

Administrative Modification 17

October 24, 2024 Fiscal Year 2023 - 2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)



MTP Action:	None				
TIP Action:	Add new project and rer	nove Group ID			
Exempt/NonExempt:	Exe	mpt	Model Impact:	No change to the model	
Project Sponsor:	Clark County	KIPDA ID:	3180	State ID:	2301302
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2674
Project Name:	Henryville Sidewalks	Funding Source:	Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-Saving Transportation - MPO (PROTECT-MPO)	Open to Public Date:	2029
Total Estimated Project Cost:		1 ,827),000	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$ 781,827 \$822,827	
Description:	added at intersections a Henryville High School 1	nd slopes will be adjust to the local library shou	e crumbling and do not cur ed to help meet current A ld also help the communit	DA requirements. Extend y.	ing sidewalks from the
Justification:		os, sidewalk ramps and	y meet ADA requirements other improvements as we	•	-
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Preliminary En \$144,000 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2027 Preliminary Er \$3,229 (Federal) + \$806 *FY 2028 Preliminary Er \$22,109 (Federal) + \$10 *FY 2029 Construction \$520,661 (Federal) + \$13	28,000 (Other) = \$172, ngineering (PE) phase w 3 (Other) = \$4,037 (Tot ngineering (PE) phase v 4,854 (Other) = \$126,94 (CN) phase with PROTE	000 (Total) vith PROTECT funds: al) vith PROTECT funds: 53 (Total) ECT funds:		
*Funds programmed in t	fiscal years outside of the	e current 2023-2026 T	IP years		
	·				

ITP Action:		LOTE							
FIP Action:	Update TIP funding	-			476.000-				
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2494	State ID:	1700207				
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676				
			National Highway		2027				
roject Name:	I-64	Funding Source:	Performance	Open to Public Date:	2030				
	_		Program (NHPP)						
Total Estimated	\$	4,590,111	Total Cost	\$4,590) ,111				
Project Cost:	\$4,395,111 Programmed in TIP \$4,395,111								
	to date:								
Description:	Replace superstructure on I-64, EB 00.73 miles east of US 150 at Quarry Road.								
Justification:	Superstructure rep	lacement.							
	*FY 2019 Prelimina	ary Engineering (PE) pha	se with NHPP funds:						
	\$507,600 (Federa	l) + \$56,400 (Other) = \$	564,000 (Total)						
	*FY 2019 Prelimina	ry Engineering (PE) pha	se with NHPP funds:						
	\$372,600 (Federa	l) + \$41,400 (Other) = \$4	414,000 (Total)						
	FY 2023 ROW phase with NHPP funds:								
	\$ 40,500 (Federal) + \$4,500 (Other) = \$45,000 (Total)								
	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering phase with NHPP funds:								
	\$90,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$1	00,000 (Total)						
FY 23-26 TIP	*FY 2030 Construe	ction Engineering (CE) p	hase with NHPP funds:						
Funding:	\$90,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$1	00,000 (Total)						
	FY 2026 Utilities phase with NHPP funds:								
	\$31,500 (Federal)	+ \$3,500 (Other) = \$35,	000 (Total)						
	*FY 2030 Utilities phase with NHPP funds:								
	\$31,500 (Federal)	\$31,500 (Federal) + \$3,500 (Other) = \$35,000 (Total)							
	FY 2026 Construct	FY 2026 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:							
	\$3,461,500 (Feder	al) + \$384,611 (Other) = :	\$ 3,846,111 (Tota l)						
	*FY 2030 Construe	ction (CN) phase with Nł	HPP funds:						
		al) + \$384,611 (Other) = 1							
	23,401,300 (i cuci	al) + 3304,011 (Ullel) = .	55,0 4 0,111 (10tal)						

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	Update TIP funding and OTP					
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2514	State ID:	1702617		
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-64 at I-265 Bridge Deck Overlay #2	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2030		
Total Estimated Project Cost:		8,778 3,360	\$9,198 , \$7,453,				
Description:	Bridge rehabilitation p WB at I-64 EB ramp to		bridge deck overlay on I-	64. Located at 2.11 miles e	ast of US 150, I-64		
Justification:	Bridge deck overlay.						
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	*FY 2020 Preliminary \$135,000 (Federal) + 1 *FY 2021 Construction \$1,435,876 (Federal) + FY 2026 Construction \$6,708,024 (Federal) *FY 2030 Construction \$6,708,024 (Federal)	\$15,000 (Other) = \$1 + (CN) phase with NH - \$159,542 (Other) = \$ - (CN) phase with NHF + \$745,336 (Other) = n (CN) phase with NHF	50,000 (Total) PP funds: 11,595,418 (Total) PP funds: -\$7,453,360 (Total)				
*Funds programme	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years				
	,		•				

Project Sponsor:	Jpdate TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-64 at I-265 Bridge		2513	State ID:	1800721			
County:	Floyd			State ID:	1000701			
		Parent ID:	N1/A	INDOT KIPDA ID: 2513 State ID:				
Project Name:	I-64 at I-265 Bridge		N/A	Group ID:	2676			
	Deck Overlay #1	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2030			
Total Estimated			Total Cost					
	\$8,64	3,163	Programmed in TIP	\$8,643	3,163			
Project Cost:			to date:					
Description:	Bridge rehabilitation pr 265 EB ramp to I-64 E		ridge deck overlay on I-	64. Location is 02.20 mil	es east of US 150 at I-			
ustification:	Bridge deck overlay							
; * ;	 *FY 2020 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$108,000 (Federal) + \$12,000 (Other) = \$120,000 (Total) *FY 2021 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds: \$645,326 (Federal) + \$71,703 (Other) = \$717,029 (Total) 							
<u></u> *	FY 2026 Construction 7,025,521 (Federal) + FY 2030 Construction 7,025,521 (Federal) +	\$ 780,613 (Other) = \$7 n (CN) phase with NHF	7,806,134 (Total) PP funds:					
Funds programmed in f	fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202						
	niscal years outside of							

MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	nd OTP						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2859	State ID:	2000323			
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
	I-265 Bridge Over		National Highway		2027			
Project Name:	State Street EB Ramp	Funding Source:	Performance	Open to Public Date:	2027			
	С		Program (NHPP)		2030			
Total Estimated			Total Cost					
Project Cost:	\$85	8,149	Programmed in TIP	\$858	,149			
			to date:					
Description:	Bridge deck overlay or	Bridge deck overlay on I-265 over State Street northbound/southbound, 0.84 miles east of I-64 (Ramp C, EB).						
Justification:	To correct the deficien	cies of the bridge dec	ck and maintain and prolo	ong the structure integrity	of the bridge.			
	*FY 2021 Preliminary E	Engineering (PE) phas	e with NHPP funds:					
	\$117,000 (Federal) + \$							
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2026 Construction	(CN) phase with NHI	PP funds:					
Funding:	\$ 655,334 (Federal) + \$	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72	8,149 (Total)					
	*FY 2030 Constructio	n (CN) phase with NF	HPP funds:					
	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$		8,149 (Total)					
*Funds programmed	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72						
*Funds programmed		\$72,815 (Other) = \$72						
	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72						
MTP Action:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20						
MTP Action: TIP Action:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 nd OTP		State ID:	2000324			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ I in fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 nd OTP KIPDA ID:	026 TIP years	State ID: Group ID:	2000324 2676			
MTP Action: TIP Action:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 nd OTP	026 TIP years	State ID: Group ID:	2676			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ I in fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT	the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	026 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway	Group ID:				
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 nd OTP KIPDA ID:	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance		2676			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State	the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	026 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Group ID:	2676 2027			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street	the current 2023-20 d OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source:	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost	Group ID: Open to Public Date:	2676 2027 2030			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street	the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	026 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP	Group ID:	2676 2027 2030			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street \$1,13	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,693	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$728,	2676 2027 2030			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street \$1,13 Bridge deck overlay or	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,693 n 1-265 westbound over the second	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ver State Street northbou	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$728, nd/southbound.	2676 2027 2030 825			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street \$1,13 Bridge deck overlay or	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,693 n 1-265 westbound over the second	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ver State Street northbou	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$728,	2676 2027 2030 825			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street \$1,13 Bridge deck overlay or	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,693 acies of the existing but the exist	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ver State Street northbour ridge deck and maintain a	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$728, nd/southbound.	2676 2027 2030 825			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Justification:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street \$1,13 Bridge deck overlay or To correct the deficient	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,693 at 1-265 westbound over the existing between th	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ver State Street northbou ridge deck and maintain a	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$728, nd/southbound.	2676 2027 2030 825			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Justification: FY 23-26 TIP	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street \$1,13 Bridge deck overlay or To correct the deficient FY 2026 Construction	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,693 at 1-265 westbound over the existing between th	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ver State Street northbou ridge deck and maintain a	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$728, nd/southbound.	2676 2027 2030 825			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Justification: FY 23-26 TIP	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street \$1,13 Bridge deck overlay or To correct the deficient FY 2026 Construction	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,693 n I-265 westbound over the existing backets of the	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ver State Street northbou ridge deck and maintain a 2P funds: 98,825 (Total)	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$728, nd/southbound.	2676 2027 2030 825			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street \$1,13 Bridge deck overlay or To correct the deficien \$538,943 (Federal) + \$	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,693 an I-265 westbound own accies of the existing bit (CN) phase with NHI \$59,882 (Other) = \$5 n (CN) phase with NHI	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ver State Street northbou ridge deck and maintain a PP funds: 98,825 (Total)	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$728, nd/southbound.	2676 2027 2030 825			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Justification: FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$655,334 (Federal) + \$ In fiscal years outside of N/A Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-265 WB Over State Street \$1,13 Bridge deck overlay or To correct the deficien \$538,943 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2030 Construction	\$72,815 (Other) = \$72 the current 2023-20 ad OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,693 a 1-265 westbound over the existing bit of the exist of th	226 TIP years 2858 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ver State Street northbou ridge deck and maintain a PP funds: 98,825 (Total)	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$728, nd/southbound.	2676 2027 2030 825			

MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	nd OTP						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2857	State ID:	2000326			
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	I-265 EB Bridge Over State Street NB/SB	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2030			
Total Estimated Project Cost:		\$2,320,775 Total Cost \$2,320,775 Programmed in TIP \$2,320,775 to date:						
Description:			ge over State Street no					
Justification:	To correct the deficier	ncies in the wearing sur	face and deck and prolo	ong the life of the bridge st	ructure.			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2026 Construction \$1,917,698 (Federal) + *FY 2030 Constructio \$1,917,698 (Federal) +	\$219,077 (Other) = \$2 n (CN) phase with NHI	,190,775 (Total) PP funds:					
*Funds programmed	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	26 TIP years					
*Funds programmed		the current 2023-202	26 TIP years					
	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	26 TIP years					
MTP Action:			26 TIP years					
MTP Action: TIP Action:	None		26 TIP years 3148	State ID:	2100019			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor:	None Update TIP funding ar	nd OTP	-	State ID: Group ID:	2100019 N/A			
*Funds programmed MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name:	None Update TIP funding an INDOT	nd OTP KIPDA ID:	3148					
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated	None Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting	nd OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	3148 N/A National Highway Performance	Group ID:	N/A 2027 2030			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost:	None Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$8,19	nd OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 93,961	3148 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP	Group ID: Open to Public Date:	N/A 2027 2030			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	None Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$8,19 Lighting improvement	nd OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: P3,961 rs from the I-64/I-265	3148 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: interchange to US 150.	Group ID: Open to Public Date:	N/A 2027 2030 ,961			
MTP Action: TIP Action: Project Sponsor: County:	None Update TIP funding an INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$8,19 Lighting improvement	nd OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 23,961 24,000 24,000 24,0	3148 N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: interchange to US 150. conditions along I-64 fr Pfunds: 8,193,961 (Total) PP funds:	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$8,193	N/A 2027 2030 ,961			

MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	Update TIP funding and OTP						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	INDOT KIPDA ID: 2969 State ID: 2100817						
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	I-64 Small Structure Pipe Lining, 0.40 Miles East of I-265	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2030			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$90'	Total Cost \$909,899 Programmed in TIP \$890,106 to date: \$890,106						
Description:	Correct deficiencies in	small structure pipe	lining on I-64 over unnar	ned ditch, 0.40 miles eas	t of I-265.			
Justification:	of the asset. The proje amount of sediment in sediment in the pipe ir	ct need is based on t n the pipe and there i n the pipe accounts fo	he current condition of the significant corrosion and	ure in order to extend or r ne structure elements. The d deep pitting in the inver cent of the cross section a end.	ere is a significant t of the structure. The			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 ROW phase v \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 FY 2025 Utilities phas \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 FY 2025 Construction \$666,095 (Federal) + *FY 2030 Utilities pha \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2030 Constructio	,000 (Other) \$10,00 e with NHPP funds: , 000 (Other) \$10,00 (CN) phase with NHI \$74,011 (Other) = \$74 use with NHPP funds: ,000 (Other) \$10,00	90 (Total) PP funds: 40,106 (Total) 00 (Total)					
	\$666,095 (Federal) +	\$74,011 (Other) = \$74	40,106 (Total)					
*Funds programmed	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	026 TIP years					

Project Sponsor: I County: I Project Name: Ov Bridg Bridg Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Bridg Justification: This i FY 23-26 TIP FY 2 Funding: *FY 2 *Funds programmed in fisca Same	Floyd Westbound Lane ver Quarry Road dge Replacement \$3,76 ge replacement of is a bridge replacer 2026 Construction 390,392 (Federal) + 2030 Constructior 390,392 (Federal) +	KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,102 the I-64 westbound la ment project to accon (CN) phase with NHPI \$376,710 (Other) = \$ h (CN) phase with NHI \$376,710 (Other) = \$	2 funds: 3 ,767,102 (Total) PP funds: 3,767,102 (Total)	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$3,767 Road. /el lanes contract on I-64.	
County: I-64 Project Name: Ov Bridg Ov Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Bridg Justification: This i FY 23-26 TIP \$720 Funding: *FY 2 *Funds programmed in fisca \$333	Floyd Westbound Lane ver Quarry Road dge Replacement \$3,76 ge replacement of is a bridge replacer 2026 Construction 390,392 (Federal) + 2030 Constructior 390,392 (Federal) +	Parent ID: Funding Source: 7,102 the I-64 westbound la ment project to accon (CN) phase with NHPI -\$376,710 (Other) = \$ h (CN) phase with NHI \$376,710 (Other) = \$	N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ane bridge over Quarry modate the added trav 2-funds: 3,767,102 (Total)	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$3,767 Road.	2676 2027 2030
Project Name: I-64 Ov Brid Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Bridg Justification: This i FY 23-26 TIP \$7,39 Funding: *FY 2 \$3,39 *FY 2 *Funding: *FY 2 *Funds programmed in fisca Bridg	4 Westbound Lane ver Quarry Road dge Replacement \$3,76 ge replacement of is a bridge replacer 2026 Construction 390,392 (Federal) + 2030 Construction 390,392 (Federal) +	Funding Source: 7,102 the I-64 westbound la ment project to accon (CN) phase with NHPI \$376,710 (Other) = \$ h (CN) phase with NHI	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ane bridge over Quarry modate the added trav 2-funds: 3,767,102 (Total)	Open to Public Date: \$3,767 Road.	2027 2030 7,102
Project Name: Ov Brid Brid Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Bridg Justification: This i FY 23-26 TIP \$7,26 Funding: *FY 2 *Funds programmed in fisca	ver Quarry Road dge Replacement \$3,76 ge replacement of is a bridge replacer 2026 Construction 390,392 (Federal) + 2030 Constructior 390,392 (Federal) +	7,102 the I-64 westbound la ment project to accon (CN) phase with NHPI \$376,710 (Other) = \$ h (CN) phase with NHI \$376,710 (Other) = \$	Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ane bridge over Quarry modate the added trav 2-funds: 3,767,102 (Total)	\$3,767 Road.	2030
Project Cost: Description: Bridg Justification: This i FY 23-26 TIP FY 2 Funding: *FY 2 *Funds programmed in fisca	ge replacement of is a bridge replacer 2026 Construction 390,392 (Federal) + 2030 Construction 390,392 (Federal) +	the I-64 westbound la ment project to accon (CN) phase with NHPI \$376,710 (Other) = \$ h (CN) phase with NHI \$376,710 (Other) = \$	Programmed in TIP to date: ane bridge over Quarry modate the added trav 2-funds: 3,767,102 (Total) PP funds: 3,767,102 (Total)	Road.	
Justification: This i FY 23-26 TIP Funding: *FY 2 \$3,39 *FY 2 \$3,39 * *FY 2 \$3,39 * * * * * * * * * * * * *	is a bridge replacer 2026 Construction (390,392 (Federal) + 2030 Construction 390,392 (Federal) +	ment project to accon (CN) phase with NHPI \$376,710 (Other) = \$ n (CN) phase with NHI \$376,710 (Other) = \$	Pfunds: 3,767,102 (Total) Pf funds: 3,767,102 (Total)		
FY 23-26 TIP Funding: *FY 2 \$3,39 *Funds programmed in fisca	2026 Construction (390,392 (Federal) + 2030 Constructior 390,392 (Federal) +	(CN) phase with NHP \$376,710 (Other) = \$ n (CN) phase with NHI \$376,710 (Other) = \$	2 funds: 3 ,767,102 (Total) PP funds: 3,767,102 (Total)	vel lanes contract on I-64.	
FY 23-26 TIP Funding: *FY 2 \$3,39 *Funds programmed in fisca	2026 Construction (390,392 (Federal) + 2030 Constructior 390,392 (Federal) +	(CN) phase with NHP \$376,710 (Other) = \$ n (CN) phase with NHI \$376,710 (Other) = \$	2 funds: 3 ,767,102 (Total) 2P funds: 3,767,102 (Total)		
··					
MTP Action: None	ne				
TIP Action: Upda	late TIP funding and	d OTP			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3150	State ID:	2200016
County:	,	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
	A New Bridge Over 4 EB to I-265 EB Ramp	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2030
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$8,95	4,952	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$8,954,952	
Description: New	v bridge constructio	on on I-64 over the I-6	4 eastbound to the I-2	65 eastbound ramp.	
ustification: This p	project will provide	e a new eastbound alig	gnment over I-64 eastb	ound to the I-265 eastbo	und ramp.
FY 23-26 TIP Funding: *FY 2	9 59,457 (Federal) + 2030 Constructior	(CN) phase with NHPI \$895,495 (Other) = \$ n (CN) phase with NHI	\$8,954,952 (Total) PP funds:		
\$8,0	059,457 (Federal) +	\$895,495 (Other) = \$	\$8,954,952 (Total)		
*Funds programmed in fisca	al vears outside of t	the current 2022-202			
	at years outside of		o ne years		

in Frank 3 \$3,583,038 eplacement on I ge replacement of struction (CN) p Federal) + \$358 onstruction (CN)	A ID: ht ID: ing Source: I-64 eastbound on I-64 eastbound on I-64 eastbound ohase with NHI 304 (Other) = I phase with NHI 304 (Other) = I rrent 2023-20 ect Cost	PP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total) HPP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total)	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$3,58: d. Road to accommodate the	·
d Parer pound acement in Frank d \$3,583,038 eplacement on I ge replacement of struction (CN) p Federal) + \$358 postruction (CN) Federal) + \$358	nt ID: ing Source: I-64 eastbound on I-64 eastbound ohase with NHI 304 (Other) = I phase with NH 304 (Other) = urrent 2023-20 ect Cost	N/A National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: d over Captain Frank Roa und over Captain Frank Roa PP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total)	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$3,583 d.	2676 2027 2030 3,038
struction (CN) Federal) + \$358 putside of the cu	ing Source: I-64 eastbound on I-64 eastbound ohase with NHI 304 (Other) = Phase with NH 304 (Other) = urrent 2023-20 ect Cost	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: d over Captain Frank Roa und over Captain Frank I PP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total)	Open to Public Date: \$3,583 d.	2027 2030 3,038
acement in Frank \$3,583,038 eplacement on I ge replacement of struction (CN) p Federal) + \$358 onstruction (CN) Federal) + \$358 outside of the cu	I-64 eastbound on I-64 eastbo ohase with NHI 304 (Other) = I phase with NH 304 (Other) = I rrent 2023-20 ect Cost	Performance Program (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: d over Captain Frank Roa und over Captain Frank Roa und over Captain Frank I PP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total)	\$3,58: d.	2030 3,038
eplacement on I ge replacement of istruction (CN) p Federal) + \$358 onstruction (CN) Federal) + \$358 outside of the cu	I-64 eastbound on I-64 eastbo ohase with NHI 3,304 (Other) = 1 phase with NH 3,304 (Other) = 1 urrent 2023-20 ect Cost	Programmed in TIP to date: d over Captain Frank Roa und over Captain Frank I PP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total)	d.	·
replacement of struction (CN) p Federal) + \$358 postruction (CN) Federal) + \$358 putside of the cu	on I-64 eastbo bhase with NHI 304 (Other) = phase with NH 304 (Other) = urrent 2023-20 ect Cost	PP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total) HPP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total)		e I-64 added travel
nstruction (CN) p Federal) + \$358 ponstruction (CN) Federal) + \$358 putside of the cu	ohase with NHF ,304 (Other) = phase with NH ,304 (Other) = urrent 2023-20 ect Cost	PP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total) HPP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total)	Road to accommodate th	e I-64 added travel
Federal) + \$358 onstruction (CN) Federal) + \$358 outside of the cu	,304 (Other) = phase with NH ,304 (Other) = urrent 2023-20 ect Cost	\$3,583,038 (Total) IPP funds: \$3,583,038 (Total)		
l Estimated Proje	ect Cost	026 TIP years		
8				
T KIPD	A ID:	3152	State ID:	2200018
d Parer		N/A	Group ID:	2676
bound	ing Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2030
\$ 5,156,009 \$5,784,170		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:		
ement on I-64	westbound ove	er Captain Frank Road.		
ge replacement	project to acco	mmodate the I-64 adde	d travel lanes project.	
(Federal) + \$515	5 ,601 (Other) =) phase with NF	\$5,156,009 (Total) IPP funds:		
	\$5,784,170 ement on I-64 ge replacement estruction (CN) r (Federal) + \$51 onstruction (CN)	ement on I-64 westbound over ge replacement project to acco i struction (CN) phase with NHI (Federal) + \$515,601 (Other) = instruction (CN) phase with NH	\$5,156,009\$5,784,170to date:ement on I-64 westbound over Captain Frank Road.	\$5,156,009 \$5,784,170Programmed in TIP to date:\$5,156 \$5,784ement on I-64 westbound over Captain Frank Road.\$5,784ge replacement project to accommodate the I-64 added travel lanes project.isstruction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:(Federal) + \$515,601 (Other) = \$5,156,009 (Total)onstruction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:

MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	nd OTP						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3153	State ID:	2200019			
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	I-265 Bridge Replacement	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2030			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	Total Cost \$6,481,377 Programmed in TIP \$6,481,377 to date:							
Description:	Bridge replacement on I-265 from the westbound I-265 ramp to EB I-64 over eastbound I-64 to eastbound I-27 ramp.							
Justification:	This project will replac	This project will replace the I-265 bridge to accommodate the I-64 added travel lanes project.						
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2026 Construction \$5,833,239 (Federal) *FY 2030 Construction \$5,833,239 (Federal)	+ \$648,138 (Other) = on (CN) phase with NH	\$6,481,377 (Total) IPP funds:					
*Funds programmed	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	926 TIP years					
MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	nd OTP						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3252	State ID:	2300060			
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	I-64 Small Structure Pipe Lining - Little Indian Creek	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2025 2030			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$1,36	54,922	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$1,364,922				
Description:	Small Structure Pipe I	ining on I 64, UNT to	Little Indian Creek - 119.8	33				
Justification:	This project will impro	ve the current conditi	on of the structure and e	extend its service life.				
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Construction \$1,228,430 (Federal) *FY 2030 Construction \$1,228,430 (Federal)	+ \$136,492 (Other) = \$ on (CN) phase with NH	51,364,922 (Total) IPP funds:					
*Funds programmed	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	126 TIP years					

Jpdate TIP funding an INDOT Floyd	d OTP KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	3253	State ID:	2301566
		3253	State ID:	2301566
Floyd	Parent ID:			2301300
		N/A	Group ID:	2676
I-64 Small Structure Replacement	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2025 2030
\$2,100,000		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$2,100,000	
Small structure replace	ement on I-64, 1.96 n	niles east of US-150	_1	
Small structure replace	ement on I-64.			
51,890,000 (Federal) FY 2030 Construction	+ \$210,000 (Other) n (CN) phase with NH	= \$2,100,000 (Total) IPP funds:		
	Small structure replace Small structure replace Y 2025 Construction ST,890,000 (Federal) FY 2030 Construction ST,890,000 (Federal)	Small structure replacement on I-64, 1.96 n Small structure replacement on I-64. Y 2025 Construction (CN) phase with NHF S1,890,000 (Federal) + \$210,000 (Other) FY 2030 Construction (CN) phase with NH	\$2,100,000 Programmed in TIP to date: Small structure replacement on I-64, 1.96 miles east of US-150 Small structure replacement on I-64. Y 2025 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds: S1,890,000 (Federal) + \$210,000 (Other) = \$2,100,000 (Total) FY 2030 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds: S1,890,000 (Federal) + \$210,000 (Other) = \$2,100,000 (Total)	\$2,100,000Programmed in TIP\$2,100to date:to date:Small structure replacement on I-64, 1.96 miles east of US-150Small structure replacement on I-64.Y 2025 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:\$1,890,000 (Federal) + \$210,000 (Other) = \$2,100,000 (Total)FY 2030 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:\$1,890,000 (Federal) + \$210,000 (Other) = \$2,100,000 (Total)

MTP Action:	Update Total Estimate	ed Project Cost					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	Update TIP funding and OTP					
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3193	State ID:	2101799		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	I-65 & Veterans Parkway	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2029 2030		
Total Estimated Project Cost:		\$22,900,000 Total Cost \$22,900,000 \$25,580,487 Programmed in TIP \$25,580,487 to date: \$25,580,487 \$25,580,487					
Description:		-	ge by providing additiona zed ramp terminal interse		lding pedestrian signa		
lustification:	-		oor peak hour operating dified to improve traffic c	•			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 Preliminary E \$113,590 (Federal) + \$ FY 2025 Preliminary E \$2,122,211 (Federal) + \$ FY 2025 ROW phase • \$180,000 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$900,000 (Federal) +	Engineering (PE) phas 28,398 (Other) = \$14 Engineering (PE) phas \$235,801 (Other) = \$ with NHPP funds: \$20,000 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fur + \$100,000 (Other) on (CN) phase with N	H,988 (Total) se with NHPP funds: 2,358,012 (Total) \$200,000 (Total) nds: = \$1,000,000 (Total) HPP funds:				
	אין אין איז) + אָצ,וטט,U4ט (Uthe	r) = \$21,880,478 (Total)				

N/A							
Add U and CN phases and update OTP date							
INDOT	INDOT KIPDA ID: 3269 State ID:						
Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
l 265 SR 265 WB Bridge over Lick Run	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public (OTP) Date:	2025 2029			
\$1,519,062 Total Cost \$1,519,062 Programmed in TIP to date:							
surface. Applying a thi	The purpose of this project is to maintain or improve the current condition ratings of this bridge deck and wearin surface. Applying a thin deck overlay will extend the performance life of this structure, thereby, delaying the new for a more costly rigid overlay project						
This project will extend	d the life of the bridge	e deck wearing surface an	nd will limit the impact on	traffic.			
FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$247,500 (Federal) + \$27,500 (Other) = \$275,000 (Total)							
*FY 2027 Utilitites (U)	phase with NHPP fu	nds:					
\$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)							
*FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds: \$1,110,656 (Federal) + \$123,406 (Other) = \$1,234,062 (Total)							
	INDOT Clark I 265 SR 265 WB Bridge over Lick Run \$1,51 The purpose of this pro- surface. Applying a thi for a more costly rigid This project will extend FY 2025 Preliminary E \$247,500 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Utilitites (U)	INDOT KIPDA ID: Clark Parent ID: 1 265 SR 265 WB Funding Source: Bridge over Lick Run Funding Source: \$1,519,062 \$1,519,062 The purpose of this project is to maintain or surface. Applying a thin deck overlay will exfor a more costly rigid overlay project This project will extend the life of the bridge FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phas \$247,500 (Federal) + \$27,500 (Other) = \$2 *FY 2027 Utilitites (U) phase with NHPP function	INDOT KIPDA ID: 3269 Clark Parent ID: N/A I 265 SR 265 WB Bridge over Lick Run Funding Source: National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP) \$1,265 SR 265 WB Bridge over Lick Run Funding Source: National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: Total Cost The purpose of this project is to maintain or improve the current cor surface. Applying a thin deck overlay will extend the performance lift for a more costly rigid overlay project This project will extend the life of the bridge deck wearing surface an FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$247,500 (Federal) + \$27,500 (Other) = \$275,000 (Total) *FY 2027 Utilitites (U) phase with NHPP funds:	INDOT KIPDA ID: 3269 State ID: Clark Parent ID: N/A Group ID: 1 265 SR 265 WB Funding Source: National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP) Open to Public (OTP) Date: \$1265 SR 265 WB Funding Source: National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP) Open to Public (OTP) Date: \$1265 SR 265 WB Funding Source: Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: Open to Public (OTP) Date: \$1,519,062 Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: \$275,4 Programmed in TIP to date: \$275,4 St1,519,062 The purpose of this project is to maintain or improve the current condition ratings of this bridge surface. Applying a thin deck overlay will extend the performance life of this structure, thereby for a more costly rigid overlay project This project will extend the life of the bridge deck wearing surface and will limit the impact on FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$247,500 (Federal) + \$27,500 (Other) = \$275,000 (Total) *FY 2027 Utilitites (U) phase with NHPP funds: *FY 2027 Utilitites (U) phase with NHPP funds:			

TIP Action:	N/A Update TIP funding and funding source						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3247	State ID:	2300823		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
			Surface-		2070		
			Transportation Block				
			Grant - State (STBG-				
Project Name:	SR 160 Bridge over	Funding Source:	ST)	Open to Public Date:	2028		
	Pigeon Roost Creek		National Highway				
			Performance Plan				
			(NHPP)				
			Total Cost		~~		
Total Estimated	\$42	1,856	Programmed in TIP	\$210,0			
Project Cost:			to date:	\$421,856			
Description:	Scour protection on S	R 160 Bridge over Pig	eon Roost Creek, 03.44 n	ni W I-65			
Justification:	The project will correc	t the deficiencies with	erosion and scour at the	outlet (east end) of the st	ructure.		
	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$180,000 (Federal) + \$20,000 (Other) = \$200,000 (Total)						
	FY 2026 ROW phase	with STBG-State func	ls:				
FY 23-26 TIP	\$ 8,000 (Federal) + \$2	2,000 (Other) = \$10,0	900 (Total)				
	FY 2026 ROW phase	with NHPP-State fund	ds:				
	FY 2026 ROW phase \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1						
Funding:		,000 (Other) = \$10,0	OO (Total)				
	\$9,000 (Federal) + \$1	,000 (Other) = \$10,0 phase with NHPP-Sta	IOO (Total) Ite funds:				
	\$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2027 Utilities (U)	,000 (Other) = \$10,0 phase with NHPP-Sta ,000 (Other) = \$10,0	100 (Total) 1te funds: 100 (Total)				
	\$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1	,000 (Other) = \$10,0 phase with NHPP-Sta ,000 (Other) = \$10,0 n (CN) phase with NH	100 (Total) 1te funds: 100 (Total) IPP-State funds:				

MTP Action:	N/A					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding a	-				
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3281	State ID:	2301023	
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676	
Project Name:	SR 160	Funding Source:	National Highway- System (NHS) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028	
Total Catino at a d	¢1.0	20 421	Total Cost	¢215	000	
Total Estimated		20,431	Programmed in TIP	\$ 315 ,		
Project Cost:	\$1,1	47,231	to date:	\$1,14	7,231	
Description:	Small Structure Repla 2028.	acement on SR 160 Br	idge over Guernsey Cree	k, E of SR 60. This will be	constructed in FY	
Justification:	 of the asset. The project need is based on the current condition of the structure elements. The bottoms of the channel beams have horizontal cracking with efflorescence. Beams #2 and #3 are cracked with heavy efflorescence and Beam #1 is spalling at the downspouts. There are spalls and staining throughout the beam there is evident leaking and efflorescence between each channel beam. The abutments have vertical cracks abov weep holes and the west abutment below Beam #8 has a 2' x 3' spall. In addition, there are spalls and staining the south wingwalls. 					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$270,000 (Federal) FY 2025 Preliminary \$384,120 (Federal) + FY 2025 ROW phase \$13,500 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 ROW phase \$13,500 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2027 Utilities (U)	1,500 (Other) = \$15,0	\$300,000 (Total) e with NHPP funds: 26,800 (Total) 00 (Total) 00 (Total)			
		on (CN) phase with NH \$60,543 (Other) = \$6				
*Funds programmed	d in fiscal years outside o					

MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	Update TIP funding and funding source						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3246	State ID:	2300810			
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	SR 62 Bridge Deck Overlay over Fourteen Mile Creek	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG-ST) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028			
Total Estimated	\$2,041,129 Total Cost \$310,000							
Project Cost:		05,683	Programmed in TIP	\$2,105,683				
,			to date:	, -), -	·			
Description:	Bridge deck overlay or	n SR 62 Bridge over Fo	ourteen Mile Creek, 02.86	o mi E SR 3				
Justification:		Repair a post missing from the north guardrail at the east joint and correct the deficiencies in the wearing surface, deck, and superstructure to help further protect the structure and extend the service life of the bridge.						
	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering phase with STBG-State funds: \$240,000 (Federal) + \$60,000 (Other) = \$300,000 (Total) FY 2024 Preliminary Engineering phase with NHPP funds: \$328,099 (Federal) + \$36,455 (Other) = \$364,554 (Total)							
	FY 2026 ROW phase							
FY 23-26 TIP \$8,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Televal) Funding: FY 2026 ROW phase with NHPP funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Toleval) *FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds: \$72,000 (Federal) + \$8,000 (Other) = \$80,000 (Toleval)			OO (Total)					
Funding:	\$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$72,000 (Federal) + \$	with NHPP funds: ,000 (Other) = \$10,0 phase with NHPP fun ;8,000 (Other) = \$80	00 (Total) ds: 9,000 (Total)					
Funding:	\$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2027 Utilities (U)	with NHPP funds: ,000 (Other) = \$10,0 phase with NHPP fun ;8,000 (Other) = \$80 n (CN) phase with NH	OO (Total) ds:),OOO (Total) IPP funds:					
-	\$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$72,000 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2028 Constructio	with NHPP funds: ,000 (Other) = \$10,0 phase with NHPP fun ;8,000 (Other) = \$80 n (CN) phase with NH • \$165,113 (Other) = \$1	OO (Total) ds:),OOO (Total) IPP funds: ,651,129 (Total)					

Project Sponsor:INDOTCounty:ClarkProject Name:I-65 Small StrTotal Estimated Project Cost:I-65 Small StructureDescription:Small StructureJustification:Inte purpose of structure. The p loss, corrosion, line could ever presence of voFY 2025 Prelin 240,000 (Fede FY 2025 Prelin 247,500 (Fede FY 2026 ROW \$16,000 (Fede FY 2026 ROW	Parent ID: Purcture Funding Source: \$959,405 \$934,405 e Pipe Lining 5.66 mi N of 5 f this project is to correct the project need is based on the project need need need need need need need nee	ne deficiencies in the structu e current condition of the s e invert of the structure. The ng pulled from above and ir e pavement ase with STBG-ST funds: -\$300,000 (Total)	Open to Public Date: \$959, \$934, ure to extend the service tructure elements. There ese perforations and secti	405 life of the small is significant section ion loss in the flow
County: Clark Project Name: I-65 Small Str Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Small Structure Justification: Ine purpose of structure. The purpose of structure. The purpose of structure in presence of vo FY 2025 Prelin 240,000 (Fed FY 2025 Prelin 247,500 (Fede FY 2026 ROW \$16,000 (Fede FY 2026 ROW	Parent ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: \$959,405 \$934,405 e Pipe Lining 5.66 mi N of 9 f this project is to correct the project need is based on the and pitting throughout the need is based on the and pitting throughout the need is based on the need is under or adjacent to the primary Engineering (PE) phase of the phase	N/A Surface- Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG- ST) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: SR 311 on I-65 ne deficiencies in the structure of the structure. The se invert of the structure. The se invert of the structure. The se asse with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total)	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$959, \$934, ure to extend the service structure elements. There ese perforations and secti	2676 2028 405 405 life of the small is significant section ion loss in the flow
Project Name: I-65 Small Str Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Small Structure Justification: The purpose of structure. The purpose of structure. The ploss, corrosion, line could ever presence of vo FY 2025 Prelin 240,000 (Fed FY 2025 Prelin 240,000 (Fed FY 2025 Prelin 247,500 (Fede FY 2026 ROW \$16,000 (Fede FY 2026 ROW	Funding Source: \$959,405 \$934,405 e Pipe Lining 5.66 mi N of 9 f this project is to correct the project need is based on the and pitting throughout the nually lead to material beir ids under or adjacent to the hinary Engineering (PE) pho- hinary Engineering (PE) pho-	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG- ST) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: SR 311 on 1-65 The deficiencies in the structure is e invert of the structure. The hg pulled from above and ir is pavement ase with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total)	Open to Public Date: \$959, \$934, ure to extend the service tructure elements. There ese perforations and secti	2028 405 405 life of the small is significant section ion loss in the flow
Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Small Structure Justification: The purpose of structure. The purpose of structure. The purpose of structure of voltage of structure. The presence of voltage of structure of voltage. Justification: FY 2025 Prelim 240,000 (Feder FY 2025 Prelim 247,500 (Feder FY 2026 ROW) FY 2026 ROW FY 2026 ROW FY 2026 ROW FY 2026 ROW	\$959,405 \$934,405 e Pipe Lining 5.66 mi N of 9 f this project is to correct the project need is based on the and pitting throughout the natually lead to material bein ids under or adjacent to the hinary Engineering (PE) pho- leral) + \$60,000 (Other) = hinary Engineering (PE) pho-	Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG-ST) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: SR 311 on I-65 ne deficiencies in the structure ne pulled from above and ir e pavement	Open to Public Date: \$959, \$934, ure to extend the service tructure elements. There ese perforations and secti	405 405 life of the small is significant section ion loss in the flow
Project Cost: Small Structure Description: Small Structure Justification: The purpose of structure. The purpose of structure. The purpose of structure in the presence of volon in the could even presence of volo	\$934,405 e Pipe Lining 5.66 mi N of 9 f this project is to correct the project need is based on the and pitting throughout the natually lead to material being ids under or adjacent to the hinary Engineering (PE) pho-	Programmed in TIP to date: SR 311 on I-65 the deficiencies in the structure are current condition of the size invert of the structure. The mg pulled from above and in the pavement ase with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total)	\$934, ure to extend the service structure elements. There ese perforations and secti	405 life of the small is significant section ion loss in the flow
Project Cost: Small Structure Description: Small Structure Justification: The purpose of structure. The purpose of structure. The purpose of structure is could ever presence of vo Justification: FY 2025 Prelime 240,000 (Federal Structure) FY 2025 Prelime 247,500 (Federal Structure) FY 2026 ROW FY 2026 ROW FY 2026 ROW	\$934,405 e Pipe Lining 5.66 mi N of 9 f this project is to correct the project need is based on the and pitting throughout the natually lead to material being ids under or adjacent to the hinary Engineering (PE) pho-	to date: SR 311 on I-65 The deficiencies in the structure are current condition of the s e invert of the structure. The ng pulled from above and ir e pavement ase with STBG-ST funds: -\$300,000 (Total)	\$934, ure to extend the service structure elements. There ese perforations and secti	405 life of the small is significant section ion loss in the flow
Justification: The purpose of structure. The p loss, corrosion, line could ever presence of vo FY 2025 Prelin 240,000 (Fed FY 2025 Prelin 247,500 (Fede FY 2026 ROW \$16,000 (Fede FY 2026 ROW	f this project is to correct the project need is based on the and pitting throughout the ntually lead to material bein ids under or adjacent to the ninary Engineering (PE) phe ninary Engineering (PE) phe	SR 311 on I-65 ne deficiencies in the structu e current condition of the s e invert of the structure. The ng pulled from above and ir e pavement ase with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total)	tructure elements. There ese perforations and secti	is significant section ion loss in the flow
Justification: The purpose of structure. The p loss, corrosion, line could ever presence of vo FY 2025 Prelin 240,000 (Fed FY 2025 Prelin 247,500 (Fede FY 2026 ROW \$16,000 (Fede FY 2026 ROW	f this project is to correct the project need is based on the and pitting throughout the ntually lead to material bein ids under or adjacent to the ninary Engineering (PE) phe ninary Engineering (PE) phe	ne deficiencies in the structu e current condition of the s e invert of the structure. The ng pulled from above and ir e pavement ase with STBG-ST funds: -\$300,000 (Total)	tructure elements. There ese perforations and secti	is significant section ion loss in the flow
Justification: Justification: Structure. The ploss, corrosion, line could ever presence of vo FY 2025 Prelin 240,000 (Fed FY 2025 Prelin 247,500 (Fed FY 2026 ROW \$16,000 (Fed FY 2026 ROW	oroject need is based on th and pitting throughout the ntually lead to material beir ids under or adjacent to th ninary Engineering (PE) pho- ninary Engineering (PE) pho-	e current condition of the s e invert of the structure. The ng pulled from above and ir e pavement ase with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total)	tructure elements. There ese perforations and secti	is significant section ion loss in the flow
240,000 (Fed FY 2025 Prelin 247,500 (Fede FY 2026 ROW \$16,000 (Fede FY 2026 ROW	eral) + \$60,000 (Other) = ninary Engineering (PE) ph	\$300,000 (Total)		
FY 23-26 TIP Funding: *FY 2027 Utilit \$8,000 (Fede *FY 2027 Utilit \$9,000 (Fede *FY 2028 Con:	phase with STBG-ST fund eral) + \$4,000 (Other) = \$2 phase with NHPP funds: eral) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$2 cies (U) phase with STBG-S ral) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$10 cies (U) phase with NHPP funds ral) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10 struction (CN) phase with \$2 deral) + \$125,881 (Other) =	ls: 20,000 (Total) 20,000 (Total) 3T funds: 2,000 (Total) unds: 2,000 (Total) 5TBG-ST funds:		

