PATHWAY TO 2040 IMPLEMENTATION MATRIX

Growth & Conservation

Goal G&C-1: Livable urban, suburban and rural centers

Objective 1-A: Encourage the compact mixing of uses

| Objective 1-A: Encourage the compact r | | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Rest Practices |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Action Step Create Livable Centers. | S/M/L | NIRPC will provide critical technical assistance to local communities as they plan for and implement improvements to support Livable centers. NIRPC will encourage and support the preparation of local plans specifically addressing Livable Centers. It will provide model ordinance language and advising communities on achieving desirable regional outcomes through their planning initiatives as local policies are developed Develop "model" code and regulatory guidance to address the following: Promoting housing choice and affordability in livable centers Promoting transit-oriented development in livable centers Promoting complete streets policies in livable centers Sustainable and "green" development practices in urbanized areas Conservation development standards Local: local communities as they plan for and implement improvements to support Livable Centers, and reflect a preference for investment in Livable Centers in funding allocation decisions. | NIRPC established a funding mechanism called Creating Livable Communities (CLC) program to help implementing variety of transportation-related land use projects. Current NIRPC transportation formula funds [STP, CMAQ, Transportation Enhancement (TE), etc.], with the corresponding local share will be used for funding planning and implementing capital projects such as: • Access management - A model transit oriented development (TOD) ordinance, or • Redevelopment of urban livable centers to support transit facilities, and transit corridor plans. Search for other sources of funds to continue and expand the planning program and for construction projects. | Successes/Best Practices 1- Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAG) for Livable Centers Incentives 2- Transit-Oriented Development Program by: Metro in Oregon www.oregonmetro.gov/tod 3- Livable Centers Initiative by Atlanta Regional Commission |
| Promote adaptive reuse of existing buildings and facilities and infill development will help to minimize the occurrence of single-use types. | S/M | <u>Local:</u> Develop conventional rezoning to allow higher densities and mixed-uses or different scales, such as smaller setback requirements, is helpful. | Land Banks; Tax Increment Financing; Tax Abatement; Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) New Market Tax Credit Program; Community Revitalization Enhancement District; Renewal Communities (RCs), Empowerment Zones (EZs) and Enterprise Communities (ECs) | 1- University Park Neighborhood, Gary, IN 2-Fall Creek Place, Indianapolis, IN. 3- Horace Mann Hope VI neighborhood, Gary, IN 4- Small Farms, Gary, IN |
| Where possible create small mixed-use areas in existing single-use neighborhoods. | | <u>Local:</u> Identify possible sites to accommodate mixed-use development compatible with local zoning ordinances. | Tax Increment Financing; Tax Abatement; CDBG New Market Tax Credit Program; Community Revitalization Enhancement District; Community Development Block Grant | 1- Courtesy of Planning with Power Project, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 2- Zona Rosa, Kansas City, Missouri. 3- Aberdeen, Valparaiso, IN |
| Objective 1-B: Encourage a diverse mix of | of housing types | and affordability levels near job centers and transit routes | | |
| Coordination between local governments and prioritization of investment in centers will further improve development opportunities and facilitate mixed-use centers. | S | Local: Facilitating the rehabilitation of neighborhoods and the maintenance of high-quality and affordable housing will help to stabilize neighborhoods. Additional efforts, such as inclusionary housing policies, must be undertaken now to preserve the affordability of the region's housing stock before market conditions change (e.g. interest rates increase) and as the region is revitalized. | CLC Program; Tax Increment Financing; Tax Abatement; BID New Market Tax Credit Program (NWTCP); CDBG; Renewal Communities (RCs), Empowerment Zones (EZs) and Enterprise Communities (ECs) | 1- Lakefront redevelopment, Gary and Portage, IN 2- NIRPC new CLC Program 3- Gary Northside Redevelopment Project: A multi-faceted collaboration between USDOT, US EPA, US HUD, the City of Gary, and other regional partners that is focused on urban revitalization in Gary. |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Consider making strategic land use decisions and providing for transit and complete streets, the region can ensure that all residents have access to good schools, grocery stores, community centers, medical facilities, reliable transportation and job opportunities. | s/m | Local: Provide incentives for developers to integrate features of traditional neighborhoods and walkable communities. | CLC Program | 1- livable centers by Houston-Galveston Area Council www.h-gac.com/community/livablecenters/default.aspx 2- Livable Centers Initiative by Atlanta Regional Commission 4-Contra Costa Centre Transit Village, California www.contracostacentre.com/ |
| Objective 1-C: Facilitate the remediation | and redevelop | ment of abandoned and underutilized land, including brownfields and gre | yfields. | |
| Promote infill and adaptive reuse | s/M | NIRPC can offer technical assistance and facilitate sharing of information between communities. Local: To encourage and incent it as a preferred choice of development over the option of developing previously undeveloped lands. Infill and adaptive reuse are most successful where there is some degree of regulatory flexibility Conventional rezoning to allow higher densities and mixed-uses or different scales, such as smaller setback requirements, is helpful. This allows adaptive reuse without requiring special approvals and streamlines the development process. | Tax Increment Financing; Tax Abatement; Industry Development Grant Fund (IDGF); CDBG New Market Tax Credit Program; Business Improvement District; Brownfield Tax Incentives; Land Banks; Renewal Communities (RCs), Empowerment Zones (EZs) and Enterprise Communities (ECs) | 1- Near West Side Residential/Retail Development, City of Chicago, IL. 2- Fall Creek Place, Indianapolis, IN. 3- Portage, IN Lakefront Redevelopment. 4-Horace Mann Hope VI neighborhood, Gary, IN 5- East Chicago, IN: North Harbor Redevelopment and Waterfront Revitalization Initative. 6- Gary. IN: East Lakefront Marquette Park Improvements. |
| Prioritize Transportation Funding to Support Centers, Revitalization Areas and Infill & Growth Areas | S | NIRPC will incorporate policies and strategies in transportation funding to support Centers, Revitalization Areas and Infill & Growth Areas Fed/State: Support NIRPC's strategies and develop similar policies /strategies within their agencies. | CLC Program | 1- Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission (TJPDC) for Jefferson Area Eastern Planning Initiative - Building Livable Communities 2-San Diego Area Council of Governments Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program 3-City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County Transit Oriented Development (TOD) Incentive Program 4-The Sacramento Area Council of Government's Metropolitan Transportation Plan for 2035 |
| Objective 1-D: Promote community gree | n infrastructure | and access to public open space. | | |
| Concentrating new growth around infrastructure will allow preservation of environmental assets. | S/M/L | NIRPC: Use NIRPC funds more efficiently to create livable, pedestrian-friendly communities that offer a high quality of life for all residents. | CLC Program; Tax Increment Financing; Tax Abatement New Market Tax Credit Program (NWTCP); CDBG | Near West Side Residential/Retail Development, City of Chicago, IL. West Pullman Industrial Park, Chicago, IL. Small Farms, Gary, IN. Siena at Renaissance Park, Atlanta, Georgia. |

| Action Ston | Taura (C (84/1) | Description Destrict | | Common (Book Brooking |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Action Step Objective 1-E: Promote the preservation | Term (S/M/L) of historic and | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
| Identifying the purpose and function of the necessity and benefit of having the historic districts. | | Local: Designate historic districts based on a national or state level of significance. Establish local historic register and special zoning. | Indiana Landmarks; Historic Preservation Fund Program | Burlingame Historic District, San Diego, California SurveyLA (Los Angeles) Public Participation Program is to identify, inventory, and document historic resources in the city. NIRPC Cultural & Historic Inventory Mapping Project. |
| Identifying the difference between National Register and local districts. | | NIRPC: Mapping historic preservation districts and sites. Local: Identify the function and classification of each landmark and historic district. | PL Inititally, & find alternative funds to continue. | Lafayette Historic Preservation Commission and Local Historic Districts http://www.lafayette.in.gov/egov/docs/1337275 547814.htm |
| Objective 1-F: Promote the integration of | of Context Sensit | ive Solutions into transportation planning projects. | | |
| See Transportation matrix | | | | |
| Goal G & C-2: Revitalized urbandle Gobjective 2-A: Foster the development | | -use downtowns | | |
| Reuse historic buildings | s/m | Local: Provide incentives for Downtown investment. Other Entity: Work with Historic Preservation entities | Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits; Low-Income Historic Tax Credits; TIF; Land Banks | Brentwood Apartments, 4130-4140 Parkside Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Denny Row Housing Development, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Freese Building Rehabilitation Project Bangor, Maine The Broad Street Historic District Preservation Project Newark, New Jersey |
| Reclaim Downtown to anchor and enhance City | S/M | Local: Update zoning and approvals process to expedite Downtown infill. | CLC Program; TIF; CDBG; Downtown Development Authority (DDA) Business Improvement District; Renewal Communities (RCs), Empowerment Zones (EZs) and Enterprise Communities (ECs) | One Day 4-H Downtown Revitalization, Madison County, Texas Lake Michigan Gateway Implementation Strategy in Michigan City, IN |
| Introduce additional housing | S/M/L | Local & Housing Authorities | Land Banks; TIF; CDBG; Renewal Communities (RCs), Empowerment Zones (EZs) and Enterprise Communities (ECs) | Siena at Renaissance Park, Atlanta, Georgia. |
| Objective 2-B: Promote adaptive reuse, | infill developme | ent and the remediation and reuse of underutilized properties, particularly | y brownfields | |
| Repair and restore the fragmented urban fabric. | | NIRPC: Use NIRPC CLC Program to revitalize and restore downtowns and older neighborhoods. Local: Allow for the resuse of vacant buildings, restore sidewalks and repair streets. | Tax Increment Financing; CDBG; New Market Tax Credit Program; Community Revitalization Enhancement District Business Improvement District; Brownfield Tax Incentives; Land Banks; Renewal Communities (RCs), Empowerment Zones (EZs) and Enterprise Communities (ECs) NIRPC CLC Program and STP funding | West Pullman Industrial Park, Chicago, IL Near West Side Residential/Retail Development. City of Chicago, IL. 3-Camden Crossing , City of Baltimore, MD. 4-Atlantic Steel Brownfield Tax Allocation District, Atlanta, GA |
| Objective 2-C: Facilitate the rehabilitation | on of neighborho | ods and maintenance of high-quality and affordable housing | | |
| Inclusionary housing policies, must be undertaken now to preserve the affordability of the region's housing stock before market conditions change (e.g. interest rates increase) and as the region is revitalized. | s | Local: Provide incentives to developers to include affordable housing as part of the development of market-rate housing. Provide housing policies to allow inclusionary housing. Provide local ordinance or policy that require developments of certain size to include some percentage of affordable housing. | HUD Local Housing Authorities Local funding | Regulatory Barriers Clearinghouse-solutions that support affordable housing http://www.huduser.org/rbc/search/rbcdetails.asp?DocId=1832 |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Improve public infrastructure in great older neighborhoods. | s/M | NIRPC: Prioritize transportation improvement in the urban core communities. | Tax Increment Financing; CDBG New Market Tax Credit Program; Community Revitalization Enhancement District Community Development Block Grant; Renewal Communities (RCs), Empowerment Zones (EZs) and Enterprise Communities (ECs); NIRPC CLC and STP Funding | 1- West Pullman Industrial Park, Chicago, IL 2- Small Farms, Gary, IN |
| Objective 2-D: Promote the preservation | of historic and | cultural resources | | |
| See Goal 1 objective C Assess historic structures and aim to preserve them. Objective 2-E: Expand visual and physical Implement the Marquette Plan | S Il access to Lake | Other Entity: The Regional Development Authority should continue to | Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits; Low-Income Historic Tax Credits; Indiana Landmarks; Historic Preservation Fund Program; Federal Historic Preservation Tax Credits Funded by The Cities of East Chicago, Gary, Hammond, | 1- Brentwood Apartments, 4130-4140 Parkside Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 2- Denny Row Housing Development, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 3-Freese Building Rehabilitation Project Bangor, Maine 4- The Broad Street Historic District Preservation Project Newark, New Jersey |
