVID [1] - 9:23 precedent [1] - 99:4 preferred [2] - 84:12, 87:21 prejudice [3] - 48:19, 72:10, 114:1 present [7] - 12:7, 12:12, 18:14, 28:17, 32:10, 40:11, 53:23 **PRESENT** [2] - 2:10, 2:16 presented [4] - 28:5, 38:5, 48:16, 94:15 President [1] - 7:20 pretty [1] - 77:14 prevent [1] - 92:9 previous [3] - 5:8, 5:12. 30:19 previously [3] - 4:17, 38:18, 54:10 prima [1] - 28:21 probable [3] - 12:19, 53:3 problematic [2] -91:15, 91:19 problems [4] - 36:14, 54:17, 83:13, 115:8 procedure [2] -106:14, 107:18 procedures [1] - 33:1 proceed [1] - 18:18 proceeded [1] - 77:4 proceeding [1] - 16:9 PROCEEDINGS [1] proceedings [11] -16:9, 17:19, 18:3, 18:4, 25:14, 32:8, 36:21, 110:19, 112:2, 119:5, 120:6 process [3] - 8:13,

8:17, 102:6

processes [1] - 99:14 produce [2] - 65:4, 88.4 profanity [3] - 33:15, 34:16, 41:20 program [1] - 8:16 projects [2] - 6:16, 6.23 promise [1] - 13:8 promptly [1] - 86:5 proof [1] - 60:10 proper [4] - 74:2, 74:7, 77:12, 89:22 properly [2] - 80:22, 82:12 properties [1] - 8:23 property [3] - 14:11, 58:5, 71:5 Property [2] - 14:17, 114:22 proposed [2] - 28:17, 51:3 propound [2] - 54:12, 54:13 protected [2] - 113:8, 113.15 protection [2] - 76:13, 76:14 protocol [1] - 101:7 proud [1] - 67:9 prove [1] - 28:21 provide [3] - 20:20, 79:11, 103:6 provided [6] - 82:16, 84:5, 85:8, 87:11, 87:14, 92:10 provider [3] - 97:11, 100:13, 100:16 provisions [2] - 56:2, 57:20 public [3] - 50:11, 66:5, 118:14 **PUBLIC** [1] - 1:5 Public [4] - 1:13, 4:2, 120:3, 120:12 published [1] - 7:17 **pull** [1] - 98:11 Purdue [1] - 12:5 purpose [3] - 42:20, 74:14, 99:23 pursue [2] - 9:7, 89:5 put [7] - 7:23, 28:8, 58:10, 60:23, 81:1,

Q

93:3, 115:14

qualifications [1] -80:18 qualified [5] - 74:11, 74:12, 80:15, 80:17, 80:23
qualifier [1] - 106:8
quality [1] - 88:3
questioned [1] - 26:2
questions [12] - 5:1,
11:5, 18:8, 40:18,
44:21, 46:9, 46:18,
69:4, 69:7, 70:7,
95:9, 95:17
quick [1] - 106:23
quickly [1] - 4:14
quiet [1] - 34:11
quite [1] - 118:22
quorum [2] - 3:4, 3:8

R

race [8] - 53:7, 57:2, 58:14, 60:8, 60:12, 62:10, 62:18, 68:19 racial [1] - 52:23 racially [1] - 67:5 Rafayru [1] - 113:21 raise [1] - 28:21 raised [1] - 38:2 **RAMOS** [20] - 6:2, 11:6, 12:21, 13:5, 20:23, 46:19, 47:6, 48:8, 69:5, 70:10, 99:12, 102:18, 105:19, 105:22, 111:2, 114:5, 115:11, 115:17, 117:13, 117:16 Ramos [4] - 2:3, 6:5, 12:23, 14:13 re [1] - 112:6 reach [1] - 99:22 reached [2] - 26:20, 114:2 read [2] - 32:3, 67:20 reading [2] - 5:19, 114:17 ready [2] - 63:2, 63:16 real [4] - 16:5, 22:11, 22:12, 106:23 reality [2] - 60:21, 105:22 realized [1] - 97:1 really [19] - 6:22, 21:15, 24:14, 24:15, 59:5, 60:9, 61:13, 61:21, 77:17, 79:6, 88:21, 89:3, 90:14, 90:20, 90:21, 115:3, 115:5 reapproach [1] - 30:15