MTP Action:	N/A					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	d funding source				
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3262	State ID:	2300988	
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676	
Project Name:	I-65 Small Structure	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG- ST) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028	
Tatal Fatingated			Total Cost			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$723	3,944	Programmed in TIP to date:	\$723	944	
Description:	Small Structure Paved	Invert on I-65 0.06	mi N of SR 311 at the inter	section with the I-65 NE	3 ramp	
Justification:	structure. The project r	need is based on the section loss in flowli	deficiencies in the structu current condition of the s ne. There is also debris at t	tructure elements. The c	culvert has several	
FY 23-26 TIP	 \$180,000 (Federal) + \$45,000 (Other) = \$225,000 (Total) FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$202,500 (Federal) + \$22,500 (Other) = \$225,000 (Total) FY 2026 ROW phase with STBG-ST funds: \$8,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total) FY 2026 ROW phase with NHPP funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total) 					
Funding:	*FY 2027 Utilities (U) r	abaca with STRC-ST	funde			
	\$24,000 (Federal) + \$					
	*FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds: \$27,000 (Federal) + \$3,000 (Other) = \$30,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2028 Construction -\$367,155 (Federal) + \$	•				
	\$367,155 (Federal) + \$91,789 (Other) = \$458,944 (Total) *FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds: \$413,050 (Federal) + \$45,894 (Other) = \$458,944 (Total)					

MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Add TIP phases and u	Add TIP phases and update TIP funding and source						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3264	State ID:	2301121			
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	SR 111 HMA Overlay	Funding Source:	National Highway System (NHS) Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG-ST)	Open to Public Date:	2030			
Tatal Fating at a			Total Cost	Ċ(OO	000			
Total Estimated	\$3,52	2,400	Programmed in TIP	\$ 600				
Project Cost:			to date:	\$3,522,400				
Description:	HMA Overlay, Prevent (State St)	tive Maintenance on S	SR 111 from 0.92 miles S o	f I-64 (Corydon Pike) to	0.19 miles N of I-64			
Justification:		The purpose of this project is to extend the life of the pavement by addressing functional and structural deficiencies. This investment must last until the next predicted project in the 20 year plan, which is estimated to be in 2042.						
	-	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHS funds: \$ 540,000 (Federal) + \$60,000 (Other) = \$600,000 (Total)						
	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$400,000 (Federal) + \$100,000 (Other) = \$500,000 (Total)							
	*FY 2027 ROW phase \$32,000 (Federal) + \$							
FY 23-26 TIP Funding: *FY 2028 Railroad (R) phase with STBG-ST funds:								
\$40,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$50,000 (Total) *FY 2028 Utilities (U) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$40,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$50,000 (Total) *FY 2030 Construction (CN) phase with STBG-ST funds:								
	\$2,305,920 (Federal)	+ \$576,480 (Other) =	= \$2,882,400 (Total)					
*Funds programme	ed in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years					

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Phase shift and update	e OTP					
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3251	State ID:	2300059		
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-64 Small Structure Pipe Lining EB	Funding Source:	National Highway System (NHS) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2025 2026		
Total Estimated	\$47	2,473	,473 Programmed in TIP \$472,473				
Project Cost:			to date:				
Description:	Small Structure Pipe L	ining on I 64, Valley V	iew Creek - 121.95 EB				
Justification:	This project will impro-	ve the current conditi	on of the structure and e	extend its service life.			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Construction \$425,226 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 Construction \$425,226 (Federal) + \$	(CN) phase with NHF	2,473 (Total) P funds:				
MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Phase shift and update	e OTP					
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3249	State ID:	2300057		
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-64 Small Structure Pipe Lining - Valley View Creek	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2025 2026		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$629	9,964	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$629,9	964		
Description:	I-64 Small Structure P	ipe Lining - Valley Vie	w Creek, 164-022-122.6	0			
Justification:		•	deficiencies in the struct e current condition of th	ure in order to extend or re ne structure elements.	eset the service life		
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Construction \$566,968 (Federal) + 9 FY 2026 Construction \$566,968 (Federal) + 9	\$ 62,996 (Other) = \$6 (CN) phase with NHF	29,964 (Total) P funds:				

MTP Action:	N/A	N/A					
TIP Action:	Add new Group Projec	Add new Group Project					
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	2300292		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	SR 60 over Tributary to Sugar Creek	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$629,847 Total Cost \$629,847 Programmed in TIP		\$629,	529,847			
Description:	The purpose of this pro 311 and extend the life	-	improve the current con	dition ratings of this large	e culvert at .32 W S		
Justification:	This project will extend	the life of the large of	culvert/structure.				
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Preliminary E \$315,000 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2027 Construction \$251,862 (Federal) + \$	635,000 (Other) = \$3	350,000 (Total) PP funds:				
*Funds programmed	in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years 🛛				

TIP Action:		ed Project Cost				
	Update TIP funding					
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3306	State ID:	2301123	
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A	
Project Name:	I-265	Funding Source:	National Highway System (NHS)	Open to Public Date:	2028	
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$37,646,977 Total Cost \$37,646,977 \$44,397,851 Programmed in TIP \$44,397,851 to date: \$44,397,851					
Description:	Pavement patching or 2028.	n I-265 From I 65 to C).69 miles W of SR 62 (O	RB O&M Limit). This will	be constructed in FY	
Justification:	wide, and outside show restoration project cor causing the pavement reduce the chances of of this project is to resu	ulders approximately npleted in 2019. The to rapidly deteriorate this pavement failing et the life of the failin	e with 4 lanes approximat 10' wide on average. This concrete slabs are faultin e. An emergency patching before the pavement re g pavement through repl eventative maintenance	s road section had a conc og and rocking in multiple g contract is scheduled fo placement project is cor lacement. The new pave	rete pavement clocations, which is or FY 2023 to help npleted. The purpose	
	FY 2025 Preliminary E \$2,475,000 (Federal) FY 2025 Preliminary E \$8,130,690 (Federal)	+ \$275,000 (Other)	= \$2,750,000 (Total) e with NHS funds:			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2026 ROW phase with NHS funds: \$90,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$100,000 (Total) *FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHS funds: \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$20,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with NHS funds: \$31,299,279 (Federal) + \$3,477,698 (Other) = \$34,776,977 (Total) *FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with NHS funds: \$31,719,376 (Federal) + \$3,524,375 (Other) = \$35,243,751 (Total)					

MTP Action:	N/A	N/A					
TIP Action:	Add new Group Project						
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	2301127		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-265 Pavement Replacement	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028		
Total Estimated	ér o	0.207	Total Cost	¢5.010	207		
Project Cost:	\$5,819,396		Programmed in TIP to date:	\$5,819,396			
Description:	Pavement Restoration	Pavement Restoration project on I 265 from 0.36 miles West of I-65 to I-65					
Justification:		The purpose of this project is to extend the functional life of the pavement by addressing structural and function deficiencies. This is a standalone project.					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Preliminary E \$450,000 (Federal) + FY 2026 Right of Way \$18,000 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2028 Constructio	\$50,000 (Other) = \$ (ROW) phase with NH 2,000 (Other) = \$20, phase with NHPP func ,000 (Other) = \$10,00 n (CN) phase with NH	500,000 (Total) HPP funds: 000 (Total) ds: 00 (Total) PP funds:				
	\$4,760,456 (Federal)	+ \$528,940 (Other) =	\$5,289,396 (Total)				
*Funds programme	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	26 TIP years				

TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	d source			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3261	State ID:	2300844
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	I-65 Ebenezer Church Rd	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block- Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$375,813 \$200,813		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$200,000 \$200,813	
Description:	Bridge Thin Deck Over	ay on I-65 Ebenezer C	hurch Road Bridge over	I-65 NB/SB, 04.46 mi	S of SR 160
lustification:	wearing surface. This b treatment to date. The	ridge received a supers re are minor transverse	tructure replacement in and a few longitudinal	ements and notable de 2015 and has not recei cracks in the wearing su e wearing surface treatm	ved a wearing surface Irface that could
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Preliminary E \$160,000 (Federal) + *FY 2028 Construction \$180,732 (Federal) + \$	\$40,000 (Other) = \$20	90,000 (Total) P funds:		
*Funds programmed ir	n fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-2026	TIP years		
- F - O	.,		,		

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding a	Update TIP funding and source					
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3260	State ID:	2300845		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-65 Biggs Rd	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block- Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$ 375,813 \$200,813		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$200,000 \$200,813			
Description:	Bridge Thin Deck Ove	rlay on I-65 Biggs Roa	d Bridge over I-65, O2.51	mi S of SR 160			
Justification:	wearing surface. This treatment to date. Th	The project need is based on the current condition of the structure elements and notable deficiencies in the wearing surface. This bridge received a superstructure replacement in 2015 and has not received a wearing surface treatment to date. There are minor hairline cracks in the wearing surface that could benefit from additional protection through a preventive maintenance wearing surface treatment.					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$160,000 (Federal) + \$40,000 (Other) = \$200,000 (Total) *FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds: \$180,732 (Federal) + \$20,081 (Other) = \$200,813 (Total)						
*Funds programmed	in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years				

N/A				
Update TIP funding an	d source			
INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3259	State ID:	2300846
Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
I-65 Hebron Church Rd	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block- Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028
\$ 364,657 \$189,657		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$ 175,000 \$189,657	
Bridge Thin Deck Overlay on I-65 Hebron Church Rd Bridge over I-65 NB/SB, 01.27 mi. N of SR 160				
wearing surface. This b treatment to date. The	ridge received a supe re are minor hairline c	rstructure replacement in cracks in the wearing surfa	a 2015 and has not recei ace that could benefit fr	ved a wearing surface
FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$140,000 (Federal) + \$35,000 (Other) = \$175,000 (Total) *FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$170,691 (Federal) + \$18,966 (Other) = \$189,657 (Total)				
	Update TIP funding an INDOT Clark I-65 Hebron Church Rd \$364 \$189 Bridge Thin Deck Over The project need is bas wearing surface. This b treatment to date. The protection through a p FY 2025 Preliminary E \$140,000 (Federal) +	Update TIP funding and source INDOT KIPDA ID: Clark Parent ID: I-65 Hebron Church Rd Funding Source: \$364,657 \$189,657 Bridge Thin Deck Overlay on I-65 Hebron Cl The project need is based on the current cor wearing surface. This bridge received a supe treatment to date. There are minor hairline of protection through a preventive maintenance FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase \$140,000 (Federal) + \$35,000 (Other) = \$1	Update TIP funding and sourceINDOTKIPDA ID:3259ClarkParent ID:N/AI-65 Hebron Church RdFunding Source:Surface- Transportation Block- Grant – State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)\$364,657 \$189,657Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:Bridge Thin Deck Overlay on I-65 Hebron Church Rd Bridge over I-65The project need is based on the current condition of the structure el wearing surface. This bridge received a superstructure replacement in treatment to date. There are minor hairline cracks in the wearing surface treatmentFY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$140,000 (Federal) + \$35,000 (Other) = \$175,000 (Total)	Update TIP funding and source INDOT KIPDA ID: 3259 State ID: Clark Parent ID: N/A Group ID: I-65 Hebron Church Rd Funding Source: Surface: Transportation Block: Grant – State: (STBG-ST): National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP) Open to Public Date: \$364,657 \$189,657 Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: \$475; \$189 Bridge Thin Deck Overlay on I-65 Hebron Church Rd Bridge over I-65 NB/SB, 01.27 mi. N of 9 The project need is based on the current condition of the structure elements and notable def wearing surface. This bridge received a superstructure replacement in 2015 and has not receit treatment to date. There are minor hairline cracks in the wearing surface that could benefit fr protection through a preventive maintenance wearing surface treatment. FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$140,000 (Federal) + \$35,000 (Other) = \$175,000 (Total)

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	d source					
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3280	State ID:	2300899		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-265 EB Bridge over I- 65	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG-ST) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$1,60	\$1,608,004 \$1,608,004 \$1,608,004 Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:					
Description:	Bridge Deck Overlay I-	265 EB Bridge over I	-65 NB/SB, 02.50 mi E of	FSR 311. This will be cons	structed in FY 2028.		
Justification:	structure and extend the structure elements and Vertical and horizontal	ne service life of the d notable deficiencie cracking in both abu	deficiencies in the wearin bridge. The project need is s in the wearing surface, d tment bent caps. Pier 2 co fflorescence in both back	s based on the current c leck, joints, beam ends, a blumn 1 has exposed reb	ondition of the and approach slabs.		
	FY 2025 Preliminary E \$220,000 (Federal) +		e with STBG-ST funds: 5275,000 (Total)				
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$247,500 (Federal) + \$27,500 (Other) = \$275,000 (Total)						
Funding:		*FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2028 Construction \$1,190,704 (Federal) +						
*Funds programme	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years 🛛				

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an		1	,			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3279	State ID:	2300900		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-265 WB Bridge over I-65	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028		
Total Estimated			Total Cost				
Project Cost:	\$1,61	19,121	Programmed in TIP to date:	\$275,0	000		
Description:	Bridge Deck Overlay o	n I-265 WB Bridge o	ver I-65 NB/SB, 02.50 mi	E SR 311. This will be con	structed in FY 2028.		
Justification:	 The purpose of this project is to correct the deficiencies in the wearing surface and deck to help further pro structure and extend the service life of the bridge. The project need is based on the current condition of the structure elements and notable deficiencies in the wearing surface, deck, joints, beam ends, and approach slabs. There are vertical and horizontal cracks on be abutments with several delaminated areas. Moderate cracking with efflorescence is in the west backwall. M scaling in column #1 at pier #2. Bent #3 seat has some minor cracking and delamination. Minor cracking in bent caps. 						
	FY 2025 Preliminary E \$220,000 (Federal) +		e with STBG-ST funds: 5275,000 (Total)				
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$247,500 (Federal) + \$27,500 (Other) = \$275,000 (Total)						
Funding:		*FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2028 Construction \$1,200,709 (Federal)						
*Funds programme	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years				

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding	g and source					
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3278	State ID:	2300901		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-265	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028		
			Total Cost	4075			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$	1,374,533	Programmed in TIP to date:	\$275, \$1,374			
Description:	Bridge Deck Overla 2028.	ay I-265 EB Bridge over I	-265 ramp SW-D to I-65,	00.13 mi E I-65. This wi	ll be constructed in FY		
Justification:	structure and exter The project need is wearing surface, de There is a longitudi approach slab pour	The purpose of this project is to correct the deficiencies in the wearing surface and deck to help further protect the structure and extend the service life of the bridge. The project need is based on the current condition of the structure elements and notable deficiencies in the wearing surface, deck, joints, beam ends, and approach slabs. There is a longitudinal cracking and one pop-out in the west approach slab. The east approach has had a new approach slab poured for the lanes carrying traffic. There are minor diagonal cracks in the beams at the abutments. These cracks are typical of precast I-beams of the time that the bridge was built. Overall the bridge is in good to					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$220,000 (FederalFY 2025 Prelimina\$247,500 (Federal*FY 2027 Utilities (\$9,000 (Federal)*FY 2028 Construct	ry Engineering (PE) phas al) + \$55,000 (Other) = \$ ry Engineering (PE) phas l) + \$27,500 (Other) = \$2 (U) phase with NHPP fun + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,0 ction (CN) phase with NH	e with NHPP funds: 275,000 (Total) 275,000 (Total) ds: 000 (Total)				
	\$980,580 (Federa	ll) + \$108,953 (Other) = \$	51,089,533 (Total)				

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding	g and source					
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3277	State ID:	2300902		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
			Surface-				
			Transportation Block				
			Grant - State				
Project Name:	I-265	I-265 Funding Source:	(STBG-ST)	Open to Public Date:	2028		
			National Highway				
			Performance Plan				
			(NHPP)				
Total Estimated			Total Cost	\$ 275 ,	000		
	\$	1,174,414	Programmed in TIP	\$1,174			
Project Cost:			to date:	Ş1,1/-	1,414		
	FY 2028.	project is to correct the	deficiencies in the wearin	a curface and dock to be	In further protect the		
	The purpose of this project is to correct the deficiencies in the wearing surface and deck to help further protect the structure and extend the service life of the bridge.						
			-	amonto and natable daf	icionaios in the		
Justification:			ndition of the structure el				
	wearing surface, deck, joints, beam ends, and approach slabs. There is diagonal cracking in the ends of the beams						
	at the abutments. These cracks are typical for precast concrete I-beams from the time the bridge was built. There are minor cracks and spalls in the abutments. Overall the bridge is in good to satisfactory condition.						
	FY 2025 Preliminal	ry Engineering (PE) phase	e with STBG-ST funds:				
	\$ 220,000 (Federal) + \$55,000 (Other) = \$275,000 (Total)						
	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds:						
FY 23-26 TIP	\$247,500 (Federal	l) + \$27,500 (Other) = \$2	75,000 (Total)				
Funding:	*FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds:						
	\$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)						
	*FY 2028 Construct	tion (CN) phase with NH	IPP funds:				
	\$800,473 (Federa	l) + \$88,841 (Other) = \$8	89,414 (Total)				
*Funds programmed	d in fiscal years outside	of the current 2023-20	26 TIP years□				
	•		· ·				

MTP Action:	N/A				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	nd source		-	
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3276	State ID:	2300903
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	I-265 EB Coopers Lane	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block- Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028
			Total Cost	4	
Total Estimated	\$2,20	02,770	Programmed in TIP	\$325,000	
Project Cost:			to date:	\$2,202	2,770
lustification:	structure and extend t service life of the stee The project need is ba wearing surface, deck,	the service life of the l l beams. sed on the current co joints, beams, and ap	deficiencies in the wearing oridge and to improve the ndition of the structure ele oproach slabs. Transverse st approach has one patcl	ements and notable deficed are present in bot	gs to extend the ciencies in the
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$260,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$292,500 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2028 Constructio	+ \$65,000 (Other) = \$ Engineering (PE) phas \$32,500 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fun 1,000 (Other) = \$10,0 n (CN) phase with NH	e with NHPP funds: 325,000 (Total) ds: 100 (Total) IPP funds:		
	\$1,680,993 (Federal)	+ \$186,777 (Other) = \$	51,867,770 (Total)		

MTP Action:	N/A					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	nd source				
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3275	State ID:	2300904	
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676	
Project Name:	I-265 WB Coopers Lane	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028	
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,202,770 Total Cost \$325,000 Programmed in TIP \$2,202,770 to date:					
Description:	Bridge Deck Overlay I- 2028.	265 WB Bridge over	Coopers Lane, OO.28 mi l	E of I-65. This project wi	ll be constructed in FY	
Justification:	The purpose of this project is to correct the deficiencies in the wearing surface and deck to help further protect the structure and extend the service life of the bridge and to improve the steel beam paint coatings to extend the service life of the steel beams. The project need is based on the current condition of the structure elements and notable deficiencies in the wearing surface, deck, joints, beams, and approach slabs. There are longitudinal and transverse cracks in both approach slabs, and there is map cracking at the north side of the East joint.					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$260,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$292,500 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2028 Constructio	\$65,000 (Other) = \$ ingineering (PE) phase \$32,500 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fun ,000 (Other) = \$10,0 n (CN) phase with NH	e with NHPP funds: 25,000 (Total) ds: 00 (Total) PP funds:			
	SLOOU 993 Federall	+ S186.777 (Other) = S	1.867.770 (Total)			
* F	in fiscal years outside of		1,867,770 (Total)			