| | S/M/L | fund projects within the lakefront communities of Northwest Indiana that are located in the Focused Revitalization Area. | Portage & Whiting and Indiana Department of Natural Resources; RDA | 2015 Update on behalf of the RDA with the support of the Indiana DNR Lake Michigan Coastal Program. Local Implementation projects included completion of Whiting Lakefront Park renovations. |
| Objective 2-F: Partner to protect threate | ned natural rem | nnants | | |
| Assess the region's natural resources to provide guidance as to where conservation is desirable and land is less suitable for development. | S | NIRPC developed a systematic approach to evaluate the region land development suitability based on both natural and built environments by assessing and mapping all environmental assets in a single composite map. NIRPC should make this system available for use and support to the region's planning and development agencies and organizations, development interests and other stakeholders for the use in local planning activities. Local: The system would be used by local government in the preparation of local land use and development planning programs. Other Entity: Planning & Economic Development | PL; Conservation Reserve Program; Resource Conservation and Development Program (RC &D), Calumet Land Conservation Partnership | In2014 NIRPC and the Conservation Fund, updated the Green Infrastructure Vision map using metrics consistent with Chicago Wilderness and CMAP to identify high priority ecosystem hubs, key connecting corridors, and economic valuation data on ecosystem services provided by these areas. |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Avoid floodplains, | | Local: Improve City regulations to help preclude unnecessary destruction | Resource Conservation and Development Program (RC | Aberdeen, Valparaiso, IN, Harrington West, |
| and integrate preserved | | of natural features. | &D), FEMA Pre-Disaster Hazard Mitigation Funds | Valparaiso IN. Tryon Farms, LaPorte County, |
| open spaces into new neighborhoods | | Provide incentives for developers to preserve natural areas and use them | | Porter County Unified Development Ordinance |
| | | as neighborhood focal points and open space. | | includes Conservation Subdivision Section 6.09- |
| | | Other Entity: Parks and Recreation | | 6.11, a Greenway Zoning District , and a |
| | | | | Watershed Overlay District. LaPorte County Join |
| | | | | Development Ordinance, Article 22 Natural Resource Protection. All regional jurisdictions |
| | | | | but 4 are listed in the FEMA Community Status |
| | | | | book as participating in the Federal Flood |
| | | | | Insurance Program, which means they have a |
| | S/M/L | | | minimally compliant Floodplain ordinance. |
| | | | | Between 2012 and 2015, the number of NWI |
| | | | | jurisdictions participating in the Federal Flood |
| | | | | Insurance Community Rating System(CRS) |
| | | | | Program increased from 0 to 3., which allows for |
| | | | | reductions in FFIP premiums for residents based |
| | | | | on above and beyond performance |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Objective 2-G: Enhance community desi | gn and aesthetic | · | | |
| Suburban Retrofit or Sprawl Repair: | | NIRPC proposes the I-65 and U.S. 30 Retrofit Project into a livable urban | CLC | 1- The country's best suburban retrofit: Bethesda |
| This technique involves reworking | | center. A pragmatic plan is needed that can demonstrate this opportunity | | Row: |
| conventional, automobile-oriented | | and guide development to correct its current deficiencies. | | http://switchboard.nrdc.org/blogs/kbenfield/the |
| areas like single-use housing | C/NA | | | _best_suburban_retrofit_i_h.html |
| developments, office parks and | S/M | | | 2- Retrofitting Washington's Suburbs: |
| shopping centers into more walkable, | | | | http://www.dmsas.com/resources/docs/23_1298 |
| mixed-use, transit-ready urban places. | | | | 245997_Bulletin-Feb-2010- RetrofittingWashingtonsSuburbs.pdf |
| | | | | Netronttingwasiningtonssabarbs.pai |
| Goal G&C 3: Managed growth | that protec | ts farmland, environmentally sensitive areas and impor | rtant ecosystems | |
| | • | | • | |
| Implement the Greenways & Blueways | it and preservati | ion of regional greenways and blueways (water trails) and establish linkag NIRPC: Update plan for the next five years, and link regional priority | | The Gary Green Link Plan, a 2005 effort, and one |
| Plan, in particular improving north- | | corridor trails to federal funding programs. | HSIP, local revenues, bonds, Gaylord and Dorothy | of the best examples of local environmental |
| south mobility and linking the trail | | Local: Identify regional corridor opportunities to link to community | Donnelley Foundation; Greenways Foundation; other | planning, with an exhaustive analysis of sensitive |
| network to local parks and recreation | | destinations. | foundations. | environmental areas and greenway linkages for |
| facilities. | | | | potential conservation and/or restoration. The |
| | 0 (0.0 () | | | Gary Green Link is a master-planned, 30-mile, |
| | S/M/L | | | multi-use trail. The trail is a green infrastructure |
| | | | | project that connects the unique Lake Michigan |
| | | | | shoreline with the Little Calumet and Grand |
| | | | | Calumet Rivers. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Objective 3-B: Encourage the concentrate | ion of developm | nent around existing infrastructure | | |
| See objective 1-A & 1-d | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Need to have strong and consistently | remit (S/W/L) | Local: Propose growth management strategies that promote future | IDNR Coastal Program | 1- Transportation for Livable Communities |
| implemented public policies regarding | | development to occur where utilities and infrastructures exist. | Local governments | program by: Metropolitan Transportation |
| land use and transportation benefits to | | development to occur where defines and initiastructures exist. | Local foundations | Commission (MTC) www.mtc.ca.gov |
| ensure that population and | | | Local Touridations | 2- Transit-Oriented Development Program by: |
| employment growth occurs in a | S/M | | | Metro in Oregon www.oregonmetro.gov/tod |
| sustainable and responsible manner. | 3/ IVI | | | 3- Puget Sound Regional Council for its |
| sustainable and responsible manner. | | | | Transportation 2040 plan |
| | | | | Transportation 2040 plan |
| | | | | |
| Financial incentives could be offered for | | NIRPC: will support financial incentives. | BID, CDBG, CRED, NMTCP; TIF | 1- West Pullman Industrial Park, Chicago, IL; 2- |
| using existing infrastructure and not | | Fed/State: Promote and implement this action. | bib, cbbd, cheb, mircr, m | Small Farms, Gary, IN |
| requiring the construction and future | | Local: Promote and implement this action | | Small Farms, Gary, IIV |
| maintenance of new infrastructure. | S/M | romote and implement this action | | |
| maintenance of new infrastructure. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Objective 3-C: Encourage redevelopmen | t of infill sites w | ithin established centers | | |
| See objective 1-C | | | | |
| Objective 3-D: Promote compact develo | pment&smart g | rowth thru techniques; transit-oriented development, traditional neighbo | orhood development&conservation design | |
| Establish policies that address | | NIRPC encourages the development of a regional South Shore Corridor | CLC | 1- San Diego Area Council of Governments |
| the appropriate location and | | TOD Study to develop context-appropriate strategies for creating a | NICTD | Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) |
| scale of transit-oriented development | | network of transit-oriented places and sites that integrate different | RDA | Program; |
| · | _ | functions and activities within easy access of transit. | Local communities | 2- City/County Association of Governments of |
| | S | Local: associated local governments should work with NIRPC. | | San Mateo County Transit Oriented Development |
| | | Other Entity: NICTD | | (TOD) Incentive Program |
| | | | | 3- NICTD Strategic Business Plan- 2014 |
| | | | | 4- Livable Broadway Plan (GPTC), Gary, IN |
| Objective 3-E: Foster the development of | f local food syst | ems and a local food economy | | |
| Implement the recommendations of | | NIRPC: Continue and strengthen NIRPC's role as the forum for local food | USDA, local foundations | Stewart House Urban Farm, Gary, IN; NWI Local |
| the Northwestern Indiana Local Food | | stakeholders to convene, discuss issues, and develop solutions for | | Food Summit held in April 2015 at Valparaiso |
| Study | | strengthening the local food system. | | University; Task Force to plan/build NWI Food |
| | | Form the Local Food Systems Action Committee (Food SAC) to address | | Council; Creation of NWI Food Council |
| | | local and state food policy and to implement the recommendations of | | |
| | | the Local Food Study. | | |
| | S/M/L | Provide technical and other assistance to local food stakeholders.Share | | |
| | | best practices and information with counties and municipalities for | | |
| | | reviewing ordinances to ensure local food-friendliness. | | |
| | | Fed/State: Support policies and programs that encourage and enhance | | |
| | | local food system activities. | | |
| | | Local: Review ordinances to ensure local food systems projects are | | |
| | | permitted and encouraged. Encourage local food systems projects. | | |
| Objective 3-F: Preserve prime agriculture | al land and rural | | | |
| To the extent possible, align, and make | | NIRPC: Work with counties and adjacent municipalities in developing | PL | GIS Mapping for Prime Farmland &Conversion - |
| uniform, county land use regulations | | "rural area plans" in locations experiencing development pressure and | | Prime Farmland acreage changes were analyzed |
| with the 2040 CRP to support | | related infrastructure issues (with counties and adjacent municipalities). | | in the 2040 CRP Update. |
| sustainable development patterns and | | <u>Local:</u> 1- Establishment of agricultural districts, review of unincorporated | | |
| continued local farming | S/M/L | residential plans or other development proposals. 2- Requirement of | | |
| | 3,111,2 | appropriate buffers adjacent to active agricultural uses. 3- Development | | |
| | | of incentives to encourage cluster developments that maintain | | |
| | | agriculture and habitat areas. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Discourage development of farmland or | (5,111,12) | Local: New programs can transfer development rights to land more | CRP, local foundations | LaPorte County Joint Unified Development |
| environmentally sensitive lands. | | suitable for development. | , | Ordinance Article 03 is designed to protect |
| • | | ' ' | | agricultural areas from residential and |
| | S/M/L | | | commercial encroachment. The Porter County |
| | 3/11/2 | | | UDO also incorporated strong agricultural |
| | | | | development protection in Prime Agricultural |
| | | | | Districts. |
| Discourse to add a service solution | | Level Address the consensation of a few section of the collection | Lander altra | 4. Blood for the Wellow Belliness Count |
| Discourage outward expansion, unless | | Local: Address the preservation of prime agricultural land and specify | Local Funding | 1- Plan for the Valleys Baltimore County, |
| it's demonstrated to be essential to accommodate growth. | C /2 4 /1 | programs and techniques for protection. | IDNR Coastal Program | Maryland 2- Clark County, WA: Comprehensive Growth |
| accommodate growth. | S/M/L | | | Management Plan Implementation 2009 |
| | | | | Monitoring Report |
| Objective 2 C. Francisco and plan for the | | d searchele see of the relies areas | | Monitoring Report |
| Objective 3-G: Encourage and plan for the Implement the Marquette Plan | ne protection an | Local communities, RDA, NIRPC, IDNR | Funded by The Cities of East Chicago, Gary, Hammond, | 1- vision 2020, New York Comprehensive |
| implement the Marquette Flan | | Local communities, NDA, NINFC, IDNN | Portage & Whiting and Indiana Department of Natural | Waterfront Plan; 2- Portage Lakefront 3- City of |
| | S/M/L | | Resources;RDA | Whiting 4- City of Hammond 5- City of Gary 6- |
| | 3/ W// L | | nesources, No. | Michigan City 7-City of East Chicago |
| | | | | Trincingan city 7 city of East cineago |
| Objective 3-H: Improve access to major | regional parks a | nd preserved open lands, including the Indiana Dunes | | |
| | l l | | | |
| Transportation | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Goal T-1: A safe and secure tr | • | • | | |
| Objective A: Reduce the number and se | verity of motor | vehicle crashes | | |
| Compile crash data | | NIRPC: Work with INDOT and local entities on existing data. | 5303; PL | Houston Galveston Area Council for the |
| | | Fed/State: State Police and INDOT to provide data to entities. | | Transportation Safety Program. The program |
| | S | Local: Supply NIRPC and INDOT crash data | | used GIS exercise to geocode crash data to help |
| | | | | reduce the number of crashes in the region. |
| Provide safety training | | Fed/State: Offer classes at BMV | Need local dedicated source | 1- The Cheyenne MPO for their Transportation |
| , | | Local: Local police departments can offer safe driving classes | | Safety Management Plan; |