reason [16] - 21:4,

21:7, 22:11, 34:21,

112:13

remanded [1] - 112:3

41:3, 42:21, 56:18, 63:21, 68:9, 79:18, 83:6, 89:6, 90:2, 90:7, 93:13, 93:14 reasonable [5] - 53:4, 84:9. 92:14. 106:13 reasons [2] - 81:7, 90.9 rebuttal [19] - 19:5, 19:12, 19:16, 27:5, 37:23, 49:8, 49:10, 49:13, 49:21, 50:2, 53:16, 53:23, 54:23, 55:8, 62:22, 63:11, 68:11, 72:23, 81:18 recalled [1] - 36:7 received [2] - 6:20, 7:6 recipients [1] - 7:7 recommend [2] -12:18, 111:3 recommended [2] -15:12, 82:9 record [21] - 3:15, 5:19, 14:20, 15:21, 16:3, 20:18, 24:21, 27:2, 31:1, 38:11, 70:3, 84:19, 85:4, 86:3, 86:16, 88:16, 90:17, 95:3, 95:12, 109:4, 111:1 recording [8] - 59:20, 59:22, 63:19, 64:15, 65:9, 66:11, 66:13, 68:5 recovered [2] - 10:9, 10:11 recur [1] - 102:12 recusing [1] - 95:17 refer [1] - 20:16 referred [1] - 31:8 regard [1] - 85:9 regarding [3] - 69:12, 113:5, 113:7 regardless [1] - 49:17 Reginald [1] - 30:13 regrets [1] - 61:10 regulations [1] - 104:1 relayed [1] - 31:16 relevant [1] - 45:2 reliable [1] - 32:22 rely [1] - 105:17 relying [1] - 31:13 remain [2] - 17:7, 112.7 remand [11] - 18:2, 37:2. 46:23. 47:12. 51:4. 70:11. 70:13. 92:2, 110:15, 111:4,

remanding [1] - 17:17 remarks [2] - 53:22, 61:6 Rembert [1] - 14:7 remember [13] -20:12, 21:20, 21:21, 21:22, 22:14, 23:15, 53:8, 64:21, 79:3, 84:22, 93:16, 105:7, 108:11 remind [2] - 15:14, 41:18 remote [2] - 73:14, 100:10 removal [2] - 41:3, 56:20 removed [3] - 43:11, 43:20, 58:4 rendered [1] - 86:14 rent [7] - 51:17, 56:3, 56:6, 56:7, 56:12, 58:8, 60:22 rental [1] - 51:23 rented [1] - 63:23 repeat [2] - 65:17, 67:2 repeatedly [3] - 23:13, 24:17, 25:15 report [4] - 4:21, 5:9, 6:8. 7:14 **Reporter** [1] - 120:3 reporter [1] - 3:8 **REPORTER** [3] - 3:11, 42:6, 102:7 **REPORTING** [1] - 1:21 reports [1] - 7:11 represent [1] - 27:11 representing [1] -82:6 request [5] - 33:4, 40:12, 47:1, 49:10, 95:2 requested [2] - 14:22, 104:4 requesting [1] - 47:10 requests [2] - 55:16, 82:8 require [1] - 16:22 required [3] - 32:22, 85:5, 104:7 requirement [1] - 90:1 requirements [1] -91:9 requires [3] - 88:2, 88:17, 90:11 reserve [12] - 19:9, 19:11, 19:16, 26:21, 49:20, 50:2, 54:22, 55:7, 72:23, 81:19, 81:23

reserving [2] - 27:5, 49:12 reshow [1] - 25:15 reside [1] - 51:21 residing [1] - 120:3 resolved [1] - 38:20 respect [1] - 50:17 respectfully [7] - 33:4, 40:12, 55:16, 68:23, 74:1, 82:8, 95:1 respond [1] - 96:22 responded [7] - 11:21, 13:10, 48:6, 70:19, 71:19, 111:11, 114:11 Respondent [8] -15:5, 15:10, 47:16, 50:23, 51:18, 55:5, 113:13, 113:16 respondent [9] -13:17, 47:2, 50:17, 51:16, 53:21, 63:12, 82:7, 110:8, 113:3 respondent's [2] -52:14, 72:7 Respondent's [2] -48:17, 52:14 respondents [1] -48:21 responding [2] -105:9, 107:18 response [10] - 11:23, 13:12, 18:10, 40:19, 48:22, 70:21, 71:21, 111:13, 113:18, 114:13 responses [2] - 50:14, 50:23 rest [1] - 62:21 result [3] - 17:11, 83:9, 98:21 retaliating [1] - 113:14 retaliation [1] - 113:8 retest [1] - 105:23 retreated [2] - 30:12, 44:6 returning [1] - 52:15 reverse [7] - 37:2, 47:16, 51:7, 81:13, 92:2, 111:3, 112:13 reversed [1] - 78:9 review [4] - 10:21, 16:22, 20:19, 51:1 reviewed [1] - 5:17 Reves 191 - 83:2. 84:17. 85:3. 85:12. 85:17, 85:22, 92:23, 94:7, 96:23 Rhodes [1] - 84:17