	N/A					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	nd source				
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3274	State ID:	2300905	
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676	
Project Name:	I-265 EB Charlestown Pike	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG-ST) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028	
Total Estimated			Total Cost	\$ 375 ,	000	
	\$2,72	24,124	Programmed in TIP	\$2,72		
Project Cost:			to date:	\$2,72	4,124	
Justification:	structure and extend t	oject is to correct the	deficiencies in the wearin pridge and to improve the	-		
		sed on the current co	ndition of the structure el oproach slabs. The west ap	ements and notable def	iciencies in the	
	The project need is bas wearing surface, deck,	sed on the current co joints, beams, and ap Ingineering (PE) phas \$75,000 (Other) = {	ndition of the structure el oproach slabs. The west ap e with STBG-ST funds: \$375,000 (Total) e with NHPP funds:	ements and notable def	iciencies in the	
- 	The project need is bas wearing surface, deck, FY 2025 Preliminary E \$300,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E	sed on the current co joints, beams, and ap Ingineering (PE) phas \$75,000 (Other) = \$ Ingineering (PE) phas \$37,500 (Other) = \$	ndition of the structure el oproach slabs. The west ap e with STBG-ST funds: \$375,000 (Total) e with NHPP funds: 875,000 (Total)	ements and notable def	iciencies in the	
FY 23-26 TIP	The project need is bas wearing surface, deck, FY 2025 Preliminary E \$300,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$337,500 (Federal) + \$	sed on the current co joints, beams, and ap ingineering (PE) phas \$75,000 (Other) = \$ ngineering (PE) phas \$37,500 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fur	ndition of the structure el oproach slabs. The west ap e with STBG-ST funds: \$375,000 (Total) e with NHPP funds: 875,000 (Total)	ements and notable def	iciencies in the	
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	The project need is bas wearing surface, deck, FY 2025 Preliminary E \$300,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$337,500 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2027 Railroad (R)	sed on the current co joints, beams, and ap ingineering (PE) phas \$75,000 (Other) = \$ ingineering (PE) phas \$37,500 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fur \$5,000 (Other) = \$50 phase with NHPP fun 2,000 (Other) = \$20 n (CN) phase with NH	ndition of the structure el oproach slabs. The west ap e with STBG-ST funds: \$375,000 (Total) e with NHPP funds: 375,000 (Total) nds: 0,000 (Total) ds: ,000 (Total)	ements and notable def	iciencies in the	

MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding a	nd source						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3273	State ID:	2300906			
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	I-265 WB Charlestown Pike	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block- Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028			
			Total Cost					
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,32	23,888	Programmed in TIP to date:	\$375,	000			
			to date.					
Description:	Bridge Deck Overlay I	-265 WB Bridge over	Conrail RR, Charlestown F	Pike, 01.51 mi E US 31				
Justification:	structure and extend the service life of the bridge and to improve the steel beam paint coatings to extenservice life of the steel beams. The project need is based on the current condition of the structure elements and notable deficiencies in wearing surface, deck, joints, beams, and approach slabs. Transverse cracks are in the east approach slab shallow pop outs are present in the slow lane west slab.							
	FY 2025 Preliminary f \$300,000 (Federal) FY 2025 Preliminary f \$337,500 (Federal) +	+ \$75,000 (Other) = \$ Engineering (PE) phas	e with NHPP funds:					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	*FY 2027 Railroad (R) \$9,000 (Federal) + \$							
	\$45,000 (Federal) + 5	*FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds: \$45,000 (Federal) + \$5,000 (Other) = \$50,000 (Total) *FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:						
	\$1,690,999 (Federal)	+ \$187,889 (Other) =	\$1,878,888 (Total)					
*Funds programme	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years					
-								

MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	nd source						
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3272	State ID:	2300909			
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	I-265 EB Conrail RR	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$1,77	3,063	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$300 \$1,773				
Description:	Bridge Deck Overlay I	-265 EB Bridge over l	JS 31, Conrail RR, OO.39 n	ni E I-65. This will be cor	structed in FY 2028.			
Justification:	structure and extend t	he service life of the l	deficiencies in the wearin pridge. The project need is s in the wearing surface, d	s based on the current co	ondition of the			
	FY 2025 Preliminary E \$240,000 (Federal) +		e with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total)					
	FY 2025 Preliminary E \$270,000 (Federal) +							
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:		*FY 2027 Railroad (R) phase with NHPP funds: \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$20,000 (Total)						
-	*FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$27,000 (Federal) + \$							
	*FY 2028 Constructio \$1,280,757 (Federal) +	•						
*Funds programme	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years 🛛					

	N/A				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	d source			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3271	State ID:	2300910
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
			Surface		
			Transportation Block		
		Grant - State			
Project Name:	I-265 WB Conrail RR	Funding Source:	(STBG-ST)	Open to Public Date:	2028
		U	National Highway	•	
			Performance Plan		
			(NHPP)		
			Total Cost		
Total Estimated	¢1 77'	3,063	Programmed in TIP	\$300	000
Project Cost:	, ۱,۱۷	5,005	to date:	\$1,773	,063
Description:		265 WB Bridge over	US 31, Conrail RR, 00.39	mi of E I-65. This will be	constructed in FY
	2028.				
			- · ·	s based on the current co	
	structure elements and	d notable deficiencies	in the wearing surface, d		
		d notable deficiencies ngineering (PE) phase	in the wearing surface, d		
	structure elements and	d notable deficiencies ngineering (PE) phase \$60,000 (Other) = \$	in the wearing surface, d with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total)		
	structure elements and FY 2025 Preliminary E \$240,000 (Federal) +	d notable deficiencies ngineering (PE) phase \$60,000 (Other) = 9 ngineering (PE) phase	in the wearing surface, d with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total) with NHPP funds:		
	structure elements and FY 2025 Preliminary E \$240,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$270,000 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Railroad (R)	d notable deficiencies ngineering (PE) phase \$60,000 (Other) = 9 ngineering (PE) phase \$30,000 (Other) = 9 phase with NHPP fur	in the wearing surface, d with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total) with NHPP funds: \$300,000 (Total) ds:		
	structure elements and FY 2025 Preliminary E \$240,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$270,000 (Federal) +	d notable deficiencies ngineering (PE) phase \$60,000 (Other) = 9 ngineering (PE) phase \$30,000 (Other) = 9 phase with NHPP fur	in the wearing surface, d with STBG-ST funds: \$300,000 (Total) with NHPP funds: \$300,000 (Total) ds:		
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	structure elements and FY 2025 Preliminary E \$240,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$270,000 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Railroad (R) \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2 *FY 2027 Utilities (U) p	d notable deficiencies ngineering (PE) phase \$60,000 (Other) = \$ ngineering (PE) phase \$30,000 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fun 2,000 (Other) = \$20 phase with NHPP fun	in the wearing surface, d with STBG-ST funds: 5300,000 (Total) with NHPP funds: 5300,000 (Total) ds: ,000 (Total)		
	structure elements and FY 2025 Preliminary E \$240,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$270,000 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Railroad (R) \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2	d notable deficiencies ngineering (PE) phase \$60,000 (Other) = \$ ngineering (PE) phase \$30,000 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fun 2,000 (Other) = \$20 phase with NHPP fun	in the wearing surface, d with STBG-ST funds: 5300,000 (Total) with NHPP funds: 5300,000 (Total) ds: ,000 (Total)		
	structure elements and FY 2025 Preliminary E \$240,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$270,000 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Railroad (R) \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2 *FY 2027 Utilities (U) p	d notable deficiencies ngineering (PE) phase \$60,000 (Other) = \$ ngineering (PE) phase \$30,000 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fun 2,000 (Other) = \$20 phase with NHPP fun 3,000 (Other) = \$30 n (CN) phase with NH	in the wearing surface, d with STBG-ST funds: S300,000 (Total) with NHPP funds: S300,000 (Total) ds: ,000 (Total) ds: ,000 (Total) PP funds:		

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	nd source					
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3268	State ID:	2300911		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-265 EB Hamburg Pike	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG-ST) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028		
			Total Cost				
Total Estimated	\$1,45	52,356	Programmed in TIP	\$275	,000		
Project Cost:			to date:				
Justification:	structure and extend t	he service life of the l	deficiencies in the wearin pridge. The project need is s in the wearing surface, d	s based on the current c	ondition of the		
	\$220,000 (Federal) +	\$55,000 (Other) = \$					
	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$247,500 (Federal) + \$27,500 (Other) = \$275,000 (Total)						
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	*FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)						
	*FY 2027 Utilities (U)	phase with NHPP fun	ds:				
	*FY 2027 Utilities (U)	phase with NHPP fun ,000 (Other) = \$10,C	ds: 100 (Total)				
	*FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1	phase with NHPP fun ,000 (Other) = \$10,0 n (CN) phase with NH	ds: 100 (Total) IPP funds:				

MTP Action:	N/A				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	nd source			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3267	State ID:	2300912
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	I-265 WB Hamburg Pike	Funding Source:	Surface- Transportation Block Grant - State- (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$1,26	3,356	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$275,(\$1,263	
Description:	Bridge Deck Overlay I- FY 2028.	265 WB Bridge over	Hamburg Pike, 00.09 mi	E of US 31. This project w	vill be constructed in
Justification:	structure and extend t The project need is ba wearing surface, deck, north side of the web north side of web, alor both abutments. There backwalls. The west slo	he service life of the sed on the current co joints, beam ends, ar at beam end. Beam # ng with a small spall. e is minor cracking in ope wall has a transve nor cracking in interio	deficiencies in the wearin bridge. andition of the structure el and approach slabs. In Spar #2 has cracking and delam There are minor diagonal curtain walls, and vertical erse crack across the top c or crash walls. The bridge s	lements and notable defin In A at Abutment 1: Beam hination on the north side hairline cracks in a few of cracks with efflorescence of wall and has settled ap	ciencies in the #1 has a spall on the at bearing area and f the beam ends at e in both abutment proximately 2" at the
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$220,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$247,500 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1	\$55,000 (Other) = \$ ingineering (PE) phas \$27,500 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fur ,000 (Other) = \$10,0	e with NHPP funds: 275,000 (Total) nds: 000 (Total)		
	*FY 2028 Construction	•			
	*FY 2028 Construction \$880,520 (Federal) +	•			

MTP Action:	N/A				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding ar	nd source	1		
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3270	State ID:	2300913
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	l 265 EB Lick Run	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG-ST)- National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028
Tatal Fatinanta d			Total Cost	\$ 275,	000
Total Estimated	\$1,51	19,062	Programmed in TIP	IP \$1,51	
Project Cost:			to date:	\$1,519	,062
Justification:	structure elements an There is one small spa beam during construc of the beam ends at b has spread footings, N	id notable deficiencie: Ill in Beam #7 span C Ition. There are minor both abutments. Bean IO piles. The footings	bridge. The project need is s in the wearing surface, d at the south east end. It a cracks in a few curtain wa n end 1 has a diagonal crac are set in rock with riprap. ned to be Scour Critical du	eck, joints, beam ends, a ppears to have happene lls, and minor diagonal h :k and a small spall at Ab . There are minor cracks	nd approach slabs. d during placement o airline cracks in a fev utment 1. This bridge with efflorescence in
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$220,000 (Federal) + FY 2025 Preliminary E \$247,500 (Federal) + *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$9,000 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2028 Construction	\$55,000 (Other) = \$ Engineering (PE) phas \$27,500 (Other) = \$ phase with NHPP fun 1,000 (Other) = \$10,0 on (CN) phase with NH	e with NHPP funds: 275,000 (Total) ids: 000 (Total) IPP funds:		
	\$1,110,656 (Federal) +				
*Funds programmed	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years		

	N/A				
TIP Action:	Add new group projec	t			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	2300707
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2678
Project Name:	SR 62 and Charlestown Pike in Charlestown	Funding Source:	Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)- State	Open to Public Date:	2030
Total Estimated	\$87	7,471	Total Cost Programmed in TIP	\$877,4	471
Project Cost:			to date:		
Description:			oject will begin approxim es to terminate at SR 3 ir	nately 0.39 mile north of S n Charlestown	R 265 in Watson
lustification:	congestion along SR 6 area are beginning to r River Ridge will further	2. Existing developm negatively impact ope r affect safety and op	ents built along the corrier	rations due to increasing g dor in combination with gro re development, including n the design year. Fifteen ir y, and access	owing traffic in the developments at
	congestion along SR 6 area are beginning to r River Ridge will further	2. Existing developminegatively impact oper r affect safety and op uated for existing and phase with HSIP-ST f \$30,000 (Other) = \$ (ROW) phase with H	ents built along the corrie erations. Anticipated futu eration at intersections ir future operations, safety unds: 5300,000 (Total) SIP-ST funds:	dor in combination with gro re development, including n the design year. Fifteen ir	owing traffic in the developments at
Justification: FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	congestion along SR 6 area are beginning to r River Ridge will further the corridor were evalue FY 2025 Planning (P) (\$270,000 (Federal) + FY 2026 Right of Way \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2027 Utilities (U) (\$22,500 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2030 Construction	2. Existing developminegatively impact oper r affect safety and op- uated for existing and ohase with HSIP-ST f \$30,000 (Other) = \$ (ROW) phase with H ,000 (Other) = \$10,0 phase with HSIP-ST f 2,500 (Other) = \$25,0 n (CN) phase with HS	ents built along the corrie erations. Anticipated futu eration at intersections ir future operations, safety unds: 300,000 (Total) SIP-ST funds: 000 (Total) unds: 000 (Total)	dor in combination with gro re development, including n the design year. Fifteen ir	owing traffic in the developments at
FY 23-26 TIP	congestion along SR 6 area are beginning to r River Ridge will further the corridor were evalu FY 2025 Planning (P) \$270,000 (Federal) + FY 2026 Right of Way \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 *FY 2027 Utilities (U) \$22,500 (Federal) + \$	2. Existing developminegatively impact oper r affect safety and op- uated for existing and ohase with HSIP-ST f \$30,000 (Other) = \$ (ROW) phase with H ,000 (Other) = \$10,0 phase with HSIP-ST f 2,500 (Other) = \$25,0 n (CN) phase with HS	ents built along the corrie erations. Anticipated futu eration at intersections ir future operations, safety unds: 300,000 (Total) SIP-ST funds: 000 (Total) unds: 000 (Total)	dor in combination with gro re development, including n the design year. Fifteen ir	owing traffic in the developments at

MTP Action:	None					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding					
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2901	State ID:	1800318	
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A	
Project Name:	SR-64	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Group - State (STBG-ST)	Open to Public Date:	2028	
		I	Total Cost	I		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$1,61	12,187	Programmed in TIP to date:	\$1,612	,187	
Description:	Intersection Improven motorists at this inters		anes on SR 64 at Copper	field Drive to reduce que	ueing and delay for	
Justification:		•		ffic at large subdivision ca llow for widening of road	-	
	FY 2025 Preliminary E \$0 (Federal) + \$400,0	• • •				
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2025 Preliminary E	ingineering (PE) phase	with STBG-ST funds:			
Funding:	\$320 (Federal) + \$80,000 (Other) = \$400,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2027 Construction \$800,000 (Federal) +	•				
*Funds programmed	l in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	26 TIP years			

MTP Action:	None	None						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding							
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3049	State ID:	2200718			
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	I-64 Bridge Deck Overlay	Funding Source:	NHS	Open to Public Date:	2027			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$70	0,748	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$700,7	48			
Description:	Bridge deck overlay or	n I-64 over the I-64 R	amp 123D to I-64 westb	ound, 0.28 miles west of S	R 111.			
Justification:	This project will impro	ve the condition of th	ne bridge and extend its s	service life.				
		*FY 2027 Utilities phase with NHS funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)						
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2026 Utilities phase with NHS funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)□							
-	*FY 2027 Construction	*FY 2027 Construction (CN) phase with NHS funds: \$464,173 (Federal) + \$51,575 (Other) = \$515,748 (Total)						
		FY 2026 Construction (CN) phase with NHS funds: \$464,173 (Federal) + \$51,575 (Other) = \$515,748 (Total)□						
*Funds programme	ed in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	026 TIP years					
	-		•					

	N/A						
TIP Action:	Phase shift						
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3050	State ID:	2200719		
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-64 Bridge Deck Overlay	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2027		
Total Estimated			Total Cost				
Project Cost:	\$2,37	2,840	Programmed in TIP	\$2,372,	840		
Project Cost.			to date:				
Description:	Bridge deck overlay or	n I-64 over SR 62X/Sp	oring Street, 0.18 west of	f SR 111.			
Justification:	This project will impro-	ve the conditions of t	ne bridge and extend its	service life.			
	\$270,00 (Federal) + \$30,000 (Other) = \$300,000 (Total) * FY 2027 Utilities phase with NHPP funds: \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$20,000 (Total)						
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2026 Utilities phas	e with NHPP funds:					
Funding:	\$18,000 (Federal) + \$, \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$20,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2027 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:						
	\$1,847,556 (Federal) +	\$ 1,847,556 (Federal) + \$205,284 (Other) = \$2,052,840 (Total)					
	FY 2026 Construction	FY 2026 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:					
	\$1,847,556 (Federal) +	\$205,284 (Other) = 9	\$2,052,840 (Total)				
	1						

MTP Action:	N/A					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and OTP					
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2846	State ID:	2000467	
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676	
Project Name:	SR 62 Hot-Mix Asphalt (HMA), PM	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG- ST)	Open to Public Date:	2024 2030	
Total Estimated Project Cost:		36,571 51,114	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$6,63 \$7,5!		
Description:	Road rehabilitation pro miles east of SR 3 to S		-mix asphalt (HMA) ove	rlay, preventive mainte	nance on SR 62, 0.27	
Justification:	The purpose of this pro deficiencies and remov		nctional life of the pave	ment by addressing fur	actional and structural	
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2024 Construction (CN) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$5,189,257 (Federal) + \$1,297,314 (Other) = \$6,486,571 (Total) *FY 2030 Construction (CN) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$5,920,891 (Federal) + \$1,480,223 (Other) = \$7,401,114 (Total)					
*Funds programmed i	n fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	6 TIP years			

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding, u	odate OTP					
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3155	State ID:	1900373		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2678		
Project Name:	SR 60 Intersection Improvement	Funding Source:	Highway Safety- Improvement- Program (HSIP-State) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028 2027		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	-	\$806,456Total Cost\$959,956Programmed in TIPto date:		\$603 \$757			
Description:	Intersection improven Sellersburg.	nent with added turn l	anes at the intersection (of SR 60 and Twinbrook	Drive/Old SR 60 in		
Justification:	This project will improv 60.	ve the safety of the in	tersection by adding eas	tbound and westbound	eft turn lanes on SR		
	FY25 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$116,800 (Federal) + \$29,200 (Other) = \$146,000 (Total)						
FY 23-26 TIP	FY25 Right of Way (ROW) phase with NHPP funds: \$6,000 (Federal) + \$1,500 (Other) = \$7,500 (Total)						
Funding:	*FY27 Construction (C \$543,380 (Federal) + :	<i>•</i> 1					
	*FY27 Construction (C \$543,380 (Federal) + :	•					
*Funding phase outsi	ide of FY23-26 TIP Years						

MTP Action:	Update Total Estimate	ed Project Cost				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	nd OTP				
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2913	State ID:	2000233	
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A	
Project Name:	US 150	Funding Source:	STBG-State & NHS	Open to Public Date:	2030 2029	
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$5,07	7 6,501 73,863	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$4,01	1 ,501 17,362	
Description:	Intersection improvem	nent with new signals o	n US 150 at Everett Ave	enue, Stiller Road, and B	uck Creek Road.	
Justification:	will focus on the segm in and around the Gale Road, west of Greenvil	ent of US 150 in Floyd ena and the Floyds Kno lle in Harrison County,	County, between Edwa bs area. The original stu for modeling purposes.	nplementing intersectio urdsville-Galena Road an idy area extended west t All relevant background ongoing phases of projec	nd Old Vincennes Road to Snyder Chapel data is included. The	
	FY 2025 PE phase with \$703,351 (Federal) + \$ FY 2025 PE phase with \$237,890 (Federal) + \$	78,150 (Other) \$781,5 h STBG-State funds:				
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	*FY 2028 ROW phase with NHS funds: \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) \$20,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2028 ROW phase with STBG-ST funds: \$16,000 (Federal) + \$4,000 (Other) \$20,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2028 Construction \$2,960,000 (Federal)	n phase with STBG-ST) + \$740,000 (Other)				
*Funds programmed	in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	6 TIP years			