| | S | | | 2- North Florida TPO for their Safety Video Series |
| | | | | |
| | motorized trans | portation through education, enforcement, engineering, design and const | | |
| Provide education and training for non- | | NIRPC: Offer courses at NIRPC, and encourage attendance at NIRPC Ped, | Safe Routes to School program | NIRPC Safe Routes to School Workshops; |
| motorized facility design | | Pedal and Paddle Committee (3PC) meetings. | | Cornucopia State of Our Trails Meeting; Local law |
| | | Fed/State: Offer webinars for professionals on best practice designs; | | enforcement Bike Rodeos |
| | S | INDOT to offer courses to engineers. | | |
| | | Local: Attendance at NIRPC 3PC meetings and promoting Complete | | |
| | | Streets policies at local level. | | |
| Supply funding for non-motorized | | Other Entity: South Shore Trails to promote design standards. NIRPC: Open up all NIRPC-attributable funding for non-motorized | All federal, state and local funding outlets should be | 1- NIRPC Rack em' Up! Bike rack and locker |
| improvements | | improvements. | eligible for improvements; Greenways Foundation and | subsidy program; |
| improvements | | Fed/State: Provide funding for NIRPC and local entities. | South Shore Trails | 2- The long range plan of Flagstaff MPO called |
| | | Local: Use local monies for match and to build new facilities, including | South Shore Trails | for compact development, punctuated by activity |
| | | maintenance policies. | | centers and the emphasis on walking and |
| | | Other Entity: Local and state foundations to fund infrastructure projects. | | bicycling modes. The MPO played a central role |
| | s | The state of the s | | in a tax campaign that yielded dedicated taxes of |
| | | | | more that \$800,000 annually for pedestrian and |
| | | | | bicycle safety and capacity projects. With this |
| | | | | money, they were able to increase their bike lane |
| | | | | miles from 59 to 117. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| 3. Publish Route Maps and install | Terrir (S/IVI/L) | NIRPC to update 2012 NWI Greenways & Blueways Map in Spring of | NIRPC CMAQ funding; Local budgets | 1- NIRPC 2012 NWI Greenways & Blueways Map; |
| signage | | 2016. Create wayfinding design guide for all new trail development. | With C Civing fulluling, Local budgets | 2- Central Ohio Greenways Project Vision which |
| signage | | Manage sign installation project on trails devoid of proper wayfinding. | | represents an overall guide for all trail wayfinding |
| | | Fed/State: INDOT to install "Share the Road" signs along popular bike | | developed on routes in the Columbus, OH |
| | S | | | |
| | | routes. | | vicinity. |
| | | Local: Local bike and pedestrian route maps should be developed and | | |
| | | wayfinding signage created. | | |
| | | Other Entity: South Shore Trails to promote maps and signage | | |
| 4. Promote Bicycle-Friendly Community | , | NIRPC to provide education on standards necessary to attain BFC status. | Local, state and federal funding available for nearly all | 1- California's Complete Streets Act; |
| (BFC) Status | | Local: Local entities to work on several areas of improvement to attain | BFC-related qualifications | 2- Kentucky's Pedestrian and Bicycle Travel Policy |
| | _ | BFC status. | | 3- Oregon's statutes related to the provision of |
| | S | Other Entity: South Shore Trails and Calumet Crank Club to promote BFC | | bicycle and pedestrian facilities by public |
| | | in locals | | agencies. |
| | | | | |
| Objective D: Reduce emergency respon | ise times | | | |
| Rail-Highway Grade Separations - See | | NIRPC: Convene stakeholders working group, collect data, draft report, | PL; STP; HSIP | The Wichita Area MPO (WAMPO) in Wichita, |
| Goal T-2.B.1 | | prioritize infrastructure improvements | | Kansas for their Railroad Crossing Plan, which |
| | | Fed/State: INDOT and US DOT provide increased funding for rail | | examines safety and congestion issues at almost |
| | S | improvements, Participate as stakeholder, provide data (FRA - train | | 300 railroad crossings in the region. CREATE |
| | | counts, INDOT - traffic counts). | | Program |
| | | Local: Participate as stakeholder, provide data - traffic counts. | | |
| Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Separation | | NIRPC: Convene stakeholders working group, collect data. | PL; STP; HSIP | 1- the Wichita Area MPO (WAMPO) in Wichita, |
| 8 1, 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | Fed/State: INDOT and US DOT provide increased funding for rail | | Kansas for their Railroad Crossing Plan, which |
| | | improvements, Participate as stakeholder, provide data (FRA - train | | examines safety and congestion issues at almost |
| | S | counts, INDOT - traffic counts). | | 300 railroad crossings in the region. |
| | | Local: Participate as stakeholder, provide data - traffic counts. | | 2- CREATE Program |
| | | Other Entity: Railroads: provide data - train counts, participate as | | 2 611271127108.4111 |
| | | stakeholder | | |
| Signal Pre-emption | | NIRPC: Assist with data to determine where need for these systems exist. | STP; CMAQ; HSIP | Installed traffic signal pre-emption systems at 22 |
| | | Fed/State: Signal modifications on roads within jurisdiction. | | intersections, Houston, TX, reported a decrease |
| | | Local: Signal modifications on roads within jurisdiction, Stakeholders in | | in the average emergency vehicle travel time of |
| | М | determining where need exists. | | 16 to 23 percent. |
| | | Other Entity: Other emergency service providers not under a | | |
| | | governmental agency as stakeholders | | |
| | | governmental agency as stationaless | | |
| Incident Management Plans | | NIRPC: Include in the ITS architecture update. Attend and Promote | STP; PL | Indiana IN-TIME program; the North Florida TPO |
| initia en cinanagement i lans | | Indiana IN-TIME Training. | 5.1,1.2 | for the Traffic Incident Management Handbook |
| | | Fed/State: Indiana State Police & Hoosier Helper training; Indiana IN- | | and Safety Video Series, which focused on |
| | s | TIME Training; plan development & refinement with relevant | | incident management training for responders |
| | | stakeholders. | | |
| | | Local: First responder and Indiana IN-TIME training; plan development & | | |
| | | refinement with relevant stakeholders. | | |
| Traditional street grid development | | NIRPC: Support projects that contain a traditional street grid. | PL; Local foundations | Porter County, IN proposed TND Plan,, which |
| Traditional street grid development | s | Local: Implementing authority; require/encourage developments with a | | provides an effective connectivity to the |
| | | traditional street grid | | surrounding neighborhood. |
| County Evacuation Plans | | NIRPC: Support efforts, monitor progress, provide data | PL; STP | 1-Gulf Coast States |
| , | | Local: Coordinate resources, gather data, disseminate strategies | | 2- The Texas Task Force on Evacuation, |
| | | | | Transportation, and Logistics was established in |
| | | | | direct response to the evacuation concerns |
| | | | | brought on by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita on |
| | | | | 2005. |
| | | | | 3-Florida Statewide Regional Evacuation Study |
| | | | | Program |
| Objective F: Improve the safety and sec | curity of transit f | acilities including stations, stops and vehicles | | 1 |
| Video Surveillance Systems | | Transit Operators | FTA Section 5307 and 5339 | Local opertors have installed security systems |
| ' | 1 | | | , , , , , |

| Action Step Objective G: Utilize technology, includi | Term (S/M/L | Responsible Party Transportation Systems and other strategies, to improve transportation safe | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| New Traffic Signal | S | NIRPC: Support if placement can have safety benefits. Fed/State: Consider if safety benefits exist. Local: Consider if safety benefits exist | STP; CMAQ; HSIP | Real-Time Work Zone Traffic Control System - Using an Automated Traffic Information System to Reduce Congestion and Improve Safety Durir Reconstruction of the I-55 Lake Springfield Bridg in Illinois |
| Incident Management Plans | S | NIRPC: Include in the ITS architecture update. Fed/State: Indiana State Police & Hoosier Helper training; plan development & refinement with relevant stakeholders. Local: First responder training; plan development & refinement with relevant stakeholders. Other Entity: Other emergency service providers not under a governmental agency. | STP; PL | the North Florida TPO for the Traffic Incident Management Handbook and Safety Video Serie: which focused on incident management training for responders |
| Dynamic Messaging System | S/M | NIRPC: Include in the ITS architecture update. Convene stakeholders for planning and feedback. Fed/State: Continue implementing the system in Northwest Indiana. Local: Consider implementation of dynamic messaging on local roads if necessary. | STP; CMAQ; HSIP | INDOT ITS Program and Hoosier Helper Patrol Zone |
| Traffic Signal Equipment Modernization | S | NIRPC: Support if modernization has safety benefits. Fed/State: Consider if safety benefits exist. Local: Consider if safety benefits exist. | STP; CMAQ; HSIP | Real-Time Work Zone Traffic Control System - Using an Automated Traffic Information System to Reduce Congestion and Improve Safety Durin Reconstruction of the I-55 Lake Springfield Bridg in Illinois |
| Objective A: Integrate local, regional ar | nd national tra | NIRPC: Develop and Maintain the ITS Architecture, convene stakeholders Fed/State: Review the ITS Architecture. Local: Participate in the ITS Architecture development and maintenance as stakeholders. | | 1- OKI (OH/KY/IN) regional ITS Plan; 2- Leon County, FL regional architecture 3- Northern Virginia Regional ITS Arch See http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/its_arch_imp/example htm for detail |
| Multimodal Center at Gary Airport | L | Fed/State: Project funds. Other Entity: NICTD, City of Gary, RDA, State of Indiana | STP; RDA; Project funding | Portland Airport |
| Bus Rapid Transit on Corridors | L | Transit Operators, INDOT, FTA | STP; FTA Sec. 5307 and 5309, CMAQ | GPTC has new plan for Broadway Corridor |
| TOD | М | NICTD, GPTC, FTA, and NIRPC | STP;CMAQ; PL; CLC; NICTD; RDA; GPTC | Transit-Oriented Development Program by: Metro in Oregon www.oregonmetro.gov/tod |
| Interconnected Regional Bus | L | NIRPC, Municipal and County Governments, RDA, FTA, INDOT | FTA Sec. 5307 and 5339; STP; CMAQ; RDA | Denver Regional Council of Governments has embarked on an ambitious effort to construct rapid transit in nine regional corridors along wit other improvements associated with this |
| Illiana Expressway | М | NIRPC, INDOT, IDOT | NHS; HSIP; STP | Richmond Area MPO for a container barge service called the "64 express". |
| Develop multimodal and intermodal hubs | M/L | NIRPC: Provide data, Program transportation projects. Other Entity: Ports of Indiana, Railroads, Gary/Chicago Int'l | Various | Salt Lake City, Utah. Amtrak |
| Expand "Short Sea Shipping" on the Great Lakes | M/L | NIRPC: Support efforts and monitor progress. Fed/State: US Maritime Administration: develop marine highways. Other Entity: Ports of Indiana | State Funding | Great Lakes St. Lawrence Seaway System: St. Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation |
| | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Develop an Indiana Coal Corridor | (7,11,13) | NIRPC: Support efforts, monitor progress, provide data. | STP; PL;CMAQ | Richmond Area MPO for a container barge |
| between southern Indiana coal mines | L | Fed/State: INDOT | | service called the "64 express". |
| and Northwest Indiana power plants | _ | Other Entity: Purdue University - conduct research | | ' |
| Construct a new lock at the Soo Locks in | | NIRPC: Monitor progress | U.S. Congress | US Army Corps of Engineers: Olmsted Locks and |
| Sault St. Marie, Michigan | M | Fed/State: US Congress - Appropriate funding for construction | , and the second | Dam |
| Objective B: Improve freight facilities of | onnecting the re | gion to national and global markets | | |
| Develop a regional rail improvement | | NIRPC: Convene stakeholders working group, collect data. | PL; HSIP | CREATE Program |
| plan with a focus on highway-rail grade | | Fed/State: INDOT and US DOT provide increased funding for rail | | |
| crossing separations | | improvements, Participate as stakeholder, provide data (FRA - train | | |
| | S | counts, INDOT - traffic counts). | | |
| | | <u>Local:</u> Participate as stakeholder, provide data - traffic counts. | | |
| | | Other Entity: Railroads: provide data - train counts, participate as stakeholder. | | |
| Improve the Extra Heavy Duty Truck Route | s | NIRPC: Program funding, Corridor Study. Fed/State: Provide funding, develop transportation projects | STP; CMAQ; HSIP | Richmond Area MPO for a container barge service called the "64 express". |
| Study extension of Extra Heavy Duty | | NIRPC: Corridor Study. | TIGER, PL | Richmond Area MPO for a container barge |
| Truck Route to IL | M/L | Local: Participate as stakeholder, provide data. | nochy i c | service called the "64 express". |
| | 141/ [| Other Entity: Illinois DOT, City of Chicago | | |
| Develop multimodal and intermodal | | NIRPC: Provide freight movement data, Program transportation projects. | Various including STP, RDA, local, CMAQ, TIGER | Salt Lake City- Intermodal hubs, Utah. Amtrak |
| hubs | | Fed/State: Provide funding for transportation projects. | | ,, |
| | | Local: Update plans and ordinances to facilitate compatible development, | | |
| | M/L | provide incentives. | | |