ridden [1] - 29:19

ride [11] - 20:2, 30:19, 31:4, 38:18, 39:10, 39:19, 41:16, 43:16, 44:14, 44:19, 45:20 riding [4] - 30:18, 44:14, 45:23, 58:22 RIGHTS [2] - 1:2, 2:6 rights [8] - 3:6, 7:4, 8:2, 8:3, 32:23, 51:13, 84:8, 113:5 Rights [5] - 1:11, 7:12, 15:3, 50:8, 50:10 rise [1] - 76:21 risk [1] - 98:20 road [1] - 42:18 Rolls [1] - 14:14 Rolls-Royce [1] -14:14 roof [1] - 7:9 Room [2] - 1:16, 2:7 room [5] - 74:4, 74:23, 80:9, 82:21, 86:6 route [1] - 18:1 routes [1] - 25:16 Rowe [21] - 71:23, 73:9, 82:15, 82:17, 83:20, 84:17, 84:20, 85:6, 85:14, 85:18, 86:1, 86:18, 87:4, 87:11, 87:12, 87:15, 92:23, 94:11, 97:12, 108:7 Rowe's [7] - 83:5, 86:3, 86:6, 86:15, 92:13, 94:14, 97:3 Royce [1] - 14:14 rule [5] - 99:4, 104:6, 111:21, 111:23, 112:4 ruled [1] - 94:20 rules [1] - 80:16 ruling [4] - 30:4, 99:7, 111:17, 112:8 run [1] - 118:20 running [12] - 22:7, 22:20, 23:20, 23:21, 24:8, 29:15, 30:4, 34:14, 35:5, 35:6, 44:3, 68:22

S

safe [2] - 31:4, 42:16 safety [1] - 43:1 save [1] - 62:21 saw [18] - 28:14, 29:14, 31:18, 31:20, 31:22, 39:3, 57:14, 58:6, 64:11, 85:3, 86:18, 87:11, 97:11,

104:12, 104:18, 104:22, 108:6, 108:13 SB [1] - 114:22 scared [1] - 62:11 schedule [3] - 25:15, 25:16, 100:23 scheduled [6] - 4:17, 87:9, 93:6, 97:5, 115:22, 116:1 scheduling [1] -107:17 school [4] - 76:5, 76:8, 76:9, 114:19 screaming [6] - 30:8, 30:22, 31:2, 32:17, 33:18, 39:13 **se** [1] - 104:6 second [19] - 6:3, 6:5, 11:18, 12:21, 12:23, 22:12, 47:4, 47:5, 48:3, 67:18, 68:1, 70:15, 70:16, 71:16, 71:17, 111:7, 111:8, 114:7, 114:8 section [6] - 16:7, 16:11, 16:17, 18:9, 114:15, 115:1 see [20] - 9:4, 9:7, 10:1, 10:21, 11:1, 12:9, 19:7, 23:14, 24:21, 31:16, 33:23, 35:5, 37:4, 39:22, 62:15, 66:7, 83:14, 91:13, 116:14, 118:13 seeing [1] - 108:17 seek [2] - 45:17, 45:21 sees [1] - 42:23 **semitism** [1] - 8:6 Senate [2] - 1:15, 2:7 send [2] - 56:11, 117:8 sending [1] - 56:14 senior[1] - 50:7 sent [2] - 59:6, 78:7 separate [1] - 102:6 September [11] - 6:13, 8:12, 9:11, 9:14, 10:11, 10:12, 10:13, 29:8, 64:10, 82:19, 86:16 series [1] - 92:19 serious [1] - 77:18 served [3] - 29:21, 30:2, 77:10