TIP Action:		d project cost				
	Update TIP funding an	d OTP				
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2943	State ID:	2000288	
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A	
	I-64 and Spring		National Highway		2030	
Project Name:	Street Interchange	Funding Source:	Performance Plan	Open to Public Date:	2029	
	Modification		(NHPP)		2027	
Total Estimated	\$3,8 -	4 4,811	Total Cost	\$1,29	6,736	
Project Cost:	\$3,59	7,837	Programmed in TIP to date:	\$3,59	7,837	
Description:	Interchange modificat Street and Spring Stre		with Spring Street, includ Washington Place.	ding Spring Street from !	5th Street to State	
Justification:	Spring Street to accon to determine the effec three scenarios along t Street will redistribute efficiently if the recom	nplish the conversion ts of the conversion the Spring Street cor traffic within the exis mended signal timir prporated, the LOS f	reet. Clark Dietz was hired and to perform a traffic on existing traffic operation ridor. While the proposed sting traffic network, the s og, cycle length, and stora or the existing intersection isting conditions.	capacity analysis of the S ons. This traffic capacity one-way to two-way co surrounding intersections ge length adjustments a	Spring Street corridor analysis evaluates onversion of Spring s will still operate re incorporated. With	
	*FY 2022 Preliminary \$559,905 (Federal) + 1 *FY 2022 Preliminary	\$ 139,976 (Other) = \$	699,881 (Total)			
	\$315,000 (Federal) + 1					
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2024 Preliminary E \$537,170 (Federal) + \$	0 01				
Funding:	FY 2024 Preliminary F	ngineering (PF) nhag	e with NHPP funds			
	FY 2024 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds: \$336,493 (Federal) + \$37,388 (Other) = \$373,881 (Total)					
G	\$336,493 (Federal) + 5	\$37,388 (Other) = \$3	73,881 (Total)			
	\$336,493 (Federal) + 5 *FY 2028 Utilities (U) \$40,000 (Federal) + 5	phase with NHPP fur	nds:			

MTP Action:	N/A				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding	-	-		
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2968	State ID:	2100560
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	I-265 Bridge Deck Overlay on Klerner Lane Bridge, 0.40 Miles East of SR 111	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2026
Total Estimated Project Cost:	Total Cost				7,500
Description:	I-265 bridge deck over	rlay on Klerner Lane b	ridge over I-265 EB/WB,	, 0.40 miles east of SR 11	1.
Justification:			deficiencies in the wearir service life of the bridge	ng surface, deck, and sup 2.	erstructure to help
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 PE phase with \$110,520 (Federal) + \$ FY 2024 ROW phase + \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 FY 2025 ROW phase + \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1 FY 2026 Utilities phas \$99,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 Construction \$2,658,330 (Federal)	12,280 (Other) \$122,4 with NHPP funds: ,000 (Other) \$10,000 with NHPP funds: ,000 (Other) \$10,000 e with NHPP funds: 511,000 (Other) \$110, (CN) phase with NHP	O (Total) O (Total) OOO (Total) P funds:		

MTP Action:	N/A					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding					
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2975	State ID:	2100647	
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676	
Project Name:	SR 265 at Old Salem Road	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2026	
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$429	\$429,655 Total Cost \$429,655 Programmed in TIP to date: \$429,655				
Description:	Bridge thin deck overla	ay at SR 265 at Old Sal	em Road bridge over S	R 265 EB/WB, 2.20 miles	east of SR 62.	
Justification:	treatment to help exte the structure elements received a wearing sur	and the service life of the service life of the service life of the service life of the service se	ne bridge deck. The pro ies in the wearing surfa	ventative maintenance w ject need is based on the ace. This bridge was built i n good condition, but cou surface treatment.	current condition of n 2013 and has never	
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 Preliminary E \$72,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2023 Preliminary E \$119,880 (Federal) + \$ FY 2023 Preliminary E \$6,390 (Federal) + \$71 FY 2026 Construction \$267,300 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 Construction	8,000 (Other) \$80,0 ngineering (PE) phase 13,320 (Other) \$133,2 ngineering (PE) phase 10 (Other) \$7,100 (To (CN) phase with NHPF	OO (Total) with NHPP funds: OO (Total) with NHPP funds: :al) ² funds:			

MTP Action:	Update Total Estimate	d Project Cost			
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and OTP				
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2964	State ID:	2100048
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	SR 60 Intersection Improvement, Roundabout	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2027 2026
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$6,472,023 Total Cost \$1,575,184 \$6,566,843 Programmed in TIP \$6,566,843 to date: \$6,566,843				
Description:	Intersection improvem	nent going to a round	labout at SR 60 and CR 3	311.	
Justification:	particular the rear end, in a 4.01 lcc crash seve geometric improveme	, left turn, and right-a rity value. The crash nt to the intersection	angle crashes. Using HAT frequency value (Icf) is 6 n which will provide suffic	s being experienced at this 3 as the analysis tool the 1 .68. The need for this projection cient capacity and reduce t to operate at a more accept	29 crashes resulted ect is to provide a hese rear end, left
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 PE phase with \$967,666 (Federal) + \$ FY 2025 PE phase with \$85,338 (Federal) + \$ FY 2024 ROW phase w \$450,000 (Federal) + FY 2026 Utilities (U) p \$90,000 (Federal) + \$	5107,518 (Other) \$1, n NHPP funds: 9,482 (Other) \$94,8 with NHPP funds: \$50,000 (Other) \$ hase with NHPP fun	20 (Total) 5500,000 (Total) ds:		

	Update total estimated	d project cost			
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding	-			
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2967	State ID:	2100036
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	SR 64 Added Travel Lane	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - State	Open to Public Date:	2031
Total Estimated Project Cost:	-	39,942 00,512	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$15,44 \$23,60	
Description:	Added travel lane on S in Floyd County.	R 64 from 2,150' we	st of the existing Oakes Ro	l oad intersection to Edwa	ardsville-Galena Road
Justification:	travel lanes will reduce	driver delay for the	roughput on SR 64 by imp side roads and mainline S n further west towards Ge	R 64 in the design year.	The added lanes to SR
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	 *FY 2022 Preliminary Engineering phase with STBG-State funds: \$1,040,000 (Federal) + \$260,000 (Other) + \$1,300,000 (Total) *FY 2022 Preliminary Engineering phase with STBG-State funds: \$311,200 (Federal) + \$77,800 (Other) = \$389,000 (Total) FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering phase with STBG-State funds: \$568,312 (Federal) + \$142,078 (Other) = \$710,390 (Total) FY 2026 ROW phase with STBG-State funds: \$776,000 (Federal) + \$194,000 (Other) = \$970,000 (Total) FY 2026 duilities phase with STBG-State funds: \$336,000 (Federal) + \$194,000 (Other) = \$970,000 (Total) FY 2026 Utilities phase with STBG-State funds: \$336,000 (Federal) + \$84,000 (Other) = \$420,000 (Total) *FY 2028 Utilities phase with STBG-State funds: \$336,000 (Federal) + \$84,000 (Other) = \$420,000 (Total) FY 2026 Construction phase with STBG-State funds: \$8,836,041 (Federal) + \$2,209,010 (Other) = \$11,045,051 (Total) *FY 2031 Construction phase with STBG-State funds: \$15,360,098 (Federal) + \$3,840,024 (Other) = \$19,200,122 (Total) 				

MTP Action:	Update Estimated Tot	al Project Cost					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding						
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2965	State ID:	2100047		
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
,	US 150 Intersection						
	Improvement of Old						
Project Name:	Vincennes	Funding Source:	STBG-State	Open to Public Date:	2031		
	Road/Lawrence Banet						
	Road						
Total Estimated			Total Cost	¢1 //2	4,218		
Project Cost:	\$5,51	4,336	Programmed in TIP		4,336		
Ploject Cost.			to date:	، دردې ا	-,550		
Description:	Intersection improvem	nent at the intersection	of Old Vincennes Road	/Lawrence Banet Road.			
Justification:	recommended alternative would reconfigure US 150 and Lawrence Banet Road as a median U-turn intersection, eliminating left turns at the intersection and installing U-turn access points on US 150.						
	FY 2023 PE phase with STBG-State funds: \$ 739,374 (Federal) + \$184,844 (Other) \$924,218 (Total)						
	FY 2023 PE phase with STBG-State funds:						
	\$667,200 (Federal) + \$166,800 (Other) \$834,000 (Total)						
	FY 2025 PE phase with STBG-State funds:						
FY 23-26 TIP	\$400,000 (Federal) + \$100,000 (Other) \$500,000 (Total)						
Funding:	*FY 2028 ROW phase	*FY 2028 ROW phase with STBG-State funds:					
	\$400,000 (Federal) +	\$400,000 (Federal) + \$100,000 (Other) \$500,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2028 Utilities pha	*FY 2028 Utilities phase with STBG-State funds:					
	\$80,000 (Federal) + 9	\$20,000 (Other) \$100	0,000 (Total)				
	*FY 2031 Construction	phase with NHPP fund	ds:				
	\$3,264,269 (Federal)	+ \$816,067 (Other) \$4	,080,336 (Total)				
*Funds programme	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	6 TIP years				

MTP Action:	N/A				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding				
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2974	State ID:	2100244
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	SR 111 Slide Correction	Funding Source:	STBG-State	Open to Public Date:	2027
Total Estimated Project Cost:		2,997 5,542	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$ 6,12 \$2,76	
Description:	Slide correction on SR	111 0.7 miles south of 1	he I-64 overpass.		
Justification:		•	e the barrier wall, replac the rest of the pavemer	ce the adjacent sidewalk nt in the project limits.	and pavement that
	*FY 2022 PE phase wi \$39,380 (Federal) + \$		900 (Total)		
	FY 2023 PE phase with				
	\$967,666 (Federal) + 5	\$107,518 (Other) \$1,07	75,184 (Total)		
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2024 PE phase wit l \$160,000 (Federal) +		9 0,000 (Total)		
	FY 2024 PE phase wit				
	\$251,520 (Federal) + \$	62,880 (Other) \$314,	400 (Total)		
	FY 2025 ROW phase v \$450,000 (Federal) +				
	FY 2025 ROW phase v	with STBG-State funds	:		
	\$18,000 (Federal) + \$	2,000 (Other) \$20,0	00 (Total)		
	FY 2026 Utilities phas \$16,000 (Federal) + \$				
	*FY 2027 Construction \$3,462,250 (Federal)	•			
	*FY 2027 Construction \$1,305,322 (Federal) +	•			
*Funds programmed	l in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	6 TIP years		

MTP Action:	N/A						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding						
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2722	State ID:	1900343		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	US 31 at Wolf Run	Funding Source:	STBG-State	Open to Public Date:	2026		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,07	\$2,073,993 Total C to date		\$1,658,936 \$1,616,136			
Description:	Bridge replacement or	n US 31, 00.20 mile i	north of IN 160 at Wolf R	un.			
Justification:	Bridge replacement, c	oncrete.					
	\$40,000 (Federal) + 9	FY 2025 ROW phase with STBG-State funds: \$40,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$50,000 (Total) FY 2025 ROW phase with STBG-State funds: \$5,760 (Federal) + \$1,440 (Other) = \$7,200 (Total)					
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2026 Preliminary E	ingineering phase wit	h STBG-State funds:				
Funding:	\$88,000 (Federal) + 5	\$22,000 (Other) = \$1	10,000 (Total)				
	FY 2026 Utilities phas	FY 2026 Utilities phase with STBG-State funds:					
	\$56,000 (Federal) + \$	\$56,000 (Federal) + \$14,000 (Other) = \$70,000 (Total)					
	FY 2026 Construction	•					
	\$1,143,149 (Federal) +	\$285 787 (Other) - \$	1 129 926 (Total)				

MTP Action:	N/A	N/A				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	d OTP				
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2970	State ID:	2100770	
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676	
Project Name:	I-64 Small Structure Pipe Lining, 3.67 Miles West of SR 64	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2026 2027	
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$665,900 \$1,237,971		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$646,000 \$1,201,911		
Description:	Small structure pipelining on I-64 over unnamed ditch, 3.67 miles west of SR 64.					
Justification:	The purpose of this pro of the asset.	pject is to correct the	deficiencies in the struct	ure in order to extend of	reset the service life	
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2023 ROW phase v \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1, FY 2026 Construction	000 (Other) = \$10,0				
Funding:	\$455,400 (Federal) +	\$ 50,600 (Other) = \$	506,000 (Total)			

MTP Action:	Update total estimate	d project cost				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding					
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3192	State ID:	2200833	
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A	
Project Name:	I-64 Hot-Mix Asphalt (HMA) Overlay	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027	
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$65,516,941 Total Cost \$65,516,941 \$68,165,331 Programmed in TIP \$67,310,331 to date: \$67,310,331					
Description:	Hot-mix asphalt (HMA 64.	a) overlay minor structu		miles west of SR 135 to 1	.01 miles west of SR	
Justification:	This project will improv	ve the conditions of the	e pavement and extend	l its service life.		
	\$5,264,055 (Federal) FY 2024 RR phase wit \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1, FY 2024 ROW phase v \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,	h NHPP funds: ,000 (Other) \$10,000 with NHPP funds:	D (Total)			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 ROW phase with NHPP funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) \$10,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds: \$45,000 (Federal) + \$5,000 (Other) \$50,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2027 Constructior \$53,620,192 (Federal)	-				
	*FY 2027 Construction \$55,243,243 (Federal)	n (CN) phase with NHP + \$6,138,138 (Other) \$				
*Funds programmed	in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	6 TIP years			

MTP Action:	N/A				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	d OTP			
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3051	State ID:	2200873
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	I-265 Over Slate Run Creek Scour Protection	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2026
Total Estimated Project Cost:),059),059	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$580 \$620	
Description:	Scour and erosion pro	tection on I-265 over S	late Run Creek, 0.2 mile	e south of I-265.	
Justification:	The project will correct	t the deficiencies with e	erosion and scour at the	outlet (east end) of the	structure.
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$178,371 (Federal) + \$1 FY 2025 Right of Way \$36,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 Construction	ingineering (PE) phase (9,819 (Other) = \$198,19 (ROW) phase with NHI (4,000 (Other) = \$40,0 (CN) phase with NHPP \$38,187 (Other) = \$381,	PO (Total) PP funds: DOO (Total) funds:		
MTP Action:	N/A				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	d OTP date			
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3056	State ID:	
	(2201148
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2201148
		Parent ID: Funding Source:	N/A Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG- ST)	Group ID: Open to Public Date:	2201148 2027 2028
County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost:	Floyd US 150 Small Structures & Drains Construction (16 Locations) \$1,00 \$4,1	Funding Source: 0,000 11,651	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG- ST) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	Open to Public Date: \$1,000 \$4,11	2027 2028),000 1,651
Project Name: Total Estimated	Floyd US 150 Small Structures & Drains Construction (16 Locations) \$1,000 \$4,1 Small structures and d Buck Creek Road) to I-	Funding Source: 0,000 11,651 rains construction on U 64.	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG- ST) Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	Open to Public Date: \$1,000 \$4,11 Ins between 3.32 miles v	2027 2028) ,000 1,651

FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2023 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$800,000 (Federal) + \$200,000 (Other) = \$1,000,000 (Total)
Funding:	*FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$2,489,622,330 (Federal) + \$622,330 (Other) = \$3,111,651 (Total)

MTP Action:	N/A					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and OTP					
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3156	State ID:	2200698	
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676	
Project Name:	SR 160 Bridge Deck Overlay	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG- ST)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2028	
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$ 1,561,110 \$1,550,017		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$1,561,110 \$1,534,680		
Description:	Bridge deck overlay on SR 160 over I-65, 0.54 mile west of US 31.					
Justification:	This project will improve the condition of the bridge and extend its service life.					
FY 2024 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$200,000 (Federal) + \$50,000 (Other) = \$250,000 (Total)FY 23-26 TIP*FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$8,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)Funding:\$8,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)						
	*FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$1,019,744 (Federal) + \$254,936 (Other) = \$1,274,680 (Total)					
*Funds programmed	in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	6 TIP years			
10	,		1			

MTP Action:	None						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and source						
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3158	State ID:	2200963		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	US 31 Concrete Pavement Restoration	Funding Source:	National Highway System (NHS) Surface Transportation Block Grant - State (STBG- ST)	Open to Public Date:	2030		
Total Estimated			Total Cost				
	\$4,83	80,755	Programmed in TIP	\$4,83	0,755		
Project Cost:							
Description:	Concrete pavement restoration on US 31 from 0.99 miles north of I-65 to 3.41 miles south of SR 60.						
Justification:	This project will improve the conditions of the pavement and extend its service life.						
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHS funds: \$450,000 (Federal) + \$50,000 (Other) = \$500,000 (Total) *FY 2027 Railroad (RR) phase with NHS funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total) *FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHS funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total) *FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHS funds: \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total) *FY 2030 Construction (CN) phase with NHS funds: \$3,879,680 (Federal) + \$431,075 (Other) = \$4,310,755 (Total) FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$400,000 (Federal) + \$100,000 (Other) = \$500,000 (Total) *FY 2027 Railroad (RR) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$8,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total)						
*Funds programmer	*FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$8,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$10,000 (Total) in fiscal years outside of the current 2023-2026 TIP years						
	,		•				

MTP Action:	None					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and source					
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3194	State ID:	2200050	
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A	
Project Name:	SR 60 & Perry Crossing Road Intersection Improvement	Funding Source:	National Highway System (NHS) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028 2027	
			Total Cost			
Total Estimated	\$3,62	\$3,626,722		\$3,62	6,722	
Project Cost:	to date:					
Description:	Intersection improvement at SR 60 and Perry Crossing Road.					
Justification:	This project will address safety concerns at the intersection of SR 60 and Perry Crossing Road.					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$827,730 (Federal) + \$91,970 (Other) = \$919,700 (Total) FY 2025 ROW phase with NHS funds: \$90,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$100,000 (Total) FY 2025 ROW phase with NHPP funds: \$80,000 (Federal) + \$20,000 (Other) = \$100,000 (Total) *FY 2027 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds: \$40,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$50,000 (Total)					
	*FY 2027 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds: \$2,045,618 (Federal) + \$511,404 (Other) = \$2,557,022 (Total)					

MTP Action:	N/A							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	Update TIP funding and source						
Exempt/Non	E.c.			No shares to	المعرب مراما			
Exempt:	Exempt		Model Impact:	No change to	the model			
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	3054	State ID:	2200052			
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2680			
Project Name:	SR 60 at St. Joe Road West Intersection Improvement	Funding Source:	National Highway System (NHS) National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2028			
			Total Cost					
Total Estimated	\$2,4	21,116	Programmed in TIP	\$2,421	,116			
Project Cost:								
Description:	Intersection improven	Intersection improvement with added turn lanes at SR 60 and St. Joe Road West.						
Justification:	This project will impro	ve safety concerns at	the intersection of SR 60) and St. Joe Road West.				
	\$873,090 (Federal) + FY 2025 ROW phase v \$54,000 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2027 Utilities pha	FY 2024 PE phase with NHS funds: \$873,090 (Federal) + \$97,010 (Other) = \$970,100 (Total) FY 2025 ROW phase with NHS funds: \$54,000 (Federal) + \$6,000 (Other) = \$60,000 (Total) * FY 2027 Utilities phase with NHS funds: \$45 ,000 (Federal) + \$5,000 (Other) = \$50,000 (Total)□						
FY 23-26 TIP	*FY 2027 Construction	*FY 2027 Construction (CN) phase with NHS funds:						
Funding:	\$1,206,914 (Federal) +	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1	,341,016 (Total)					
, and a second se	\$48,000 (Federal) + \$ *FY 2027 Utilities pha \$40,000 (Federal) + \$	FY 2025 ROW phase with NHS funds: \$48,000 (Federal) + \$12,000 (Other) = \$60,000 (Total) *FY 2027 Utilities phase with NHS funds: \$40,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$50,000 (Total)□ *FY 2027 Construction (CN) phase with NHS funds:						
		\$1,072,813 (Federal) + \$268,203 (Other) = \$1,341,016 (Total)						
*Funds programme	ed in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-20	26 TIP years					

MTP Action:	N/A	N/A					
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and OTP						
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2971	State ID:	2100744		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	SR 60 Bridge Deck Overlay Over Muddy Fork	Funding Source:	National Highway Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2026 2028		
Total Estimated Project Cost:		7 7,932 01,952	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$1,677,932 \$2,401,952			
Description:	Bridge deck overlay ov	Bridge deck overlay over Muddy Fork 4.70 east of SR 335.					
Justification:	structure and extend t structure elements an was built in 1995 and h patches visible in the v slabs. The east joint is	The purpose of this project is to correct the deficiencies in the wearing surface and deck to help further protect the structure and extend the service life of the bridge. The project need is based on the current condition of the structure elements and notable deficiencies in the wearing surface, deck, joints, and approach slabs. This bridge was built in 1995 and has never received a wearing surface treatment to date. There are several small concrete patches visible in the wearing surface and there are several patches and cracks in the concrete bridge approach slabs. The east joint is partially filled with debris and the bridge would benefit from the installation of semi-integral abutments to eliminate the joints.					
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2023 Preliminary Engineering phase with NHS funds: \$183,539 (Federal) + \$20,393 (Other) = \$203,932 (Total)□ F Y 2026 Construction (CN) phase with NHS funds:						
Funding:	\$1,326,600 (Federal)	1,326,600 (Federal) + \$147,400 (Other) = \$1,474,000 (Total)					
	FY 2028 Construction (CN) phase with NHS funds: \$1,978,218 (Federal) + \$219,802 (Other) = \$2,198,020 (Total)□						
*Funds programme	d in fiscal years outside of	the current 2023-202	26 TIP years				



Amendment 7

Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) & Fiscal Year 2023- 2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

TPC Approval September 26, 2024





Kentucky Division

October 23, 2024

330 West Broadway Frankfort, KY 40601 PH (502) 223-6720 FAX (502) 223-6735 <u>http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/kydiv</u>

> In Reply Refer To: HDA-KY

Mr. Jarrett Haley, Executive Director Louisville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization c/o Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency 11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299

Dear Mr. Haley:

The Kentucky Division of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Region 4 of the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), in consultation with the Indiana Division Office of the Federal Highway Administration and Regions 4 and 5 of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), have reviewed the following document(s):

Amendment 7 to the 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) for the Louisville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) (MPO approval resolution signed on September 26, 2024)

The Kentucky Environmental and Public Protection Cabinet's Division for Air Quality, the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet's Division of Planning, the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet's Office of Transportation Delivery, the Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District, and the Transit Authority of River City also had an opportunity to review and comment on the aforementioned documents.