| | | Other Entity: Ports of Indiana, Railroads, Gary/Chicago Int'l, RDA | | |
| | | | | |
| Maintain a varioural fusiolist | | NUDDC: Hadata and amblish areas | PL PL | CIC Managing for Designed Fusions Information |
| Maintain a regional freight infrastructure map | | NIRPC: Update and publish map. Fed/State: Provide data. | PL | GIS Mapping for Regional Freight Infrastructure Map |
| innastructure map | S/M/L | Local: Provide data. | | IMAP |
| | | Other Entity: Provide data. | | |
| Objective C: Reduce congestion on maj | or freight and pa | · | | |
| See Goal T-2, Objective B | | | | |
| Objective D: Improve the internal conn | ectivity of the tra | | | |
| Support Complete Streets | | NIRPC to educate and promote, Municipal and county governments to | All NIRPC-attributable funding is subject to Complete | Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission has |
| | | implement | Streets Policy & Guidelines | adopted a Complete Streets policy and created a |
| | S | | | Complete Streets toolkit, which is available |
| | | | | online, and an equipment library. |
| Traditional Neighborhood Design | | NIRPC: Project Selection Criteria. | CLC | 1- Coffee Creek, Chesterton |
| | L | Local: Ordinances | | 2- Millennium Place, Muncie, IN |
| Objective E: Enhance connectivity betw | een housing, jok | os, services, and educational facilities | | |
| Invest in improvements to jobs access | | NIRPC: Priority Criteria. | CDBG; Need local dedicated source | National Capital Region Transportation Planning |
| for low-income and minority residents | | Local: Match funds. | | Board (TPB) in Washington, DC for their |
| | L | Other Entity: County | | Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan |
| | | | | and Program. |
| Proactive and balanced approach to | | NIRPC: Priority Criteria, Education of Local Officials. | CLC | 1- Transportation for Livable Communities |
| transportation funding decisions, with | | Fed/State: INDOT adopt criteria. | | program by: Metropolitan Transportation |
| emphasis on ensuring that public | | Local: Implementation Authority | | Commission (MTC) www.mtc.ca.gov |
| investments improve access and | | | | 2- Transit-Oriented Development Program by: |
| mobility in Livable Centers and the core | L | | | Metro in Oregon www.oregonmetro.gov/tod |
| cities. | | | | 3- Livable Centers Initiative by Atlanta Regional |
| | | | | Commission |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | th special transportation needs including persons with disabilities, the eld | | • |
| Invest in improvements to jobs access for low-income and minority residents. | L | NIRPC: Priority Criteria Fed/State: Increase Operating Funds Local: Match Funds Other Entity: County | CDBG; FTA Section 5310, and Section 5339, need local dedicated source of matching funds | National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board (TPB) in Washington, DC for their Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan and Program. |
| Support projects that encourage affordable housing creation in locations near jobs and transit. | | <u>Local:</u> Establish zoning that allow mixed use and variation of housing options and TOD district. | NIRPC CLC Program Local Funding RDA | National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board (TPB) in Washington, DC for their Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan and Program. Tysons Corner Fairfax County, Virginia for its Comprehensive Plan, the plan includes a tiered approach to density that is focused around four transit stations. |
| Create an interconnected transit system including BRT, commuter rail and regional and local bus and demand response paratransit. | L | NIRPC: Promote, Advocate Fed/State: Increase Operating Subsidies Local: Matching Funds | FTA Section 5307, 5339, CMAQ | Portland, Oregon |
| Promote Complete Streets (CS) policies and designs | s/M | NIRPC: Apply NIRPC CS policy to all attributable projects. Fed/State: INDOT to use CS standards on state roadways. Local: Local entities to utilize CS design standards in transportation corridors. Other Entity: South Shore Trails and Indiana AARP to promote regionwide | All NIRPC-attributable funding; State funding; Local funding | Examples at www.completestreets.org |
| Objective G: Increase access to and imp | rove the reliabi | | | |
| Proactive and balanced approach to transportation funding decisions, with emphasis on ensuring that pubic investments improve access and mobility in Livable Centers and the core cities | L | NIRPC: Priority Criteria, Education of Local Officials. Fed/State: INDOT adopt criteria Local: Implementation Authority | MPO role | City of Valparaiso; Envision Missoula guided the decision-making process for making sound transportation investments in the region. |
| Project Selection Criteria | s | NIRPC: Staff support to stakeholders to do new criteria Fed/State: INDOT adopt criteria Local: Partici-pate in criteria development Other Entity: Developers | PL | New transit criteria developed to reflect new CRP |
| | d transportation | n network by building Complete Streets that accommodate bicycles, pede | | |
| Adopt local Complete Streets policies | S | NIRPC: Promote policy templates for community review and action Fed/State: Engage with communities through statewide CS committee Local: Adopt either resolutions, ordinances, plans or other mechanisms to recognize Complete Streets adherence Other Entity: South Shore Trails to promote by working with local entities | | NIRPC Complete Streets Policy and Guidelines; Mid-Ohio MPO (MORPC) Complete Street Policy; Town of Lowell Complete Streets Policy; City of LaPorte Complete Streets Policy |
| Develop On-line Toolkit of Best Practices | s | NIRPC: Adopted toolkit based on template from Active Transportation Alliance. Local: Review NIRPC-based toolkit to incorporate into local projects | PL | Active Transportation Alliance's Complete Streets Toolkit at www.atpolicy.org |

| Author Chan | T (C (24/1) | Process Will Produ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Community of Book State |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
| Develop Statewide CS Policy | | NIRPC: Work with NIRPC Legislative Committee to make priority Fed/State: INDOT to support legislative policy and apply to project | PL | State of Illinois Public Act 095-0665; State of |
| | | designs | | Michigan Public Act 135 |
| | М | Local: Contact state legislators to promote support of policy | | |
| | IVI | Other Entity: South Shore Trails & Indiana AARP to promote with | | |
| | | legislators | | |
| | | legisiators | | |
| Face and the INDOT development of CC | | NIDDC: Deview INDCT are is the and word, with LaDoute District on CC | All FINA/A beard founding general are aliable | December of trail correspondent CF and UC 20 |
| Encourage INDOT development of CS designs on state roadways | | NIRPC: Review INDOT projects and work with LaPorte District on CS standards | All FHWA-based funding programs are eligible | Preservation of trail access under I-65 and US 30 |
| designs on state roadways | | Fed/State: INDOT to develop CS design guidelines in project scopes | | Trail lanes on SR 49 bridges over US 12 and 20; Lincoln Memorial Trail section along US 421 in |
| | | Local: Partner with INDOT projects within jurisdictions to aid in | | LaPorte County |
| | M | development of CS standards | | Ear of the County |
| | | Other Entity: South Shore Trails to promote CS standards with INDOT | | |
| | | Calci Enacy: South Shore trains to promote es standards with inter- | | |
| | | | | |
| Objective I: Encourage land use policy | hat supports ac | cess for disabled persons, efficient mass transit and non-motorized travel | | |
| Link funding decisions with Complete | | NIRPC: Priority Criteria, highway and transit planning | MPO role | Puget Sound Regional Council for its |
| Streets, expanded trails, improved | | Fed/State: INDOT adopt criteria | | Transportation 2040 plan; Nashville (TN) Area |
| public transit and multimodal freight | L | Local: Help do priority criteria, modify practices | | 2035 Regional Transportation Plan |
| and passenger hubs. | | Other Entity: Developers, Industry, Transit operators, Counties | | |
| | | | | |
| Support projects that encourage | | <u>NIRPC:</u> Transit and economic development, project selection criteria, | CLC | Mercantile Square, Denver : Workforce Housing |
| affordable housing creation in locations | | policies | | Soars Near Emerging Transit Hub |
| near jobs and transit | L | Local: Modify practices | | |
| | | Other Entity: QLC, GNIAR, Northwest Indiana Forum, Mayors' | | |
| | | Roundtable, Towns and Smaller Cities | | |
| Promote transit supportive land use | | NIRPC: Advocate educator, project selection criteria for economic | PL; STP | Puget Sound Regional Council for its |
| patterns including TOD, TND and | L | development and transportation | | Transportation 2040 plan |
| Conservation design | _ | Fed/State: Project funds | | |
| Goal T-3: Adequate transport | ation fundin | g and efficient use of resources | | |
| Objective A: Increase the level of feder | al and state fund | ding flowing into the region and improve the ability to provide local matcl | ning funds | |
| Create dedicated source of local | | NIRPC: Advocate, Educate, Coordinate | Consult with elected officials to pursue support from | Illinois RTA |
| funding for public transit | | Local: Support from local elected officials & County Councils | additional taxes | |
| | | Other Entity: Urban League, NAACP, QLC, Riders, Operators, Social | | |
| | | Service Agencies, Churches, Everybody Counts | | |
| | nts through mai | ntenance and improvements to existing transportation infrastructure, op | | |
| Maintain and improve existing | | NIRPC: Priority Criteria | STP | Central City Community Transportation Plan, Los |
| infrastructure to maximize the | L | Fed/State: INDOT adopt Criteria | | Angeles, California |
| efficiency of scarce public resources | | Local: Submit appropriate projects | | |
| Objective C: Devote sufficient resource | s to address reco | onstruction and maintenance needs | | |
| See Goal T-3, Objective B, #1 | | | | |
| | nagement Proce | ss to optimize the efficiency of the transportation network | | |
| Establish data collection activities to | | NIRPC: research and deploy data collection methods | PL, STP | Boston Regional MPO Congestion Management |
| determine areas with recurring | S | Fed/State: support with existing data sources | | Process |
| congestion | | <u>Local:</u> support with existing data sources | | |
| Evaluate Congestion Mitigation | | NIRPC: analysis | PL | Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission, |
| Strategies | | Fed/State: participation on Transportation Policy Committee | | Congestion Management Process |
| or a cobico | | Level and death and Tarana delta Balta Committee | | Strategies: Evaluating Congestion Management |
| 5.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0 | S | <u>Local:</u> participation on Transportation Policy Committee | | The state of the s |
| | 5 | Local: participation on Transportation Policy Committee | | Mitigation Strategies |
| Implement strategies | 3 | NIRPC: Review progress on implementation of strategies | STP, CMAQ, 5307 | |
| | M | | STP, CMAQ, 5307 | Mitigation Strategies |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Evaluate the effectiveness of | (6// _/ | NIRPC: analysis | PL | Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission, | | |
| implemented strategies | | Fed/State: participation on Transportation Policy Committee | | Congestion Management Process | | |
| | М | Local: participation on Transportation Policy Committee | | Strategies: Evaluating Congestion Management | | |
| | | | | Mitigation Strategies | | |
| Objective F: Prioritize transportation in | estments that s | support land use and economic development goals | | | | |
| Continue to update the TIP project | restillents that s | NIRPC: This activity will be carried out through the work of the new | This planning process is supported by PL funds. It | The TIP is the primary tool to implement the long | | |
| evaluation criteria to coincide with the | | Technical Planning Committee, the new Transportation Resource | pertains to all of the federal transportation fund | range transportation plan, in this case the CRP. | | |
| vision, goals and objectives of the | | Oversight Committee and transportation planning stakeholders relative | categories that NIRPC programs. | Decades ago NIRPC developed similar criteria | | |
| current CRP (as amended), many of | | to implementation of the CRP. | | that was in keeping with the then long-range | | |
| which are land use and economic | | p | | transportation plan. It has been revised | | |
| development related. | | | | numerous times to stay in sync with the current | | |
| · | S | | | iteration of the plan. Over the years the best | | |
| | | | | projects have consistently risen to the top of the | | |
| | | | | list of priorities and have been programmed | | |
| | | | | within available resources. NIRPC is a best | | |
| | | | | practice, and can always improve. Research can | | |
| | | | | be done as necessary to find other best practices. | | |
| Objective F: Encourage investments that | t consider long r | ange impacts of changing transportation systems and anticipate future to | echnologies | | | |
| Determine long term impacts | | NIRPC: analysis | PL | Michigan Connected Vehicle Infostructure Plan | | |
| Evaluate the effectiveness of | L | NIRPC: analysis | PL | To Be Determined | | |
| investments | | | | | | |
| Goal T-4: A transportation syst | Goal T-4: A transportation system that supports the health of all people and places | | | | | |