service [29] - 6:13,

20:1, 20:15, 22:22,

25:10, 26:18, 29:3,

29:11, 29:18, 29:20,

23:3, 23:5, 23:6,

29:23, 30:6, 31:5, 34:6, 36:1, 36:22, 37:5, 37:7, 38:16, 38:19, 45:5, 45:14, 86:13, 100:11, 100:12, 103:10 services [15] - 78:16, 78:18, 80:13, 82:17, 83:10, 83:18, 86:5, 86:8, 88:2, 88:9, 90:14, 92:9, 100:16, 103.4 serving [2] - 77:9, 118:21 set [2] - 78:4, 111:19 setting [2] - 99:4, 110:2 settled [4] - 11:1, 20:8, 37:4, 75:3 settlement [3] - 46:12, 75:5, 114:2 seven [2] - 113:11, 117.9 several [6] - 20:4, 29:20, 56:6, 56:7, 56:22, 60:23 **severe** [1] - 54:2 **shall** [1] - 88:3 **share** [1] - 7:8 Shelby [2] - 1:14, 120:4 **Shelbyville** [1] - 120:4 Shook [1] - 13:23 **shopping** [2] - 23:18, 23:19 short [3] - 57:1, 60:5, 80:10 **shortly** [4] - 57:23, 58:1, 82:18, 87:4 **show** [2] - 83:19, 108:2 showed [1] - 25:14 shows [7] - 60:21, 60:22, 83:2, 84:14, 108:12, 110:7, 110:13 shut [1] - 101:6 sick [1] - 89:20 side [4] - 19:4, 32:9, 34:12, 40:11 sides [8] - 28:1, 28:5, 28:13, 28:16, 50:13, 72:14, 109:12, 110:2 **sign** [5] - 75:9, 75:10, 75:12, 76:8, 76:9 Sign [1] - 88:17 signaling [1] - 87:13 signed [3] - 33:19, 36:3, 78:5 significant [2] - 9:5,

9:23 significantly [2] -9:13, 10:17 signs [2] - 23:4, 37:5 SILBERBERG [33] -44:20, 44:23, 46:11, 47:5, 47:18, 47:21, 48:3, 70:16, 71:17, 95:10, 95:15, 95:20, 95:23, 96:5, 96:7, 96:11, 96:14, 97:20, 98:1, 98:4, 99:2, 99:8, 102:11, 102:15, 102:19, 103:12, 114:8, 115:7, 117:2, 117:22, 118:6, 118:9, 118:22 Silberberg [2] - 2:4, 14:9 simple [4] - 83:21, 91:20, 91:22, 92:1 simply [12] - 28:8, 74:14, 78:5, 78:11, 79:11, 80:6, 80:7, 81:12. 87:21. 88:8. 90:23. 112:10 single [1] - 77:21 sitting [1] - 85:15 situation [31] - 19:23, 30:15, 37:3, 44:10, 60:7, 74:5, 77:19, 83:11, 83:12, 83:14, 85:16, 87:1, 92:11, 92:17, 92:22, 93:4, 93:9, 93:12, 94:3, 97:7, 97:18, 98:7, 98:13, 99:1, 99:16, 105:3, 106:2, 106:3, 107:10, 107:11, 108:20 six [8] - 22:4, 34:4, 49:21, 49:22, 54:23, 88:1, 102:2, 115:12 six-foot [1] - 22:4 **six-hour** [1] - 115:12 slash [2] - 109:7, 115:12 Slash [4] - 1:11, 2:3, 6:10, 16:16 SLASH [121] - 3:3, 3:12, 5:5, 5:21, 6:4, 11:4, 11:7, 11:13, 11:17, 11:22, 12:1, 12:10, 12:15, 12:22, 13:3, 13:6, 13:11, 13:13, 14:1, 14:3, 15:18, 15:20, 16:3, 16:13, 18:7, 18:11, 18:16, 18:21, 19:1,

19:7, 19:11, 20:21, 21:6, 26:19, 26:23, 27:3, 27:8, 37:16, 37:20, 40:16, 40:20, 41:1, 42:1, 42:4, 43:2, 43:7, 43:9, 46:8, 46:17, 46:20, 47:3, 47:9, 47:13, 47:20, 48:1, 48:4, 48:7, 48:9, 49:2, 49:6, 50:4, 55:1, 62:23, 63:7, 66:8, 69:3, 69:6, 70:8, 70:15, 70:17, 70:20, 70:22, 71:2, 71:12, 71:15, 71:18, 71:20, 71:22, 72:20, 73:1, 73:6, 81:16, 87:16, 87:18, 95:7, 96:3, 96:6, 99:11, 105:8, 105:21, 106:9, 109:3, 109:5, 109:9, 110:21, 111:6, 111:9, 111:12, 111:14, 112:14, 112:18, 114:6, 114:9, 114:12, 114:14, 112:18, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 114:12, 114:14, 11
114:14, 115:8, 115:14, 115:18,
115:23, 116:4, 116:11, 116:23,
117:7, 117:12,
117:20, 118:4, 118:8, 118:11, 119:1
sleep [2] - 93:17,
93:18 sleeping [2] - 84:23,
106:4
slurs [1] - 52:23
sole [1] - 32:5 someone [2] - 39:2,
89:14
sometimes [4] - 75:20, 75:21, 92:15,
92:16
son [4] - 52:14, 59:1, 68:17
sorry [9] - 27:4, 42:8,
43:22, 50:5, 57:7, 63:5, 65:19, 67:2,
115:5
sorts [1] - 83:22
sounds [1] - 115:17
Spanish [1] - 75:16 speakers [1] - 11:11
speaks [1] - 36:2
specific [4] - 13:18, 15:6, 103:21, 108:2
specifically [3] -