We found that this document meets the five primary criteria of the Transportation Conformity Rule (40 CFR Part 93):

- use of the latest planning assumptions;
- use of the latest emissions model;
- use of appropriate consultation procedures;
- consistency with the mobile vehicle emission budgets in the State Implementation Plan (SIP); and
- provisions for the timely implementation of transportation control measures in the SIP.

We found that these documents met the criteria outlined in the July 1, 2004, Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments for New 8-hr Ozone and PM_{2.5} National Ambient Air Quality

Standards (NAAQS), Response to March 1999 Court Decision, and Additional Rule Changes (69 FR 40004). We therefore find that these documents conform to the 2015 8-hour Ozone NAAQS.

Sincerely, For: Shundreka R. Givan, AICP **Division Administrator**

CC: Aviance Webb, FTA-R4 Erica Tait, FHWA-IN Tonya Higdon, FHWA-KY Jane Spann, EPA-R4 Dianna Myers, EPA-R4 Simone Jarvis, EPA-R4 Blake Borwig, KEEC-DAQ Clair Oyler, KEEC-DAQ Rachael Hamilton, APCD Aida Copic, TARC Ron Rigney, KYTC-Program Management Mikael Pelfrey, KYTC-Planning Andy Rush, Louisville Area MPO Area Agency on Aging and Independent Living Area Development District Metropolitan Planning Organization



A Resolution of the Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency Transportation Policy Committee adopting Amendment #7 of the FY 2023 - FY 2026 Transportation Improvement Program

Whereas, the Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency (KIPDA) Transportation Policy Committee is designated by the governors of the State of Indiana and the Commonwealth of Kentucky under state and federal laws as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN Planning Area encompassing Clark and Floyd counties in Indiana, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties and a portion of Shelby County in Kentucky; and,

Whereas, consistent with federal and state mandates, states' environmental requirements, and with the KIPDA Transportation Policy Committee's Memorandum of Agreement, *Participation Plan, Title VI: Environmental Justice Plan,* and other operating procedures, the KIPDA Transportation Policy Committee has worked with local, state, and federal jurisdictions and agencies in a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive planning process; and has incorporated the work of local governments, and the suggestions of citizens, businesses, and interests throughout the MPA in this document; and

Whereas, the FY 2023 - FY 2026 Transportation Improvement Program for the Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN Metropolitan Planning Area is a subset of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050*, the Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN Metropolitan Transportation Plan, which has been determined to conform to the State Implementation Plans of Indiana and Kentucky; and,

Whereas, the FY2023 - FY 2026 Transportation Improvement Program for the Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN Metropolitan Planning Area is fiscally constrained. The Kentucky and Indiana nondedicated funded projects identified in this TIP have been requested by the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC). The required funds will become part of the Kentucky FY 2021 - 2024 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), and the Indiana FY 2024 - 2028 STIP, respectively, and will become part of the end of fiscal year fiscal constraint recalculations; and,

Now, therefore be it resolved, by the Transportation Policy Committee of KIPDA that this amendment of the FY 2023 - FY 2026 Transportation Improvement Program for the Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN Metropolitan Planning Area is adopted by official action at the September 26, 2024, meeting. This action is contingent upon and effective when a planning conformity finding is made by the appropriate federal agencies; and,

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org

Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056



Area Agency on Aging and Independent Living Area Development District Metropolitan Planning Organization



Whereas, the KIPDA Transportation Policy Committee is to certify that *Connecting Kentuckiana* 2050 complies with all of the applicable requirements of the Federal Transit Act, Clean Air Act, Americans with Disabilities Act, Civil Rights Act, Federal Transportation Act, and all other applicable state and federal laws; and,

Whereas, Connecting Kentuckiana 2050, as amended, will serve as the KIPDA Metropolitan Transportation Plan under federal law contingent upon and effective when a conformity finding is made by the appropriate federal agencies; and,

Now, therefore let it be resolved, that the KIPDA Transportation Policy Committee adopts Amendment #6 of the *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan* to serve as the KIPDA MPO official Metropolitan Transportation Plan; and,

Let it be further resolved that KIPDA staff is authorized to transmit this amendment of the *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* to the Governors of the State of Indiana and the Commonwealth of Kentucky, and to the Indiana Department of Transportation and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet in compliance with federal and state requirements.'

Adopted by the KIPDA Transportation Policy Committee on the 26th day of September 2024.

Mayor J. Byron Chapman, Chair

Transportation Policy Committee

Andy Rush, Director KIPDA Transportation Division

Area Agency on Aging and Independent Living Area Development District Metropolitan Planning Organization

A Resolution of the Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency Transportation Policy Committee adopting Amendment #7 of the Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

🛛 🛃 KIPDA

Whereas, the Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency (KIPDA) Transportation Policy Committee is designated by the governors of the State of Indiana and the Commonwealth of Kentucky under state and federal laws as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN Metropolitan Planning Area encompassing Clark and Floyd counties in Indiana, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties and a portion of Shelby County in Kentucky; and,

Whereas, Federal laws require the Transportation Policy Committee periodically review and update its Metropolitan Transportation Plan to reflect progress and changes regarding its implementation using the latest forecasts of regional demographic and socioeconomic data; and,

Whereas, pursuant to 23 CFR Section 450.322, the Metropolitan Transportation Plan is based on the latest available estimates and assumptions with regard to population, land use, travel, employment, congestion, and economic activity developed in conjunction with local jurisdictions; and,

Whereas, consistent with federal and state mandates, states' environmental requirements, and with the KIPDA Transportation Policy Committee's Memorandum of Agreement, Participation Plan, Title VI: Environmental Justice Plan, and other operating procedures, the KIPDA Transportation Policy Committee has worked with local, state, and federal jurisdictions and agencies in a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive planning process; has made draft documents available for public review, has held public meetings and other efforts including providing data and information related to the Metropolitan Transportation Plan update on the KIPDA website, to involve citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of public transportation employees, freight shippers, providers of freight transportation, representatives of users of pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities, representatives of persons with disabilities, and other interested parties in order to facilitate their ability to provide input, discussion, and review of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan*, and has incorporated the work of local governments, and the suggestions of citizens, businesses, and interests throughout the MPA in this document; and,

> **Be it further resolved,** that the KIPDA staff is authorized to transmit Amendment #7 of the *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan* for the Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN Metropolitan Planning Area to the governors of the State of Indiana and the Commonwealth of Kentucky, and to the Indiana Department of Transportation and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet in compliance with federal and state requirements.

Adopted by the KIPDA Transportation Policy Committee on the 26th day of September 2024.

Mayor . Byron Chapman, Chair Transportation Policy Committee

Andy Rush, Director KIPDA Transportation Division

KIPDA





FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program & Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

The Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency (KIPDA) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the five-county region covering Jefferson, Bullitt and Oldham Counties in Kentucky and Clark and Floyd Counties in Indiana. The MPO's responsibilities include producing a long-range transportation document, known as *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and a short-range planning document, the Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Changes have been proposed to the TIP and MTP. The TIP, with the proposed changes, remains fiscally constrained. This packet includes the following document:

- Schedule for Amendment 7
- A listing of all projects being added, removed and/or modified

Providing comments for the proposed changes can be submitted by any of the following methods:

- <u>Visiting https://kipdatransportation.org/amendment7/</u> and click on the Amendment 7 Map link
- Emailing kipda.trans@kipda.org
- Call with your comments at 502-266-6144 ext 123, 1-800-648-6056 (KY TDD) or 1-800-962-8408 (IN TDD) or mail them into the address below.

TIP and MTP Amendment, KIPDA I 1520 Commonwealth Drive, Louisville, KY 40299

 Attend the virtual open house on September 17th from 5:00 to 6:00 pm via Zoom. Members of the public are encouraged to ask questions and leave comments. A link to the virtual portion of the public meeting can be found at: <u>https://kipdatransportation.org/amendment7/</u>

Please contact Community and Committee Engagement Specialist Greg Burress at 502-562-6144 ext. 123 or via email at <u>greg.burress@kipda.org</u> for additional questions or information.



Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5074 TDD: 800.648.6056

AMENDMENT 7 SCHEDULE

Connecting Kentuckiana (CK) 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 - 2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

WHY ARE THERE AMENDMENTS TO THE MTP & TIP?

New projects that are not regionally significant and qualify as Group Projects, as well as many minor changes to existing projects, can be added through an administrative modification. Administrative modifications can be processed within 30 days.

New projects and project changes that do not fit the criteria above must be added to the MTP and/or TIP through an amendment. There are many reasons why a project must be amended. Adding a regionally significant project that does not fit KIPDA's Group Projects policy or changing the scope of a roadway project to add a travel lane are both examples of projects that must be amended. While every effort is made to expedite amendments, the process can take up to 6 months.

	Project applications (new or modified) are due from sponsors	July 19, 2024						
	KIPDA staff completes project review	August 2, 2024						
KEY STEPS	Air quality conformity activities	August 4, 2024- August 27, 2024						
&	Interagency Consultation Group (IAC) Coordination	August 13, 2024						
TIMING	Public comment period	September 5, 2024- September 19, 2024						
	Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee (TTCC) Recommendation	September 11, 2024						
	Comments sent to the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC)	September 19, 2024						
	TPC Action	September 26, 2024						
	The MTP & TIP amendment process is NOT an opportunity to request MPO dedic	ated funds.						
	All new projects and changes to existing projects must be submitted through the I found on KIPDA's Transportation Planning Portal.	Project Application form						
INFORMATION	The Portal can be accessed at the following address:							

https://kipdatransportation.org/forms/

MTP Action:	Update description and	project limits											
TIP Action:	Update description and	project limits											
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	mpt	Model Impact:	No change to	o the model								
Project Sponsor:	Clarksville	KIPDA ID:	3019	State ID:	2301311								
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A								
	Stansifer Avenue												
Project Name:	Streetscape	Funding Source:	STBG-MPO	Open to Public Date:	2030								
	Improvements												
Total Cost \$5,000,000 Programmed in TIP to \$5,000,000													
Cost:	\$5,00	0,000	Programmed in TIP to	\$5,000	0,000								
	date:												
	The project is a complete overhaul of Stansifer Avenue from Akers Avenue to South Clark Boulevard. The roadway new landscaped medians, curb and gutter, the addition of on-street parking, narrowing of the lane widths and drair												
		-		-	-								
	improvements. The project will also include pedestrian sidewalk upgrades and widening to at least 5' and designated bike-												
Description	lanes.												
Description:	The project is a complete	a overhaul of Stansifer Av	ionus from L 6E to South i	Clark Roulovard The read	way will have now								
				Clark Boulevard. The roady									
				rowing of the lane widths a									
	lanes.	ect will also include pede	strian sidewalk upgrades a	nd widening to at least 5' a	and designated bike								
		tly off of I-65 and is a key	evit for the Town's South	n End Redevelopment, as	well as Orgin Park The								
					-								
			0	•	redevelop the area. Stansifer Avenue is seen as								
Justification:	one of the Gateway's to the Town. It will provide main access to two large development ares in Town. However it is unsafe. The lanes are almost 20 feet wide in some areas, which lead drivers to think that there are two lanes, when there is only one.												
	This causes confusion and can lead to unnecessary accidents. The roadway also lacks parking and drainage. It needs												
	improvement across the		y accidents. The loadway		hage. It needs								
	, .	ering phase with STBG-M											
	\$323,000 (Federal) + \$80,750 (Other) = \$403,750 (Total)												
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:		e with STBG-MPO funds											
_	\$80,000 (Federal) + \$2	0,000 (Other) = \$100,0	OO (Total)										
	*FY29 Construction phas	se with STBG-MPO funds	:										
\$3,597,000 (Federal) + \$899,250 (Other) = \$4,496,250 (Total)													
*Funds programmed in f	iscal years outside of the												
r unus programmed in r		current 2023-2026 TP y	cais										

MTP Action:	Update Total Estimated	Project Cost												
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding													
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Non-e	xempt	Model Impact:	No change t	o the model									
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2847	State ID:	1900366									
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A									
	US 150 at Old		National Highway											
Project Name:	Vincennes Road	Funding Source:	Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2026									
Total Estimated Project	<u>\$112</u>	3,689	Total Cost	\$748	000									
Cost:		0,347	Programmed in TIP to date:	\$3,33										
Description:		ntersection Improvement with added turn lanes at intersection of US 150 and Old Vincennes Road, 0.5 miles west of I-64												
Justification:	To allow for gaps for vehicles turning from Old Vincennes Road to US 150 and from eastbound US 150 to Old Vincenne Road in such a manner that traffic on US 150 does not significantly queue.													
	FY 2023 Right of Way (R	OW) phase with STBG-S	ST funds:											
	\$16,000 (Federal) + \$4,0	000 (Other) = \$20,000	(Total)											
	FY 2024 Prelininary Engineering (PE) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$20,168 (Federal) + \$5,042 (Other) = \$25,210 (Total)													
	FY 2025 Prelininary Engineering (PE) phase with HSIP-ST funds: \$39,780 (Federal) + \$4,420 (Other) = \$44,200 (Total)													
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Utilities (U) phase with STBG-ST funds: \$17,600 (Federal) + \$4,400 (Other) = \$22,000 (Total)													
	FY 2025 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds: \$ 510,071 (Federal) + \$127,518 (Other) = \$637,589 (Total)													
	FY 2025 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds: \$2,578,758 (Federal) + \$644,690 (Other) = \$3,223,447 (Total)													
	\$2,370,750 (redefat) * \$	044,070 (Other) = \$3,21												
MTP Action:	Update Total Estimated	Project Cost												
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and													
Exempt/Non Exempt:		xempt	Model Impact:	No change t	the model									
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)	KIPDA ID:	2899	State ID:	1900162									
County	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A									
			National Highway	· ·										
Project Name:	I-64 Added Travel Lanes	Funding Source:	Performance Plan (NHPP)	Open to Public Date:	2027 2030									
Total Estimated Project Cost:	tt \$170,683,885 \$228,772,435 Total Cost Programmed in TIP to \$228,772,435 date:													
Description:	extending to Main Street	. Project also includes ad	o just north of Cherry Stre	eet with additional paveme I-64 to north of State Stre										
1	the interchanges of I-64													
Justification:	To improve traffic conge	stion and accessibility.												

	FY 2023 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$ 9,379,332 (Federal) + \$5,248,368 (Other) = \$14,627,700 (Total)
	FY 2023 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$9,330,432 (Federal) + \$5,297,268 (Other) = \$14,627,700 (Total)
	FY 2023 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$0 (Federal) + \$68,500 (Other) = \$68,500 (Total)
	FY 2024 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$5,040 (Federal) + \$1,715,280 (Other) = \$1,720,320 (Total)
	FY 2025 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$270,000 (Federal) + \$30,000 (Other) = \$300,000 (Total)
	FY 2024 Right of Way (ROW) phase with IM funds:
	\$225,000 (Federal) + \$25,000 (Other) = \$250,000 (Total)
	FY 2025 Right of Way (ROW) phase with IM funds:
	\$234,000 (Federal) + \$26,000 (Other) = \$260,000 (Total)
	FY 2024 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$540,000 (Federal) + \$60,000 (Other) = \$600,000 (Total)
	FY 2025 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds:
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$808,650 (Federal) + \$89,850 (Other) = \$898,500 (Total)
	FY 2026 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$ 180,000 (Federal) + \$20,000 (Other) = \$200,000 (Total)
	FY 2026 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$49,500 (Federal) + \$5,500 (Other) = \$55,000 (Total)
	FY 2026 Construction phase with NHPP funds: \$129,905,538 (Federal) + \$14,433,949 (Other) = \$144,339,487 (Total)
	FY 2026 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$111,796,836 (Federal) + \$12,421,871 (Other) = \$124,218,707 (Total)
	FY 2026 Construction (CE) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$90,000 (Federal) + \$10,000 (Other) = \$100,000 (Total)
	FY 2026 Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$64,987 (Federal) + \$7,221 (Other) = \$72,208 (Total)
	*FY 2027 Construction (CN) phase with NHPP funds:
	\$77,850,000 (Federal) + \$8,650,000 (Other) = \$86,500,000 (Total)
	*EV 2028 Litilities (LI) phase with NHDD funder
	*FY 2028 Utilities (U) phase with NHPP funds: \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2,000 (Other) = \$20,000 (Total)
*Funds programmed in fis	cal years outside of the current 2023-2026 TIP years

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY

At this time, the Louisville, KY-IN transportation planning study area consists of Clark and Floyd counties in Indiana, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties in Kentucky and approximately 4 square miles of Shelby County in Kentucky. Much of the existing planning area coincides with the local ozone nonattainment area. In the past, a portion of the planning study area also coincided with a local fine particulate matter (PM 2.5) nonattainment area, but that standard was revoked in April 2015. The Louisville, KY-IN maintenance area for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard consisted of Clark and Floyd counties, IN, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties, KY. It was designated as a basic non-attainment area in June 2004 and redesignated as an attainment area with a maintenance status in July 2007. The 1997 8-hour ozone standard was revoked for the local area in April 2015, and at that time, it was not necessary for the local area to determine conformity. (However, the local area was still eligible to receive Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality funding).

In June 2018, the former Louisville, KY-IN 1997 ozone maintenance area was designated as a marginal nonattainment area for the 2015 8-hour ozone standard. Since that time, the monitoring data has indicated that the design value is sufficiently low that the local area can be redesignated as attainment of the 2015 8-hour ozone standard, and the air quality agencies with responsibility for the local area have undertaken steps to do so. The redesignation State Implementation Plan has been submitted to Regions 4 and 5 of US EPA, and the Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets (MVEBs) have been found adequate by Region 5. They are still under review by Region 4. Meanwhile, in January 2023, the Kentucky portion of the local ozone nonattainment area was "bumped up" to a moderate ozone nonattainment area. Subsequently, EPA has proposed redesignation of the area to attainment based on recent air quality data incorporated into the prior SIP submittal.