| Objective A: Improve the integration of | environmental _l | planning activities into transportation planning | | | | |
| Prioritize projects that have no adverse | | NIRPC: Project Selection Criteria | PL | SEMCOG in Detroit, MI for their regional analysis | | |
| impacts | | | | of the impact of planned transportation projects | | |
| | | | | on the environment and the series of guidelines | | |
| | | | | they developed for mitigating those impacts | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Objective B: Reduce the levels and impa | ects of pollution | (air, noise and vibration) caused by transportation, particularly freight in | environmental justice areas | | | |
| Incorporate freight "Good Neighbor" | icts of poliution | NIRPC: Research and distribute local and national examples of best | PL;STP | 1 - Delaware Village Regional Planning | | |
| practices - buffers, open space | | practices | | Commission, Good Neighbor Practices | | |
| requirements, low impact design, | | Local: Update zoning ordinances | | 2 - FHWA Freight and Land Use Handbook: | | |
| context sensitive lighting, etc into | М | | | Freight as a Good Neighbor- Land Use, | | |
| zoning ordinances | | | | Transportation System, and Environmental | | |
| | | | | Considerations | | |
| | l transportation | network by building Complete Streets (CS) that accommodate bicycles, p | edestrians and transit users | | | |
| See Goal T-2, Objective H | | | | | | |
| Objective D: Expand environmental miti Best Management Practices | | NIRPC: Research best practices and provide technical support | PL | The City of Cedar Rapids River Corridor | | |
| 2000 Management Pacifics | | State/Fed: Technical support, incorporate BMPs into transportation | | Redevelopment Plan | | |
| | | projects, permitting | | nedevelopment run | | |
| | | Local Governments: Incorporate BMPs into transportation projects. | | | | |
| Environmental Resource Maps on | | NIRPC: Maintain database of regional environmental mapping efforts and | PI | NIRPC has made available the Green | | |
| NIRPC Website | | projects. | | Infrastructure Vision maps, summarizing the | | |
| | S | | | most critical natural areas in NWI for | | |
| | | | | conservation. | | |
| Objective E: Conduct outreach to detern | nine the negativ | re impacts of transportation investments and to ensure environmental jus | stice | | | |
| Host workshop with environmental | III III III | NIRPC: Conduct workshop with environmental justice stakeholders to | PL | Environmental justice outreach was done for the | | |
| justice community stakeholders | | determine potential project concerns and impacts | | 2040 Comprehensive Regional Plan and the | | |
| James dominantly statement of | | Fed/State: Attend workshop, technical assistance | | Illiana Expressway amendment to the 2040 | | |
| | S/M/L | Other Entity: Community organizations, citizen groups | | Comprehensive Regional Plan | | |
| | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | , in the second second | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Objective F: Promote energy efficiency and alternative energy | | | | | | |
| Develop alternative energy infrastructure | М | <u>Local:</u> construct stations for electric vehicle charging and alternative fuel pumping <u>Other Entity:</u> South Shore Clean Cities, Retail outlets | PL; Local foundations | Due to efforts of SSCC and private partners such as Family Express and others. NWI has 60 public Alt Fuel stations and 14 Private. These include CNG, Electric, Liquid Propane, E85 | | |
| Promote alternative energy vehicle purchases | м | Fed/State: Legislation, regulation | PL; Local foundations | Several businesses and municipalities have expanded alternative fuel vehicles for both fuel economy and air quality benefits. Ozinga has several CNG operated concrete mixers operating in the region, with a plan to completely covert their 500 vehicle fleet by 2020. Cities of Hobart and others have purchased # CNG Garbage Trucks. The City of LaPorte is in process of purchasing propane transit vehicles. Many communities continue to operate the Think electric vehicles they received through South Shore Clean Cities as part of a ARRA grant. | | |
| Increase Gasoline Tax | S | Fed/State: Legislation, regulation | | | | |

Environment

Goal: Reduce flooding risks and improve water quality

| Review and develop/improve ordinances for water quality enhancement | s/M | NIRPC will work with stakeholders to develop a watershed protection capabilities audits and identify/ develop model ordinances Fed/State: EPA has a variety of model ordinances available to assist local governments Local: Municipal and County reps. | Water Quality Management Planning-205; Water Quality Cooperative Agreements-104; Capitalization Grants for State Revolving Funds | Conduct audit of local watershed protection capabilities |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Conduct watershed resource inventory and information analysis | S/M/L | NIRPC will make resource data readily available via web-based GIS and other products such as the Northwest Indiana Watershed Management Framework. NIRPC will update this information as significant changes or information becomes available (approx. every 5 yrs.). NIRPC will help raise stakeholder awareness of nonpoint source water quality issues within the region. Fed/State: The following agencies collect and make data available that can assist in watershed characterization and may also be able to provide technical support: IDEM, DNR, NRCS, ISDA, ISDH, USGS, USFWS, INDU, FHWA, INDOT, NOAA Local: Municipal and county reps., SWCDs Other Entity: universities, conservation organizations | PL funding can be used to maintain resource data for Env. Mit. Water Quality Management Planning-205; Water Quality Cooperative Agreements-104; Capitalization Grants for State Revolving Funds | GIS mapping for open space and water resource protection |
| Establish institutional structure for watershed management planning program including adequate funding and staff. | s | NIRPC: Technical support Fed/State: Technical support: IDEM, DNR, NRCS, ISDA, ISDH, USGS, USFWS, INDU, FHWA, INDOT Local: Municipal and county reps., SWCDs Other Entity: universities, conservation organizations | Need Local Dedicated Source | Watershed Management Program MOU |
| Objective B: Complete, improve, and im | plement wate | | | |
| Identify WMP opportunities and prioritize watersheds for plan development/ improvement | S | NIRPC: Technical support and coordination Fed/State: Technical support: IDEM, DNR, NRCS Local: Municipal and county reps., SWCDs Other Entity: universities, conservation organizations, citizen groups | Water Quality Management Planning-205; Water Quality Cooperative Agreements-104; Need Local Dedicated Source | Hilltop Hanover, A Westchester County Farm an Environmental Center, Westchester County, New York |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Develop WMPs or watershed diagnostic | | NIRPC: will support stakeholders interested in WMP development by | IDEM- 319 Program, Water Quality Management | 1- Coffee Creek Watershed Preserve, Chesterton. |
| studies and coordinate with adjacent | | creating "watershed templates" for their watershed of interest. NIRPC | Planning-205; DNR- LARE Program | 2-India Creek Watershed Management Plan, |
| counties and states that share | | will also assist by providing watershed characterization data and | | Indianapolis |
| watershed boundaries with Northwest | | participating on WMP committees as requested. | | |
| Indiana | S/M/L | <u>Fed/State:</u> Technical support: IDEM, DNR, NRCS, ISDA, ISDH, USGS, | | |
| | 3/141/2 | USFWS, INDU, FHWA, INDOT | | |
| | | Local: Municipal and county reps., SWCDs, | | |
| | | Other Entity: universities, conservation organizations, citizen groups | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Implement WMPs | | NIRPC: Technical support | IDEM- 319 Program, IDEM- 205 Program, DNR- LARE | 1- Coffee Creek Watershed Preserve, Chesterton |
| | | <u>Fed/State:</u> Technical support: IDEM, DNR, NRCS, ISDA, ISDH, USGS, | Program, DNR- Coastal Program, SRF;CGSRF NRCS, local | 2-India Creek Watershed Management Plan, |
| | M/L | USFWS, INDU, FHWA, INDOT | storm water programs | Indianapolis |
| | 141,72 | Local: Municipal and county reps., SWCDs | | |
| | | Other Entity: universities, conservation organizations, citizen groups. | | |
| | | | | |
| Evaluate WMP implementation | | NIRPC: Technical support | IDEM- 319 Program, DNR- LARE Program; Water | St. Clair County's Northeastern Watersheds |
| effectiveness | | Fed/State: Technical support: IDEM, DNR, NRCS, ISDA, ISDH, USGS, | Quality Cooperative Agreements-104 | (NEW) Watershed Management Plan (WMP) |
| | S/M/L | USFWS, INDU, FHWA, INDOT | | http://www.cis.stclaircounty.org/Watershed_Mg |
| | 3/141/2 | Local: Municipal and county reps., SWCDs | | mt_Plan/Chapter_9_Evaluation_Process.pdf |
| | | Other Entity: universities, conservation organizations, citizen groups. | | |
| | | | | |
| - | management pr | actices, including the development of green infrastructure and the reducti | | |
| Pursue opportunities to restore and | | <u>NIRPC:</u> Coordinate with agencies and local partners to identify areas for | USDA-CRP, USDA-CTA, WRP, Wetlands Protection | 1- Spring Creek Greenway, Joliet, Illmois; |
| expand existing wetlands | S/M/L | westland restoration | Development Grants Program; Small Watershed | 2- Wetland Restoration at Grand Kankakee |
| | | Fed/State: Technical support: IDEM, NRCS, DNR, USFWS | Program; NAWCA | Marsh |
| Target implementation of stormwater | | NIRPC has already identified highly erodible soils within the Watershed | IDEM-319; Water Quality Cooperative Agreements- | Stormwater Management Ordinance, St. Mary's |
| management practices toward highly | S/M/L | Framework using NRCS soils data and query function in GIS. NIRPC will | 104; Small Watershed Program;EWP; Urban | County, Maryland |
| erodible lands | 3,111,12 | make this data available to stakeholders via GIS or similar format | Conservation Program | |
| | | Fed/State: Technical support and data: NRCS- soils survey | | |
| Plan and design channel modification | | <u>NIRPC</u> can provide mapping data and facilitate stakeholder and agency | SRF;Water Quality Cooperative Agreements-104 | Natural channel design (ex. two-stage ditches) |
| activities to mitigate negative physical, | S/M/L | involvement | | |
| chemical and habitat impacts, restore | | Fed/State: IDNR, INDOT, NRCS, | | |
| natural hydrology patterns | | Local: SWCD, local counties, cities, towns | | |
| Promote practices that manage | | NIRPC will collaborate with groups and organizations such as the NWI | NIRPC can promote and educate on this with MS4 | Low Impact Development techniques (ex. |
| stormwater as close to its source as | | MS4 Partnership and Save the Dunes to promote and convey a consistent | dollars in member communities. Water | bioretention facilities, rain gardens, and pervious |
| possible | | message on the use and applicability of LID techniques. NIRPC will also | Quality Management Planning-205 | pavement) |
| | | collaborate with partners to identify most effective methods for | | |
| Identity, map, and protect aquifer | | promotion. NIRPC: Promote need, facilitate or coordinate efforts | Water Quality Cooperative Agreements-104; | Spring Creek Greenway, Joliet, Illmois |
| recharge areas with appropriate buffers | s | Fed/State: Technical assistance or study by: IDEM, DNR, USGS | Small Watershed Program; | Spring Creek Greenway, Jonet, Illinois |
| recharge areas with appropriate bullers | 3 | | e i | |
| Protect streambank and riparian | | Local: Local Water Utilities, counties NIRPC: Data and mapping, facilitation and coordination | Watershed Surveys and Planning; Small Watershed Program; Coastal Wetlands Planning, | Ex. Riparian Buffer Preservation- Trail Creek, |
| habitat areas, limit active use of | | Fed/State: NRCS, IDNR | Protection, and Restoration Act; Conservation Reserve | Michigan City |
| sensitive shoreline and streambank, | | Local: SWCD, Counties, cities, towns, park departments | Program | Wildingdir City |
| with significant buffers, may be | S/M/L | Other Entity: Conservation Organizations, private land owners | Flogialii | |
| coordinated with expansion of regional | | Conservation Organizations, private land owners | | |
| | | | | |
| trail system where appropriate | | | | |
| Encourage adoption of tree | | <u>Local:</u> Local counties, cities, towns | Forestry Incentive Program; Cooperative Forestry | Porter County Tree Preservation section of UDO; |
| preservation ordinances and urban | 0/55/ | | Assistance; Classified Forest Program; Urban Forest | Harrison West, Valparaiso, IN |
| forestry | S/M/L | | Conservation Grants; Arbor Day Grant Program; | |
| | | | Hometown Indiana Grants | |
| | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | · | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Objective D: Facilitate regional planning Study the feasibility of county on-site wastewater districts | g for adequate o | collection and treatment of wastewater and the elimination of inappropriation of inappropriation on this topic Fed/State: ISDH Tech Assistance Local: County | Water Quality Management Planning-205; Water Quality Cooperative Agreements-104; | Study and Recommendation of Consolidating Wastewater Systems in Dearborn County, Indiana Study the feasibility of county on-site wastewater districts |
| Develop and implement on-site wastewater districts | M/L | NIRPC: Facilitate/Coordinate discussion on this topic Fed/State: ISDH Tech Assistance Local: County | SRF, IDEM-319; | Allen County created an On-site Wastewater Management District in 2003 in order to provide oversite and management of septic systems in |