57:21, 60:7, 88:5 straw [1] - 59:11 specifics [1] - 98:23 strictly [2] - 60:11, speculation [5] -60.19 60:10, 60:13, 60:14, strike [3] - 72:6, 77:1, 60:17 94:16 spend [1] - 68:11 struck [1] - 85:15 **Sr** [1] - 15:1 students [1] - 8:2 **STAFF** [1] - 2:10 stuff [5] - 58:10, staff [3] - 50:7, 90:13, 58:16, 60:23, 83:22, 118:16 105:23 standard [4] - 55:22, subject [1] - 53:11 77:2, 84:14, 91:9 submit [1] - 74:17 standards [2] - 74:10, submitted [1] - 67:21 74:13 substantial [1] - 32:21 standing [1] - 34:17 sue [3] - 33:17, 34:18, start [3] - 61:19, 37:14 63:10, 85:7 Sue [1] - 2:4 started [3] - 30:22, sufficient [6] - 32:9, 44:5, 54:12 74:2, 103:17, starting [3] - 7:10, 103:18, 103:23, 7:22, 8:12 104:2 starts [5] - 61:17, suited [1] - 87:9 61:20, 65:17, 66:13, **summaries** [1] - 51:10 108:17 summary [34] - 17:23, state [3] - 6:19, 51:15, 27:16, 27:17, 48:17, 99:19 48:18, 50:14, 50:16, **STATE** [1] - 1:1 51:8, 51:10, 55:11, State [3] - 1:13, 9:1, 55:12, 55:14, 60:15, 120:13 66:4, 69:10, 70:13, statement [9] - 13:19, 72:7, 76:22, 78:22, 15:7, 25:6, 31:13, 79:8, 79:9, 79:17, 38:23, 39:2, 39:16, 81:13, 82:13, 94:17, 39:18, 43:17 107:3, 109:12, 109:15, 110:1, states [1] - 25:4 110:18, 111:4, **stating** [1] - 43:4 111:21, 112:1, 112:9 station [6] - 23:5, 30:10, 74:18, 74:21, **Summary** [1] - 72:5 89:14, 106:22 **support** [2] - 94:16, 118:16 statistical [1] - 9:5 supposed [3] - 5:11, stealing [1] - 59:14 23:6, 95:18 step [1] - 91:14 supposedly [1] steps [1] - 108:23 67:22 Sterling [1] - 15:4 surely [1] - 11:9 **Steve** [1] - 82:6 surgery [2] - 93:5, Steven [2] - 2:3, 2:18 94.4 Stihl [7] - 29:12, surpass [1] - 9:20 29:15, 34:9, 34:11, **system** [8] - 83:6, 34:13 84:3, 91:5, 99:23, still [4] - 26:20, 35:10, 100:4, 101:7, 110:17, 111:22 103:11, 104:19 stipulate [1] - 73:11 systems [1] - 83:8 stipulation [3] - 19:21, 23:11, 25:23 stipulations [1] - 20:3 Т stolen [1] - 64:12 table [1] - 14:6 stone [1] - 28:9 **Taggart** [6] - 33:9, stopped [2] - 24:12,