KIPDA is amending Connecting Kentuckiana 2050, the metropolitan transportation plan (MTP), and the FY 2023 – FY 2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This conformity analysis will support conformity determinations by the metropolitan planning organization and the U. S. Department of Transportation agencies for both documents. This analysis is intended to support determinations of conformity under the 2015 8-hour ozone standards.

CONFORMITY UNDER THE 2015 8-HOUR OZONE STANDARD

When an area such as the Louisville area becomes nonattainment, the area must undertake a process known as conformity. This process provides a linkage between transportation planning and air quality planning. One of the key activities of conformity is to quantify the level of emissions of the air pollutant(s) and/or precursor(s) for certain analysis years and compare those levels to the motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs)—if they exist. The MVEBs limit the amount of a pollutant or precursor that can be emitted. If MVEBs do not exist, the area must rely on interim tests, such as comparing the emissions to the level of emissions in a base year, to determine conformity. The base year would be set by US EPA when the standard is promulgated.

When the local area was designated as nonattainment of the 2015 8-hour ozone standard, the air quality agencies with responsibility for the local area were charged with the additional responsibility to develop a set of actions that could be taken to reduce pollutant/precursor emissions. These actions were to be included in air quality plans known as State Implementation Plans (SIPs). Since the Louisville nonattainment area is a bi-state area, these sets of actions to reduce precursor emissions were to be incorporated into both the Indiana and Kentucky SIPs. It was during this process that MVEBs were established. Subsequent to the local area being designated as a nonattainment area but before the SIPs were completed, the data from the air quality monitors in the area indicated that the 2015 8-hour ozone standard had been met. With this data in hand, the air quality agencies were each able to submit a SIP known as a redesignation request. The establishment of the MVEBs was one of the components of the redesignation request. Since the SIPs were redesignation requests for ozone, the MVEBs were established for the precursors of ozone -- volatile organic compounds and oxides of Nitrogen.

CONSULTATION FOR CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2050

The first step in determining conformity of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* was to consult with the interagency consultation (IAC) group concerning matters not explicitly determined by the conformity rule. Conformity under the 2015 8-hour ozone standard has been previously determined. Therefore, many of the issues normally arising in conformity had undergone consultation previously when the local area was a nonattainment or maintenance area under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard or during the previous conformity process for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050*.



Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan- Amendment 7

FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program- Amendment 7

Interagency Consultation Group Conference Call Meeting Minutes

August 13, 2024

1:00 PM EDT

Participants:

EPA – Dianna Myers & Tony Maietta FHWA – Erica Tait & Tonya Higdon KYTC – Tom Hall, Larry Chaney, Dasha Korostina, Jeremeih Shaw, & Isidro Delgado Herrera LMAPCD – Rachel Hamilton & Matt King KYDAQ- Lauren Hedge & Kevin Davis INDOT – Jay Mitchell, Hayley Thomas, Brandi Mischler, Roy Nunnally, & Julie Feltner TARC – Robert Monsma KIPDA – Andy Rush, Randy Simon, Eronmonsele Esekhaigbe, Chris Nicolas, & Brady Hill

Welcome/Roll Call:

A total of 23 participants, representing nine local, state, regional, and federal agencies participated in the IAC Conference Call for Amendment 7 of KIPDA's *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and the FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The meeting began shortly after 1:00 PM EDT on August 13, 2024. Ms. Chris Nicolas conducted roll call and introduced KIPDA staff in attendance.

ACROSS KENTUC

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056

KIPDA

Project Discussion:

Ms. Nicolas described the changes to Clarksville's Stansifer Avenue project, which is a late addition to Amendment 7. The project is exempt.

Ms. Nicolas began to describe all of the project changes listed in Amendment 7. Ms. Tait asked about the need for the \$50 million cost increase for INDOT's project—KIPDA ID 2899. She asked if there was a known scope change associated with the cost increase. Ms. Nicolas and Mr. Rush stated that KIPDA was not aware of any scope change—the project cost was likely associated with inflation and new cost estimates.

Ms. Nicolas continued to describe other project changes in Amendment 7. Ms. Nicolas discusses all of the "Main Remade" project changes together. Mr. Rush confirms that Main Remade - West Phase I changes will be included in the model because lanes are transitioning from one-way to two-way. Ms. Myers asked about the project limits to the different Main Remade project phases. Ms. Nicolas explained that KIPDA ID 1810 was in the MTP only. KIPDA ID 1810 included a number of redundant features similar to the Main Remade projects. Mr. Hall suggested that KIPDA ID 1810 should not be taken out because he thought the project was not completely redundant because there are other streets associated with the project. However, Mr. Rush and Ms. Nicolas explained that other KIDPA IDs (1809 and 2388) remain and cover those other streets making KIPDA ID 1810 specifically redundant. Ms. Myers requested a summary clarification for the changes to KIPDA ID 1810. After further explanation from KIPDA staff about KIPDA ID 1810, the conversation moved to understanding the redundancy with two projects located on Herr Lane (KY 2025) — Louisville Metro's project, KIPDA ID 3122, and KYTC's project, KIPDA ID 2114. Mr. Rush explained that removing KIPDA ID 3122 from the MTP doesn't change the modelling because this is also a redundant project.

Schedule Discussion:

KIPDA staff discussed the anticipated schedule for the amendment (included in the packet), the schedule for KIPDA's MPO-dedicated Call for Projects, and the anticipated schedule for the FY25-FY28 TIP at the beginning of the meeting.

Other Discussion

Mr. Rush began the discussion of how the regional air quality MOVES model analysis will take place post the retirement of Craig Butler. Ms. Hamilton announced that a meeting between



KIPDA staff and LMPCD will take place soon to discuss further the details of the regional air quality analysis process. Other members of the IAC Group were invited to attend the upcoming meeting if they would like. Mr. Rush asked the group if they believe a new emissions analysis would be required, or if KIPDA could rely on previous regional emissions analysis. Ms. Myers stated that she thought that a new analysis would be required because Amendment 7 was adding new projects. Ms. Myers stated that the only way a previous regional emissions analysis could be relied on was if the only changes for the projects were funding changes. Mr. Rush agreed with Ms. Myers after discussion.

Meeting adjourned at 1:55pm.

Other Information Pertinent to Conformity of Amendment 7

The following information was not explicitly given during the video conference. However, it had been discussed at prior video conference(s) and is still applicable for Amendment 7.

Analysis Years and AQ Conform	ity Tasts _ san tahla halaw
Analysis rears and AC comonn	1000000 = 300000000000000000000000000000

2015	8-hour Ozone Standard						
Analysis Year	Conformity Test(s)						
2025	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions						
2030	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions						
2035	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the						
	2015 8-hour standard						
2040	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the						
	2015 8-hour standard						
2050	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the						
	2015 8-hour standard						

Pollutants/Precursors of concern and related budgets

SIP base year (2019 Base Year) emissions

- i. VOCs: 13.65 tons/day or 12,383 kg/day
- ii. NOx: 33.03 tons/day or 29,964 kg/day

SIP regional budget (2035 MVEB) emissions

- iii. VOCs: 5.51 tons/day or 4,999 kg/day
- iv. NOx: 17.18 tons/day or 15,585 kg/day

Horizon year of the *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* Metropolitan Transportation Plan – 2050

A listing of any transportation control measures (TCMs) in SIPs, if applicable—there are none.

ESTABLISHED PRACTICE

In addition to the issues discussed during consultation, there were several issues which were not explicitly discussed or received little discussion during the video conference consultation, but which had impacts on the analysis. Many of these issues had been discussed during previous consultations. These issues were handled in a manner consistent with the previous established practice. The more prominent issues are discussed below.

Relationship of MTP and TIP for Conformity Purposes

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is maintained as a subset of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). Therefore, the conformity determination for the MTP will serve as the conformity determination for the TIP.

Conclusion: The IAC members are informed of this from time to time in order to clarify the conformity determination for the MTP also serves as the conformity determination for the TIP.

Vehicle Registration (Fleet Mix) Data

At various times in the past, new vehicle registration data has been provided for use in developing pollutant emissions. This vehicle registration data has been reviewed and accepted by the IAC. The data being used for the Indiana counties has been updated to 2022, and the data being used for the Kentucky counties is for 2018. These data represent the most recent information available for this issue.

Conclusion: Based on a consensus of the IAC members, vehicle registration data for 2022 for the Indiana counties and for 2018 for the Kentucky counties is now being used in developing emission estimates.

CONFORMITY OF CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2050

The MTP, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050*, was examined to determine if it met the requirements of the conformity rule under the 2015 8-hour ozone standards. In general, the process leading to a conformity determination has two major components:

- a regional emissions (air quality) analysis to determine that air pollutant emissions do not exceed the budgets set in the SIPs, if applicable, or the emission levels for a given base year; and
- (2) a monitoring of the progress in implementation of the Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) contained in the SIPs.

In the past, consultation with the state and local air quality agencies and EPA had determined that there are no approved TCMs in the SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky. Therefore, it is possible to show conformity of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* simply by determining that the air pollutant emissions do not exceed the budgets in the SIPs or the base year emissions.

ANALYSIS PROCESS

The process of calculating the regional emissions for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* involved three main procedures. The first procedure was a review of the projects to determine which projects needed to be included in the regional emissions analysis. The second procedure was to perform the calculations necessary to quantify certain measures of travel behavior. The third procedure was to calculate the pollutant / precursor emissions. These activities are discussed below in greater detail.

Project Review

The first procedure was to review the projects to determine which projects were exempt or non-exempt and which projects were "regionally significant." The combination of these two considerations was the basis for determining which projects were recommended for inclusion in the regional emissions analysis. During Amendment 7 of the MTP, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050*, a group of projects had been proposed for the amendment of the plan. These projects were reviewed by KIPDA staff, who prepared a list of the projects with information about the projects and a staff recommendation concerning the project's status relative to its being included in the regional emissions analysis. There is usually a straightforward explanation for why projects are included in or excluded from the analysis and why they are analyzed as they are. Most of the projects which were excluded were exempt projects as defined in the Code of Federal Regulations in 40 CFR 93.126 and 40 CFR 93.127.

During consultation, this list was reviewed and accepted by the IAC as described under the section entitled "CONSULTATION FOR *CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2050*." (Please see above.) The projects in *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* were analyzed as indicated on the list provided to IAC.

In the past, there were several projects which could not be analyzed using the travel model but were not explicitly exempt. Most of these projects had been evaluated using spreadsheet methods using emission factors (rates). Since the MOVES emissions model was being used in the inventory mode, emission factors were not available for this analysis. However, experience had shown that the emission impacts for these projects were always small and positive (i.e., emission reducing). Therefore, it is reasonable to predict that the emission impacts of these projects—if they could be quantified—would decrease the emissions shown in the tables at the end of this document.

In the past, there was one particular project affecting Bullitt County that could not be included in the travel model but had the potential to increase emissions. Therefore, a

special effort had previously been made to include its impacts in the analysis of travel behavior impacts and, consequently, in the regional emissions analysis. This project was the relocated (southern) section of US 31E. This project, which had been discussed during consultation in the past, involved the relocation of a small (approximately 0.2 mile) section of US 31E from Nelson County (outside of the nonattainment area) to Bullitt County (inside the ozone nonattainment area) during the reconstruction of that road. Estimates of the VMT for this project had been developed using a spreadsheet approach. The VMT estimates were calculated (offmodel) as the product of the estimated traffic volumes for each of the analysis years and the length of the relocated section in Bullitt County and had been added to the other Bullitt County VMT estimates of the same functional class. This effort has not been necessary since Amendment 4. Additional roadway sections including the relocated section of US 31E have recently been added to the travel model. Therefore, the estimated VMT for that section is now calculated (along with the VMT from other projects) in the post-processing process of the travel model data and added to the Bullitt County VMT resulting from that process.

Calculation of Travel-Related Information

The analysis of the travel behavior impacts for the nonattainment area primarily involved using the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model to determine measures of travel such as vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT) and speed. The method for determining these measures was to input the appropriate roadway and transit information into the model and to run the model using the appropriate socioeconomic information for a given analysis year. This analysis is explained below in further detail in the sections concerning the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model and adjustment factors for travel model output.

KIPDA Travel Demand Forecasting Model

The KIPDA travel demand forecasting model is a mathematical model which relates travel to the transportation system and basic socioeconomic information. The domain of the model is a study area which includes the Louisville (KY-IN) Metropolitan Planning Area. The Louisville (KY-IN) Metropolitan Planning Area presently consists of Clark and Floyd counties in Indiana, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties and approximately 4 square miles in Shelby County in Kentucky. This area is divided into 984 smaller units called traffic analysis zones.

As previously mentioned, the KIPDA regional travel demand forecasting model was updated and calibrated in 2022. This update established 2019 as the new base year for the model. The model update utilized the information incorporated into the travel model during previous updates. In addition, a significant amount of data from Streetlight Data, Inc. was incorporated into the updated model, particularly for trips which crossed the external boundary of the model. During the update, the model parameters were adjusted such that the model output matched—within reason—two main calibration criteria based on measured data. These criteria were: (1) the total daily VMT for all highway facilities except local roads for the region; and (2) highway traffic volumes crossing the Ohio River screenline. The result of the update was a travel model which generally replicated travel in the Louisville area for 2019. The updated travel model was used in the regional emissions analysis.

The KIPDA travel demand forecasting model uses the standard four steps of modeling: trip generation, trip distribution, mode choice, and trip assignment. In addition, it considers travel by vehicles entering, leaving, and crossing the study area. These types of trips are known as external-internal, internal-external, and external-external, respectively. The internal ends of these trips are determined by the methods described below for internal-internal travel. The external ends are determined from the volume of traffic crossing the study area boundary at any of the 46 external stations.

Trip generation is the process of determining the number of unlinked trip ends--called productions and attractions--and their spatial distribution based on socioeconomic variables such as households and employment. The trip rates used to define these relationships were derived from the travel data collection efforts described above. This information was supplemented by use of the *National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report #365* and the Institute of Transportation Engineers' *Trip Generation Report*. The KIPDA travel demand model uses three internal-internal trip purposes. Internal-internal trips are those which have both ends inside the modeling domain. The three purposes are home-based work, home-based other, and non-home-based. The set of trip rates is one of the calibration parameters of the model.

Trip distribution is the process of linking the trip ends thereby creating trips which traverse the area. The KIPDA travel model uses a gravity model to link all trips except the external-external ones. The gravity model is based on the principle that productions are linked to attractions as a direct function of the number of attractions of a zone and as an inverse function of the travel time between zones. This inverse function of travel time is used to generate parameters called friction factors which, in turn, direct the gravity model. In addition, information from a study which investigated the behavior of travelers crossing the Ohio River and traffic count information from years near 2019 were utilized to develop additional parameters called K-factors. The K-factors are used by the model to ensure that it is predicting the correct volume of traffic crossing the Ohio River. Friction factors and K-factors are two of the calibration parameters of the model.

Mode choice is the process used to separate the trips which use transit from those which use automobiles. It is also used to separate the auto drive-alone trips from auto shared-ride trips. In some previous KIPDA travel demand models, mode choice was based primarily on information provided by the *TARC Travel Forecasting Study*

from some time ago. In that model, the user's benefit or utility was calculated for each mode based on zonal socioeconomic characteristics and the cost and time of the trip using the various modes. A nested logit model was used to determine the probability of the trip being made by each of the modes. This probability was then multiplied by the number of trips between zones to determine the number of trips by each mode.

As previously stated, the conformity analysis for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* utilizes transit information from previous travel demand models. The results of the 2004 TARC on-board survey had been used to factor the data in the previous transit files. This was deemed acceptable for several reasons. The primary reason was that the transit network envisioned by *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* is essentially the same as the existing one. In addition, the number of total trips from the two models was similar. Therefore, the use of the factored transit trip information from previous travel models did not significantly change the proportion of trips allocated to transit. Finally, the proportion of trips utilizing transit is less than 2% of the total trips. So small differences in the number of transit trips should provide a negligible effect on overall travel.

Trip assignment is the process used to determine which links of the network a given trip will use. There are several assignment schemes which may be used. Two of the more common schemes are All-or-Nothing (AON)--in which all trips between two zones follow the shortest time path--and Stochastic--in which trips between two zones may be assigned to several paths based on their relative impedances or travel times. It is not uncommon for travel models to use several assignment schemes in sequence to converge to a better assignment. A sequence commonly used involves using several AONs with the traffic volumes reported at the end of each scheme being a weighted average of the volumes from the most recent scheme and the volumes from the previous schemes. A capacity restraint provision is used to adjust travel times between assignment schemes. This sequence is called an equilibrium assignment. The KIPDA travel model uses an equilibrium assignment which converges when the change in system-wide travel time over successive iterations is estimated to be within 0.0001 or less.

Tolls are being used as a means of providing for a portion of the cost of the Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges project. To reflect the effect of the tolls in the KIPDA travel model, time penalties have been used in the model on the bridges where tolls are being collected. As mentioned above, the toll structure was recently changed. To reflect this in the travel model update, the time penalties used in the KIPDA travel model were likewise changed to reflect the effect of the new toll structure. The time penalties also reflect some travel effects which could not otherwise be quantified. The output from the KIPDA travel model is in the form of a series of links with each link having certain associated data such as number of lanes, capacity, facility type, area type, functional class, and volume. This data allows for the calculation of other link information such as vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT). The VMT can be calculated as the product of the volume of traffic using a link times the distance (length) of the link.

Adjustment Factors for Travel Model Output

The VMT and speeds from the travel demand model were adjusted before being used in the calculation of regional emissions. The purpose of these adjustments was to reconcile the model output with travel estimates from other sources, such as the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) estimates of VMT. To perform this adjustment, factors were developed for the baseyear of the model using HPMS or other estimates and applied to model output for other years.

The development of the VMT adjustment factors involved comparing the VMT outputs of the travel demand model to the HPMS VMT estimates for 2019. Factors were developed to adjust the model output to account for variation between the model and HPMS within each of the counties. To do this, the VMT from the 2019 model run was tabulated by county and functional classification. The VMT estimates derived from the model were then compared to the HPMS VMT estimates for 2019 to develop adjustment factors to be applied to the model output for subsequent years. The 8-hour ozone analysis is based on a level of traffic and the accompanying emissions expected on a typical summer weekday. For that analysis, the adjustment factors were increased by 2.9% to reflect the higher volume of traffic that can be expected on a typical summer weekday relative to the annual average daily traffic. The adjustment factors for VMT were developed on a functional classification basis for each county.

The development of the speed adjustment factors involved a similar process. The outputs of the travel demand model were compared to estimates of speed based on the equations of the Highway Economic Reporting System (HERS).

In general, the HERS equations were used to estimate speeds for five functional classifications of urban roadways and for five functional classifications of rural roadways. The speeds from these roadway sections were used to determine the average speed for each of five rural and urban functional classes. The speeds used in the travel model were also averaged for each of the five rural and urban functional classes for which HERS estimates had been developed. The speed adjustment factor for each of these functional classes was calculated as the ratio of the average speed using the HERS equations to the average speed using the travel model data. In some cases, the adjustment factors for some functional classes for some counties had to be based on the combined effects of the functional classes due to the sparseness of data for one or more of the functional classes.

The procedures described above produced speed adjustment factors for all functional classes except rural and urban local roads and ramps. (Ramps are not officially a separate functional class, but the speed behavior of traffic on ramps is not expected to be like that of any other functional class. Therefore, the ramps were treated as a separate "functional class".) There was not sufficient data to estimate speeds for the roadways of these classes. For rural and urban local roads and ramps, the speeds in the travel model were used without adjustment (i.e., the speed adjustment factor for rural and urban local roads and for ramps = 1).

Calculation of Pollutant/Precursor Emissions

The calculation of the pollutant/precursor emissions for the nonattainment area involved using the adjusted output data from the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model as input to the MOVES model. KIPDA staff developed travel model output data in the form of vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT) in three formats:, (1) VMT by speed bin by MOBILE 6 facility type (road type) for each county, (2) VMT fractions by speed bin by county by MOBILE 6 facility type (road type) for each county, and (3) VMT and average speed by functional class for each county. KIPDA staff utilized this data along with other necessary inputs to run the MOVES model and develop emission estimates for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx).

MOVES Emissions Model

As previously mentioned, the Louisville region is a nonattainment/maintenance area for the pollutant ozone and must therefore control the precursors of ozone, VOCs and NOx. The emission estimates for VOCs and NOx were determined using the MOVES 4 emissions model. KIPDA staff produced the emissions for all of the counties in the nonattainment/ maintenance area. The methodology used in calculating these emission estimates is discussed below.