| Document all new and existing on-site wastewater disposal systems in the ISDH iTOSS tracking system | s | NIRPC: Facilitate/Coordinate discussion on this topic Fed/State: ISDH Tech Assistance Local: County | PL funding can be used to maintain resource data for Env. Mit. SRF, Local foundations | NIRPC is an active participant in the NWI Septic System Task Force, under the facilitation of the IDNR Coastal Program. This group of local, state federal, and NGO officials is working together to identify ways to better map septic systems. |
| Identify strategies to assist property owners in the elimination of the inappropriate use of on-site wastewater disposal systems | s/M | NIRPC education and outreach Fed/State: ISDH Tech Assistance Local: County | NIRPC can promote and educate on this with MS4 dollars in member communities. | City of Valparaiso began a pilot recycling progra in 1990 and was expanded to the entire city. |
| Objective E: Promote the upgrading of | aging water infr | astructure. | | |
| Audit water systems to detect leaks and inefficiencies | S/M | NIRPC can share information and promote this practice Fed/State: IDEM/IDNR/IURC technical support Other Entity: Local Utilities, AWWA | SRF; DWSRF | Valparaiso City Utilities performed a Community Wide Water Audit using free software from the AWWA. |
| Target upgrade efforts to systems that experience the most water loss through leakage | | Fed/State: IDEM/IDNR/IURC technical support Other Entity: Local Utilities, AWWA | Safe Drinking Water RLF; SRF | Valparaiso City Utilities performed a Water Leak Survey. http://www.ci.valparaiso.in.us/index.aspx?nid=2 34 |
| Encourage model water use conservation ordinance | ι | NIRPC: Public Education and Outreach in MS4 Communities Fed/State: Fed/State: IDEM/IDNR/IURC Local: Counties, Cities, Towns | MS4 Program Fees in Member Communities | St. Johns River Water Management District, Florida |
| Encourage water conservation strategies for residential and commercial development to reduce burden on aging infrastructure | S/M | NIRPC: Public Education and Outreach in MS4 Communities | MS4 Program Fees in Member Communities | Water conservation plan for Valparaiso City Utilities |
| Objective F: Facilitate the development | t of a regional st | ormwater strategy. | | |
| Encourage and support consideration of stormwater quantity on a watershed basis, integrated with watershed management plans. | S/M | NIRPC will develop watershed templates for those watersheds in which groups are requesting technical support. NIRPC will coordinate WMP development at the request of stakeholders within NIRPC planning area. | IDEM- 319 Program, Water Quality Management Planning-205; Water Quality Cooperative Agreements- 104 | Gary Storm Water Management District |
| Objective G: Facilitate regional planning | g for water supp | oly and demand. | • | |
| Coordinate regional water supply and demand planning and data collection efforts | L | NIRPC: Facilitate/Coordinate discussion on this topic Fed/State: IDNR, IDEM, IURC, USGS Other Entity: Local utilities | PL funding can be used to maintain resource data for Env. Mit. | Recommendation of the Indiana Water Shortage Task Force |
| Improve regional water conservation, allocation, and management through establishment of regional water supply and demand management districts | | NIRPC: Facilitate/Coordinate discussion on this topic Fed/State: IDNR, IDEM, IURC, USGS Other Entity: Local utilities | Small Watershed Program; DWARF | Recommendation of the Indiana Water Shortage Task Force |
| | | | | |

| <u> </u> | | | | ratilway to 2040- Imple |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
| Fund and pursue studies of critical | | <u>Fed/State:</u> IDNR, USGS: Tech Assistance and Study Implementation | Small Watershed Program; | Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana Regional Council of |
| groundwater recharge areas to | | | Water Quality Management Planning-205; | Government for Great Miami Drinking Water |
| document and enforce necessary | | | Water Quality Cooperative Agreements-104; | Protection Project Cincinnati, Ohio |
| recharge area protection buffers | | | | |
| Promote growth in areas with access to | | See infill and reinvestment strategies | DNR- LARE Program; USEPA-GLRI; Great Lakes Program | NIRPC will evaluate the growth and conservation |
| Lake Michigan water rather than in the | | | | metrics presented in the 2015 2040 CRP |
| Kankakee River sub-basin or in areas | L | | | Companion through the lense of the Lake |
| without existing water service | | | | Michigan basin. |
| Promote source water planning in the | | Fed/State: IDEM regulatory authority | DNR- LARE Program; Small Watershed Program | This is a required activity for Public Water Supply |
| Kankakee River sub-basin | s | <u>Local:</u> County Coordination very beneficial for small systems | | Systems, Lake County joint Source Water |
| | 3 | Other Entity: Local utilities | | Protection Plan is a best practice |
| | | | | |
| Expand the metering of water use to | | Fed/State: IDNR, IDEM, IURC, USGS | Individual local utilities | Indiana Water Shortage Task Force |
| raise awareness and enable cost | | Other Entity: Local utilities | | recommendation. Valparaiso Utilities has good |
| recovery mechanisms | | | | example of informative bills that can promote |
| Objective H: Preserve floodplains and w | etlands. | | | water conservation. |
| Prioritize wetland restoration and | | NIRPC: Coordination and technical assistance | USDA-CRP, USDA-CTA, WRP, Wetlands Protection | Indiana Wetlands Conservation Plan criteria. |
| conservation | | Fed/State: Technical support: IDEM, DNR, USACOE, USFWS, NRCS | Development Grants Program; Small Watershed | Possible partnership with ACOE for an ADID user |
| | | Local: SWCD, environmental/ conservation organizations | Program; NAWCA | guide. |
| | | | | |
| Discourage or restrict development | | NIRPC: Mapping and data | USDA-CRP, USDA-CTA, WRP, Wetlands Protection | Indiana Wetlands Conservation Plan criteria. |
| activity with designated floodplains and | C /B # /I | Fed/State: Technical support for mapping and data: DNR, FEMA, | Development Grants Program; Small Watershed | |
| recharge areas of wetlands | S/M/L | USACOE, USGS | Program; NAWCA | |
| | | <u>Local:</u> Local Zoning or Planning commissions | | |
| Goal: Improved air quality | | | | |
| | | lards for all pollutants including ozone and particulates. | | |
| Objective B: Reduce air toxins, greenhou | | | | |
| Objective C: Improve the aesthetics- noise | <u> </u> | oration- of air. Iustrial and transportation emissions on environmental justice communiti | 26 | |
| Prioritize Transportation Investment | e impact of file | NIRPC: Project Selection Criteria, Adherence to CMP and ITS Architecture | | Maryland Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions |
| Dollars on Congestion and VMT | | Fed/State: Draft guidelines and rulemaking | 1 E STI , GWAQ | Act Plan |
| Reduction | S/M/L | Local: Submit Congestion Projects | | San Joaquin Council of Governments Draft |
| | | | | Regional Transportation Plan 2014-2040 |
| Prioritize CMAQ investment dollars on | | NIRPC: Project Selection Criteria | CMAQ | Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission |
| Congestion Reduction Air Emission | S/M/L | Fed/State: Draft guidelines and rulemaking | | 2015 Competitive CMAQ Program Guidance |
| Reductions | | Local: Submit CMAQ Projects | | |
| Fund and Promote Transit | | See infill and reinvestment strategies | STP | Puget Sound Regional Council for its |
| | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Promote growth in areas Livable | (5,,_) | See infill and reinvestment strategies | CLC | 1- Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission |
| Centers | | | | (TJPDC) for Jefferson Area Eastern Planning |
| | | | | Initiative - Building Livable Communities |
| | | | | 2-San Diego Area Council of Governments |
| | | | | Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) |
| | | | | Program |
| | | | | 3-The San Francisco Bay Area Metropolitan |
| | | | | Transportation Commission's (MTC) |
| | | | | Transportation for Livable Communities Program |
| | | | | (TLC) |
| | | | | 4-City/County Association of Governments of San |
| | | | | Mateo County Transit Oriented |
| | | | | Development (TOD) Incentive Program |
| | | | | 5-The Sacramento Area Council of Government's |
| | | | | Metropolitan Transportation Plan for 2035 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Promote and fund Clean Diesel and | | NIRPC: CMAQ Air Quality Public Education subcontracts with South Shore | National Clean Diesel Funding Assistance Program; | NIRPC and SSCC have worked together to retrofit |
| Diesel Emission Reduction Projects | | Clean Cities to conduct an annual Green Fleets education and technical | Pollution Prevention Grants Program; Reauthorization | 180 regional diesel vehicles with Diesel Oxidation |
| | | support program focusing on diesel fleet operators. | of Diesel Grants ; Clean Diesel Grants; CMAQ | Catalysts or Auxiliary Heating Units. In addition, |
| | | | | several diesel retrofit projects were submitted in |
| | | | | the 2015 CMAQ solicitation and will be submitted |
| | | | | for eligibility to INDOT and FHWA. The NIRPC |
| | | | | sponsored SSCC Green Fleets program has |
| | | | | conducted dozens of Education and Training |
| | | | | workshops, webinars and programs focusing on |
| | | | | air quality improvement and fuel conservation |
| | | | | practices for diesel fleet operators. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Promote and facilitate opportunities for | | NIRPC: Education and Best Practices | CMAQ; TIGER; DOE | During 2013-2015 NIRPC has participated with |
| alternative energy and energy | | | | the National Association of as one of 10 Regional |
| conservation | | | | Councils promoting best solar practices through |
| | | | | the Solar Ready II program, conducting |
| | | | | educational workshops, providing model |
| | | | | documents, and implementing a Solarize NWI |
| | | | | campaign in the region. |
| | | | | |
| Promote tree planting and native | | See Green Infrastructure Section and Clean Land Section. | Arbor Day Grant Program; Hometown Indiana Grants; | Harrison West, Valparaiso, IN |
| prairie restoration for carbon | | | FIP | |
| sequestration | | | | |
| Objective E: Coordinate land use and tra | ansportation po | · | | |
| Prioritize Transportation Investment | | NIRPC: Project Selection Criteria, Adherence to CMP and ITS Architecture | PL. STP; CMAQ | Maryland Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions |
| Dollars on Congestion and VMT | S/M/L | Fed/State: Draft guidelines and rulemaking | | Act Plan |
| Reduction | 3,, 2 | Local: Submit Congestion Projects | | San Joaquin Council of Governments Draft |
| | | | | Regional Transportation Plan 2014-2040 |
| Prioritize CMAQ investment dollars on | | NIRPC: Project Selection Criteria | CMAQ | Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission |
| Congestion Reduction Air Emission | S/M/L | Fed/State: Draft guidelines and rulemaking | | 2015 Competitive CMAQ Program Guidance |
| Reductions | 3/ IVI/ L | Local: Submit CMAQ Projects | | |
| | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Goal: Clean land | | | | |
| bjective A: Maximize the number of b | rownfields returi | ned to productive use. | | |
| Establish current information on existing sites that meet the definition of Brownfield | s | NIRPC: Can be a partner in regional brownfield coaltions, and provide technical support. Fed/State: Funding and Technical Support: Local Governments and LEDOs should take the lead on identifiying local brownfields, creating redevelopment plans, marketing brownfields, and applying for federal funding. | USEPA CERCLA Brownfield Grants, RDA, Private Redevelopers | NIRPC, the RDA, and the Cities of Gary, Hammond, and East Chicago have formed the Northwestern Indiana Brownfield Coalition. NWIBC has successfully acquired \$1.4 in feder funding to create a brownfield inventory, produce Environmental Assessments for underutilized properties, and to establish a Brownfield Revolving Loan Fund. The Brownf RLF has excuted its first loan to the City of Redevelopment Commission for the purposes mitigating asbestos to allow for demolition of 10 story long abandoned Gary Ambassador Apartment Building. |
| Support development of local capacity and funding to promote and implement the redevelopment of Brownfields | L | NIRPC: Can be a partner in regional brownfield coaltions, and provide technical support. Fed/State: Funding and Technical Support: Local Governments and LEDOs, especially those with significant numbers of vacant properties, can take the lead on identifying local brownfields, learning best practices, creating redevelopment plans, marketing brownfields, and applying for federal funding. | USEPA CERCLA Brownfield Grants, RDA, Private Redevelopers | Indiana 15 RPC has been very successful at development or brownfield capacity and attaining federal funding for brownfield redevelopment its 6 rural southern Indiana counties. The Downriver Community Confere a coalition of 20 cities, towns, and townships SE Michigan between Detroit and Toledo has been highly successful in obtaining federal funding and leveraging local, state, and privat redevelopment resources |
| Objective B: Facilitate a regional solid | | | | |