33:21, 35:4, 36:4,

talks [2] - 64:15,

36:15

24:13

stories [1] - 7:8

story [1] - 34:22

108:16 team [1] - 7:22 technical [1] - 95:11 technology [1] - 99:13 **tee** [1] - 63:2 TELEPHONE [1] -1:22 ten [9] - 10:19, 18:20, 18:21, 18:22, 18:23, 19:2, 19:3, 49:7, 81.17 tenancy [3] - 51:23, 64:9, 64:11 tenant [7] - 53:10, 58:8. 58:14. 62:2. 62:19, 66:21 tend [1] - 47:11 Teri [5] - 30:10, 33:9, 33:21, 36:4, 36:15 term [3] - 41:9, 51:22, 52:2 terminal [1] - 76:17 terms [3] - 81:4, 84:10, 101:11 terrible [1] - 53:13 terrified [2] - 54:20 Terry [1] - 2:4 **TESKE** [1] - 3:21 Teske [2] - 2:12, 3:22 TESKE-HARRISON [1] - 3:21 Teske-Harrison [2] -2:12, 3:22 test [1] - 105:23 tested [1] - 105:20 testers [1] - 9:6 testified [22] - 22:17, 23:1, 23:10, 23:22, 24:4, 26:15, 29:14, 29:18, 30:11, 31:3, 34:9, 34:13, 34:15, 35:8, 36:10, 44:12, 45:8, 45:11, 64:3, 65:4, 74:17, 89:13 testifies [1] - 33:22 testifying [1] - 31:14 testimonies [1] -38:20 testimony [28] - 31:20, 31:23, 32:13, 32:18, 33:14, 34:3, 34:17, 35:16, 38:17, 39:1, 39:11, 40:6, 48:16, 64:7, 65:15, 69:14, 79:23, 80:1, 83:2, 83:15, 89:9, 93:15, 107:4. 108:9. 108:10. 108:12 testing [3] - 8:21, 9:1, 9:3

Thanksgiving [4] -115:4, 116:3, 116:21, 117:5 thanksgiving [1] -117:2 THC [5] - 82:22, 89:11, 89:18, 93:13, 107:13 **THE** [4] - 1:1, 3:11, 42:6, 102:7 themselves [2] - 3:14, 3:19 Therber [9] - 34:4, 34:7, 34:8, 34:13, 34:14, 34:20, 36:15, 39:16, 41:19 Therber's [1] - 34:3 thereafter [2] - 58:1, 91:6 Thereupon [1] - 119:5 they've [4] - 84:2, 90:23, 98:9, 98:17 thinking [2] - 59:15, 64:13 third [1] - 18:1 **Thomas** [1] - 14:10 thoroughly [1] - 28:10 thoroughness [1] -32:11 thousand [2] - 113:11, 113:12 thousands [2] -101:19, 103:9 threats [1] - 53:1 three [19] - 5:17, 17:20, 17:22, 22:7, 25:12, 26:5, 27:6, 27:18, 28:1, 37:23, 40:10, 49:12, 53:4, 55:7, 66:9, 81:23, 84:5, 93:8, 116:20 three-day [3] - 27:18, 28:1, 40:10 throughout [2] - 8:7, 8:23 ticket [3] - 20:9, 21:11, 23.6 time's [1] - 66:7 timer [1] - 19:13 today [11] - 5:18, 12:13, 14:14, 17:21, 27:15, 48:11, 49:5, 51:2, 72:16, 81:17, 118:16 today's [1] - 119:4 together [4] - 6:22, 7:15, 7:23, 93:3 **Tolliver** [5] - 2:4, 6:6, 11:7, 12:23, 14:20 tolliver [1] - 43:3 **TOLLIVER** [34] - 6:3,

12:20, 40:22, 41:2, 41:6, 43:10, 43:15, 43:19, 43:22, 46:3, 46:10, 46:22, 47:11, 47:14, 47:23, 69:8, 70:6, 71:14, 100:3, 100:8, 101:13, 101:15. 102:1. 106:7, 106:11, 111:8, 115:21, 116:2, 116:10, 116:20, 117:4, 117:15, 117:18, 118.2 tomorrow [1] - 64:21 **Toni** [4] - 2:18, 27:10, 42:9, 55:4 took [6] - 21:9, 21:15, 64:5, 64:18, 66:1, 108:23 top [3] - 58:19, 59:3, 59:6 total [6] - 49:12, 49:21, 55:7, 73:2, 73:5, 82:1 totality [1] - 54:3 touches [1] - 76:7 towards [2] - 35:15, 69:13 tragic [1] - 19:23 training [1] - 37:6 **Trainings** [1] - 118:12 transcribed [1] - 120:8 transcript [4] - 67:21, 67:22, 68:2, 120:6 transferred [1] - 8:11 transferring [1] - 8:15 transfers [2] - 8:10, 10:3 translated [2] - 67:23 translation [1] - 75:14 transportation [2] -27:11, 28:20 Transportation [6] -18:13, 28:22, 29:9, 29:17, 31:10, 42:10 Transportation's [2] -29:4. 45:13 treated [3] - 9:6, 104:9, 109:2 treatment [5] - 81:9, 94:2, 97:13, 104:23, 105:2 trend [2] - 9:18, 10:1 trial [2] - 115:21, 115:23 tried [3] - 74:17, 85:3, 108:22 Triggs [13] - 28:15, 28:18, 28:19, 29:7,