There are a number of factors affecting the emission estimates developed from the MOVES model. In the past, these factors included the presence of inspection/ maintenance (I/M) programs in some of the counties. During that time period, the VMT generated in Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties came from some vehicles subject to an I/M program and from some vehicles not subject to an I/M program. The I/M program in Clark and Floyd counties was discontinued at the end of 2006. The I/M program in Jefferson County (KY) was discontinued in 2003. Therefore, these programs are no longer a factor in estimating emissions.

One of the other factors is the fuel used by the vehicles in the various counties. The fuels which are used in Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson counties include reduced Reid vapor pressure gasoline (RVP) and reformulated gasoline (RFG). While RFG is used in some portions of Bullitt and Oldham counties, unregulated gasoline is used in the

other portions of those counties as well as the areas adjacent to the nonattainment area. Vehicles from these other areas can be expected to travel in the Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties also. In the past, the emission factors (from the MOBILE 6 model) for Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties used in the air quality analysis varied by county because they represent a VMT-weighted composite based on an estimate of travel in each county by vehicles from the various portions of the region. For this analysis, the MOVES model was used in what is known as the inventory mode. Using the inventory mode, it is possible to define the fuel characteristics and the presence of an I/M program for each county, but it is not possible to represent the effect of travel in a county by vehicles from other counties. Therefore, the use of composite emission factors was not possible. Other than that, the assumptions used in the analysis were consistent with those of the appropriate air quality agency for each of the counties. For Clark and Floyd counties, the assumptions of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) were used. Some assumptions of LMAPCD were also used for Clark and Floyd counties. For Jefferson County (KY), the assumptions of the LMAPCD were used. These assumptions had been previously reviewed and accepted by the IAC partners.

The assumptions used in developing the emissions for Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties were the same as those used in developing the ozone budgets update (for VOCs and NOx) for the recent redesignation request in 2022. These assumptions included some changes which were incorporated in recent years prior to 2022. The changes which affected the VOC and NOx emissions included:

- (1) improved consistency and completeness of gasoline data provided with the new MOVES model,
- (2) the incorporation of newer vehicle registration data (for 2022) for Clark and Floyd counties (provided by INDOT), and
- (3) improvements in internal model calculations to account for emission controls, driving profiles and engine characteristics.

The emissions for Bullitt and Oldham counties were also developed by KIPDA staff. As with the other counties, the assumptions for these counties were consistent with those used in the redesignation request developed in 2022. Most of the inputs to the MOVES model were defaults and/or data used that was consistent with previous SIPs or data updated for the redesignation request. As mentioned above, RFG is used in some portions (the "original" portions) of Bullitt and Oldham counties, and unregulated gasoline is used in the other portions (the "new" portions) of those counties as well as the areas adjacent to the nonattainment area. The "original" portions and "new" portions refer to whether a portion of these counties had originally designated as a nonattainment/maintenance status for the 1-hour ozone standard (used in the 1990's) or had only been designated under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard. Neither portion of either county had an I/M program. So, it was not necessary to have I/M input information for MOVES. However, it was possible that the gasoline formulation in the different portions of these counties could be different. It was determined—based on data provided by US EPA for the MOVES model—that the gasoline formulation for Bullitt and Oldham counties is essentially the same as that for Jefferson County with respect to the use of RFG. Since the use of the MOVES model in the inventory mode does not allow for the characteristics of different blends of gasoline within the same county, the gasoline formulations of Bullitt and Oldham counties were modeled the same as for Jefferson County.

The assumptions used for Bullitt and Oldham counties were consistent with those for the ozone budgets update for the recent redesignation request in 2022. The changes which affected the VOC and NOx emissions included:

- (1) improved consistency and completeness of gasoline data provided with the new MOVES model,
- (2) the characterization of gasolines described in the previous paragraph, and
- (3) improvements in internal model calculations to account for emission controls, driving profiles and engine characteristics.

KIPDA staff developed emission estimates of VOCs and NOx using the MOVES model. To review, the following steps were undertaken.

- (1) KIPDA staff received developed the adjusted travel model output in the forms of VMT and average speed, VMT by speed bin, and VMT fractions by speed bin, all by county and by MOBILE facility type by analysis year, as described above.
- (2) KIPDA reformatted the data to prepare it as input to the MOVES model. Other necessary data was received from LMAPCD.
- (3) The MOVES model was run in inventory mode to determine emission estimates of each precursor for each county for each analysis year.

RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS

The transportation plan, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050,* has been examined to determine if it is in conformity with the SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky and fulfills the criteria in the federal conformity rule (found in 40 CFR 93). The examination has been based on an air quality analysis to determine that air pollutant emissions of the appropriate areas did not exceed the VOC and NOx motor vehicle emission budgets.

As previously mentioned, the other criterion for determining conformity would have been the progress in implementation of the Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) contained in the SIPs. However, since previous consultation had determined that there were no approved TCMs, that criterion did not affect the determination of conformity. The results of the regional emissions analyses for ozone precursors are discussed below.

8-hour Ozone Analysis

The eight-hour ozone redesignation SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky contain emission budgets for the precursors of ozone, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx). The regional emissions analysis was conducted to provide estimates of the levels of emissions of VOCs and NOx for the various analysis years. These emission levels were then compared to the budgets in the SIPs to determine if the conformity tests were passed.

The results of the regional emissions analysis are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Table 1 shows the summer weekday vehicle-miles-traveled from the analysis. Table 2 shows that for 2025 and 2030, the summer weekday VOC and NOx emission levels for the 2015 8-hour nonattainment area are less than the 2019 base year emissions in the 2015 8-hour ozone redesignation SIP. Table 2 also shows that for 2035, 2040, and 2050, the summer weekday VOC and NOx emission levels for the 2015 8-hour nonattainment area are less than the motor vehicle emission budgets established in the 2015 8-hour ozone redesignation SIP.

Conclusions – 8-hour Ozone

The regional emissions analysis of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* indicates that the Metropolitan Transportation Plan is consistent with the goals and emission budgets established in the State Implementation Plans of Indiana and Kentucky. The cumulative effect of the results shown in Table 2 indicates that *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* has met the requirements of conformity under the 2015 8-hour ozone standards. In summary, it can be concluded that *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* conforms to the SIPs and meets the requirements of the federal conformity rule.

TABLE 1

SUMMER WEEKDAY VEHICLE-MILES-TRAVELED (VMT) ESTIMATED FOR											
	THE 8-HOUR OZONE NONATTAINMENT AREA (in 1000's of vmt/day)										
YEAR											
2025	8071	26511	34582								
2030	8485	27937	36422								
2035	2035 8908 29258 38166										
2040											
2050	10219	32843	43062								

TABLE 2

SUMMER WEEKDAY EMISSIONS FOR THE 8-HOUR NONATTAINMENT AREA (kg/day)											
EMISSION LEVELS FOR VARIOUS YEARS											
YEAR Area VOCs NOx PASS											
2025		7958	15580	YES							
2030		5734	9906	YES							
2035	Regional	6521	YES								
2040		3889	5144	YES							
2050		4830	4344	YES							

NOTE: The criteria for conformity are as follows:

2025 and 2030 Regional emission levels for VOCs must be below the redesignation SIP base year (2019) emissions of 13.65 tons/day or 12,383 kg/day.

2025 and 2030 Regional emission levels for NOx must be below the redesignation SIP base year (2019) emissions of 33.03 tons/day or 29,964 kg/day.

2035, 2040, and 2050 Regional emission levels for VOCs must be below the redesignation SIP emission budget (2035) of 5.51 tons/day or 4,999 kg/day.

2035, 2040, and 2050 Regional emission levels for NOx must be below the redesignation SIP emission budget (2035) of 17.18 tons/day or 15,585 kg/day.

Amendment 7 to the FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program & Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Public Comments

Project Name: I-64 Added Travel Lanes Sponsor: INDOT KIPDA ID: 2899 Comments:

- NO MORE HIGHWAYS LANES PLEASE! Stop inducing more travel on highways by adding more lanes. We must stop spending tax dollars to support more automobile and truck traffic. Strongly object to this project.
- 228 Million in tax dollars seems like a lot for inducing demand and increasing maintenance costs, when a fraction of that could have big impacts elsewhere in the region.

Project Name: I-65 Northbound Brook Off-Ramp Sponsor: KYTC KIPDA ID: 3236 Comments:

- Any off ramps should include bump outs, neck downs, rumble strips, and elevated crosswalks, along with adequate barricades & lighting for said crosswalks.
- Jacob Street is used by pedestrians to cross under I-65. Please make sure to place adequate crosswalks, lighting and SAFE pedestrian crossings to avoid being hit by speeding traffic.

Project Name: I-65 St. Catherine St. On-Ramp Sponsor: KYTC KIPDA ID: 3238 Comments:

- Remove the St. Catherine ramp all together. It encourages reckless driving and endangers everyone, especially pedestrians and cyclists. Lengthening an on ramp will further decimate much needed housing and encourage speeding in our neighborhood. I do not support this idea.
- As someone who lives in this neighborhood: I want this ramp removed completely. There are too many on and off ramps in Old Louisville. Increases heavy truck cut-through and speeding.
- *For Amendment 7 public comment, the Woodbine and St. Catherine I-65 ramps have been changed to "Remove TIP funding, project will remain in the MTP." Does this mean that the projects will no longer be completed during the TIP 2023-2026 window, but are still on the schedule for sometime in the 2050 MTP? Is there still an opportunity for the complete removal of these ramps? We have residents, including some who did not live in the neighborhood during the I-65 corridor study in 2021, who would like to see the full removal. We want to encourage them to submit this feedback if removal is still a possibility. *(Answered via email by KIPDA Staff)

Project Name: I-65 on and off ramps on Arthur Street Sponsor: KYTC KIPDA ID: 3234 Comments:

- Comments:
 - Make a traffic circle near Brandeis off ramp so that people and careening through the neighborhood (west of i65) to speed and get back on at Preston. Concentrate the traffic and you will slow it down.

Project Name: I-65 Reconfigure Woodbine/Preston Interchange Sponsor: KYTC KIPDA ID: 3237 Comments:

- Add bump outs at off ramps to neck down traffic and force merging and slow downs.
- allow native plants to take place in lieu of herbicide and mowing. All ramps should look like that on i-64 near botanical gardens. Trees or native grasses and flowers.
- Make Woodbine a 2 way street.
- Jackson Street off ramp should have traffic calming measures in place. Drivers exiting highway speed through stop signs & are encouraged to continue driving interstate speeds on local roads because of the design. Add rumble strips to elevated ped crosswalk.
- Rebuild/repair pedestrian walking bridge to make more welcoming. Design is very hostile. Light underpass under I-65 to encourage markets and vibrant activity. This underpass connects to ped friendly neighborhoods.
- The very worst part about this whole interchange is the fact that there is no stop sign at the bottom of the northbound Jackson Street exit ramp. Cars enter the neighborhood going at freeway speeds. PLEASE fix this.
- With the removal of the Woodbine off-ramp, there is no need to have Woodbine run one way. Woodbine makes much more sense as a two-way street.
- Removing the Preston southbound on-ramp will be a tremendous benefit for the many residents of that street. Thank you
- We have heard from KYTC Maintenance crews that it is cheaper to use chemical herbicide instead of mowing the right of way area. We aske that native wildflowers be used similarly to I-64 downtown. The herbicide used is carried by wind into homes and gardens.
- The Jackson Street ramp is the ONLY off ramp that exits immediately into a residential with no slowdown in speed or signage to limit dangerous speeding across Preston. Any pedestrians crossing here are risking their life crossing two slip lanes.
- With the Woodbine Exit Closing, the space from Woodbine Sidewalk to Jackson On Ramp can be reconverted to public use or greenspace as a memorial to the oldest Jewish Cemetery in KY that was demolished and dug up to make room for this ramp 70 years ago.
- As someone who lives in this neighborhood: I want this ramp removed completely. There are too many on and off ramps in Old Louisville. Increases heavy truck cut-through and speeding.
- Would love to see this off ramp and on ramp removed entirely.

Project Name: Main Remade – Central Sponsor: KYTC KIPDA ID: NEW Comments:

• I love this project and would love you to do it sooner than 2028. Want to emphasize the need for all ages and abilities bike infrastructure – meaning it is protected and separated!

• Love you are doing this, can't come soon enough. Room for protected bike lanes each way, bus lanes and islands, and narrowed ped crossings. ADT is less than Baxter/Bardstown.

Project Name: Main Remade – West Phase 2 Sponsor: KYTC KIPDA ID: NEW Comments:

- Wonderful project! Thanks for removing signals where unwarranted which is hoping quite a many of the intersections.
- Love you are doing this, can't come soon enough. Room for protected bike lanes each way, bus lanes and islands, and narrowed ped crossings. ADT is less than Baxter/Bardstown.

Project Name: Main Remade - East Sponsor: KYTC KIPDA ID: NEW Comments:

- I fully support this project! Want to see the design reduce the number of vehicle travel lanes (given designs I've seen publicly)
- Love you are doing this, can't come soon enough. Room for protected bike lanes each way, bus lanes and islands, and narrowed ped crossings. ADT is less than Baxter/Bardstown.

Project Name: Main Remade – West Phase 1 Sponsor: KYTC KIPDA ID: NEW Comments:

• Love you are doing this, can't come soon enough. Room for protected bike lanes each way, bus lanes and islands, and narrowed ped crossings. ADT is less than Baxter/Bardstown.

Project Name: Main Remade Sponsor: KYTC KIPDA ID: NEW Comments:

• Love you are doing this, can't come soon enough. Room for protected bike lanes each way, bus lanes and islands, and narrowed ped crossings. ADT is less than Baxter/Bardstown

Project Name: One-Way Street Conversion to Two- Way Phase 2 Sponsor: Louisville Metro KIPDA ID: 1810 Comments:

• Are you no longer going to 30th street now, and only going to 22nd st?

Social Media Comments:

- Stay out of the small towns. You're killing us.
 More political kickbacks and tax funded, frivolous projects.

March 2018 Modifications

E	STIP AMENDMENT an REQU	nd/or MODIFICATION UEST <u>Amendment</u> Modification Grouped Project]					Date: Requestor:															
	1	Grouped Project		1	A	5	6	7 8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 16			17		18	19	20 21	78
Signed Off? (Month/Y ear)	Sponsor	DES	Contract #	Route	Work Type	Location	County	District Miles	Federal Category	Asset Program - (State Projects Only)	Phase	Federal	Match	2022	2023 2024	¥ 2025	2026	2027 2028	2029 Tota	l Project Cost	Remarks	Letting Date MPC	Air Quality information (if applicable)
	NDOT	2301311		Stansifer Avenue Streetscape Improvements	Improvements	From I-65 to S Clark Blvd	Clark	Seymour	STBG		PE	\$323,000	\$80,750			\$403,750			\$	5,000,000	Updated to reflect new project limits. No change to total project cost.	KIPD	
	NDOT	2301311		Stansifer Avenue Streetscape Improvements	Improvements	From I-65 to S Clark Blvd	Clark	Seymour	STBG		RW	\$80,000	\$20,000					\$100,000	\$	5,000,000	l la datad ta anti-at anno aniant limita. Na	KIPD/	
	NDOT	2301311		Stansifer Avenue Streetscape Improvements	Improvements	From I-65 to S Clark Blvd	Clark	Seymour	STBG		CN	\$3,597,000	\$899,250						\$4,496,250 \$	5,000,000	Updated to reflect new project limits. No change to total project cost.	KIPD/	
	NDOT	1900366		US 150 at Old Vincennes Road	Intersect. Improv. W/ Added Turn Lanes	Intersection of Old vincennes Road & US 150, .5 miles west of I-64	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		RW	\$16,000	\$4,000		\$20,000				\$	3,680,347	Updated to reflect a raise in total project of of \$2,541,658.	KIPD/	
	INDOT	1900366		US 150 at Old Vincennes Road	Intersect. Improv. W/	Intersection of Old vincennes Road & US 150, .5 miles west of I-65	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		PE	\$20,168	\$5,042		\$25,21				s	3,680,347	Updated to reflect a raise in total project o of \$2.541.658.	KIPD/	
	NDOT	1900366		US 150 at Old Vincennes Road	Intersect. Improv. W/	Intersection of Old vincennes Road & US 150, .5 miles west of I-66	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		PE	\$39,780	\$4,420			\$44,200			\$	3,680,347	Updated to reflect a raise in total project o of \$2,541,658.	ost KIPDA	
	NDOT	1900366		US 150 at Old Vincennes	Intersect. Improv. W/	Intersection of Old vincennes Road & US 150, .5	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		U	\$17,600	\$4,400			\$22,000			\$	3,680,347	Updated to reflect a raise in total project of		
	INDOT	1900366		Road US 150 at Old Vincennes	Added Turn Lanes Intersect. Improv. W/	miles west of I-67 Intersection of Old vincennes Road & US 150, .5	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		CN	\$2,578,758	\$644,690			\$3,223,447			s		of \$2,541,658. Updated to reflect a raise in total project of		
	NDOT	1900162		Road		State St, as well as improvements to the	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		PE	\$9,330,432	\$5,297,268		\$14,627,700				\$		of \$2,541,658. Updated to reflect a raise in total project of \$86,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	ost	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes	Added Travel Lanes	Interchanges of I-64 at US 50 and I-265 I-64 from US 150 to just north of Cherry St, along with additional pavement rehabilitation on Main St. Project also adds lanes to I-265 from I-64 north of State St, as well as improvements to the interchanges of I-64 at US 50 and I-266	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		PE	\$5,040	\$1,715,280		\$1,720,32)			\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project of of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	KIPDA	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes		Interchanges of Hov at CS co and Ho2d Ho4 from US 150 to just north of Cherry St, along with additional pavement rehabilitation on Main St. Project also adds lanes to I-265 from I-64 north of State St, as well as improvements to the interchanges of I-64 at US 50 and I-267	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		PE	\$270,000	\$30,000			\$300,000			\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project or of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	KIPD/	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes		1-64 from US 150 to just north of Cherry St, along with additional pavement rehabilitation on Main St. Project also adds lanes to 1-265 from I-64 north of State St, as well as improvements to the interchanges of 1-64 at US 50 and 1-268	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		RW	\$234,000	\$26,000			\$260,000			\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project or of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	KIPD/	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes		I-64 from US 150 to just north of Cherry St, along with additional pavement rehabilitiation on Main St. Project also adds lanes to I-265 from I-64 north of State St, as well as improvements to the interchanges of I-64 at US 50 and I-269	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		U	\$808,650	\$89,850			\$898,500			\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project or of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	KIPD/	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes		I-64 from US 150 to just north of Cherry St, along with additional pavement rehabilitiation on Main St. Project also adds lanes to I-265 from I-64 north of State St, as well as improvements to the interchanges of I-64 at US 50 and I-270	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		U	\$49,500	\$5,500				\$55,000		\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project of of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	KIPD/	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes		Interdanges of void to be of a bold of the total of total of the total of total	Floyd		NHPP		CN	\$111,796,836	\$12,421,871			\$124,	,218,707		\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project or of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	KIPD/	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes		I-64 from US 150 to just north of Cherry St, along with additional pavement rehabilitiation on Main St. Project also adds lanes to I-265 from I-64 north of State St, as well as improvements to the interchanges of I-64 at US 50 and I-272	Floyd		NHPP		CE	\$90,000	\$10,000			\$	\$100,000		\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project of of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	kiPD/	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes		I-64 from US 150 to just north of Cherry St, along with additional pavement rehabilitation on Main St. Project also adds lanes to I-265 from I-64 north of State St, as well as improvements to the interchanges of I-64 at US 50 and I-273	Floyd		NHPP		PE	\$64,987	\$7,221				\$72,208		\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project or of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	KIPD/	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes		I-64 from US 150 to just north of Cherry St, along with additional pavement rehabilitiation on Main St. Project also adds lanes to I-265 from I-64 north of State St, as well as improvements to the interchanges of I-64 at US 50 and I-274	Floyd		NHPP		CN	\$77,850,000	\$8,650,000				si	86,500,000	\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project or of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	kiPD/	
	NDOT	1900162		I-64 Added Travel Lanes	Added Travel Lanes	I-64 from US 150 to just north of Cherry St, along with additional pavement rehabilitation on Main St. Project also adds lanes to I-265 from I-64 north of State St, as well as improvements to the interchances of I-64 at US 50 and I-275	Floyd	Seymour	NHPP		U	\$18,000	\$2,000					\$20,00	\$	228,772,435	Updated to reflect a raise in total project of \$68,686,748. Update also reflects new phases.	KIPD/	
														T									