| Collect and Integrate information available from existing county solid waste management plans and metrics to establish a regional baseline. | S | NIRPC: Coordinate or facilitate information gathering from county solid waste management districts (SWMDs) SWMDs: Share existing plans and data collected on various waste streams, disposal quantities, recycling rates, etc. | No known funding source for this activity | Lake Michigan District Household Hazardous Waste is a joint effort of the Lake, Porter, LaP Counties SWMDs. This partnership could for the backbone of a data collection and sharing effort. Several areas of Indiana have actually formed truly regional SWMDs, including the Northwest Indiana Solid WAste District which serves Benton, Carroll, Jasper, Newton, Pulas and White Counties just south of the NIRPC region. Northeastern Indiana Solid Waste Management District, serving Lagrange, Stuel Noble, and Dekalb Counties. The Southeastern Indiana Recycling District coordinates recycling in 7 counties. |
| Objective C: Promote the acquisition ar | nd protection of | | | |
| Prioritize wetland restoration and conservation | | NIRPC: Coordination and technical assistance Fed/State: Technical support: IDEM, DNR, USACOE, USFWS, NRCS Local: SWCD, environmental/ conservation organizations | USDA-CRP, USDA-CTA, WRP, Wetlands Protection Development Grants Program; Small Watershed Program; NAWCA | Indiana Wetlands Conservation Plan criteria |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pursue strategic open space acquisition | | NIRPC: Coordination, mapping, facilitation, identifying grant | Some PL funding can be used to maintain resource data | Ex. Riparian Buffer Preservation- Trail Creek, |
| that provides opportunities to expand | | opportunities | for Env. Mit. IDNR provides state funding through | Michigan City - Hobart Marsh has an |
| existing open spaces and improve | | Fed/State: Technical Support and funding | Bicentinnial Nature Trust, and federal funding through | expansive patchwork of critical habitat that has |
| network connectivity for the benefit of | | Local: Local Governments and NGOS can acquire property for | | been acquired by the City, The Nature |
| regional trail system, wildlife and | | conservation and open space recreation. | conservation land acquisitions and public access. | Conservancy, Shirley Heinze Land Trust, Lake |
| biodiversity | | conservation and open space recreation. | conservation land acquisitions and public access. | County Parks, IDNR, Save the Dunes, and the |
| blodiversity | | | | Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore. |
| | | | | |
| Protect sensitive areas and forestlands | | NIRPC: Coordination, mapping, facilitation, identifying grant | EQIP; FIP; CRP; Cooperative Forestry Assistance; EFI | Ex. Coffee Creek Watershed Conservancy- |
| through creation of sufficient buffer | | opportunities | | Chesterton |
| zones | | Fed/State: Technical Support and funding | | |
| | | <u>Local</u> : Local Governments and NGOS can acquire property for | | |
| | | conservation and open space recreation. | | |
| Seek opportunities to maintain or | | NIRPC: Coordination, mapping, facilitation, identifying grant | FIP; WHIP; CRP; Partners for Wildlife Habitat Restoration | Ex. Coffee Creek Watershed Conservancy- |
| restore habitat corridors | | opportunities | Program | Chesterton |
| | | Fed/State: Technical Support and funding | | |
| | | Local: Local Governments and NGOS can acquire property for | | |
| | | conservation and open space recreation. | | |
| | | | | |
| Preserve and enhance strategically sited | | NIRPC: Coordination, mapping, facilitation, identifying grant | Some PL funding can be used to maintain resource data | NIRPC, with funding from the Garlord and |
| green areas in developed areas to | | opportunities | for Environmental Mitigation. Need Local Dedicated | Dorothy Donnelly Foundation partnered with The |
| mitigate impacts on region's ecosystem | | Fed/State: Technical Support and funding | Source | Conservation Design Forum and the Town of |
| and wastewater system | | <u>Local</u> : Local Governments and NGOS can acquire property for | | Cedar Lake to identify strategic parcels along |
| | | conservation and open space recreation. | | Founders Creek for creation of a community |
| | | | | Greenway. |
| Encourage habitat protection, | | NIRPC: Data, mapping, facilitation and coordination | FIP; WHIP; CRP; Partners for Wildlife Habitat Restoration | Habitat Conservation Plans: The Quiet Revolution |
| restoration, and cooperative land | | | Program | : This U.S. Fish and Wildlife provides basic |
| management agreements on both | | | | information about habitat conservations plans as |
| public and private lands through | | | | well as a number of case studies on the use of |
| appropriate regulation and incentives | | | | these plans. |
| | | | | [http://www.fws.gov/endangered/hcp/Quiet/qui |
| | | | | etrev.html] |
| Condition of the last of the l | | Other Field Annual Clauses | Call Construction Education Decrease CARE | In the second of |
| Coordinate education, training and | | Other Entity: NWI CWMA | Soil Conservation Education Program; SARE | Hilltop Hanover, A Westchester County Farm and |
| technical assistance especially to | | | | Environmental Center, Westchester County, New |
| develop strategic partners in open | | | | York |
| space management | | | | |
| Objective D: Mitigate transportation and | d land use impa | rts | | |
| Identify proposed transportation | | NIRPC: Data, mapping, facilitation and coordination | PL to update and maintain data for Env. Mit. | Updated the Green Infrastructure Vision with |
| projects that may have an | | INITE. Data, mapping, racintation and coordination | r L to apadie and maintain data for Env. Will. | more substantial data and conservation metrics, |
| environmental impact for early | | | | as well as research based Ecosystem Services |
| coordination with state and federal | | | | Valuation data developed by the Conservation |
| | | | | Fund for Chicago Wilderness. |
| agencies | | | | |
| Make environmental resource data | | NIRPC: Data, mapping, facilitation and coordination | PL to update and maintain data for Env. Mit. | NIRPC conducts Red Flag Investigations for all |
| readily available and accessible to | | | | transportation projects we receive information |
| transportation planners and decision | | | | on and provide this information to project |
| makers | | | | sponsors. |
| Where deemed beneficial to the region, | | NIRPC: Data, mapping, facilitation and coordination | WHIP; CRP; Partners for Wildlife Habitat Restoration | Cowles Bog Restoration plan-Indiana Dunes |
| support artificial habitat creation in | | | Program; Wildlife Conservation and Appreciation | National Lakeshore |
| areas where natural habitat areas are | L | | Program | |
| too degraded or fragmented to restore | - | | | |
| effectively | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Action Step | Torm (\$/M/I) | Posnonsible Party | Eunding | Successes / Boot Bractices |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prioritize environmental resource/ asset areas regionally to assist with transportation planning and assist with decision making | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party NIRPC: Data, mapping, facilitation and coordination | Funding PL to update and maintain data for Env. Mit. | Successes/Best Practices Updated the Green Infrastructure Vision with more substantial data and conservation metrics, asd well as research based Ecosystem Services Valuation data developed by the Conservation Fund for Chicago Wilderness. |
| Identify mitigation areas/ opportunities that have the greatest ecological value to enhance regional restoration and preservation efforts | | NIRPC: Data, mapping, facilitation and coordination | PL to update and maintain data for Env. Mit. | Updated the Green Infrastructure Vision with more substantial data and conservation metrics, asd well as research based Ecosystem Services Valuation data developed by the Conservation Fund for Chicago Wilderness. |
| Economic Development | | | - | |
| - | oetitive. dive | rsified economy that protects & enhances our natural | environment | |
| Objective A: Maximize technology, prod | • | • | | |
| Provide broadband fiber optics | <u>, </u> | NIRPC can offer technical support and GIS data mapping | EDA; NWIEDD | |
| | | Other Entity: The Forum will pursue recommendations from the Broadband Study such as identifying hot spots | | |
| Maximize Economic Development impacts of major employers | | NIRPC: GIS data and mapping Other Entity: Technical Support from NWI Forum, RDA and Local Governments. | EDA; NWIEDD | Comprehensive Plan implementation of City of Gary identified several priority projects include Route 912 Business Park Development, and Interstate 90/65 Business Park Development. |
| Objective B: Create diverse, emerging a | ınd sustainable i | ndustries | | |
| Support the Regional Entrepreneurial Action Plan (REAP) | S | NIRPC can offer technical support, provide data and act as a convener / facilitator Other Entity: NWIEDD & Forum | RDA; NWIEDD | Elevate Ventures fund \$1.6 million pilot program called "Quickstart" - staff hired to be NWI representative (effective Jan. 1, 2012) |
| Provide Economic Development impacts of identified "industry clusters" | | NIRPC: Supply data and coordinate with the Forum and IEDC Fed/State: IEDC Other Entity: Forum | EDA; NWIEDD; IDGF | Comprehensive Plan of City of Gary aims to establish a tax increment finance (TIF) district to finance infrastructure costs, expand the Gary-East Chicago-Hammond Empowerment Zone and Gary/Chicago International Airport Development Zone to encompass the Business Park and provide incentives to new businesses and developers including Foreign Trade Zone Benefits. |
| Objective C: Strengthen public/private | partnerships res | ulting in increased regional investment | | |
| Update CEDS | s | NIRPC will support the NWIEDD and provide data Other Entity: Include criteria in NWIEDD call for projects | EDA | Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy, 2010 |
| Maximize Economic Development impacts of NWIEDD's requests and EDA funds | | NIRPC can offer technical assistance and align transportation funds to maximize economic development impacts and opportunities | EDA; NWIEDD | |
| Airport | | Other Entity: RDA | RDA | Gary- Chicago International Airport Project |
| Objective D: Redevelop urban core area | as | | | |
| Pursue catalytic projects in the Marquette Plan | | NIRPC can facilitate sharing of information, act as a convener and facilitator, provide technical assistance and coordinate with the RDA to pursue the Advisory Committee Other Entity: The RDA will continue to fund projects within the lakefront communities of Northwest Indiana that are located in the Focused Revitalization Area. | RDA | Portage Lakefront |
| Pursue catalytic projects in the GRIP project. | | NIRPC & RDA: Marquette Plan Update EPA: Provide planning and technical assistance | EZ; RDA; NWIEDD | Marquette Plan; Gary- Chicago International Airport Project |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Objective E: Use/expansion of transport | | | ranamg | Successes/ Best Fractices |
| Prioritize transportation investments | tation and other | NIRPC: Formulate project selection criteria based on objectives outlined i | rDI · STD | South Shore Rail Line |
| which achieve improved connectivity | | Torridiate project selection criteria based on objectives outlined i | 11.511 | South Shore Rail Line |
| which achieve improved connectivity | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Provide cutting-edge utilities, services | | NIRPC: Will manage data and provide GIS mapping of utilities, services, | EDA; NWIEDD | NIPSCO Economic Development Efforts |
| and Broadband fiber optics, See Goal H | | and broadband fiber optics when the data is available. | | |
| & E-1, Objective A, #1 | | Local: Will manage data and provide data when necessary. Will work with | | |
| | | Utilities and Economic development groups to expand access. | | |
| | | Other Entity: Utilities, Economic Development groups, and other | | |
| | | organizations which manage data will provide it with the understanding it | | |
| | | will be managed confidentially. Utilities and Economic Development | | |
| | | groups will work together to expand access. | | |
| | | Broups will work together to expand decess. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | cts and enhance | es the environmental assets of Northwest Indiana | | |
| Pursue land assembly and site | | NIRPC will provide technical assistance, data and GIS mapping and | CLC; Land Banks; Brownfields Program | Portage Lakefront recovers abandoned |
| preparation efforts | | continue to partner with the Forum to promote land assembly needs | | brownfields along its waterway |
| | | using land use and transportation infrastructure components | | |
| | | Local: LEDO's | | |
| | | Other Entity: The Forum will continue to support LEDO efforts and host | | |
| | | additional seminars with NIRPC and develop programs | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Objective G: Improve the region's work | force to accomm | nodate growth in emerging industries | | |
| Provide Economic Development | orce to accomm | NIRPC: Coordinate with Forum | EDA; NWIEDD; TIF; Tax Abatement; | South Shore Rail Line |
| • | | THIRE. Cooldinate with Forum | LDA, NWIEDD, TII , Tax Abatement, | South Shore Nail Line |
| impacts of emerging "Green Economy" | | | | |
| jobs | | | | |
| Create programs to support job and | | NIRPC: Assist with grant opportunities and coordinate with NWI Forum | RDA; NWIEDD; local Government | NWIEDD |
| economic growth | | and RDA | | |
| | | Other Entity: Center for Workforce Innovations, NWI Forum, NWI Ready | | |