33:1, 38:20, 50:18, 55:11, 55:13, 60:15, 82:10, 82:12, 94:19 Triggs' [3] - 33:5, 55:17. 95:2 **TRINKLE** [1] - 109:21 Trinkle [1] - 2:19 trip [1] - 24:2 truck [3] - 58:4, 58:6, 64:12 true [7] - 33:23, 35:9, 54:1, 57:3, 57:6, 59:15, 120:5 try [7] - 14:6, 45:19, 85:17, 88:10, 94:8, 109:1, 115:18 trying [2] - 86:19, 92:8 turn [1] - 19:13 turnaround [1] - 103:7 turned [1] - 104:8 turns [2] - 57:15, 76:19 twenty [1] - 113:12 twenty-five [1] -113:12 two [27] - 5:15, 6:15, 19:6, 19:12, 21:4, 21:8, 21:9, 21:14, 21:18, 22:19, 25:11, 25:12, 27:22, 35:11, 49:8, 49:16, 53:20, 54:16, 54:19, 55:6, 61:7, 66:23, 81:18, 116:16, 116:17, 116:18 type [3] - 80:20, 93:9,

U

types [1] - 75:11

typically [1] - 116:7

ultimate [4] - 16:20,

97:6

16:22, 17:1, 17:3 ultimately [5] - 8:10, 18:4, 39:9, 39:21, 56:19 unable [1] - 108:22 unauthorized [2] -57:11, 62:3 unavailable [1] -86:14 uncontrolled [7] -30:5, 30:19, 44:2, 45:12, 45:15, 45:20, 45.23 under [12] - 8:2, 10:21, 15:1, 31:6, 32:22, 33:19, 41:16, 45:12, 57:9, 60:14, 73:16,

105:6 undersigned [1] -120:2 understood [8] - 77:8, 78:21, 80:4, 93:1, 97:13, 107:4, 108:14 undisputed [6] -55:19, 57:3, 60:22, 61:3, 83:19, 84:13 unfinal [1] - 39:22 unfortunate [1] -66:12 unique [4] - 83:11, 92:11, 98:7, 98:13 unit [4] - 4:10, 86:6, 86:12, 87:11 united [1] - 114:19 units [1] - 92:6 University [1] - 12:6 unlawful [1] - 113:8 unless [1] - 4:22 unmute [1] - 3:14 unoccupied [1] -58:14 unreasonable [1] -77:20 unruly [1] - 24:20 $\boldsymbol{unturned}~[1]-28:9$ unusual [4] - 83:14, 98:12, 98:23, 101:2 **up** [19] - 9:13, 10:17, 10:22, 37:5, 42:14, 54:22, 63:2, 63:14, 66:7, 67:19, 78:4, 79:2, 80:3, 81:17, 84:4, 93:17, 98:11, 101:9, 112:19 upcoming [1] - 115:2 uphold [1] - 12:18 upward [1] - 10:1 urgent [1] - 87:7 uses [2] - 83:23, 88:2 utilities [2] - 59:10, 63:22 utilizing [1] - 70:2 uttered [1] - 41:12

V

valleybrook [1] 14:17

Valleybrook [1] 14:18

vehicle [1] - 64:19

verbally [1] - 86:2

version [1] - 60:9

versus [16] - 12:5,
13:16, 14:7, 14:10,
14:14, 14:17, 15:3,
15:10, 18:13, 48:13,

113:21, 114:18, 114:21 via [2] - 87:13, 117:8 viable [2] - 87:6, 97:9 VICE [20] - 6:2, 11:6, 12:21, 13:5, 20:23, 46:19, 47:6, 48:8, 69:5, 70:10, 99:12, 102:18, 105:19, 105:22, 111:2, 114:5, 115:11, 115:17, 117:13, 117:16 Vice [2] - 6:5, 12:23 VICE-CHAIR [20] - 6:2, 11:6, 12:21, 13:5, 20:23, 46:19, 47:6, 48:8, 69:5, 70:10, 99:12, 102:18, 105:19, 105:22, 111:2, 114:5, 115:11, 115:17, 117:13, 117:16 Vice-chair [2] - 6:5, 12:23 video [4] - 63:3, 73:14, 86:8, 88:3 view [1] - 105:6 violate [1] - 113:4 violated [1] - 88:20 violation [4] - 57:11, 77:11, 88:19, 92:21 violations [8] - 56:17, 56:18, 56:23, 58:15, 60:4, 61:3, 62:3, 62:7 virtual [1] - 100:10 visit [3] - 82:21, 85:23, 87.7 visits [2] - 86:4, 87:10 vitals [2] - 93:20, 107:13 volumes [1] - 36:2 voluntary [1] - 25:6 volunteers [1] - 6:15 vote [8] - 11:15, 11:18, 13:5, 13:22, 45:1, 45:3, 45:4, 45:6 VRI [34] - 73:14, 73:17, 77:15, 77:21, 78:14, 79:12, 80:14, 83:6, 83:12, 85:5, 86:6, 86:12, 86:19, 86:22, 87:11, 88:2, 90:4, 90:10, 92:6, 92:18, 92:20, 97:1, 98:8,

98:17, 100:3,

100:10, 100:13,

100:16, 101:9,

71:3, 71:23, 113:1,

101:12, 103:8, 103:17, 105:6

W

wait [3] - 5:1, 30:14, 97:7 wake [1] - 93:17 walk [2] - 16:11, 83:1 walking [2] - 24:3, 83:15 walks [1] - 85:12 Walton [2] - 2:13, 4:2 **WALTON** [1] - 4:1 watch [1] - 107:12 Watkins [1] - 7:1 Wayne [1] - 31:9 wear [1] - 24:1 wears [1] - 23:23 Wednesday [1] -68:13 week [4] - 5:16, 68:13, 117:1, 117:3 weeks [3] - 7:19, 10:23, 102:2 weigh [2] - 35:1, 38:9 weighing [1] - 32:6 welcome [2] - 23:5, 37:6 Wenger [2] - 15:10, 113:1 whatsoever [1] -51:19 whole [4] - 61:15, 61:22, 87:3, 103:16 whore [8] - 65:13, 67:1, 67:3, 67:5, 67:6, 67:7, 68:10 wifi [1] - 105:17 Wiggins [1] - 7:1 Wilson [2] - 15:1, 50:9 Wilson's [1] - 4:5 win [1] - 94:23 window [1] - 58:21 wished [1] - 40:11 witness [5] - 20:11, 26:10, 27:23, 34:8, 40:2 witnessed [1] - 25:5 witnesses [6] - 20:4, 20:5, 28:3, 28:5, 32:19, 77:10 woman [25] - 22:2, 22:4, 22:7, 22:14, 23:16, 23:20, 24:4, 24:5, 24:12, 24:15, 24:18, 25:20, 26:5, 26:6, 26:7, 26:13, 32:17, 35:14, 37:9, 52:19, 54:7, 54:11,

60:2, 64:17, 68:1 word [16] - 39:4, 53:18, 53:19, 65:16, 66:15, 66:16, 67:5, 67:19, 68:2, 68:8, 70:1, 70:2, 75:14, 75:18, 91:20 word-for-word [1] -75:14 words [8] - 36:19, 51:8, 65:12, 66:12, 68:1, 70:1, 91:22, 100:10 works [6] - 90:10, 95:16, 106:1, 117:13, 117:20, 118:2 worse [2] - 75:2, 79:14 wrap [1] - 112:19 write [5] - 74:19, 75:17, 77:16, 78:5, 80:8 writes [1] - 91:20 writing [5] - 74:21, 74:22, 78:11, 87:20, 89:15 written [7] - 16:2, 17:8, 27:23, 28:7, 35:9, 92:15, 110:8 wrote [6] - 20:11, 33:13, 62:16, 64:20, 88:13, 91:17

Υ

year [11] - 7:13, 8:17, 9:10, 9:16, 9:17, 9:21, 10:15, 10:18, 68:14, 101:19, 115:4 years [3] - 22:19, 27:22, 35:11 yelled [2] - 41:13, 44:15 yelling [12] - 30:8, 31:2, 31:23, 37:13, 39:4, 39:5, 39:8, 41:20, 41:22, 44:5, 61:18, 62:2 Young [1] - 13:16 yourself [2] - 42:6, 102:8 yummies [1] - 82:22 Yvette [2] - 2:12, 50:6

Z

zone [1] - 115:12