| | | Program, and Local Governments | | |
| Objective H: Improve overall quality of | life to attract bu | | | |
| Create regional identity to support | | NIRPC: Coordinate with Forum | RED; NWIEDD | Marquette Plan |
| Economic Development opportunities | | Local: Chamber of Commerce | | |
| | | Other Entity: The Forum will continue to market NWI to developers, site | | |
| | | selectors, real estate brokers and targeted industries | | |
| | | | | |
| Goal H & F-2: Expanded acces | s to knowle | dge & educational opportunities | | |
| _ | | ides safe and reliable access to educational facilities | | |
| Prioritize transportation investments | ystem that prov | NIRPC: Include in Project Selection Criteria | PL; STP | South Shore Rail Line |
| which achieve improved connections | | Third C. Include In Project Selection Criteria | 1 2, 311 | South Shore Kan Line |
| which define ve improved confidentions | | | | |
| Objective B. Maintain strategie nantus | shine with oder | stianal institutions | | |
| Objective B: Maintain strategic partners Create data base of | sinps with educa | NIRPC: Supply information/data and provide contacts | PL | Work One Northwest Indiana |
| education/occupation opportunities | | | | WOLK OHE MOLLIWEST HUIGHT |
| education/occupation opportunities | | Local: Education Institutions, Universities | | |
| Patablish namba ambian but an analysis | | Other Entity: NWIWB & CWI supply data & reports | DDA. FDA. FDA | II C Charl manter and with Don't a Link and |
| Establish partnerships between industry | | NIRPC: Provide contacts and connections | RDA; EDA; EPA | U.S.Steel partnered with Purdue University |
| and educational institutions for | | | | Calumet Visualization lab |
| purposes of job training | | | | |
| Objective Co. Buscildo - 201-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1 | Analogic Etter | | | |
| Objective C: Provide critical information | to the public to | | DL other grants and spensorship for larger overte | Hammond Flomontary cohool forum Dog C 2011 |
| Provide "forum style" events | | NIRPC: Offer forums with keypad polling | PL, other grants and sponsorship for larger events. | Hammond Elementary school forum Dec.6,2011 |
| | S | Local: Work with NIRPC to educate on Plan recommendations, etc. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | pan and regional planning and public policy | . **9 | |
| Conduct civic and governmental | , | NIRPC can act a facilitator, share information and provide technical | PL | Public meeting at Chesterton Town Hall |
| activities in locations convenient to the | | assistance and support | | |
| urban core | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Objective E: Improve infrastructure con | nectivity and acc | cess to the technology that supports distance education | | |
| Prioritize transportation investments | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | NIRPC will include in Project Selection Criteria | PL; STP | South Shore Rail Line |
| which achieve improved connectivity | | | , | |
| | | | | |
| Stewardship & Governance | | | | |
| • | | | | |
| Goal S&G-1: Environmental ju | | | | |
| - | • | ce communities and improve the public involvement process to eliminate | barriers | |
| | and low-income | populations in regional decision-making. | | |
| Host an annual regional forum | | NIRPC: Lead the outreach effort to ensure that all groups are well | PL | Listening sessions held in the areas of Motorized |
| addressing progress in 2040 CRP | | represented. | | Transportation, Public Transit, and the |
| implementation and issue-oriented | C /2 4 /1 | Host forums and roundtables at appropriate and easily accessible sites. Fed/State: Attend annual forums | | Environment as part of the 2040 Comprehensive Regional Plan update. Listening sessions held as |
| roundtables, as appropriate. | S/M/L | Local: Assist NIRPC in outreach efforts to reach E.J. communities in your | | part of the Greenways + Blueways 2020 planning |
| | | local jurisdiction. | | process on non-motorized transportation. |
| | | iocal jurisdiction. | | process on non motorized transportation. |
| Provide ongoing communication to | | NIRPC: Publish a quarterly newsletter. | PL PL | Plan Cheyenne includes a unique structure and |
| regional stakeholders on a quarterly | | rubiish a quarterly newsletter. | | online RFP process, and incorporation of cutting |
| basis. | S/M/L | | | edge public participation strategies. |
| busis. | | | | eage public participation strategies. |
| Support and facilitate magningful public | | NURDC: Develop a Social Equity section on the NURDC website | PL; Foundations | Best Practice Website: Metro Boston Indicators |
| Support and facilitate meaningful public participation in planning efforts at all | | NIRPC: Develop a Social Equity section on the NIRPC website. | PL; Foundations | Project (www.regionalindicators.org/equity/) |
| scales, including expanding NIRPC's | s | | | Project (www.regionalindicators.org/equity/) |
| online capabilities. | 3 | | | |
| omme supus maesi | | | | |
| Objective 1-B: Improve capacity to iden | tifv residential. | employment, and transportation patterns of low-income and minority po | pulations | |
| | • | d the impacts of transportation can be fairly distributed | • | |
| Establish a Core Communities | | NIRPC: Reach out to core community leaders, seeking representatives for | Need Local dedicated source | Staff serve on Urban Core Communities (Gary, |
| Committee to provide technical support | | the Core Communities Committee. | | East Chicago, Michigan City) Steering Committees |
| and assist in securing resources from | | <u>Local:</u> Identify leaders to participate in the Committee. | | for various plans and projects. Walkable and |
| federal, philanthropic and other | | | | Livable Communities Institute Conducted a |
| external sources. | s | | | research about implementing projects to support |
| | | | | active living, This handbook provides guidance of |
| | | | | how to form a community committee. |
| | | | | http://www.walklive.org/ |
| | | | | |
| Objective 1-C: Avoid disproportionately | high and/or adv | erse impacts on minority, disabled, elderly and/or low-income population | | |
| Consider the benefits and burdens of | | NIRPC: Build upon the Environmental Justice Analysis conducted for the | Environmental Justice Community Impact Grant | The Executive Office of Energy and |
| potential decisions on environmental | | 2040 CRP to develop tools to evaluate benefits and burdens of projects | Program | Environmental Affairs (EEA) of Massachusetts |
| justice communities. | | on E.J. communities. | | provides this Smart Growth/Smart Energy Toolkit |
| | S/M/L | Explore the potential for providing E.J. analysis tools and technical | | on the website. |
| | | support for local governments. | | http://www.mass.gov/envir/smart_growth_toolk |
| | | <u>Local:</u> Develop plans and tools to address benefits and burdens of local projects. | | it/pages/mod-ej.html |
| | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | |
| | | npacts on low-income and/or minority populations by providing offsetting | ginitiatives | |
| and enhancement measures to benefit a See Objecitve 1-C above. | illected commu | nicies and neignborhoods | | |
| See Objective 1-C above. | | | | |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | environmental justice issues among decision makers | ranung | - Jaccesses/Best Flactices |
| Facilitate increased understanding and meaningful discussion of social justice issues, in particular as they relate to the relationship between land use, transportation and environmental planning efforts in the core communities. | S/M/L | NIRPC: Increase and broaden public participation activities to ensure an ongoing and meaningful process for citizens. Educate NIRPC Commissioners and other local decision makers on the importance of ensuring social justice in our region. | PL | 1-The Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) of Massachusetts provides this Smart Growth/Smart Energy Toolkit on the website. http://www.mass.gov/envir/smart_growth_toolk it/pages/mod-ej.html 2- Environmental Justice workshops for the CRP and Illiana Expressway projects |
| Build local capacity and understanding of the regional impacts of local planning and promote "best practices" among both the general public and elected/appointed officials, as described elsewhere in this Plan. | S/M/L | NIRPC: Maintain an active and meaningful role in ongoing regional initiatives, including: Quality of Life Council, Quad-State Accord, Great Lakes Restoration Initiative and other initiatives described elsewhere in the CRP, as well as any other regional initiatives. Ensure public & media appearances by staff as well as public input sessions include these themes when appropriate | PL | Transit Listening Sessions prior to 2040 CRP Update explaining how transit is funded in the region and the process behind it NIRPC's CLC program |
| Objective 1-F: Mitigate displacement of | local residents a | nd the loss of property investments when redeveloping the urban core | | |
| Provide support for integration of livable communities' standards and social equity considerations into local planning decision-making. | S/M/L | NIRPC: Use the Core Communities Committee to provide support and advocacy in the urban core communities. Local: Use plans and policies to encourage the retention of existing residents while allowing for redevelopment. | CLC | Livable Center Initiative by Atlanta Regional Commission |
| Goal 2: Efficient and coordina | _ | | | |
| Objective 2-A: Facilitate the consolidation | on of redundant | | NIDDC Local Covernment Assistance Committee most | Ask the Indiana Association of Cities 9 Towns and |
| Shared service and utility agreements, coordination and consolidation | S/M/L | NIRPC: Facilitate and foster opportunities for shared local government services and improving the fiscal sustainability of the counties and existing cities and towns. | NIRPC Local Government Assistance Committee meet with regional finance experts, other local elected officials and members of the Indiana General Assembly to devise a strategy. | Ask the Indiana Asociation of Cities & Towns and Association of Indiana Counties for examples in Indiana that work. In addition, the following resource is among examples of municipal service agreements, etc. It is the the New York Association of Counties. It is "The Shared Municipal Service Incentive Program: A Policy Primer" http://nysac.org/policy-research/documents/sharedservicesbriefing.pdf |
| Shared purchasing agreements | S/M/L | NIRPC: Facilitate and foster opportunities for shared purchasing agreements. Bundle this task with the shared service agreement task above. | NIRPC Local Government Assistance Committee meet with other local elected officials to devise a financial strategy. Include members of the Indiana General Assembly if state enabling legislation might be required. | Approach the Indiana Asociation of Cities & Towns and Association of Indiana Counties for examples in Indiana that work. In addition, see the paper "Municipal Cooperation: Sharing Services in New York" http://www.empirecenter.org/publications/municipal-cooperation-sharing-services-in-ny/ |
| | land use and co | rridor planning across jurisdictional boundaries | | |
| Review and advise communities in the region as intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) are developed to address various issues of mutual and broader regional benefit. | S/M/L | NIRPC: Discuss at the new Technical Planning Committee regarding implementation of the 2040 CRP what can be accomplished across community and county boundaries regarding land use and plannning coordination. | PL, and search for other sources of funds for land use planning to expand NIRPC role as needed. | Research best practices as highlighted by the National Assocations of Regional Councils (NARC) |

| Action Step | Term (S/M/L) | Responsible Party | Funding | Successes/Best Practices | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Objective 2-C: Foster better communication, cooperation, and coordination to better leverage resources | | | | | | | |
| Communicate shared regional priorities to the federal and state agencies | S/M/L | NIRPC: Flexible regional financing options for initiatives that cross municipal and county lines. Provide ongoing community revenue sharing opportunities to better align regional resources with regional needscation to regional stakeholders on a quarterly basis. Provide ongoing education to state and federal agencies regarding vision, goals & objectives of the CRP. Fed/State: State and federal tax policy favorable to achieving Livable Centers and Focused Revitalization | other resources favorable to achieving Livable Centers | Continuation of NIRPC's CLC program. | | | |
| Explore funding resources for NIRPC and regional partners to support greater cooperation and interaction among non-traditional partners | S/M/L | NIRPC: Research | Search for other sources of funds for this expanded level of activity. | NW Indiana Greenways & Blueways Map which raised local match from a number of private sources. | | | |
| Objective 2-D: Promote the sharing of b | enefits, burdens | and costs among governments | | | | | |
| Consider the benefits and burdens of potential decisions on environmental justice communities. | | NIRPC: Provide support for integration of livable communities' standards and social equity considerations into local planning decision-making. | | The Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) of Massachusetts provides this Smart Growth/Smart Energy Toolkit on the website. http://www.mass.gov/envir/smart_growth_toolk it/pages/mod-ej.html | | | |